



Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm
 JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS
 ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



Some Properties of The Difference Quadruple Sequences Spaces of Fuzzy Complex Numbers Related to The Space $(\ell_p)^4$ Defined by Triple Maximal Orlicz Functions

Aqeel Mohammed Hussein^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Education ,University of Al-Qadisiyah,,Email: aqeel.Hussein@qu.edu.iq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 20 /10/2022
 Rrevised form: 27 /11/2022
 Accepted : 29 /11/2022
 Available online: 1 /12/2022

Keywords:

completeness, solidity, monotonicity, symmetric, convergence-free, quadruple sequence, fuzzy complex numbers, triple maximal Orlicz function .

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present some properties of the difference for quadruple sequences spaces of fuzzy complex numbers related to the space $(\ell_p)^4$ defined by triple maximal Orlicz functions and discuss some properties such as completeness, solidity, monotonicity, symmetric, convergence-freeness, and so on.

MSC..

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2022.14.4.1094>

1. introduction

Sargent ([3],[4]) was the first to use the space $m(\varphi)$. He looked at some of the properties of the space $m(\varphi)$. Later, it was studied from the perspective of sequence space, and Rath and Tripathy [2],Tripathy and Sen ([7],[8],[9]), Tripathy and Mahanta [6], and others characterized some matrix classes with one member as $m(\varphi)$.

In this paper , we introduce the class of the quadruple sequences spaces of fuzzy complex numbers related to the space $(\ell_p)^4$ defined by the triple maximal Orlicz function $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \mathcal{P})_{\mathbb{F}}^4$. Definitions and preliminaries which are needed in our work have been provided in Section two. In the third section , we look at some of the properties of the class .

$P(Q)$ denotes the set of all permutations of the element of (\mathbb{Q}_{nmtj}) ,, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(Q) = \{(\mathbb{Q}_{\pi(n)\pi(m)\pi(t)\pi(j)}): \pi \text{ be a permutation on } \mathbb{N}\}$, in which N be a set of natural numbers..

*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

Communicated by 'sub editor'

Assume that \mathfrak{N}_{srec} is a class of all \mathbb{N} subsets that do not have more than s elements. For all $n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$, (φ_{nmtj}) is a non-decreasing triple sequence of positive complex numbers with the form $nmtj\varphi_{(n+1)(m+1)(t+1)(j+1)} \leq (n+1)(m+1)(t+1)(j+1)\varphi_{nmtj}$.

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

If $\mathfrak{M}_1 < \mathfrak{M}_2$ implies $\mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}_1) \leq \mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$, $\forall \mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then the map $\mathbb{G} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called non-decreasing .

If $(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2) < (\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2)$ implies $\mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2) \leq \mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2)$, $\forall (\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2), (\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ then the map $\mathbb{G} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is said to be non-decreasing .

If the inequality $\mathbb{G}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}_1) + \mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}_2))$, $\forall \mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then the real-valued function $\mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M})$ of the real variable \mathfrak{M} is called convex .

If $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \zeta > 0 \ni |\mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{M}) - \mathbb{G}(\mathfrak{a})| < \varepsilon, \forall \mathfrak{M} \in (\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a} + \zeta)$ then the map $\mathbb{G} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a continuous from the right at \mathfrak{a} .

An Orlicz function is a function $\mathcal{M} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is a continuous, non-decreasing , and convex with $\mathcal{M}(0) = 0, \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$ as $\mathfrak{X} > 0$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \infty$.

A maximal Orlicz function is a function $\mathcal{H} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \ni \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{X}^2 \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{X})$ and \mathcal{M} is Orlicz function , which is a continuous , non-decreasing and convex with $\mathcal{H}(0) = 0, \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) > 0$ as $\mathfrak{X} > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \infty$.

A triple maximal Orlicz function is a function $\mathbb{M} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \ni \mathbb{M}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{R}) = (\mathbb{M}_1(\mathfrak{X}), \mathbb{M}_2(\mathfrak{S}), \mathbb{M}_3(\mathfrak{R}))$, where $\mathbb{M}_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \ni \mathbb{M}_1(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{X}^2 \mathcal{M}_1(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\mathbb{M}_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \ni \mathbb{M}_2(\mathfrak{S}) = \mathfrak{S}^2 \mathcal{M}_2(\mathfrak{S})$ and $\mathbb{M}_3 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \ni \mathbb{M}_3(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{R}^2 \mathcal{M}_3(\mathfrak{R})$. These functions are non-decreasing, continuous, even, convex , that hold the following conditions :

- i) $M_1(0) = 0, M_2(0) = 0, M_3(0) = 0 \Rightarrow M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) = (M_1(0), M_2(0), M_3(0)) = (0,0,0)$.
- ii) $M_1(\mathfrak{A}) > 0, M_2(\mathfrak{B}) > 0, M_3(\mathfrak{R}) > 0 \Rightarrow M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}), M_2(\mathfrak{B}), M_3(\mathfrak{R})) > (0,0,0)$, for $\mathfrak{A} > 0, \mathfrak{B} > 0, \mathfrak{R} > 0$, by which we say $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) > (0,0,0)$ that $M_1(\mathfrak{A}) > 0, M_2(\mathfrak{B}) > 0, M_3(\mathfrak{R}) > 0$.
- iii) $M_1(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \infty, M_2(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \infty, M_3(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \infty, \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \infty, \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) = (M_1(\mathfrak{A}), M_2(\mathfrak{B}), M_3(\mathfrak{R})) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty, \infty)$ as $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty, \infty)$ by which we say $M(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty, \infty)$ as $M_1(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \infty, M_2(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \infty, M_3(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow \infty$.

If $(\alpha_{\ell k j i} \mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$ whenever $(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$ for every quadruple sequence $(\alpha_{\ell k i})$ of scalars with $|\alpha_{\ell k j i}| \leq 1, \forall \ell, k, j, i \in \mathbb{N}$ then the quadruple sequence spaces \mathbb{E}^4 is a solid .

Assume that $\mathbb{K} = \{(\ell_n, k_n, j_n, i_n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \ell_3 < \dots, k_1 < k_2 < k_3 \text{ and } j_1 < j_2 < j_3, i_1 < i_2 < i_3, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and let \mathbb{E}^4 be a quadruple sequences space . A \mathbb{K} -step space of \mathbb{E}^4 be a quadruple sequences space

$$\xi_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{E}^4} = \{(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) \in \mathbb{W}^4 : (\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) \in \mathbb{E}^4\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{W}^4 = \{(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) : \mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}\}.$$

A quadruple sequence $(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i})$ in \mathbb{E}^4 has a canonical pre-image that is a quadruple sequence $(\mathfrak{U}_{\ell k j i})$ is defined by :

$$\mathfrak{U}_{\ell k j i} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i} & \text{if } (\ell, k, j, i) \in \mathbb{K} \\ \bar{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

A set of canonical pre-images of all elements in $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{E}^4}$ be a canonical pre-image of a step space $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^{\mathbb{E}^4}$.

If a quadruple sequence spaces \mathbb{E}^4 contains the canonical pre-image of all its step spaces then it is a monotone.

If $(\mathfrak{A}_{\pi(\ell)\pi(k)\pi(j)\pi(i)}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$ whenever $(\mathfrak{A}_{\ell k j i}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$, then a quadruple sequence spaces \mathbb{E}^4 is a symmetric .

If $(\mathcal{U}_{\ell kji}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$ whenever $(\mathcal{X}_{\ell kji}) \in \mathbb{E}^4$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\ell kji} = 0$ implies $\mathcal{U}_{\ell kji} = 0$, then a quadruple sequence spaces \mathbb{E}^4 is a convergent-free .

A fuzzy real number F is a fuzzy subset of the real line \mathbb{R} , i.e. a mapping $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1]$ associating each real number r with its grade of membership $F(r)$, satisfies the following conditions [34] :

1. F is a convex if for each $F(r_2) \geq F(r_1) \wedge F(r_3) = \min\{ F(r_1), F(r_3)\}$, $\forall r_1 < r_2 < r_3, \forall r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. F is normal if there is a $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F(r_0) = 1$.
3. F is upper-semi-continuous $\forall a \in \mathbb{I}, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ and $F^{-1}([0, a + \varepsilon))$ is open in the usual topology of \mathbb{R}
4. F is a non-negative fuzzy number $\forall r < 0$ implies $F(r) = 0$.

The set of all non-negative fuzzy numbers of $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{I})$ denoted by $\mathbb{R}^*(\mathbb{I})$. Let $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{I})$ denote the set of all fuzzy numbers which are upper-semi continuous, normal and have compact support, i.e. if $\mathbb{H} \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{I})$ then \mathbb{H}^α is compact, for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$, where

$$\mathbb{H}^\alpha = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{H}(r) \geq \alpha, \text{ if } \alpha \in [0,1]\} .$$

$$\mathbb{H}^0 = \text{closure of } (\{r \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{H}(r) > 0, \text{ if } \alpha = 0\}) .$$

The class of the quadruple sequences space $m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \rho)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ is introduced as follows:

$$m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \rho)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 = \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{nmtj} = ((\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}) : \right.$$

$$\left. \sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{sec}}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{\text{sec}}} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \vee \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \right] \right]^p < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \}, \forall 0 < p < \infty \text{ where } \mathbb{M} = (\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2, \mathbb{M}_3).$$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1 :

$\forall 0 < p < \infty, m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \rho)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not solid .

Proof :

Suppose $a = 2, b = 3, p = 2$. Let $(\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) = ((\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}) = (\overline{nmtj}, \overline{nmtj}, \overline{nmtj}), \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi_{srec} = srec, \forall s, r, e, c \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|), \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0, \infty)$. Then $\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2 \mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}, \bar{0}) = 0, \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_{srec}} \frac{1}{srec} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^2 < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0. \text{ This tends to } (\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) = (\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj} \in m(\mathbb{M}, srec, \Delta_3^2, 2)_{\mathbb{F}}^4.$$

Take the quadruple sequence for example $(\infty_{nmtj}) = ((\infty_1)_{nmtj}, (\infty_2)_{nmtj}, (\infty_3)_{nmtj})$ a collection of scalars specified by,

$$\infty_{nmtj} = \begin{cases} (1,1,1), & \text{in order to } n, m, t, j \text{ is in addition} \\ (0,0,0), & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$$

Now, $\infty_{nmtj} \mathcal{Q}_{nmtj} = \begin{cases} \overline{nmtj}, & \text{in order to } n, m, t, j \text{ is in addition} \\ (0,0,0), & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$

This implies that, $\sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_{srec}} \frac{1}{srec} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(M_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\infty_1)_{nmtj}(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\infty_2)_{nmtj}(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee M_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_3^2(\infty_3)_{nmtj}(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^2 = \infty, \text{ for fixed } \rho > 0.$

This demonstrates that, $\infty_{nmtj} \mathcal{Q}_{nmtj} \notin m(\mathbb{M}, srec, \Delta_3^2, 2)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$.

Consequently, $m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not solid, for $0 < p < \infty$.

Proposition 3.2 :

$\forall 0 < p < \infty, m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not symmetric.

Proof :

Assume $a = 1, b = 1, p = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $M(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2), \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0, \infty)$.

Suppose $\varphi_{srec} = srec, \forall s, r, e, c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $(\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) = ((\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}) = (\overline{nm\bar{t}j}, \overline{nm\bar{t}j}, \overline{nm\bar{t}j}), \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bar{d}(\Delta\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}, \bar{0}) = 1, \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently $(\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) \in m(\mathbb{M}, \text{srec}, \Delta, \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{F}}^4$.

Assume $(\mathcal{S}_{nmtj}) = ((\mathcal{S}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{S}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{S}_3)_{nmtj})$ be a reorganization of $(\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) \ni (\mathcal{S}_{nmtj}) = (\mathcal{Q}_{1111}, \mathcal{Q}_{2222}, \mathcal{Q}_{4444}, \mathcal{Q}_{3333}, \mathcal{Q}_{9999}, \mathcal{Q}_{5555}, \mathcal{Q}_{16161616}, \mathcal{Q}_{6666}, \mathcal{Q}_{25252525}, \dots)$

Then, $\bar{d}(\Delta\mathcal{S}_{nmtj}, \bar{0}) = ((nmtj - 1)^2 + (2nmtj - 1), (nmtj - 1)^2 + (2nmtj - 1), (nmtj - 1)^2 + (2nmtj - 1)) = ((nmtj)^2, (nmtj)^2, (nmtj)^2), \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

This demonstrates that, $\sup_{s,r,e,c \gg 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{srec}}} \frac{1}{\text{srec}} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta(\mathcal{S}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta(\mathcal{S}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta(\mathcal{S}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \infty$, for some $\rho > 0$.

Consequently $(\mathcal{S}_{nmtj}) \notin m(\mathbb{M}, \text{srec}, \Delta, \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{F}}^4$.

This,

$m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \rho)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not symmetric.

Proposition 3.3 :

$\forall 0 < \rho < \infty, m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, \rho)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not convergence-free.

Proof :

Assume $a = 1, b = 4, \rho = \frac{1}{2}$, Let $\mathbb{M}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^4, x_2^4, x_3^4), \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0, \infty)$. Let take $\varphi_{\text{srec}} = \text{srec}, \forall s, r, e, c \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the quadruple sequence $(\mathcal{Q}_{nmt}) = ((\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmt}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmt}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmt})$, which is described as:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}(\mathfrak{x}) = \begin{cases} (1 + nmtj\mathfrak{x}, 1 + nmtj\mathfrak{x}, 1 + nmtj\mathfrak{x}), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in \left[\frac{-1}{nmtj}, 0 \right], \\ (1 - nmtj\mathfrak{x}, 1 - nmtj\mathfrak{x}, 1 - nmtj\mathfrak{x}), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in \left[0, \frac{1}{nmtj} \right], \\ (0,0,0) & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\Delta_4 \mathfrak{Q}_{nmtj}(\mathfrak{x}) =$

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}, 1 + \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}, 1 + \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}\right), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in \left[\frac{-2nmtj+4}{nmtj(nmtj+4)}, 0\right], \\ \left(1 - \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}, 1 - \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}, 1 - \frac{nmtj(nmtj+4)}{2nmtj+4} \mathfrak{x}\right), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in \left[0, \frac{2nmtj+4}{nmtj(nmtj+4)}\right], \\ (0,0,0) & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$$

As a result,

$$\bar{d}(\Delta_4 \mathfrak{Q}_{nmtj}, \bar{0}) = \left(\frac{2nmtj+4}{nmtj(nmtj+4)}, \frac{2nmtj+4}{nmtj(nmtj+4)}, \frac{2nmtj+4}{nmtj(nmtj+4)}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{nmtj} + \frac{1}{nmtj+4}, \frac{1}{nmtj} + \frac{1}{nmtj+4}, \frac{1}{nmtj} + \frac{1}{nmtj+4}\right).$$

We have get $\sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathfrak{Y}_{srec}} \frac{1}{srec} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, for fixed $\rho > 0$.

Consequently, $(\mathfrak{Q}_{nmtj}) \in m(\mathbb{M}, srec, \Delta_4, \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{F}}^4$.

Let's try another quadruple sequence now $(\mathfrak{S}_{nmtj}) = ((\mathfrak{S}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathfrak{S}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathfrak{S}_3)_{nmtj}) \ni \mathfrak{S}_{nmt}(\mathfrak{x}) =$

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}, 1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}, 1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}\right), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in [-(nmtj)^2, 0], \\ \left(1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}, 1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}, 1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{(nmtj)^2}\right), & \text{in order to } \mathfrak{x} \in [0, (nmtj)^2], \\ (0,0,0) & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$$

So that, $\Delta_4 \mathfrak{S}_{nmtj}(\mathfrak{x}) =$

$$\begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}, 1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}, 1 + \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}\right), & \text{for } \mathfrak{x} \in [-(2(nmtj)^2 + 8nmtj + 16), 0], \\ \left(1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}, 1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}, 1 - \frac{\mathfrak{x}}{2(nmtj)^2+8nmtj+16}\right), & \text{for } \mathfrak{x} \in [0, (2(nmtj)^2 + 8nmtj + 16)], \\ (0,0,0) & \text{in any case} \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\bar{d}(\Delta_4 \mathfrak{S}_{nmtj}, \bar{0}) = (2(nmtj)^2 + 8nmtj + 16), \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathfrak{Y}_{srec}} \frac{1}{srec} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{S}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{S}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_4(\mathfrak{S}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \infty$$
. Therefore $(\mathfrak{S}_{nmtj}) \notin m(\mathbb{M}, srec, \Delta_4, \frac{1}{2})_{\mathbb{F}}^4$. This,

$m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ does not convergence-free.

Proposition 3.4 :

$$\forall 1 \leq p < \infty, m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 \subseteq m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4.$$

Proof :

Assume $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{Q}_3) \in m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$. Then we have,

$$\sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_{srec}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{srec}} \sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right] = \mathbb{K} (< \infty),$$

for fixed exists $\rho > 0$. Then, we have get , \forall fixed s, r, e, c

$$\sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left[\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right] \leq \mathbb{K} \varphi_{srec},$$

$\forall \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_{srec}$.

$$\Rightarrow \left[\sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq$$

$$\mathbb{K} \varphi_{srec}, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_{sre}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_{srec}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{srec}} \left[\left(\sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \leq \mathbb{K}.$$

$$\text{i.e. } \sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathcal{J}_{srec}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{srec}} \left[\left(\sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] < \infty.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{Q} \in m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proposition 3.5 :

$$\forall 1 \leq p < \infty, \ell_p(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 \subseteq m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4.$$

Proof :

Assume $\mathbb{M}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1^p, x_2^p, x_3^p), \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in [0, \infty)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\varphi_{nmtj} = (1, 1, 1), \forall n, m, t, j \in \mathbb{N}$, Let take $m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 = \ell_p(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4$ is obtained. As a consequence, the first inclusion is self-evident. Assume that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{Q}_{nmtj}) &= ((\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, (\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}) \in m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4. \\
 \sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1, \sigma \in \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sec}}} \frac{1}{\varphi_{\text{sec}}} &\left[\sum_{n \in \sigma} \sum_{m \in \sigma} \sum_{t \in \sigma} \sum_{j \in \sigma} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\
 \mathbb{M}_3 &\left. \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right)^p \Big]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathbb{K} (< \infty). \forall s, r, e, c = 1, \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_2 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\
 \mathbb{M}_3 &\left. \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq \mathbb{K} \varphi_{1111}, \text{ which suggests that, } \sup_{s,r,e,c \geq 1} \left(\mathbb{M}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_1)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \right. \\
 \mathbb{M}_2 &\left. \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_2)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \vee \mathbb{M}_3 \left(\frac{\bar{d}(\Delta_b^a(\mathcal{Q}_3)_{nmtj}, \bar{0})}{\rho} \right) \right) < \infty, \text{ which indicate that } (\mathcal{Q}_{nt}) \in \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4. \\
 \text{Consequently } \ell_p(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 &\subseteq m(\mathbb{M}, \varphi, \Delta_b^a, p)_{\mathbb{F}}^4 \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{M}, \Delta_b^a)_{\mathbb{F}}^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. References

- [1] Battoor, A.H., & Hasan, Z.H.,(2017)," **Statistical Convergent of Generalized Difference Double sequence Spaces which Defined by Orlicz Function** ", M. Sc. thesis , University of Kufa.
- [2] Rath, D. & Tripatoy, B.C., " **Characterization of certain matrix operators**"; J. Orissa Math. Soc., 8(1989),121-134.
- [3] Sargent, W.L.C., " **On sectionally bounded BK-spaces**", Math.Zeitschr, 83(1964), 57-66.
- [4] Sargent, W.L.C., " **Some sequence spaces related to the ℓ_p spaces**", J. London Math. Soc.,35 (1960), 161-171

- [5] Sarma B.(2018), "**Double sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers of Paranormed Type under an Orlicz function**", *Iosr Journal of Engineer* Vol.0.8 , 46-50.
- [6] Tripathy, B.C. & Mahanta, S," **On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions**", *Acta Math. Appl. Sinicia*,20(2) (2004), 231-238.
- [7] Tripathy, B. C. & Sen, M.," **On a class of sequences related to the paranormed space**"; *Jour. Beijing Univ.Tech.*, 31(2005), 112-115.
- [8] Tripathy, B. C. & Sen, M,"**On generalized statistically convergent sequence spaces**", *Indian J. Pure Appl .Math.*, 32(11) (2001), 1689-1694
- [9] Tripathy, B.C. & Sen, M.," **Vector valued paranormed bounded and null sequence spaces j associated with multiplier sequences**", *Soochow J. Math.*,29(3) (2003), 313-326. .