

# I-Pre- Cauchy Triple sequences of Fuzzy Number and Double Orlicz functions

Tuqa Mohammad Abd Al-Hussein <sup>a</sup>, Ali Hussein Battor <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics ,Faculty of Education for Girls University of Kufa, Najaf – Iraq, E-mail:t.alhyder96@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics,Faculty of Education for Girls University of Kufa, Najaf – Iraq, E-mail :alih.battor@uokufa.edu.iq

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received: 23/11/2022

Revised form: 15/01/2023

Accepted : 18/01/2023

Available online: 31/03/2023

### Keywords:

filter; Paranorm; Ideal; Invariant Mean; I-convergent ;Monotone and solid space.

## ABSTRACT

let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a double Orlicz function and  $x = (X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}})$  a triple seq of fuzzy numbers. We establish that  $X$  is I-pre- Cauchy if and only if.

$$I\text{-}\lim_{m,n,t} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q},i>m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v},p>n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d},s>t} \left\{ \mathcal{K}_1 \left( \frac{X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s}}{q} \right) \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \left( \frac{Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s}}{q} \right) \right\} = 0$$

This indicates a theorem returns to Connor, Vakeel A. Khan and Fridy and Klink[1] and Q.M.Danish Lohani [ 2 ]

$$I\text{-}\lim_{m,n,t} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q},i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v},p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d},s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathcal{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \vee \left( \mathcal{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0 .$$

MSC. 16D10, 16D70, 16D99

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2023.15.1.1190>

## 1.Introduction

For real and complex seq. the idea of st. con. was initially described by Steinhaus, [3] at a Symposium held in 1949 Poland, at wroclaw University and then independently by Fast [4],Schoenberg [6] and Buck[5].

Salat[7], Connor[9], Fridy [8] and others have all investigated this concept. Statistical con. is a Generalization of the conventional theory of con. that Parallels the conventional „theory of con..

A seq.  $X = (X_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is called st.con. to  $L$  if for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{k} |\{\mathfrak{q} : \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q}}, L) \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{q} \leq k\}| = 0.$$

A seq.  $X = (X_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is called st. Pre -Cauchy if

$$\lim_k \frac{1}{k^2} |\{(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}) : \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q}}, X_{\mathfrak{v}}) \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v} \leq k\}| = 0.$$

Connor, klin and Fridy [1] established that statistically convergent seq. are st.Pre – Cauchy so , any bounded st. Pre-Cauchy seq. with a no where dense collection of limit points is st.con..

They also gave an example demonstrating that st.Pre-Cauchy seq. are not always st.con. (see[10])

Throughout a triple seq of fuzzy number is denoted by  $x = (X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}})$ . Atriple seq of fuzzy number is a triple infinite array of elements  $X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}} \in R$  for all  $\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d} \in N$ .

The first works on triple seq. of fuzzy number is found in Bromwich [11], Basarir and solanacan[13] and many others.

\*Corresponding author: Tuqa Mohammad Abd Al-Hussein

Email addresses: alhyder96@gmail.com

Communicated by:

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

This section introduces several fundamental concepts that will be used throughout the article

**Definition 2.1** A triple seq.  $X = (X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}})$  of fuzzy numbers is called st. con. to a fuzzy number  $X_0$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n, m, t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \left| \left\{ (\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}), \mathfrak{q} \leq n, \mathfrak{v} \leq m \text{ and } \mathfrak{d} \leq t: (X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}, X_0) \geq \epsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

Where the number of elements in the set is indicated by vertical bars.

**Definition 2.2.** A triple seq.  $X = (X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}})$  of fuzzy numbers is called st.pre-Cauchy if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $i = i(\epsilon), p = p(\epsilon)$  and  $S = S(\epsilon)$ :

$$\lim_{m, n, t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \left| \left\{ (\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}): \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}} - X_{i, p, S}) \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{q} \leq m, \mathfrak{v} \leq n, \mathfrak{d} \leq t \right\} \right| = 0.$$

**Definition 2.3.** An double Orlicz function is a function, .

$$\mathfrak{K}: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty):$$

$$\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)),$$

$$\mathfrak{K}_1: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \mathfrak{K}_2: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

which is continuous, non-decreasing, even, convex and satisfy the following conditions.

$$i. \quad \mathfrak{K}_1(0) = 0, \mathfrak{K}_2(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(0), \mathfrak{K}_2(0)) = (0, 0),$$

$$ii. \quad \mathfrak{K}_1(x) > 0, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) > (0, 0),$$

for all  $x, y > 0$ , so  $(x, y) > (0, 0)$ , mean that  $\mathfrak{K}_1(x) > 0, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) > 0$

$$iii. \quad \mathfrak{K}_1(x) \rightarrow \infty, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x, y \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then}$$

$$\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty) \text{ as } (x, y) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty), \text{ so}$$

$$\mathfrak{K}(x, y) \rightarrow (\infty, \infty), \text{ mean that } \mathfrak{K}_1(x) \rightarrow \infty, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) \rightarrow \infty$$

If convexity of double Orlicz function is replaced by

$$\mathfrak{K}(x + y) < \mathfrak{K}(x) + \mathfrak{K}(y), \text{ then it is called a modulus function (see Maddox [14]).}$$

An Bounded or unbounded double Orlicz functions are possible. For instance,

$$\mathfrak{K}_1(x) = X^p, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) = y^p \Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) = (X^p, y^p)$$

( $0 < p \leq 1$ ) is unbounded and

$$\mathfrak{K}_1(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}, \mathfrak{K}_2(y) = \frac{y}{y+1} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) = \left( \frac{x}{x+1}, \frac{y}{y+1} \right)$$

is bounded (see Maddox [14]).

A.H Battor, Neaman used the concept of a double Orlicz function to construct the triple seq. space

$$L_{\mathfrak{K}^3},$$

$$L_{\mathfrak{K}^3} = (2L_{\mathfrak{K}_1}, 2L_{\mathfrak{K}_2}) = \{(x, y) \in W^3: \sum_{\mathfrak{q}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{v}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{|X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}|}{q} \right) \vee \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{|Y_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}|}{q} \right) \right\} < \infty, \text{ for some } q > 0\}$$

The space  $L_{\mathfrak{K}^3}$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|x, y\|_{\mathfrak{K}} = \inf \{q > 0: \sum_{\mathfrak{q}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{v}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{|X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}|}{q} \right) \vee \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{|Y_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}|}{q} \right) \leq 1\} \right\}$

The space  $L_{\mathfrak{K}^3}$  is closely related to the space  $L_p^3$  that is a double Orlicz triple seq. space with

$$\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) = (x^p, y^p): \mathfrak{K}_1(x) = X^p \text{ and } \mathfrak{K}_2(y) = y^p,$$

A double Orlicz function  $\mathfrak{K}(\chi, \mathcal{Y}) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(\chi), \mathfrak{K}_2(\mathcal{Y}))$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all values of  $\chi, \mathcal{Y}$  if there exists a constant  $r > 0$ :  $\mathfrak{K}_1(2\chi) \leq r \mathfrak{K}_1(\chi)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}_2(2\mathcal{Y}) \leq r \mathfrak{K}_2(\mathcal{Y})$  for all  $\chi \geq 0, \mathcal{Y} \geq 0$ , then

$$\mathfrak{K}(2\chi, 2\mathcal{Y}) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(2\chi), \mathfrak{K}_2(2\mathcal{Y})) \leq (r \mathfrak{K}_1(\chi), r \mathfrak{K}_2(\mathcal{Y})) = r(\mathfrak{K}_1(\chi), \mathfrak{K}_2(\mathcal{Y})) = R_{\mathfrak{K}}(\chi, \mathcal{Y}), \text{ for all } \chi \geq 0, \mathcal{Y} \geq 0.$$

Various writers have lately investigated double Orlicz triple seq. spaces [1,2, 16-20].

Connor, Fridy and Klin established in [1] that a bounded triple seq.  $X = (X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}})$  is st.pre-Cauchy if and only if

$$I - \lim_{mnt \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}, s \leq t} \left( \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{d}}, X_{i, p, s}) \right) = 0.$$

The concept of I-convergence is a generalization of st.con. It was initially investigated by Kostyrko, Salat and Wilezynski[21]. Salat, Ziman [22] Tripathy and Hazavika [24-26], Tripathy and Demirci [23] researched it later. We begin with some preliminaries on the concept of I-convergence.

**Definition 2.4.** [ 20,27]. If  $X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}$  is a non-empty set, then a family of sets  $I \subseteq 2^x$  ( $2^x$  denoting the power set of  $x$ ) is said to be an ideal in  $x$  if,

- i.  $\emptyset \in I$
- ii. for any  $A, B \in I$ , we have  $A \cup B \in I$ .
- iii. we have  $B \in I$ , for every  $A \in I$  and each  $B \subseteq A$ .

A non-trivial ideal  $I$  is maximal if there can't exist any non-trivial ideal  $J \neq I$  containing  $I$  as a subset. For each ideal  $I$ , there is a filter  $F(I)$  corresponding to  $I$ .i.e.

$$F(I) = \{K \subseteq N: K^c \in I\},$$

where  $K^c = N - K$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [ 10, 21, 28] A triple seq.  $(x, y) = (X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}})$  of fuzzy numbers is called  $I$ -convergent to fuzzy number  $(x_0, y_0)$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{d} \in N : d\left((X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}), (x_0, y_0)\right) \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I.$$

In this case we write  $I - \lim(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}) = (x_0, y_0)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** [21] A non-empty family of sets  $F(I) \subseteq 2^x$  is called filter on  $X$  if and only if

- i.  $\emptyset \notin F(I)$
- ii. we've got  $A \cap B \in F$ , for each  $A, B \in F$
- iii. we have  $B \in F$ , for each  $A \in F$  and each  $A \subseteq B$ .

### 3. Main Results

We establish the  $I$ -pre-Cauchy condition for every arbitrary triple seq. of fuzzy number in this article.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(x, y) = (X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}})$  be the a triple set of fuzzy number and let  $\mathfrak{K} = (\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$  be a bounded double Orlict function then  $X$  is  $I$ -pre Cauchy if and only if

$$I - \lim \frac{1}{mnt m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0, \text{ for some } q > 0.$$

**Proof:** assume that

$$I - \lim \frac{1}{mnt m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0, \text{ for some } q > 0.$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0, q > 0$  and  $m, n, t \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$A_1 = \left\{ m, n, t \in \mathbb{N} : \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t \right\} \in I, \quad (1)$$

$$A_1^c = \left\{ m, n, t \in \mathbb{N} : \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t \right\} \in I, \quad (2)$$

$$\lim \frac{1}{mnt m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\}$$

$$= \lim \frac{1}{mnt m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\check{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\check{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} +$$

$$\lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\} \geq$$

$$\lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\}.$$

Now by (1) and (2) we have

$$\left\{ m, n, t \in N : \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{D}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\} \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \right\} \subset A_1 \cup A_1^c \in I.$$

Thus X is I-pre-Cauchy.

Consider the following scenario: X is I-pre-Cauchy, and that  $\epsilon$  has been granted. Then there is

$$\left\{ m, n, t \in N : \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{D}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\} \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \right\} \subset A_1 \cup A_1^c \in I.$$

Where,

$$A_1 = \left\{ \left\{ m, n, t \in N : \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \right\} \in I \right.$$

$$\left. A_1^c = \left\{ \left\{ m, n, t \in N : \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \right\} \in I \right.$$

Let  $(\delta, \delta) > (0, 0)$  be such that  $\mathfrak{K}(\delta) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(\delta), \mathfrak{K}_2(\delta)) < (\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{K}$  is a There is an Orlicz function that is bounded.

integer B such that  $\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) < (\frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2})$  for all  $(x, y) > (0, 0)$ , therefore, for each  $m, n, t \in IN$ ,

$$\lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{D}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) < \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\}$$

+

$$\lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2mnt}} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\} \leq$$

$$(\mathfrak{K}_1(\delta), \mathfrak{K}_2(\delta)) + \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{D}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{B}{2}, \frac{B}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \mid (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}) : \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \mid \right)$$

$$\leq (\epsilon, \epsilon) + (B, B) \left( \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \mid (\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}) : \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s}) \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{A}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{B}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{D}, s \leq t \mid \right). \quad (3)$$

Since X is I-pre – Cauchy there is an IN on the right hand side of Cauchy of (3) is less than  $(\epsilon, \epsilon)$  for all  $m, n, t \in IN$ .

Hence

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}, i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{B}, p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{D}, s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $(x, y) = (X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}})$  be a triple seq. of fuzzy number and let  $\mathfrak{K} = (\mathfrak{K}_1, \mathfrak{K}_2)$  be bounded double Orlicz function then  $(x, y)$  is I – convergent to a fuzzy number

$(x_0, y_0)$  if and only if .

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}=1}^m \sum_{\mathfrak{B}=1}^n \sum_{\mathfrak{D}=1}^t \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, X_0)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}, Y_0)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D}}} \right\} = 0 \text{ for some } q > 0.$$

**Proof:** Suppose that

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{mnt} \sum_{\mathfrak{q}=1}^m \sum_{\mathfrak{v}=1}^n \sum_{\mathfrak{d}=1}^t \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0 \text{ for some } q > 0.$$

With an double Orlicz functions  $\mathfrak{K}$ , then  $(x, y)$  is I-convergent to  $(x^\circ, y^\circ)$  (see [1]).

Consider the following scenario:  $(x, y)$  is 1-convergent to  $(x^\circ, y^\circ)$ . This can be proved in the same way as theorem 2.1, providing that

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{mnt} \sum_{\mathfrak{q}=1}^m \sum_{\mathfrak{v}=1}^n \sum_{\mathfrak{d}=1}^t \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0 \text{ for some } q > 0.$$

and  $\mathfrak{K}$  being an double Orlicz function that is bounded,

**Corollary 2.3.** A triple seq.  $(x, y) = (X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}})$  of fuzzy numbers is I-convergent if and only if

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q},i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v},p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d},s \leq t} \left( \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s}) \right) = 0.$$

**Proof :** Let  $\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) = (x, y)$  such that  $\mathfrak{K}_1(x) = x$   $\mathfrak{K}_2(y) = y$  then

$$\left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} \leq \{ \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s}) V \tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s}) \} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{q}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{v}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t$$

and for  $m, n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let

$$B_1 = \left\{ m, n, t \in \mathbb{N} : \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} < \epsilon, \mathfrak{q}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{v}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t \right\} \in I \quad (4)$$

And

$$B_1^c = \left\{ m, n, t \in \mathbb{N} : \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{q}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{v}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t \right\} \in I \quad (5)$$

Therefor from (4) and (5) we have,

$$\left\{ m, n, t \in \mathbb{N} : \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \geq \epsilon, \mathfrak{q}, i \leq m, \mathfrak{v}, p \leq n, \mathfrak{d}, s \leq t \right\} \subset B_1 \cup B_1^c \in I.$$

Hence

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q},i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v},p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d},s \leq t} \{ \tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s}) V \tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s}) \} = 0.$$

If and only if

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{m^2 n^2 t^2} \sum_{\mathfrak{q},i \leq m} \sum_{\mathfrak{v},p \leq n} \sum_{\mathfrak{d},s \leq t} \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{i,p,s})}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0$$

We get the desired outcome by using theorem 2.1 right away.

**Corollary 2.4.** A triple seq.  $(x, y) = (X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}})$  is I-convergent to fuzzy number  $(x^\circ, y^\circ)$  if and only if

$$I - \lim_{mnt} \frac{1}{mnt} \sum_{\mathfrak{q}=1}^m \sum_{\mathfrak{v}=1}^n \sum_{\mathfrak{d}=1}^t \left\{ \left( \mathfrak{K}_1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(X_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, X^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} V \left( \mathfrak{K}_2 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}(Y_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}, Y^\circ)}{q} \right) \right)^{P_{\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{d}}} \right\} = 0.$$

**Proof:** Let  $\mathfrak{K}(x, y) = (\mathfrak{K}_1(x), \mathfrak{K}_2(y)) = (x, y)$  such that

$\mathfrak{K}_1(x) = x$ ,  $\mathfrak{K}_2(y) = y$ .

We can prove this in the same way that we proved corollary 2.3.

## References

- [2] A. K. Vakeel & Q. M. Danish Lohani, "Statistically Pre-Cauchy Sequences and Orlicz Functions," Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, Vol. 31, No. 6, 2007, pp. 1107-1112.
- [3] H. Steinhaus, "Sur la Convergence Ordinaire et la Convergence Asymptotique," Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. 2, 1951, pp. 73-74.
- [4] H. Fast, "Sur la Convergence Statistique," Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. 2, 1951, pp. 241-244.
- [5] R.C. Buck, "Generalized Asymptotic Density," American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 75, No. 2, 1953, pp. 335-346
- [6] I.J. Schoenberg, "The Integrability of Certain Functions and Related Summability Methods," The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 66, 1959, pp. 361-375.
- [7] T.Salat, "On Statistically Convergent Sequences of Real Numbers," Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 30, 1980, pp. 139-150.
- [8] J. A. Fridy, "On Statistical Convergence," Analysis, Vol 5, 1985, pp. 301-311.
- [9] J. S. Connor, "The Statistical and Strong P-Cesaro Convergence of Sequences," Analysis, Vol. 8, 1988, pp. 47-63.
- [10] M. Gurdal, "Statistically Pre-Cauchy Sequences and Bounded Moduli," Acta et Commentationes Universitatis Tarytensis de Mathematica, Vol. 7, 2003, pp. 3-7.
- [11] T.J.I. Bromwich, "An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series," MacMillan and Co. Ltd., New York, 1965.
- [12] B.C. Tripathy, "Statistically Convergent Double Sequences," Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2006, pp. 211-221.
- [13] M. Basarir & O. Solancan, "On Some Double Sequence Spaces," The Journal of The Indian Academy of Mathematics, Vol. 21, No. 2, 1999, pp. 193-200.
- [14] I. J. Maddox, "Elements of Functional Analysis," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Cambridge, 1970.
- [15] J. Lindenstrauss & L. Tzafriri, "On Orlicz Sequence Spaces," Israel Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 10, No. 3, 1971, pp. 379-390.  
[doi:10.1007/BF02771656](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02771656)
- [16] M. Et, "On Some New Orlicz Sequence Spaces," Journal of Analysis, Vol. 9, 2001, pp. 21-28.
- [17] S.D. Parashar & B. Choudhary, "Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function," Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 25, 1994, pp. 419-428.
- [18] B.C. Tripathy & Mahantas, "On a Class of Sequences Related to the 1P Space Defined by the Orlicz Functions," Soochow Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 29, No. 4, 2003, pp. 379-391.
- [19] A. K. Vakeel & S. Tabassum, "Statistically Pre-Cauchy Double Sequences and Orlicz Functions," Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2012, pp. 249-254.
- [20] A. K. Vakeel, K. Ebadullah & A Ahmad, "I-Pre-Cauchy Sequences and Orlicz Functions," Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2012, pp. 21-26.
- [21] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat & W. Wilczynski, "I-Convergence," Real Analysis Exchange, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2000, pp. 669-686.
- [22] T. Salat, B.C. Tripathy & M. Ziman, "On Some Properties of I-Convergence," Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications, Vol. 28, 2004, pp. 279-286.
- [23] K. Demirci, "I-Limit Superior and Limit Inferior," Mathematical Communications, Vol. 6, 2001, pp. 165-172.
- [24] B.C. Tripathy & B. Hazarika, "Paranorm I-Convergent Sequence Spaces," Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 59, No. 4, 2009, pp. 485-494.  
[doi:10.2478/s12175-009-0141-4](https://doi.org/10.2478/s12175-009-0141-4)
- [25] B.C. Tripathy & B. Hazarika, "Some I-Convergent Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function," Acta Mathematica Applicatae Sinica, Vol. 27, No. 1, 2011, pp. 149-154. [doi:10.1007/s10255-011-0048-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10255-011-0048-z)
- [26] B.C. Tripathy & B. Hazarika, "I-Monotonic and I-Convergent Sequences," Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, Vol. 51, No. 2, 2011, pp. 233-239.  
[doi:10.5666/KMJ.2011.51.2.233](https://doi.org/10.5666/KMJ.2011.51.2.233)

[27] A. K. Vakeel, K. Ebadullah & S. Suthep, "On a New I-Convergent Sequence Spaces," *Analysis*, Vol. 32, No. 3, 2012, pp. 199-208.

[doi:10.1524/analy.2012.1148](https://doi.org/10.1524/analy.2012.1148)

[28] M. Gurdal & M. B. Huban, "On I-Convergence of Double Sequences in the Topology induced by Random 2Norms," *Matematički Vesnik*, Vol. 65, No. 3, 2013, pp. 1-13.