



# Generalized Hom $\Gamma$ -Derivation of n- BiHom $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra

Rajaa C. Shaheen <sup>a</sup> , Ameer H. Rahman <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Mathematics Department College of Education University of Al-Qadisiyah Al-Qadisiyah , Iraq. [Email: raja.chaffat@qu.edu.iq](mailto:raja.chaffat@qu.edu.iq)

<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department College of Education University of Al-Qadisiyah Al-Qadisiyah , Iraq. [E. mail: edu-math.post29@qu.edu.iq](mailto:edu-math.post29@qu.edu.iq)

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received: 30 /05/2023

Revised form: 12 /07/2023

Accepted : 16 /07/2023

Available online: 30 /09/2023

### Keywords:

derivation, Lie Algebra, Centroid.

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper, is to introduce a new concepts which are induced n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra,  $\Gamma$ -Center,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$   $\Gamma$ -Center,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$ - derivation,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Q-Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ ,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Central Hom  $\Gamma$ - derivation,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$ - Centroid and give the condition to construct induced n- Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra, studied Generalized Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivations on direct sum of ideals and we studied the relation between Hom  $Der_\lambda(g)$ , Hom  $Cen_\lambda(g)$  and Q Hom  $Der_\lambda(g)$ , Q Hom  $Cen_\lambda(g)$ , G Hom  $Der_\lambda(g)$ .

MSC2010: 17B01 , 16S30.

[https://doi.org/ 10.29304/jqcm.2023.15.3.1277](https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2023.15.3.1277)

## 1- INTRODUCTION

Amine, in [1], introduce n- Bi-Hom Lie algebra and custom to studying a *Generalized derivation* on an n-Bi-Hom Lie algebras. For several years an algebras of *derivations* and *Generalized derivation* has been topic about the study by many *researchers*. Leger and Luks, in [2], introduced research is more important on the algebras of *Generalized derivation* of Lie algebras and those sub algebras, where a writers studied the *structure* and features on an algebras on *Generalized derivation*, Q Cen of limited dimensional Lie algebras. The result of Leger and Luks where Generalized by more other researchers on algebras. For instance, Chen and Li, in [3], lesson the *Generalized derivation* of color-Lie algebras. Zhou and Fan, in [4,5], cases are considered on Hom Lie Color algebras and n-Hom Lie super algebras. Zhou, Niu and Chen, in [6], investigated *Generalized derivation* on Hom-Lie algebras. Kygorodov and Popov, in [7], find they out *Generalized derivation* of color n-ary  $\Omega$ - algebras. For more of a *Generalized derivation* algebras, which is going to be find in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Rezaei and Davvaz, in [13], define  $\Gamma$ - algebra. A. Al-Zaiadi and R. Shaheen, in [14] studied more result on  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra. The purpose of this paper, is to define n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation and generalized Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation on n-BiHom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Q Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Central Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation and  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Centroid Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation on n-Bi-Hom. We also reached some results,  $[Q Hom Der_\Gamma(g), Q Hom Cen_\Gamma(g)]_\lambda \subseteq Q Hom Cen_\Gamma(g)$ , Studied Generalized derivations on direct sum of ideals.

Now, we will recall the followings concepts which are necessary in this paper.

### Definition 1.1:- [1] (n-BiHom Lie-algebra)

An n-Bi-Hom- Lie algebra be a *vector space*  $g$  equipped a linear-function  $[\cdot, \dots, \cdot]$  linear-functions and such that

$$(1) \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$$

$$(2) [\theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(x_n)] =$$

$$S_{gn}(\sigma) [\theta_2(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \theta_2(x_{\sigma(n-1)}), \theta_1(x_{\sigma(n)})]$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sigma \in S_3$

$$(3) [\theta_2^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2^2(x_{n-1}), [\theta_2(y_1), \dots, \theta_2(y_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_n)]]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} [\theta_2^2(y_1), \dots, \widehat{\theta_2^2(y_k)}, \dots, \theta_2^2(y_n) [\theta_2(x_1), \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_k)]]$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $n = 3$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called 3- Bi-Hom Lie algebra

**Definition 1.2:- [1]**

A subset  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is called sub algebra of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [.,.,.], \theta_1, \theta_2)$  if  $\theta_1(S) \subseteq S$  and  $\theta_2(S) \subseteq S$  and  $[S, S, \dots, S] \subseteq S$ , and  $S$  is an ideal if  $\theta_1(S) \subseteq S, \theta_2(S) \subseteq S$  and  $[S, S, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq S$

**Definition 1.3:-[1]**

The center of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [.,.,.], \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the set of  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that

$[u, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}] = 0$ . For all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ . A center is ideal on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which symbolize by  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Definition 1.4:- [1]**

The  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  center of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [.,.,.], \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the set  $Z_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{U \in \mathfrak{g}, [U, \theta_1\theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_1\theta_2(x_{n-1})] = 0\}$ .

For any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$

**Definition 1.5:-[15] Gamma Algebra**

Assume  $\Gamma$  is a groupoid and  $V$  is a vector space on a field  $F$ . Therefore,  $V$  be named a  $\Gamma$ -algebra on the field  $F$  if there exist a functioning  $V \times \Gamma \times V \rightarrow V$  ( an image be symbolize by  $x\alpha y$  for all  $x, y \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ) such the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z, x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$
- (2)  $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y,$
- (3)  $(cx)\alpha y = c(x\alpha y) = x\alpha(cy),$
- (4)  $0\alpha y = y\alpha 0 = 0,$  for all  $x, y, z \in V, c \in F$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Furthermore it, a  $\Gamma$ - algebra is named associative if
- (5)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z).$

**Definition 1.6 :- [14] (Γ-Lie algebra )**

Assume  $V$  is the associative  $\Gamma$  – algebra on a field  $F$ .Therefore, for all  $\lambda \in \Gamma$  one can create the  $\Gamma$  – Lie algebra  $L_\lambda(V)$ . Like a vector space,  $L_\lambda(V)$  be a same  $V$ . A Lie  $\Gamma$ - arch of 2-elements on  $L_\lambda(V)$  be defined to be them reflector in  $V$ ,  $[x, y]_\lambda = x \cdot_\lambda y - y \cdot_\lambda x$ . Note that  $[x, y]_\lambda = -[y, x]_\lambda$ .

**2- Main Results**

In this section, we will define n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra, Hom  $\Gamma$  – derivations,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$  – derivations and  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$   $\Gamma$ -center,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Q-Hom  $Der_\lambda$ , Generalized  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  -Hom  $Der_\lambda$ ,  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Central Hom  $Der_\lambda$  and  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$ - Centroid. We will use the notation Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation (Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ ), Quasi Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation (Q Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ ), Generalized Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation (Gen Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ ), Hom  $\Gamma$ - centroid (Hom  $Cent_\Gamma$ ), Quasi Hom  $\Gamma$ - centroid (Q Hom  $Cent_\Gamma$ ) and Generalized Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation (Gen Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ ).

**Definition 2.1.:- (n-Bi-Hom Γ-Lie algebra)**

An n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ - Lie algebra be a vector-space  $g$  equipped n-linear function

$[., \dots,.]$  and 2-linear functions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  such

$$(1) \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$$

$$(2) [\theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(x_n)]_\lambda = S_{gn}(\sigma) [\theta_2(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \theta_2(x_{\sigma(n-1)}), \theta_1(x_{\sigma(n)})]_\lambda$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in g$  and  $\sigma \in S_3$

$$(3) [\theta_2^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2^2(x_{n-1}), [\theta_2(y_1), \dots, \theta_2(y_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_n)]]_\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} [\theta_2^2(y_1), \dots, \widehat{\theta_2^2(y_k)}, \dots, \theta_2^2(y_n) [\theta_2(x_1), \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_k)]]_\lambda$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in g$ .

If  $n=3$ , then called (3- Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra)

**Proposition 2.2 :-**

Assume  $(g, [., \dots,.]_\lambda)$  is n- $\Gamma$ - Lie algebra and let  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  maps on  $g$  that commute with every other. For  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$ . Define  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2} = [\theta_1(x_1), \dots, \theta_1(x_{n-1}), \theta_2(x_n)]_\lambda$  Then  $(g, [., \dots,.]_{\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is an n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra, which is called induced n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra.

**Proof:-**

The functions  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  commute, by hypothesis, we have a prove  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  are algebra morphisms, for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$ , we have:

$$\theta_1([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2}) = \theta_1([\theta_1(x_1), \dots, \theta_1(x_{n-1}), \theta_2(x_n)])_\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [\theta_1^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_n)]_\lambda = [\theta_1^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^2(x_{n-1}), \theta_2 \circ \theta_1(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &= [\theta_1(x_1), \dots, \theta_1(x_n)]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Can a prove that, in a similar way, we can a prove that  $\theta_2$  like that a morphism. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[\theta_2(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \theta_2(x_{\sigma(n-1)}), \theta_1(x_{\sigma(n)})]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2} \\
 &= [\theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_{\sigma(n-1)}), \theta_2 \circ \theta_1(x_{\sigma(n)})]_\lambda \\
 &= \theta_1 \circ \theta_2([\theta_1(x_{\sigma(1)}), \dots, \theta_1(x_{\sigma(n)})]_\lambda) = S_{gn}(\sigma) \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 ([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \\
 &= S_{gn}(\sigma) [\theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_{(1)}), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_{(n-1)}), \theta_2 \circ \theta_1(x_{(n)})]_\lambda \\
 &= S_{gn}(\sigma) [\theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(x_n)]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2} \text{ for every } x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}, y_1, \dots, y_n \in g, \text{ we have:} \\
 &[\theta_2^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2^2(x_{n-1}), [\theta_2(y_1), \dots, \theta_2(y_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_n)]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2}]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2} \\
 &= [\theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(x_1), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(x_{n-1}), \theta_2([\theta_1 \circ \theta_2(y_1), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(y_{n-1}), \theta_2 \circ \theta_1(y_n)]_\lambda)]_\lambda \\
 &= \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2([x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, [y_1, \dots, y_n]_\lambda]_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2([y_1, \dots, [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_k]_\lambda, \dots y_n]_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2([y_1, \dots, \widehat{y_k}, \dots, y_n, [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_k]_\lambda]_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} ([\theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(y_1), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \widehat{\theta_2^2(y_k)}, \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(y_n), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2([x_1, \dots, (x_{n-1}), (y_k)]_\lambda)]_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} ([\theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(y_1), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \widehat{\theta_2^2(y_k)}, \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2^2(y_n), \theta_2([\theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1 \circ \theta_2(y_k)]_\lambda)]_\lambda) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} ([\theta_2^2(y_1), \dots, \theta_2^2(\widehat{y_k}), \dots, \theta_2^2(y_n), ([\theta_2(x_1), \dots, \theta_2(x_{n-1}), \theta_1(y_k)]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2})]_{\lambda\theta_1\theta_2})
 \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.3:-**

Let  $g$  is the 4-dimensional vector-space with the basis  $[e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4]$ . Define the next arch:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [e_1, e_2, e_3]_\lambda &= -e_4; [e_1, e_2, e_4]_\lambda = e_3; \\
 [e_1, e_3, e_4]_\lambda &= -e_2; [e_2, e_3, e_4]_\lambda = e_1
 \end{aligned}$$

in this bracket,  $(\mathfrak{g}, [.,.,.]_\lambda)$  be the 3-  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra. Assume  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  be 2- linear functions of  $g$  defined:

$$\theta_1(e_1) = -e_2 ; \theta_1(e_2) = -e_1 ;$$

$$\theta_1(e_3) = -e_4 ; \theta_1(e_4) = -e_3 \text{ and } \theta_2 = -\theta_1$$

$$\text{Let } [x_1 , x_2 , x_3]_{\lambda \theta_1 \theta_2} = [\theta_1(x_1) , \theta_1(x_2) , \theta_2(x_3)]_{\lambda}$$

be the twisted bracket defined on  $g$ . Then  $(g , [.,.,.]_{\lambda \theta_1 \theta_2} , \theta_1 , \theta_2)$  is the 3-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -lie algebra

**Definition 2.4:-**

The  $\Gamma$ -center of  $(g , [.,.,.]_{\lambda} , \theta_1 , \theta_2)$  is the set of  $u \in g$  such that

$[u , x_1 , x_2 , \dots , x_{n-1}]_{\lambda} = 0$ . For all  $x_1 , x_2 , \dots , x_{n-1} \in g$ . The  $\Gamma$ -center be an ideal of  $g$  which we will symbolize by  $Z_{\lambda}(g)$ .

**Definition 2.5 :-**

A  $(\theta_1 , \theta_2)$   $\Gamma$  - center on  $(g , [.,.,.]_{\lambda} , \theta_1 , \theta_2)$  is the set  $Z_{\lambda(\theta_1 , \theta_2)}(g) = \{u \in g , [u , \theta_1 \theta_2(x_1) , \dots , \theta_1 \theta_2(x_{n-1})]_{\lambda} = 0\}$ ,

for any  $x_1 , x_2 , \dots , x_{n-1} \in g$

**Definition 2.6:-**

Let  $(g , [.,.,.]_{\lambda} , \theta_1 , \theta_2)$  is the n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra. The linear function  $D: g \rightarrow g$   $(\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r)$  be  $\text{Hom Der}_{\Gamma}$  if for every  $x , y , z \in g$ . There exist  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$  is a Homomorphism,

define  $(\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r)$   $\text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}$  on n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra

$$D[x_1 , \dots , x_n]_{\lambda} = [D(x_1) , \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_2) , \dots , \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1) , \dots , \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i) , \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}) , \dots , \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} \\ + \delta[x_1 , \dots , x_n]_{\lambda}$$

Let  $\text{Hom Der}_{\lambda} (\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r) (g)$  be the set of  $(\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r)$  -Hom  $\Gamma$ -derivation of  $g$  and set  $\text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}(g) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \text{Hom Der}_{\lambda} (\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r) (g)$ . We show it  $\text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}(g)$  be equipped with a  $\Gamma$ -

lie algebra structure. In effect, for all  $D \in \text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s , \theta_2^r) (g)$  and  $D' \in \text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}(\theta_1^{s'} , \theta_2^{r'}) (g)$  we have  $[D , D']_{\lambda} \in \text{Hom Der}_{\lambda}(\theta_1^{s+s'} , \theta_2^{r+r'}) (g)$ , where  $[D , D']_{\lambda}$  us the standard commutation defined by  $[D , D']_{\lambda} = D \circ D' - D' \circ D$ .

Note that if  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \dots, \cdot]_\lambda)$  be the n-  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra and  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \dots, \cdot]_{\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  the induced n- Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra where  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  are 2-morphism used to this induction.

**Definition 2.7:-**

The endo-morphism D on the n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra  $g$  be called  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Q-Hom  $Der_\lambda$  if there exist an endomorphism  $D'$  of  $g$  such that

$$D \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D ; D \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D, D' \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D' ; D' \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D'$$

, There exist  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is a homomorphism

$$D'[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda.$$

For any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$ . Then we define

$$Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$$

**Definition 2.8:-**

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \dots, \cdot]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra and suppose D is endo morphism on  $g$ . A linear function D be named the Gen  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  -Hom  $Der_\lambda$  on  $g$  if there exists  $D^{(i)}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  family of endomorphism of  $g$  such that  $D \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D ; D \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D$

$$D^{(i)} \circ \theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ D^{(i)} ; D^{(i)} \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ D^{(i)}$$

For any, where  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is a Homomorphism and

$$D^{(n)}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda = [D(x_1), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D^{(i-1)}(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda, \text{ for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$$

The set of generalized  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  - Hom  $Der_\lambda$  of  $g$  is Gen Hom  $Der_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$  and as for Gen Hom  $Der_\lambda(g)$ , we denote

$$Gen \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} Gen \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$$

**Proposition 2.9:-**

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the regular n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra in trivial Hom  $\Gamma$ -Center . Assume  $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus J$  ; such  $I$  and  $J$  are ideals on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then

$\text{Gen Hom Der}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Gen Hom Der}_\lambda(I) \oplus \text{Gen Hom Der}_\lambda(J)$ , such that there exist  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is an isomorphism.

**Proof:-**

We will prove this for any  $D \in \text{Gen Hom Der}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have  $D(I) \subset I$  and  $D(J) \subset J$ , therefore it follows a restriction of  $D$  to  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) be the Gen Hom  $\text{Der}_\lambda$  of  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ).

Assume  $u \in I$  and suppose  $D(u) = a + b$ ,  $a \in I$ ,  $b \in J$  be the decomposition of  $D(u)$ . For any  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have  $[b, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda \in J$ . On the other hand,  $[b, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda = [D(u) - a, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda = [D(u), y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda - [a, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda$ .

Since  $I$  is an ideal and  $a \in I$ , so  $[a, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda \in I$ . Moreover, for each  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , let

$$y_i = \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_i), \tag{1}$$

then

$$[D(u), y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda = [D(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{n-1})]_\lambda = D^{(n)}[u, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]_\lambda -$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D^{(i)}(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{n-1})]_\lambda$$

$+ \delta[u, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . For every  $I$ , where,  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is an isomorphism

$$[\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D^{(i)}(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{n-1})]_\lambda \in I,$$

so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D^{(i)}(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{n-1})]_\lambda \in I$$

Now let  $x_i = a_i + b_i$  be the decomposition of  $x_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n-1$

$$[u, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]_\lambda = [u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}]_\lambda = [u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]_\lambda + [u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_\lambda,$$

but  $[u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}]_\lambda \in I \cap J = \{0\}$ , so

$$[u, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]_\lambda = [u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_{n-2} + b_{n-2}, a_{n-1}]_\lambda.$$

Similarly,  $[u, a_1 + b_1, \dots, b_{n-2}, a_{n-1}]_\lambda = 0$

Thus  $[u, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]_\lambda = [u, a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}]_\lambda$ .

Therefore,  $D^{(n)}[u, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]_\lambda = D^n[u, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]_\lambda$

$$= [D(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(a_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(a_{n-1})]_\lambda$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(u), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(a_1), \dots, D^{(i)}(a_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(a_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(a_{n-1})]_\lambda \in I$$

Then  $[D(u), y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda \in I$  and so is  $[b, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda$ .

Hence  $[b, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}]_\lambda \in I \cap J$ . We can conclude that  $b \in Z_\lambda(g) = \{0\}$  and so  $D(I) \subseteq I$

**Remark 2.10:-**

Since any Hom  $Der_\lambda$  and quasi Hom  $Der_\lambda$  is a Generalized Hom  $Der_\lambda$ .

$$\text{Hom } Der_\lambda(g) \subseteq Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g) \subseteq \text{Gen Hom } Der_\lambda(g).$$

Hence proposition 2.9 holds of  $Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g)$  and  $\text{Hom } Der_\lambda(g)$  as well, that  $\text{Hom } Der_\lambda(g) = \text{Hom } Der_\lambda(I) \oplus \text{Hom } Der_\lambda(J)$  and

$$Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g) = Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(I) \oplus Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(J)$$

**Definition 2.11:-**

The linear function  $D$  be called  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  central-Hom  $Der_\lambda$  on  $g$  if it satisfies

$$D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) = [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda = 0,$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

The set of  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  central  $Der_\lambda$  is denoted by  $Z \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$

and we set

$$Z \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} Z \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g).$$

**Definition 2.12:-**

The  $(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$  Hom  $\Gamma$  - Centroid of  $(g, [.,.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  denoted by  $\text{Hom } Cen_\Gamma(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$  be a set of linear functions  $D$  satisfying

$$D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) = [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda,$$

there exist  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is a Homomorphism for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We set



$$\text{Hom } Cen_{\Gamma}(g) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \text{Hom } Cen_{\Gamma}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g).$$

**Proposition 2.13:-**

For any  $S, R$ . we have

$$Z \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) = \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \cap \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g).$$

**Proof:-**

It is clear that  $Z \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \subseteq \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$  and

$$Z \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \subseteq \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$$

Conversely,

$$\text{Let } D \in \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \cap \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g),$$

so for each  $I$ , there exist,  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism we have

$$D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}) = [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}$$

In addition,

$$D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}) = \sum_{i=1}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}$$

$$\text{Then } D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}) = nD([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}).$$

$$\text{Thus } D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}) = 0 \text{ and } D \in Z \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g).$$

**Definition 2.14:-**

$\mathcal{Q} \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$  be a set of linear functions  $D$  such

$$[D(x_1), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} = [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i-1}), D(x_i), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_{i+1}), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_{\lambda} + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\lambda}$$

For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , there exist,  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$  is a Homomorphism. We set

$$\mathcal{Q} \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(g) = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{Q} \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$$

**Lemma 2.15:-**

Let  $(g, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda}, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ -Lie algebra.

$$(1) [\text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g), \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)]_{\lambda} \subseteq \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g);$$

$$(2) \text{Hom } Cen_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \oplus \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g) \subseteq \text{Hom } Der_{\lambda}(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g).$$

**Proof:-**

Let  $D \in \text{Hom Der}_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $D' \in \text{Hom Cen}_\lambda(\theta_1^{s'}, \theta_2^{r'})(\mathfrak{g})$  for some  $s, s', r, r'$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

There exist  $\delta: A \longrightarrow A$  is a homomorphism

(1) Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & [DD'(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\ &= D([D'(x_1), \theta_1^{s'}\theta_2^{r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s'}\theta_2^{r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r D'(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \\ &= DD'([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) - \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda \\ & - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & [D'D(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\ &= D'([D(x_1), \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda) - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \\ &= DD'([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) \\ & - D' \left( \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda, \right. \end{aligned}$$

but since for each  $i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & D'([\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda) - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \\ &= [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & D' \left( \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & [[D, D']_\lambda(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'}\theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\ &= [D, D']_\lambda([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

The same proof holds for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Thus,  $[D, D']_\lambda \in \text{Hom } C_\lambda(\theta_1^{s+s'}, \theta_2^{r+r'})(\mathfrak{g})$

(2) Now

$$D'D([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) = D'([D(x_1), \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^s\theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ D' \left( \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \right) \\
 &= [D'D(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &+ \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_1), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &+ [x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $D'D \in \text{Hom Der}_\lambda(\theta_1^{s+s'}, \theta_2^{r+r'})(g)$

**Theorem 2.16:-**

Let  $(g, [.,.,.]_\lambda, \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the multiplicative n-Bi-Hom  $\Gamma$ - Lie algebra.

- (1)  $[Q \text{ Hom Der}_\lambda(g), Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(g)]_\lambda \subseteq Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(g)$
- (2)  $\text{Hom Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq Q \text{ Hom Der}_\lambda(g)$ ;
- (3)  $[Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(g), Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(g)]_\lambda \subseteq Q \text{ Hom Der}_\lambda(g)$
- (4)  $Q \text{ Hom Der}_\lambda(g) + Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \text{Gen Hom Der}_\lambda(g)$

**Proof:-**

Let  $D \in Q \text{ Hom Der}_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)(g)$  and  $D' \in Q \text{ Hom Cen}_\lambda(\theta_1^{s'}, \theta_2^{r'})(g)$  for some  $s, s', r, r'$ . Let  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism.

(1) Compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[DD'(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &= D([D'(x_1), \theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_n)]_\lambda) - \\
 &\sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r D'(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \\
 &= DD'([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) - \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_{i-1}), D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &\quad - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[D'D(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \\
 &= D'([D(x_1), \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda) - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda \\
 &= DD'([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) - D' \left( \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda \right) - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda,
 \end{aligned}$$

but since for each  $i, D'([ \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n) ]_\lambda)$

$$= [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_1), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

so

$$D' \left( \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^{r'}(x_i), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^{r'}(x_n)]_\lambda \right) + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^{r'}(x_i), \dots, D'D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^{r'}(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

Hence

$$[[D, D']_\lambda(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda - \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= [D, D']_\lambda([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda), i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

Thus  $[D, D']_\lambda \in Q \text{ Hom } C_\lambda(\theta_1^{s+s'}, \theta_2^{r+r'}) (g)$

(2) It be the immediate consequence on a definition on the Q-Hom  $Der_\Gamma$ . If  $D \in \text{Hom } Cen_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r)$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_i), \dots, D(x_i), \dots, \theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_n)]_\lambda + n\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= nD([x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda) + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

(3)

Let  $D \in Q \text{ Hom } Cen_\lambda(\theta_1^s, \theta_2^r) (g)$  and  $D' \in Q \text{ Hom } Cen_\lambda(\theta_1^{s'}, \theta_2^{r'}) (g)$

For any  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$  we have

$$[DD'(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_1), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda$$

$$= [\theta_1^s \theta_2^r D'(x_1), D\theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_2^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda + \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= [\theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_1), D\theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_2), D'\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_3), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda$$

$$+ 2\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= [D\theta_1^{s'} \theta_2^{r'}(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), D'\theta_1^s \theta_2^r(x_3), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda$$

$$+ 3\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

$$= [D'D(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_3), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda$$

$$+ n\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n]_\lambda$$

Then  $[[D, D']_\lambda(x_1), \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_2), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda = 0$ .

In the same way we have

$$[\theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_1), \dots, [D, D'](x_i), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda = 0$$

For all  $i$ . Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [\theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_1), \dots, [D, D'](x_i), \dots, \theta_1^{s+s'} \theta_2^{r+r'}(x_n)]_\lambda = 0$$

And so  $[D, D']_\lambda \in Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(\theta_1^{s+s'}, \theta_2^{r+r'}) (g)$

(4)

**By Remark 2.10** we have  $Q \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g) \subseteq Gen \text{ Hom } Der_\lambda(g)$ , by definition  $Q \text{ Hom } Cen_\lambda(g) \subseteq \text{Hom } Cen_\lambda(g)$  and by above (2) we have

$\text{Hom } \mathbf{Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g)$ , then  $\mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \mathbf{Gen Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g)$ , thus  $\mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \mathbf{Gen Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g)$ .  
Hence  $\mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g) + \mathbf{Q Hom } \mathbf{Cen}_\lambda(g) \subseteq \mathbf{Gen Hom } \mathbf{Der}_\lambda(g)$

## References

- [1] Amine Ben Abdeljelill., Generalized derivations of ternary Lie Algebras and n-Bi-Hom Lie Algebras, University of South Florida, (2019).
- [2] Leger, G. F., Luks, E. M., Generalized derivation of Lie algebras, Algebra, 228, 1, 165-203, (2000).
- [3] Chen L., Ma Y., Ni L., Generalized derivations of Lie Color algebras, Results in math-ematics, 63 ,3-4, 923-936, (2013).
- [4] Zhou J., Fan G., Generalized derivations of Hom-Lie Color algebra (Chinese), Pure Mathematics, 6 (2016), 3, 182-189.
- [5] Zhou J., Fan G., Generalized derivations of n-Hom-Lie super algebras, Mathematica Aeterna, 6, 4, 533-550,(2016).
- [6] Zhou J., Niu Y., Chen L., Generalized derivations of Hom-Lie algebras (Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.), 58, 4, 551-558, (2015).
- [7] Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Generalized derivations of (Color) n-ary algebras, Linear multilinear Algebra, 64, 6, 1086-1106, (2016).
- [8] Zhang R., Zhang Y., Generalized derivations of Lie super algebras, Communications in Algebra, 38, 10, 3737-375, (2010).
- [9] Komatsu H., Nakajima A., Generalized derivations of associative algebras, Quaestiones Mathematica, 26, 2, 213-235. (2003).
- [10] Zhou J., Chen L., Ma Y., Generalized derivations of Lie triple systems, Open Mathematics, 14 , 260-271,(2016).
- [11] Zhou J., Chen L., Ma Y., Generalized derivations of Hom-Lie triple systems, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 39 (2016).
- [12] Beites P.D., Kaygorodov I., Popov Yu., Generalized derivations of multiplicative n-ary Hom- $\Omega$  Color algebras, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci., 42, 1, 315-115. (2019).
- [13] A. Rezaei and B. Davvaz, "Construction of  $\Gamma$  -Lie algebra and  $\Gamma$  -Lie Admissible," *Korean Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 175-189, (2018).
- [14] A. Alzaiad and R. Shaheen, "Involutive Gamma Derivations on n-Gamma Lie Algebra and 3- Pre Gamma-Lie Algebra," *Iraqi Journal of Science*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1146-1157, (2022).
- [15] A.H. Rezaei, , B. Davvaz,S. and O. Dehkordi , *Fundamentals of  $\Gamma$ - Algebra and  $\Gamma$ - Dimension*, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: applied Mathematics and Physics vol.76,no.2, pp.111-122 , (2014).