

Subclass of Univalent Functions with Positive Coefficients

Waggas Galib Atshan* and Huda Khalid AbidZaid

Department of Mathematics,

College of Computer Science and Mathematics,

University of Al-Qadisiya,

Diwaniya-Iraq

E-mail: *waggas_hnd@yahoo.com , waggashnd@gmail.com,

hafesh11656@yahoo.com

Recived :18\3\2014

Revised : \

Accepted :4\6\2014

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$ consisting of analytic function with positive coefficients. We obtain some geometric properties, like, arithmetic mean, some distortion theorems and Hadmard product in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Keywords:Univalent function, Distortion theorem, Integral operator, Hadamard product, δ -Neighborhood.

AMS Subject Classification :30C45.

1. Introduction and Definitions.

Let T denote the class of functions:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and univalent in $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

Let H denote the subclass of T consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in T$ is called univalent starlike of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if f is satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in U).$$

Also a function $f \in T$ is called univalent convex of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if f satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \beta, \quad (z \in U).$$

Definition 1.1[5]. The Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by ${}_2F_1$ and is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n,$$

where $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$, $c > b > 0$ and $c > a + b$. It is well known (see[2]) that under the conditions $c > b > 0$ and $c > a + b$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in H$ be of the form (2.1). Then the Hohlov operator $F(a, b, c)$ is defined by means of Hadamard product below

$$\begin{aligned} F(a, b, c)f(z) &= z {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) * f(z) \\ &= z + e^{i\vartheta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1} (b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1} (n-1)!} a_n z^n, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

$(a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0, c \neq \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}; z \in U).$

The same operator have been studied by Atshan [4] on a class of univalent functions.

Definition 1.3. The Hadamard product of the two functions $F(a, b, c)f$ given by (1.3) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (1.4)$$

is defined by

$$(g * F(a, b, c)(f))(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n a_n b_n z^n,$$

where $\Gamma_n = \frac{(a)_{n-1} (b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1} (n-1)!}$. (1.5)

Definition 1.4. A function $f \in H$ is said to be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$ if satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + \gamma z (g * F(a, b, c)(f))''(z)}{\gamma (g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + (1 - \gamma)} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (1.6)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \gamma < 1$.

Lemma 1.1.[3] If $\alpha \geq 0$, then $\operatorname{Re} w > \alpha$ if and only if $|w - (1 + \alpha)| < |w + (1 - \alpha)|$, where w be any complex number.

2. Coefficient Bounds.

We obtain here a necessary and sufficient condition to be the function $f(z)$ in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in T$. Then $f \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] a_n b_n \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (2.1)$$

where Γ_n is defined by (1.5) and $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \gamma < 1, z \in U$. The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] b_n} z^n.$$

Proof. Suppose that the inequalities (2.1) holds true and let $|z| = 1$, in view of (1.6), we need to prove that $Re(w) > \alpha$, where

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \frac{(g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + \gamma z (g * F(a, b, c)(f))''(z)}{\gamma (g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + (1 - \gamma)} \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - 1) + 1] a_n b_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \gamma n a_n b_n z^{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{A(z)}{B(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.1, it suffices to show that

$$|A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| \leq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| \\ &\leq \alpha + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - 1) + 1 - (1 + \alpha)\gamma] a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} - (2 - \alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - 1) + 1 + (1 - \alpha)\gamma] a_n b_n |z|^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-2-\alpha) + 1] a_n b_n - (2-\alpha) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha) + 1] a_n b_n \\
 &= 2\alpha - 2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [2\gamma n - 2\gamma\alpha - 2\gamma + 2] a_n b_n \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1) + 1] a_n b_n - (1-\alpha) \leq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis. Then by maximum modulus Theorem, we have $f \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Conversely, assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &Re \left\{ \frac{(g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + \gamma z (g * F(a, b, c)(f))''(z)}{\gamma (g * F(a, b, c)(f))'(z) + (1-\gamma)} \right\} \\
 &= Re \left\{ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-1) + 1] a_n b_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n \gamma n a_n b_n z^{n-1}} \right\} > \alpha, \quad (2.3)
 \end{aligned}$$

we can choose the value of z on the real axis and let $z \rightarrow 1^-$, through real values, so we can write (2.3) as

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1) + 1] a_n b_n \leq (1-\alpha).$$

Finally, sharpness follows if we take

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{\Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1) + 1] b_n} z^n, n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.4)$$

Corollary 2.1. If $f(z) \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1) + 1] b_n}, n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Theorem 2.2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then

$$r - \frac{1-\alpha}{[2\gamma(1-\alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2} r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1-\alpha}{[2\gamma(1-\alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2} r^2. \quad (2.5)$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{[2\gamma(1-\alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2} z^2.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then by Theorem (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n, \quad (|z| = r < 1) \\ &\leq r + r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2} r^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n \\ &\geq r - r^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 b_2} r^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From bounds (2.6) and (2.7), we get (2.5).

Theorem 2.3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then

$$1 - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 1]\Gamma_2 b_2} r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 1]\Gamma_2 b_2} r. \quad (2.8)$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 1]\Gamma_2 b_2} z^2.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then by Theorem (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 1]\Gamma_2 b_2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\leq |1| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n n |z|^{n-1} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 1]\Gamma_2 b_2} r \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z)| &\geq |1| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n n |z|^{n-1} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{[\gamma(1-\alpha)+1]\Gamma_2 b_2} r \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From bounds (2.9) and (2.10), we get (2.8).

Theorem 2.4. Let the function f_k defined by

$$f_k(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,k} z^n$$

be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$ for every $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \ell$. Then the function

$$w(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e_n z^n, \quad (e_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

also belongs to the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$, where

$$e_n = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{n,k}.$$

Proof. Since $f_k \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$, it follows from Theorem (2.1), that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1)+1] a_{n,k} b_n \leq (1-\alpha),$$

for every $k = 1, \dots, \ell$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1)+1] e_n b_n &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n-\alpha-1)+1] b_n \left(\frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{n,k} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (1-\alpha) = (1-\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $w(z) \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.5. Let the function $f_j(z)$, ($j = 1, 2$) defined by

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then the function

$$T(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) z^n,$$

also belong to the class $H(\gamma, \epsilon)$, where

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)^2[\gamma(n - 1) + 1] - n\Gamma_n b_n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2}{2\gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 + n\Gamma_n b_n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2}.$$

Proof. We must find the largest ϵ such that

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n[\gamma(n - \epsilon - 1) + 1] b_n}{1 - \epsilon} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Since $f_j(z)$, ($j = 1, 2$) belong to the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n^2 n^2[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2 b_n^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2} a_{n,1}^2 \leq \left(\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] b_n}{1 - \alpha} a_{n,1} \right)^2 \leq 1$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n^2 n^2[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2 b_n^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2} a_{n,2}^2 \leq \left(\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] b_n}{1 - \alpha} a_{n,2} \right)^2 \leq 1.$$

Hence, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma_n^2 n^2[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2 b_n^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2} \right) (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

$T(z) \in H(\gamma, \epsilon)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_n n[\gamma(n - \epsilon - 1) + 1] b_n}{1 - \epsilon} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest ϵ such that

$$\frac{\Gamma_n n[\gamma(n - \epsilon - 1) + 1] b_n}{1 - \epsilon} \leq \frac{\Gamma_n^2 n^2[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2 b_n^2}{(1 - \alpha)^2}. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.11), we have

$$\epsilon \geq \frac{2(1 - \alpha)^2[\gamma(n - 1) + 1] - n\Gamma_n b_n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2}{2\gamma(1 - \alpha)^2 + n\Gamma_n b_n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]^2}.$$

The concept of neighborhood of analytic functions was first introduced by Goodman [5] and Ruschewyh [7] investigated this concept for the elements of several famous subclasses of analytic functions and Altintas and Owa [1] considered for a certain family of analytic functions with negative coefficients.

Now, we define the (n, δ) –neighborhood of a function $f \in H$ by

$$N_{n,\delta}(f) = \{k \in H: k(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - c_n| \leq \delta, 0 \leq \delta < 1\}, \quad (2.12)$$

for the identity function $e(z) = z$, we have

$$N_{n,\delta}(e) = \{k \in H: k(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |c_n| \leq \delta \}.$$

Definition 1.5. A function $f \in H$ is said to be in the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$ if there exists a function $k \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{k(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \beta \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

Theorem 2.6. If $k \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$ and

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\delta [2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 c_2}{2 [2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 c_2 - (1 - \alpha)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Then $N_{n,\delta}(k) \subset H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $f \in N_{n,\delta}(k)$. We want to find from (2.12) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n - c_n| \leq \delta,$$

which readily implies the following coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - c_n| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Next, since $k \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$ in view of Theorem (2.1) such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 c_2}.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{k(z)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - c_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta [2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 c_2 - (1 - \alpha)}{2 [2\gamma(1 - \alpha) + 2]\Gamma_2 c_2} = 1 - \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Definition (1.5), $f \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$ for β given by (2.13).

Theorem 2.7. Let r real number such that $r > -1$. If $f \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$, then the function F defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{r+1}{z^r} \int_0^z t^{r-1} f(t) dt,$$

also belong to $H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Then from the representation of F , it follows that

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n z^n,$$

where $d_n = \frac{r+1}{r+n} a_n$. Therefore using Theorem (2.1) for the coefficients of F , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] d_n b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_n n [\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] \left(\frac{r+1}{r+n} \right) a_n b_n \leq 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{r+1}{r+n} < 1$ and $f \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Hence $F \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 < \gamma < 1$. Then $H(\gamma, \alpha) \subset H(\gamma, \tau)$ where $\tau = \frac{\alpha[\gamma(n-2)+1]}{\gamma(n-2)+1}$.

Proof. Let the function $f(z)$ given by (1.2) belong to the class $H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then, by using Theorem 2.1., we get

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]}{1 - \alpha} \Gamma_n a_n b_n \leq 1.$$

In order to prove that $f \in H(\gamma, \tau)$, we must have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[\gamma(n - \tau - 1) + 1]}{1 - \tau} \Gamma_n a_n b_n \leq 1. \tag{2.14}$$

Note that (2.14) satisfies if

$$\frac{n[\gamma(n - \tau - 1) + 1] \Gamma_n}{1 - \tau} \leq \frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1] \Gamma_n}{1 - \alpha}, \tag{2.15}$$

from (2.15), we have where $\tau = \frac{\alpha[\gamma(n-2)+1]}{\gamma(n-2)+1}$.

Theorem 2.9. Let

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad \square(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n z^n$$

belong to $H(\gamma, \alpha)$. Then the Hadamard product of f and \square given by

$$(f * \square)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n f_n z^n,$$

belong to $H(\gamma, \alpha)$.

Proof. Since f and $\square \in H(\gamma, \alpha)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n f_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] a_n \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n a_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] f_n \leq 1$$

and by applying the Cauchy –Schwarz inequality , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n \sqrt{a_n f_n}}{1 - \alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n f_n} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n f_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] a_n \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n a_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] f_n \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

However , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n \sqrt{a_n f_n}}{1 - \alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n f_n} \leq 1.$$

Now , we want to prove

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] a_n f_n \leq 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n}{1 - \alpha} \right] a_n f_n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n[\gamma(n - \alpha - 1) + 1]\Gamma_n \sqrt{a_n f_n}}{1 - \alpha} \right] \sqrt{a_n f_n}.$$

Hence , we get the required result.

References

- [1] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients , Int. J. Math. Sci, 19(1996),797-800.

- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy , special functions , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.,(1999).

- [3] E. s. Aqlan, Some problems connected with geometric function theory, Ph.D. Thesies(2004), Pune University , Pune.

- [4] W. G. Atshan . Application of fractional calculus operators for a new class of univalent functions with negative coefficients defined by Hohlov operator , J. Math. Slovaca, 60(1)(2010),75-82.

- [5] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and non –analytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 8(1975), 598-601.

- [6] Y. C. Kim and F. Roning, Integral transforms of certain subclasses of analytic functions, J. Math> Anal. Appl. , 258(2001),466-489.

- [7] S. Ruscheweyh , Neighborhoods of univalent functions , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 81(1981),521-527.