

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



# Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules<sup>(1)</sup>

### Omar A. Abdullah<sup>a</sup>, Haibat K. Mohammadali<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Univ. of Tikrit, Iraq. Email: omer.a.abdullah35383@st.tu.edu.iq

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Univ. of Tikrit, Iraq . Email: H.mohammadali@tu.edu.iq

#### ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received: 27 /10/2022 Rrevised form: 03 /12/2022 Accepted : 04 /12/2022 Available online: 31 /12/2022

Keywords: 2-Absorbing submodules. Semi-2-Absorbing submodules. Jacobson of modules. Socal of submodules. Multiplication modules and Boolian Rings.

#### ABSTRACT

In this article, we will present a generalization on the (2-Absorbing, Semi-2-Absorbing, Pseudo-2-Absorbing, Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing and Nearly-2-Absorbing) submodules. We will study the relationship between this generalization and the basic generalizations studied previously. We have provided many Propositions, Remarks, Examples and characterizations in this article.

MSC.

https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2022.14.4.1125

#### 1. Introduction

2-Absorbing submodules was introduced in 2011 by Darani and Soheilinia, where a proper submodule G of an R*module* M is called 2-Absorbing submodule if whenever  $abh \in G$  for  $a, b \in R$  and  $h \in M$ , then either  $ah \in G$  or  $bh \in G$ G or  $ab \in [G_R M][1]$ , as  $[G_R M] = \{a \in R : aM \subseteq V\}[2]$ . And the concept of Semi-2-Absorbing submodules was introduce by Innam and Abdulrahman in 2015, where a proper submodule G of an R-module M is called Semi-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever  $a^2 h \in G$  for  $a \in R$  and  $h \in M$ , then either  $ah \in G$  or  $a^2 \in [G_R M][3]$ . These two concepts are generalized in article to Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodules, but the converse is not true in general see Proposition 2.2 And 2.5. Many generalizations have been studied in previous years on the concept of 2-Absorbing submodules and Semi-2-Absorbing submodules, such as (WN-2-Absorbing, WVS-2-Absorbing, Weakly Semi2-Absorbing, Quasi Primary-2-Absorbing, WES-2-Absorbing, WEQ-2-Absorbing and Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing) submodules, see [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Also the following concepts (Pseudo-2-Absorbing, Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing and Nearly-2-Absorbing ) submodules are generalizations of Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodules see Propositions 2.8, 2.11 and 2.14. Where a proper submodule G of an R-module M is called Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule if whenever  $abh \in G$  for  $a, b \in R$  and  $h \in M$ , then either  $ah \in G + soc(M)$  or  $bh \in G + soc(M)$ or  $ab \in [G + soc(M)]_R M$  [9], socal of an *R*-module *M* defined to be the intersection of all essential submodule of *M* [10], where anon-zero submodule G of an R-module M is called essential in M if  $G \cap E \neq (0)$  for each non-zero submodule *E* of *M* [11]. And a proper submodule G of an *R*-module *M* is called Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule if

Email addresses:

Communicated by 'sub etitor'

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

whenever  $abh \in G$  for  $a, b \in R$  and  $h \in M$ , then either  $ah \in G + J(M)$  or  $bh \in G + J(M)$  or  $ab \in [G + J(M):_R M][12]$ , the Jacobean of an *R*-module *M* defined to be the intersection of all maximal submodule of *M* [13], where a submodule G of *R*-module *M* is called maximal submodule of *M* if whenever *K* is a submodule of *M* with  $G \subset K$ , then K = M [13]. The Boolean ring play an important role in this paper, if every element *a* of *R* is an idempotent, then *R* is a Boolean ring [14]. Recall that a ring *R* is a regular ring if every element in *R* is a regular element, that is for every element *a* in *R* there exist element *b* in *R* such that aba = a[14]. Recall that an *R*-module *M* is called semisimple, if every submodule of *M* is a direct summand [13]". Final Recall that a submodule G of an *R*-module *M* is called small submodule of *M* if G + C = M, implies that C = M for any proper submodule *C* of *M* [14].

#### 2. Basic Properties of Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules.

In this paper, we introduced and studied the definition of Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule as a new generalization of Extend Nearly Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodules. Also, this is concept is a generalizations of (2-Absorbing, Semi-2-Absorbing, Pseudo-2-Absorbing, Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing and Nearly-2-Absorbing) submodules. Many basic properties, characterizations of this concept are given in this section.

**Definition 2.1** A proper submodule G of an *R*-module *M* is said to be Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing (for short EXNPS2AB) submodule of *M* if whenever  $a^2h \in G$ , where  $a \in R, h \in M$  implies that either  $ah \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ .

And an ideal *I* of a ring R is called EXNPS2AB ideal of R, if *I* is an EXNPS2AB *R*-submodule of an *R*-module *R*.

**Proposition 2.2** Every 2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Let G be a 2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $a^2h \in G$ , for  $a \in R$ ,  $h \in M$ , that is  $a^2h = aah \in G$ Since G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M*, then either  $ah \in G \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $aaM \subseteq G \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $ah \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

Remark 2.3 Show the following example to see why the opposite of Proposition 2.2 is not always true.

**Example 2.4** Let  $M = Z_{12}$ , R = Z and the submodule  $G = \langle \overline{0} \rangle$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, since  $soc(Z_{12}) = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  and  $J(Z_{12}) = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$ . That is for all  $a \in Z$  and  $m \in Z_{12}$  such that  $a^2m \in \langle \overline{0} \rangle$ , implies that either  $am \in \langle \overline{0} \rangle + soc(Z_{12}) + J(Z_{12}) = \langle \overline{0} \rangle + \langle \overline{2} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  or  $a^2 \in [\langle \overline{0} \rangle + soc(Z_{12}) + J(Z_{12}):_Z Z_{12}] = 2$ . That is  $2^2 \cdot \overline{3} \in \langle \overline{0} \rangle$ , implies that  $2 \cdot \overline{3} = \overline{6} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  and  $2^2 = 4 \in [\langle \overline{0} \rangle + soc(Z_{12}) + J(Z_{12})_R: Z_{12}] = 2Z$ . But G is not 2-Absorbing submodule of  $Z_{12}$  since  $2.3 \cdot \overline{2} \in G$ , for  $2,3 \in R$ ,  $\overline{2} \in W$ , but  $2 \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{4} \notin G$  and  $3 \cdot \overline{2} = \overline{6} \notin G$  and  $2.3 = 6 \notin [G:_R M] = 12Z$ .

**Proposition 2.5** Every Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

Proof Direct.

Remark 2.6 Show the following example to see why the opposite of Proposition 2.5 is not always true.

**Example 2.7** Let  $M = Z_{48}$ , R = Z and the submodule  $G = \langle \overline{24} \rangle$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, since  $soc(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$  and  $J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{6} \rangle$ . That is for all  $a \in Z$  and  $m \in Z_{48}$  such that  $a^2m \in \langle \overline{24} \rangle$ , implies that either  $am \in \langle \overline{24} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{24} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{6} \rangle = \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  or  $a^2 \in [\langle \overline{24} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}):_Z Z_{48}] = 2Z$ . That is  $2^2 \cdot \overline{6} \in \langle \overline{24} \rangle$ , implies that  $2 \cdot \overline{6} = \overline{12} \in \langle \overline{2} \rangle$  and  $2^2 = 4 \in [\langle \overline{24} \rangle + soc(Z_{48}) + J(Z_{48}):_Z Z_{48}] = 2Z$ . But  $G = \langle \overline{24} \rangle$  is not Semi-2-Absorbing since  $2^2 \cdot \overline{6} \in \langle \overline{24} \rangle$  for  $2 \in Z$  and  $\overline{6} \in Z_{48}$ , implies that  $2 \cdot \overline{6} = \overline{12} \notin \langle \overline{24} \rangle$  and  $2^2 = 4 \notin [\langle \overline{24} \rangle:_Z Z_{48}] = 24Z$ .

**Proposition 2.8** Every Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Let G be a Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $a^2h \in G$ , for  $a \in R, h \in M$ , that is  $a^2h = aah \in G$ . Since G is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*, then either  $ah \in G + soc(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $aaM \subseteq G + soc(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $ah \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Remark 2.9** Show the following example to see why the opposite of Proposition 2.8 is not always true.

**Example 2.10** Let  $M = Z_{48}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} = Z$  and the submodule  $G = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ . It's clear that G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, but G is not Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of  $Z_{48}$ , since 2.2.  $\overline{2} \in \langle \overline{8} \rangle$ , for  $2 \in Z$  and  $\overline{2} \in Z_{48}$ , implies that  $2. \overline{2} = \overline{4} \notin G + soc(Z_{48}) = \langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle = \langle \overline{8} \rangle$  and  $2.2 = 4 \notin [\langle \overline{8} \rangle + \langle \overline{8} \rangle_{:_{\mathbb{R}}} Z_{48}] = 8Z$ .

**Proposition 2.11** Every Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

Proof Clear.

**Remark 2.12** Show the following example to see why the opposite of Proposition 2.10 is not always true.

Example 2.13 Same example 2.10.

**Proposition 2.14** Every Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Let G be a Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $a^2h \in G$ , for  $a \in R$ ,  $h \in M$ , that is  $a^2h = aah \in G$  Since G is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*, then either  $ah \in G + J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $aaM \subseteq G + J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $ah \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Remark 2.15** Show the following example to see why the opposite of Proposition 2.14 is not always true.

**Example 2.16** The submodule  $G = \langle \overline{30} \rangle$  in  $Z_{60}$  and R = Z is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, but G is not Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of  $Z_{60}$ , since 2.3.  $\overline{5} \in \langle \overline{30} \rangle$ , for 2,3  $\in Z$  and  $\overline{5} \in Z_{60}$ , implies that 2.  $\overline{5} = \overline{10} \notin G + J(Z_{60}) = \langle \overline{30} \rangle + \langle \overline{30} \rangle = \langle \overline{30} \rangle$  and 3.  $\overline{5} = \overline{15} \notin \langle \overline{30} \rangle$  and 2.3 = 6  $\notin [\langle \overline{30} \rangle + \langle \overline{30} \rangle :_R Z_{48}] = 30Z$ .

**Remark 2.17** It is not necessary for the intersection of two EXNPS2AB submodules of a module to be so.

**Example 2.18** The submodules 3*Z* and 4*Z* of the *Z*-module *Z* are EXNPS2AB, but  $3Z \cap 4Z = 12Z$  is not EXNPS2AB submodule of *Z*, because  $2^2 \cdot 3 \in 12Z$  for  $2,3 \in Z$ , hence  $2.3 = 6 \notin 12Z + soc(Z) + J(Z) = 12Z$  and  $2^2 = 4 \notin [12Z + soc(Z) + J(Z):_Z Z] = 12Z$ .

Now, we will give the most important results related to the concept of EXNPS2AB submodules.

**Proposition 2.19** A proper submodule G of an *R*-module M is EXNPS2AB submodule of M if and only if for any  $a \in R$  such that  $a^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $[G:_M a^2] \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_M a]$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* and let  $e \in [G_{:M} \alpha^2]$ , then  $\alpha^2 e \in G$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* and  $\alpha^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M)_R M]$ , it follows that  $\alpha e \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Thus  $e \in [G + soc(M) + J(M)_M \alpha]$ . Therefore  $[G_{:M} \alpha^2] \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M)_M \alpha]$ .

(⇐) Let  $a^2 e \in G$  for  $a \in R$ ,  $e \in M$  and let  $a^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . But  $e \in [G:_M a^2] \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_M a]$ . It follows that  $e \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_M a]$ , that is  $ae \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

**Proposition 2.20** Let G be *a proper* submodule of an *R*-module *M*. Then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $I^2L \subseteq G$  for *I* is an ideal of *R* and *L* is a submodule of *M*, implies that either  $IL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $I^2 \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $I^2L \subseteq G$  for I is an ideal of R and L is a submodule of M, with  $I^2 \not\subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M. To prove that  $IL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Let  $x \in IL$ , implies that  $x = r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \cdots + r_kx_k$  for  $r_i \in I$  and  $x_i \in L$ , i = 1, 2, ..., k, it follows that  $r_i^2x_i \in I^2L \subseteq G$ . That is  $r_i^2x_i \in G$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of an R-module M, then  $r_ix_i \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  and  $r_i^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  for each i = 1, 2, 3, ..., k, thus  $r_1x_1 + r_2x_2 + \cdots + r_kx_k \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , that is  $x \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence  $IL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $r^2 y \in G$  for  $r \in R$  and  $y \in M$ , implies that  $\langle r^2 \rangle \langle x \rangle \subseteq G$ . Thus by our assumption we have either  $\langle r \rangle \langle x \rangle \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $\langle r^2 \rangle \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . That is  $rx \in \langle r \rangle \langle x \rangle \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in \langle r^2 \rangle \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , that is either  $rx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.20 we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.21** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M*. Then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $I^2M \subseteq G$  for *I* is an ideal of *R*, implies that either  $IM \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $I^2 \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M)]_R M$ .

**Corollary 2.22** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M*. Then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $I^2 y \subseteq G$  for *I* is an ideal of *R* and  $y \in M$ , implies that either  $Iy \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $I^2 \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ .

**Proposition 2.23** A proper submodule G of an *R*-module *M* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $[G_{:_M} r^2] \subseteq [G+soc(M) + J(M)_{:_M} r]$  for  $r \in R$  such that  $r^2 \notin [G+soc(M) + J(M)_{:_R} M]$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $y \in [G_{:_M} r^2]$ , then  $yr^2 \in G$  for  $r \in R$  and  $y \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $r^2 \notin [G+soc(M) + J(M)_{:_R} M]$ , then  $ry \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence  $y \in [G+soc(M) + J(M)_{:_M} r]$ . That is  $[G_{:_M} r^2] \subseteq [G+soc(M) + J(M)_{:_M} r]$ .

(⇐) Let  $r^2 y \in G$  for  $r \in R$ ,  $y \in M$ , implies that  $y \in [G_{M} r^2] \subseteq [G + soc(M) + J(M)_{M} r]$ , hence  $y \in [G + soc(M) + J(M)_{M} r]$ , that is  $ry \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Therefore G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.23 we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.24** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M*. Then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $r^2L \subseteq G$  for  $r \in R$ , *L* is a submodule of *M*, implies that either  $rL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ .

**Corollary 2.25** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M*. Then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $r^2M \subseteq G$  for  $r \in R$  and *L* is a submodule of *M*, implies that either  $rM \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ .

**Proposition 2.26** Let *M* be an *R*-module and G be a proper submodule of *M*. Then G + soc(M) + J(M) is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $[G + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2 y] = [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$  for each  $y \in M$  or  $r^2 \in [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $r^2 \notin [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and let  $a \in [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2 y]$ , then  $r^2 ay \in E + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But E + soc(M) + J(M) is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $r^2 \notin [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ray \in (E + soc(M) + J(M)) + soc(M) + J(M) = E + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is  $a \in [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$ . Thus  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2 y] \subseteq [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$ . It is clear that  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry] \subseteq [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2 y]$ , hence  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2 y] = [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$ .

(⇐) Let  $r^2y \in E + soc(M) + J(M)$ , for  $r \in R$ ,  $y \in M$ , then by hypothesis  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2y] = [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$  or  $r^2 \in [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . If  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2y] = [E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry]$  and  $r^2y \in E + soc(M) + J(M)$  then  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R r^2y] = R$ , it follows that  $[E + soc(M) + J(M):_R ry] = R$ , hence  $ry \in E + soc(M) + J(M) \subseteq E + soc(M) + J(M) + soc(M) + J(M)$ , so  $ry \in E + soc(M) + J(M) + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2M \subseteq E + soc(M) + J(M) + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is E + soc(M) + J(M) is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

Now, we need to recall the following lemma.

#### Lemma 2.27 [16, EX.(12)P.239]

**1)** Let G is submodule of an *R*-module *M* with G is a direct summand of *M*, then  $J(\frac{M}{G}) = \frac{J(M)+G}{G}$ .

**2)** An *R*-module *M* is a semi-simple if and only if for each submodule G of  $M \operatorname{soc}(\frac{M}{C}) = \frac{\operatorname{soc}(M)+G}{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.28** Let G be EXNPS2AB submodule of an *R*-module *M* and L is a submodule of *M* with  $L \subseteq G$ , then  $\frac{G}{L}$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of an *R*-module  $\frac{M}{L}$ .

**Proof** Let G be EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $a^2(e + L) = a^2e + L \in G/L$  for  $a \in R$  and  $e + L \in M/L$ ,  $e \in M$ , implies that  $a^2e \in G$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, then either  $ae \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence either  $a(e + L) \in G + soc(M) + J(M)/L$  or  $a^2M/L \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)/L$ , then either  $a(e + L) \in G/L + G + soc(M)/L + G + J(M)/L \subseteq G/L + soc(M/L) + J(M/L)$  or  $a^2M/L \subseteq G/L + G + soc(M)/L + G + J(M)/L \subseteq G/L + soc(M/L) + J(M/L)$ . Hence G/L is EXNPS2AB submodule of M/L.

**Proposition 2.29** Let *M* is a semi simple *R*-module, G and *K* are submodules for *M* such that  $K \subseteq G$  and G is a proper submodule of *M*. If *K* and G/K are EXNPS2AB submodules of *M* and M/K respectively, then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Suppose *K* and *G*/*K* are EXNPS2AB submodules for *M* and *M*/*K* respectively, and let  $I^2 u \subseteq G$ , for *I* is an ideals of *R* and  $u \in M$ . So  $I^2(u + K) = I^2 u + K \subseteq G/K$ . If  $I^2 u \subseteq K$  and *K* is EXNPS2AB submodules of *M*, implies that by Corollary 2.22 either  $Iu \subseteq K + (soc(M) + J(M)) \subseteq G + (soc(M) + J(M))$  or  $I^2M \subseteq K + (soc(M) + J(M)) \subseteq G + (soc(M) + J(M))$ , hence *G* is EXNPS2AB submodules for *M*. Now, we may assume that  $I^2 u \notin K$ . It follows that  $I^2(u + K) \subseteq G/K$ , but *G*/*K* is EXNPS2AB submodules of *M*/*K*, again by Corollary 2.24 either  $I(u + K) \subseteq G/K + (soc(M/K)) + (J(M/K))$  or  $I^2M/K \subseteq G/K + (soc(M) + K/K) + (J(M) + K/K)$  or  $I^2M/K \subseteq G/K + (soc(M) + K/K) + (J(M) + K/K)$ . But  $K \subseteq G$ , it follows that  $K + soc(M) \subseteq G + soc(M)$  and  $K + J(M) \subseteq G + J(M)$ , hence *G*/*K* + (soc(M) + K/K) + (J(M) + K/K) = G + soc(M) + J(M)/K, thus we have either  $I(u + K) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)/K$  or  $I^2M/K \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)/K$  if follows that either  $Iu \subseteq G + (soc(M) + J(M)) = G + (soc(M) + J(M)/K)$ . Hence by Corollary 2.22 *G* is EXNPS2AB submodules of *M*.

Under the certain condition the intersection of two EXNPS2AB submodules is EXNPS2AB submodule.

**Lemma 2.30 [13, lemma (2.3.15)]** Let *A*, *B* and *C* are submodules of an *R*-module *M* with  $B \subseteq C$ , then  $(A + B) \cap C = (A \cap C) + B = (A \cap C) + (B \cap C)$ .

**Lemma 2.31 [17, EX (12.5). p. 242]** A submodule G of an *R*-module *M* is maximal and essential if and only if  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ .

**Proposition 2.32** Let *M* be an *R*-module either *E* or G is maximal essential submodule of *M* and *E* not contained in G. If *E* and G are EXNPS2AB submodules of *M*, then  $G \cap E$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Clear that  $G \cap E$  is a proper submodule of M. Now, let  $I^2L \subseteq E \cap G$ , for some ideal I of R and L is a submodule of M it follows' that  $I^2L \subseteq E$  and  $I^2L \subseteq G$ . But both E and G are EXNPS2AB submodules of M, then by Proposition 2.20 we have either  $IL \subseteq E + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $I^2M \subseteq E + soc(M) + J(M)$  and  $IL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $I^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Thus either  $IL \subseteq (E + soc(M) + J(M)) \cap (G + soc(M) + J(M))$  or  $I^2M \subseteq (E + soc(M) + J(M)) \cap (G + soc(M) + J(M))$ . Since either E or G is maximal essential submodule of M, then either  $soc(M) \subseteq E$  or  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Suppose that G is maximal essential submodule of M, so that by Lemma 2.31  $soc(M) \subseteq G$  and since G is maximal submodule of M, then  $J(M) \subseteq G$ . It follows that G + soc(M) + J(M) = G. Hence either  $IL \subseteq (E + soc(M) + J(M)) \cap G$  or  $I^2M \subseteq (E + soc(M) + J(M)) \cap G$ . Therefore by modular law we get either  $IL \subseteq (E \cap G) + (soc(M) + J(M))$ :  $_R M$ . Hence by Proposition 2.20  $G \cap E$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

**Lemma 2.33 [13, Theo. (9.1.4) (a)]** Let  $\emptyset: M \to G$  be an *R*-homomorphism, then  $\emptyset(soc(M))$  is a submodule of soc(G) (That is  $\emptyset(soc(M)) \subseteq soc(G)$ ). And  $\emptyset(J(M)) \subseteq J(G)$ ).

**Lemma 2.34 [13, Coro. (9.1.5) (a)]** If  $\varphi: M \to \overline{M}$  be an *R*-epimorphism and  $Ker\varphi$  is small submodule of *M*, then  $\varphi(J(M)) = J(\overline{M})$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(J(\overline{M})) = J(M)$ .

**Proposition 2.35** Let  $\varphi: M \to \overline{M}$  be an *R*-epimorphism with ker ( $\varphi$ ) is a small submodule of *M* and G be EXNPS2AB submodule of  $\overline{M}$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(G)$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Since  $\varphi$  onto, then  $\varphi^{-1}(G)$  is a proper submodule of M, if not, we have  $\varphi^{-1}(G) = M$ , implies that  $G = \varphi(M) = \overline{M}$  contradiction. Let  $a^2 \chi \in \varphi^{-1}(G)$ , for  $a \in R, \chi \in M$ , implies that  $a^2 \varphi(\chi) \in G$ , but G is EXNPS2AB submodule of  $\overline{M}$ , implies that either  $a\varphi(\chi) \in G + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$  or  $a^2\overline{M} \subseteq G + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ , it follows that  $a\chi \in \varphi^{-1}(G) + \varphi^{-1}(soc(\overline{M})) + \varphi^{-1}(J(\overline{M})) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G) + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G) + \varphi^{-1}(soc(\overline{M})) + \varphi^{-1}(J(\overline{M})) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G) + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(G) + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Therefore  $\varphi^{-1}(G)$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

**Proposition 2.36** Let  $\varphi: M \to \overline{M}$  be an *R*-epimorphism, *G* is a *proper* submodule of *M* and ker( $\varphi$ ) is a small submodule of *M* with ker( $\varphi$ )  $\subseteq$  *G*. Then *G* is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M* if and only if  $\varphi(G)$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of  $\overline{M}$ .

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $a^2 \bar{x} \in \varphi(G)$ , for  $a \in R$  and  $x \in \overline{M}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is onto, then  $\bar{x} = \varphi(\mathfrak{m})$ , for some  $x \in M$ , that is  $a^2 \varphi(x) \in \varphi(G)$ , implies that  $a^2 \varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$  for some  $y \in G$ , then  $\varphi(a^2 x - y) = 0$ , it follows that  $a^2 x - y \in \ker(\varphi) \subseteq G$ , then

 $a^2x \in G$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, then either  $ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Thus we have either  $\varphi(ax) \in \varphi(G) + \varphi(soc(M)) + \varphi(J(M)) \subseteq \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M} \text{ or } \varphi(a^2M) = a^2\varphi(M) = a^2\overline{M} \subseteq \varphi(G) + \varphi(soc(M)) + \varphi(J(M)) \subseteq \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ . Hence  $\varphi(G)$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of  $\overline{M}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $a^2h \in G$ , for  $a \in R, h \in M$  so  $\varphi(a^2h) \in \varphi(G)$ , that is  $a^2\varphi(h) \in \varphi(G)$ . But  $\varphi(G)$  is EXNPS2AB submodule of  $\overline{M}$ , implies that either  $a\varphi(h) \in \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$  or  $a^2\overline{M} \subseteq \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ . If  $a\varphi(h) \in \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ , since ker( $\varphi$ ) is a small submodule of M, then by Lemma 2.33 and Lemma 2.34  $ah \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(G)) + \varphi^{-1}(soc(\overline{M})) + \varphi^{-1}(J(\overline{M})) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , that is  $ah \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . If  $a^2\overline{M} \subseteq \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ , then  $\varphi(a^2M) \subseteq \varphi(G) + soc(\overline{M}) + J(\overline{M})$ , It follows that  $a^2M \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(G)) + \varphi^{-1}(soc(\overline{M})) + \varphi^{-1}(J(\overline{M})) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

**Proposition 2.37** Let *M* be an *R*-module with soc(M) is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*. If  $G \subset M$  such that  $G \subseteq soc(M)$ , then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Let  $r^2M \subseteq G$  for  $r \in R$ . Since  $G \subseteq soc(M)$ , it follows that  $r^2M \subseteq soc(M)$ . But soc(M) is Semi-2-Absorbing subomdule of M, then either  $rM \subseteq soc(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2M \subseteq soc(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $rM \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Therefore by Corollary 2.25 G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

**Proposition 2.38** Let *M* be an *R*-module with J(M) is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*. If  $G \subset M$  such that  $G \subseteq J(M)$ , then G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** Let  $r^2L \subseteq G$  for  $r \in R$  and L is a submodule of M. Since  $G \subseteq J(M)$ , it follows that  $r^2L \subseteq J(M)$ . But J(M) is Semi-2-Absorbing subomdule of M, then either  $rL \subseteq J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2M \subseteq J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $rL \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Hence by Corollary 2.24 G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M.

# 3. The Relationship between the Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules and Other Concepts.

In this part of this search we introduced the relationships between the concept of Extend Nearly Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodules and (2-Absorbing, Semi-2-Absorbing, Nearly-2-Absorbing, Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing, Pseudo-2-Absorbing and Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing) submodules with all these concepts being equal.

Lemma 3.1 [15, Theo. (2.2)] If *R* is a Boolean ring, then *R* is a regular ring.

It is well known if *G* is regular then J(G) = 0 [13].

**Proposition 3.2** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 2.2.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R, x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $abx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Since R is a regular ring, then J(G) = 0. Also  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ , that is soc(M) + G = G, then  $ax \in G$ . Since  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G:_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , then  $bx \notin G$ . Hence G is 2-Absorbing of M.

**Proposition 3.3** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and G is an essential submodule of *M*. Then G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

#### **Proof (⇒)** Clear.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R, x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $abx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Since R is a regular ring, then J(G) = 0. Also G is an

*essential* submodule of M, then  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ , that is soc(M) + G = G, hence  $ax \in G$ . Since  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G:_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , then  $bx \notin G$ . Hence G is 2-Absorbing of M.

The following Corollaries are direct consequence of Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) + J(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.5** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and  $G \subset M$  with soc(M) + J(M) = 0. Then G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.6** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and soc(M) = G. Then G is 2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.7** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and soc(M) = 0. Then G is 2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.8** Let *M* be *R*-module and  $G \subset M$  with J(M/G) = (0) and  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 2.5.

(⇐) Since J(M/G) = (0), then by [5, Theo. (9.1.4)(b)] we get  $J(M) \subseteq G$ . Let  $r^2m \in G$  for  $r \in R, m \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB, then either  $rm \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . But  $soc(M) \subseteq G$  and  $J(M) \subseteq G$ , hence G + soc(M) = G and G + soc(M) + J(M) = G + J(M) = G. Thus either  $rm \in G$  or  $r^2 \in [G:_R M]$ . Therefore G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of M.

**Proposition 3.9** Let *M* be *R*-module and G is an essential submodule of *M* with  $J(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

#### **Proof (⇒)** Clear.

(⇐) Let  $s^2m \in G$  for  $s \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB, then either  $sm \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $s^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Since G is essential submodule of M, then  $soc(M) \subseteq G$  and by hypotheses  $J(M) \subseteq G$ , we get G + soc(M) = G and G + J(M) = G, thus G + soc(M) + J(M) = G. Hence either  $sm \in G$  or  $s^2 \in [G:_R M]$ . Therefore G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of M.

The following Corollaries are direct consequence of Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.9.

**Corollary 3.10** Let *M* be *R*-module and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) + J(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.11** Let *M* be *R*-module and G is maximal submodule of *M* with  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.12** Let *M* be a semi-simple *R*-module and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Corollary 3.13** Let *M* be a regular *R*-module and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.14** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M* with soc(M) = (0) and J(M) = (0). Then G is Semi-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

#### Proof Direct.

**Proposition 3.15** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* with G is a proper submodule of *M* and  $soc(M) \subseteq J(M)$ . Then G is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

#### **Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 2.14.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R, x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $abx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Since  $soc(M) \subseteq J(M)$ , then soc(M) + J(M) = J(M), then  $ax \in G + J(M)$ . Since  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , then  $bx \notin G + J(M)$ . Thus is G is Nearly-2-Absorbing of M.

**Proposition 3.16** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* with  $G \subset M$  and  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

## **Proof (⇒)** Clear.

(⇐) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R, x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $abx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Since  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ , then soc(M) + G = G, hence  $ax \in G + J(M)$ . Since  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , then  $bx \notin G + J(M)$ . Thus G is Nearly-2-Absorbing of M.

The Proof of the following results is direct.

**Proposition 3.17** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolian ring *R* with  $G \subset M$  and soc(M) = (0). Then G is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.18** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolian ring *R* and G is an essential submodule of *M*. Then G is Nearly-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.19** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $soc(M) \subseteq J(M)$ . Then G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let G be a Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $a^2 x \in G$ , for  $a \in R, x \in M$ . Since G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*, then either  $ax \in G + J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + J(M) \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . That is either  $ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $a^2M \subseteq G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Hence G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

(⇐) Let  $s^2m \in G$  for  $s \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M, then either  $sm \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $s^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Since  $soc(M) \subseteq J(M)$ , then soc(M) + J(M) = J(M), thus either  $sm \in G + J(M)$  or  $s^2 \in [G + J(M):_R M]$ . Hence G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of M.

**Proposition 3.20** Let G be a proper submodule of an *R*-module *M* and  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.

Proof (⇒) Clear.

**(**←**)** Let  $s^2m \in G$  for  $s \in R$ ,  $m \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB, then either  $sm \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$  or  $s^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ . Since  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ , then G + soc(M) = G, so G + soc(M) + J(M) = G + J(M), thus either  $sm \in G + J(M)$  or  $s^2 \in [G + J(M):_R M]$ . Hence G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing of M.

The Proof of the following results is direct.

**Proposition 3.21** Let *M* be *R*-module with G is proper of *M* and soc(M) = (0). Then G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.22** Let *M* be *R*-module and G is an essential submodule of *M*. Then G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.23** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* with  $G \subset M$ . Then G is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

## **Proof (\Rightarrow)** By Proposition 2.8.

(⇐) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R, x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . But G is EXNPS2AB submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $abx \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M) + J(M)$ . Since R is a regular ring, then J(M) = (0), then  $ax \in G + soc(M)$ . Since  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G + soc(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M) + J(M)$ , hence  $bx \notin G + soc(M)$ . Hence G is Pseudo-2-Absorbing of M.

The Proof of the following results is direct.

**Proposition 3.24** Let *M* be an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and G is an maximal submodule of *M*. Then G is Pseudo-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proposition 3.25** Let *M* be *R*-module with  $J(M) \subseteq soc(M)$  and  $G \subset M$ . Then G is Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M* if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

**Proof** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By Proposition 2.11.

(⇐) Since  $J(M) \subseteq soc(M)$ , then J(M) + soc(M) = soc(M), so G + J(M) + soc(M) = G + soc(M). Let  $r^2h \in G$  for  $r \in R$ ,  $h \in M$ . Since G is EXNPS2AB, then either  $rh \in G + soc(M) + J(M) = G + soc(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M) + J(M):_R M] = [G + soc(M):_R M]$ . Thus either  $rh \in G + soc(M)$  or  $r^2 \in [G + soc(M):_R M]$ . Hence G is Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing of M.

**Proposition 3.26** Let *M* be *R*-module and G is a maximal submodule of *M*. Then G is Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing if and only if G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.

#### Proof Direct.

#### Remark 3.27

1. Every 2-Absorbing submodule is a Semi-2-Absorbing submodule. [3, Rem and Exa. (1.2)(2)].

2. Every Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule is a Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule. [18, Rem and Exa. (2. 2. 2)].

Finally, we will present a Proposition that all concepts are equivalent.

**Proposition 3.28** Let *M* be a an *R*-module over a Boolean ring *R* and  $G \subset M$  with  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- **1.** G is 2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.
- **2.** G is Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.
- **3.** G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.
- **4.** G is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.
- **5.** G is EXNPS2AB submodule of *M*.
- **6.** G is Pseudo-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.
- **7.** G is Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of *M*.

#### Proof

(1⇒2) By Remark 3.23.

(2⇒3) Clear.

(3 $\Rightarrow$ 4) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + A)^2$  $J(M)_{:_R} M$  and  $bx \notin G + J(M)$ . But G is Nearly Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + J(M)_{:_R} M]$ , then  $(ab)x \in G + J(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + J(M)$ . Also  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G+J(M):_R M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G+J(M):_R M]$  and  $bx \notin G + J(M)$ . Hence G is Nearly-2-Absorbing submodule of M.

 $(4 \Leftrightarrow 5)$  By Proposition 3.16.

(5 $\Leftrightarrow$ 6) By Proposition 3.23.

(6⇒7) By Remark 3.27.

(7=1) Let  $abx \in G$  for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $x \in M$ , since R is a Boolean ring, then  $abx = (ab)^2 x \in G$  with  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + A^2]$  $soc(M)_{\mathbb{P}}M$  and  $bx \notin G + soc(M)$ . But G is Pseudo Semi-2-Absorbing submodule of M and  $(ab)^2 \notin [G + Soc(M)]$ . soc(M):  $_{R}M$ ], then  $abx \in G + soc(M)$ . Now, since R is a Boolean ring, then by Lemma 3.1 R is a regular ring, that is  $a^2b = a$ , hence  $abx = a^2bx = ax \in G + soc(M)$ . Since  $soc(M) \subseteq G$ , then soc(M) + G = G and J(M) = 0 hence  $ax \in G$ . G. Also,  $ab = (ab)^2 \notin [G + soc(M)_{:_R} M] = [G_{:_R} M]$ , then  $ab \notin [G_{:_R} M]$  and  $bx \notin G$ . Hence G is 2-Absorbing of M.

#### References

- [1] Darani, A.Y and Soheilniai. F. 2-Absorbing and Weakly 2-Absorbing Submodules, Tahi Journal. Math, (9) (2011), 577-584.
- [2] Lu, C. P., "M-radical of Submodules in Modules", Math. Japan, vol.(34) 1989, pp. 211-219.
- [3] Innam, M. A and Abdulrahman, A. H. Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules and Semi-2-absorbing Modules, international Journal of Advanced Scientific and Technical Research, RS Publication, 5 (3) 2015, 521-530.
- [4] Wissam A. Hussain and Haibt K. Mohammdali "WN-2-Absorbing Submodules and WVS-2-Absorbing Submodules", Ibn Al-Haitham Journal, for Pure and Appl.Sci. 31(3) 2018, 118-125.
- [5] Haibt K. Mohammdali and Khalaf H. Alhabeeb "Weakly Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules", Journal of University of Anbar, for Pure.Sci, 21(2) 2018, 57-62.
- [6] Omar, A. Abdalla, Mohmed E. Dahash and Haibat, K. Mohammedali. Nearly Quasi Primary-2-Absorbing submodules, Journal of AL-Qadisiya for Computer Science and Mathematics. Under publication. 2022.
- [7] Wissam A. Hussain and Haibt K. Mohammdali "WES-2-Absorbing Submodules and WEQ-2-Absorbing Submodules", Tikrit Journal of Pure Sci, 24(2) 2019, 104-108
- [8] Haibat, K. M and Akram, S. M, "NEARLY SEMI-2-ABSORBING SUBMODULES AND RELATED CONCEOTS", Italian Journal of pure and applied mathematics, 54(40) 2019, pp. (620-627).
- [9] Haibat K. Mohammadali and Omar A. Abdalla "Pseudo-2-Absorbing and Pseudo Semi-2- Absorbing Submodules", AIP Conference Proceedings 020006(1-9) 2019, 1-9
- [10] E. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, "Rings and Categories of Modules", edited by J.H.Ewing, F.W.Gehring
- and P.R.Halmos (Springer-verlag, New York, Inc, p.376. 1992.
- [11] Goodearl, K. R., "Ring Theory", Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York and Basel., p.206. 1976.
- [12] Reem T. Abdulquder and Shwkea M. Rajab "Nearly 2-Absorbing Submodules and Related Concepts", Tikrit Journal, for Pure.Sci, 2(3) 2018, 215-221.
- [13] Kasch, F. "Modules and Rings", London Math. Soc. Monographs, New York, Academic press, 1982.
- [14 Dung, N.V., Huynh, D.V., Smith. P.I. and Wishbauer, R., "Extending Modules", Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series. Longman, Harlow. 1994.
- [15] A Wardayani, I Kharismawati, I Sihwaningrum. Regular rings and their properties . Journal of Physics. Conference Series, (1-5). 2020.
- [16] Mahmood S. Y.," Regular Modules ", M. Sc. Thesis, university of Baghdad. 1993.
  [17] Payman, M. H.," Hollow Modules and Semi Hollow Modules ". M. Sc. Thesis, University of Baghbad. 2005.
- [18] Omar A. Abdalla and Haibat K. Mohammadali "Pseudo-2-Absorbing Submodules and some of Their Generalizations", M. Sc. Thesis, university of Tikrit. 2019.