



Available online at [www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm](http://www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm)  
JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS  
ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



## Some Properties of Fuzzy Ideals of Unit Regular Semigroups

A'laa Fadhel Hameed<sup>1</sup> & Akram S. Mohammed<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1&2</sup> Tikrit University's Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics in Tikrit, Iraq

\*Corresponding Author: Akram S. Mohammed

[alaafhameed@gmail.com](mailto:alaafhameed@gmail.com)

[akr\\_tel@tu.edu.iq](mailto:akr_tel@tu.edu.iq)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 27 /10/2023

Revised form: 6 /12/2023

Accepted : 15 /12/2023

Available online: 30 /12/2023

**Keywords:** unit regular, fuzzy ideal , fuzzy bi-ideal

Each keyword to start on a new line

<https://10.29304/jqcm.2023.15.41349>

### ABSTRACT

This paper introduces the concept of unit-regular fuzzy ideals of semi groups, which is a generalization of regular fuzzy ideals. Several of their significant related properties have been examined. According to the unit-regular semi group, the fuzzy-interior-ideal, fuzzy-ideal, fuzzy-bi-ideal, and fuzzy-generalized-bi-ideal have all been described.

MSC..

## 1-Introduction :

In 1965, L. A. Zadeh brought the idea of fuzzy units that have been applied in many fields, Medical technological know-how, theoretical physics, robotics, pc technological know-how, manage engineering, records technology, measure concept, logic, set theory, topology, and similar disciplines can all be located. Rosenfeld changed into the primary to ponder the case wherein  $S$  is a groupoid in 1971 [5]. The definition of fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy left (proper, sided) ideal of  $S$  turned into given by means of him. Kuroki [4] defined a fuzzy semi group and special types of fuzzy ideal in semi groups

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

Communicated by 'sub editor'

in 1979 and characterized them. Stienfeld explored the concept of securing quasi-ideals with rings and semi groups[2]. The idea of quasi-ideal represents a generalization of left and proper ideals, and bi-ideal represent a generalization of quasi-ideal.

## 1- PRELIMINARIES

"Given a semi group  $S$ , Let  $U$  and  $V$  be sub sets of  $S$ .The multiplication of  $U$  and  $V$  is defined as follows:

$UV = \{uv \in S; u \in U \text{ and } v \in V\}$ . A non-empty sub set  $U$  of  $S$  is referred to as a sub-semi group of  $S$  if  $UU \subseteq U$ , A non-empty sub set  $U$  of  $S$  is referred to as an ideal of the left (right)  $S$  if  $SU \subseteq U$  ( $US \subseteq U$ ). Further,  $U$  is known as a 2-sided ideal of  $S$  if it's far left and right ideals, respectively of  $S$ . A non-empty sub set  $U$  of  $S$  is referred to as an interior-ideal(int-ideal) of  $S$  if  $SUS \subseteq U$ , and a quasi-ideal(Q-ideal) of  $S$  if  $US \cap SU \subseteq U$ . A sub semi-group  $U$  of  $S$  is called a bi-ideal of  $S$  if  $USU \subseteq U$ . A sub set that is not empty  $U$  is called a gen-bi-ideal of  $S$  if  $USU \subseteq U$  [3]."

**Definition 1.1** : [6]

If there is a unit element  $u$  in a semi-group  $S$  such that  $x = xux$ ,  $\forall x$  in  $S$ , then the semi-group is said to be **unit regular**.

**Example:**  $(\mathbb{Z}_{10}, \cdot)$  is unit regular semi-groups

**Definition 1.2** : [1]

Consider two fuzzy-sub sets (Fu-sub set) of  $S$ ,  $m$  and  $n$ . The product  $k \circ s$  is defined by

$$(k \circ s)_{(x)} = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x=yz} \{k(y) \wedge s(z)\}, & \text{if } \exists y, z \in S \text{ such that } x = yz \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.3:** [7]

A Fu-sub set  $m$  for  $S$  is referred to as a Fu-sub-semi group of  $S$  if

$m(pq) \geq m(p) \wedge m(q)$  for all  $p, q \in S$ , and referred to as a Fu-left ideal (right) of  $S$  when

$m(pq) \geq m(q)$  ( $m(pq) \geq m(p)$ ) for all  $p, q \in S$ .

A Fu sub set  $m$  is known as a Fu-2-sided ideal (Fu-ideal) of  $S$  if it's both a Fu-left, and a Fu-right ideal of  $S$ .

"consider  $m$  be a subset of  $S$ . Recall that we denote by means of  $C_m$  the characteristic function of  $m$ " [3]

**Lemma 1.4** : [5]

If  $B$  is a nonempty sub set of a semi-group  $S$ . Then the following properties are true:

- 1)  $C_B$  is a fu- sub semi-group of  $S \Leftrightarrow B$  is a sub semi-group of  $S$ .
- 2)  $C_B$  is a fu-left (right, 2-sided) ideal of  $S \Leftrightarrow B$  is a left (right, 2-sided) ideal of  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.5:** [5]

The following characteristics are present when  $k$  is a Fu-sub set of  $S$ .

- 1)  $k \circ k \subseteq k \Leftrightarrow k$  is Fu-sub-semi-group of  $S$ .
- 2)  $S \circ k \subseteq k \Leftrightarrow k$  is Fu-left ideal of  $S$ .
- 3)  $k \circ S \subseteq k \Leftrightarrow k$  is Fu-right-ideal of  $S$ .
- 4)  $S \circ k \subseteq k$  and  $k \circ S \subseteq k \Leftrightarrow k$  is Fu-2-sided ideal of  $S$ .

**Definition 1.6:** [1]

A Fu- sub set  $m$  of a semi-group  $S$  is said a Fu-Bi -ideal of  $S$  if  $m(pqs) \geq m(p) \wedge m(s) \forall p, q$  and  $s$  of  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.7:**[4]

Let  $U$  be a nonempty sub set of a semi -group  $S$ , then  $U$  is a Bi -ideal of  $S \Leftrightarrow C_U$  of  $U$  is a fuzzy Bi-ideal of  $S$ .

**Definition1.8:** [1]

A Fu-sub-set  $m$  of  $S$  is called Fu-int-ideal (Fu-int-ideal) of  $S$  if  $m(paq) \geq m(a) \forall p, a$  and  $q$  of  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.9:**[4]

Consider  $m$  to be a Fu-sub-set of  $S$ . Then  $m$  is Fu-int-ideal of  $S \Leftrightarrow S \circ m \circ S \subseteq m$

**Definition 1.10:** [4]

A fuzzy- Quasi -ideal (Fu-Q -ideal)  $m$  of  $S$  is defined as  $(m \circ S) \subseteq (S \circ m) \subseteq m$

**Note:** "Any Fu-2-sided -ideal of  $S$  is a Fu-Q -ideal of  $S$  and any Fu-Q -ideal of  $S$  is a Fu-Bi -ideal of  $S$ . The opposite often does not apply.[3]"

**Lemma 1.11:**[3]

"Let  $\phi \neq A$  sub set of a semi group  $S$ . Then  $A$  is a Fu-Q -ideal of  $S \Leftrightarrow C_A$  is Fu-Q -ideal of  $S$ ."

**Definition 1.12:**[1]

Let  $\phi \neq U \subseteq S$ ,  $U$  is called a generalized-Bi-ideal of  $S$  iff  $USU \subseteq U$ . A Fu-sub-set  $m$  of  $S$  is said a **Fu-G-Bi -ideal** of  $S$  if  $m(paq) \geq m(p) \wedge m(q) \forall p, a$  and  $q \in S$ .

**Note:** "Every Fu-Bi-ideal of a semi group  $S$  is a Fu-G- Bi-ideal of  $S$ . But the converse of this statement does not hold in general.[3]"

**Definition 1.13:** [3]

Assume that  $m$  is a Fu-sub-set of  $S$ . A Fu-Bi-ideal of  $S$  created by  $m$  is the smallest Fu-Bi-ideal of  $S$  containing  $m$ ; it is represented by the symbol  $\langle m \rangle_B$

**Theorem1.14:[3]**

Let  $m$  be a monoid  $S$  Fu-sub-set. Then for any  $a \in S$ ,  $\langle m \rangle_B = j$  where  $j(a) = \bigvee \{ m(x_1) \wedge m(x_3) \mid a = x_1 x_2 x_3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S \}$

**Examples :**

- 1- Consider  $S$  as a semi -group of 4 elements  $\{k,l,r,s\}$  with the following multiplication table

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & k & l & r & s \\ \hline k & k & k & k & k \\ l & k & k & k & k \\ r & k & k & l & k \\ s & k & k & l & l \end{array}$$

Let  $A$  be a fu -subset of  $S$  such that  $A(k)=0.7$ ,  $A(l)=0$ ,  $A(r)=0$ ,  $A(s)=0$ , then  $A$  is a fu-int -ideal of  $S$  which is not a fu-two-sided -ideal of  $S$ ,  $A(abc)=A(k)=0.7 \geq A(b) \forall a, b, c \in S$ , thus  $A$  is a fu-int-ideal of  $S$  but since  $A(sr)=A(q)=0 < 0.3 = A(r)$ ,  $A$  is not a fu-left -ideal of  $S$ .

- 2- Consider  $S$  as a semi -group of 4 elements  $\{0,q,r,s\}$  with the following multiplication table

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & 0 & q & r & s \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & q & r & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s & 0 & s & 0 & 0 \end{array}$$

Then  $Q=\{0,q\}$  is  $Q$  -ideal of  $S$  and is not ideal of  $S$ . Define the fu-sub set  $A$  of  $S$  as follows  $A(0)=A(q)=0.7$  and  $A(r)=A(s)=0$ , then  $A$  is fu- $Q$  -ideal of  $S$  but is not fu -ideal of  $S$ .

- 3- Consider  $S$  as a semi -group of 4 elements  $\{0,q,r,s\}$  with the following multiplication table

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & 0 & q & r & s \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & 0 & q \\ s & 0 & 0 & q & r \end{array}$$

, then  $B=\{0,r\}$  is Bi-ideal of  $S$  but is not  $Q$ -ideal of  $S$ , define the fu-sub set  $A$  of  $S$  as  $A(0)=A(r)=0.7$  and  $A(q)=A(s)=0$ , then  $A$  is fu-Bi -ideal of  $S$  but is not a fu- $Q$  -ideal of  $S$ .

- 4- Consider  $S$  as a semi -group of 4 elements  $\{k,q,r,s\}$  with the following multiplication table

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \cdot & k & q & r & s \\ \hline k & k & k & k & k \\ q & k & k & k & k \\ r & k & k & q & k \\ s & k & k & q & q \end{array}$$

Let  $A$  be a fu -sub set of  $S$  such that  $A(k)=0.5$ ,  $A(q)=0$ ,  $A(r)=0.2$  and  $A(s)=0$ ,  $A$  is a fu-G-bi -ideal of  $S$  but not a bi -ideal of  $S$ .

## 2- Fuzzy Ideals of Unit Regular Semi groups

The properties of Fu-ideals of unit regular semi-groups are discussed in this section.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $L$  left ideal and  $R$  right ideal of a semi-group  $S$ , if  $S$  is unit regular, then  $R \cap L = RL$ .

**Proof:** Let  $R$  and  $L$  be the right and left ideals, respectively of  $S$ , and assume that  $S$  is unit regular, we need to show that  $R \cap L = RL$ . (i.e)  $R \cap L \subseteq RL$  and  $RL \subseteq R \cap L$ . Let  $x \in R \cap L$  this means that  $x$  in both  $R$  and  $L$  therefore  $x \in RL$  (since  $RL \subseteq R$  and  $RL \subseteq L$ ). therefore  $R \cap L \subseteq RL$  and let  $x \in RL$ , let  $x = ab$  where  $a \in R$  and  $b \in L$ . since  $S$  is unit regular there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $x = xux$  (let  $xu = a$  and  $x = b$ ) we have  $x = ab$  also  $x = xux$ . we have  $x \in R$  and  $x \in L$  this means that  $x \in R \cap L$  therefore  $RL \subseteq R \cap L$ . Thus,  $R \cap L = RL$ .

**Lemma 2.2:** Given a unit regular semi-group  $S$ , let  $m$  be Fu-sub set. Then, the subsequent circumstances are comparable:

- (1)  $m$  is a Fu-ideal of  $S$ .
- (2)  $m$  is a Fu-int-ideal of  $S$ .

**Proof:** Lemma 1.5 shows that (2) entails (1), which is sufficient to establish. Let  $a$  and  $c$  be any members of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a unit regular,  $\exists$  elements  $u_1, u_2 \in S$  such that  $a = au_1a$ ,  $c = cu_2c$  thus we have :

$$m(ac) = ((au_1a)c) = m((au_1)ac) = m(w_1ac) \geq m(a) \quad \text{and}$$

$$m(ac) = m(a(cu_2c)) = m(ac(u_2c)) = m(acw_2) \geq m(c) \quad \text{therefore } m \text{ is a Fu-2-sided-ideal of } S.$$

**Lemma 2.3:** A unit regular semi-group  $S$  has Fu-Bi-ideal  $\forall$  Fu-G-Bi-ideal of  $S$ .

**Proof:** Let  $t$  and  $c$  be any components of  $S$ , and let  $m$  be any Fu-G-Bi-ideal of  $S$ . As a result, we have  $m(tc) = m(t(cxc)) = m(t(cx)c) > m(t) \wedge m(c)$  since  $S$  is a unit regular,  $\exists$  an element  $x \in S$  such that  $c = cxc$ . This suggests that  $m$  is Fu-Bi-ideal of  $S$  since it is Fu-sub semi-group of  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.4:** Let  $m$  be a Fu-sub set of a monoid  $S$ . If  $S$  is unit regular semi-group, then

$$\langle m \rangle_B = j \quad \text{where } j(a) = \bigvee \{ m(x_1) \wedge m(x_3) \mid a = x_1x_2x_3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S \} \quad \forall a \in S.$$

**Proof :** All that is required to establish  $j(a) \geq m(a)$  for every  $a \in S$  is to use theorem 1.14. Moreover, for any  $a$  in  $S$ ,  $j(a) = \bigvee \{ m(x_1) \wedge m(x_3) \mid a = x_1x_2x_3, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S \}$

$$\geq j(a) = \bigvee \{ m(a) \wedge m(a) \mid a = axa \in S \} = m(a)$$

**Definition 2.5 :** [3] Let  $a \in S$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Define the Fu-sub set  $a_t$  of  $S$  as follows:

$$a_t(x) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } x = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in S.$$

Then,  $a_t$  is referred to as a Fu-singleton or Fu-point. Assume  $m = x_t$ . The following outcome can then be obtained using Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.6:** Assume that  $S$  is a monoid and that  $x_t$  is a Fu-singleton of  $S$ , if the unit regular semi-group  $S$  is. Consequently,  $\langle x_t \rangle B = j$ , where

$$j(a) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if there exists some } y \in S \text{ such that } a = yxy, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\forall a \in S$ .

**Theorem 2.7:** "[5] The cases that follow are comparable for a semi-group  $S$ .

- 1)  $S$  is regular
- 2) For each right-ideal  $R$  of  $S$ , and each left-ideal  $L$  of  $S$ ,  $R \cap L = RL$ .
- 3) For any  $Q$ -ideal  $A$  of  $S$ ,  $A = ASA$ .

**Theorem 2.8:** The subsequent cases are similar for a semigroup  $S$ .

- 1)  $S$  unit regular.
- 2) For any Fu- $Q$ -ideal  $m$  of  $S$ ,  $m = m \circ S \circ m$ .
- 3) For any Fu- $G$ - $Bi$ -ideal  $m$  of  $S$ ,  $m = m \circ S \circ m$ .

**proof:** Let  $m$  be any Fu- $G$ - $Bi$ -ideal of  $S$  and  $a \in S$ . Assuming (1) holds,  $\exists$  a unit element  $u \in S$  such that  $c = cuc$  because  $S$  is a unit regular. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m \circ S \circ m)_{(c)} &= \bigvee_{c=yuc} \{ (m \circ S)_{(y)} \wedge m(c) \} \\ &\geq \{ (m \circ S)_{cu} \wedge m(c) \} \\ &= \{ m(c) \wedge m(c) \wedge m(c) \} \\ &\geq m(c) \wedge m(c) \\ &= m(c) \end{aligned}$$

And so  $m \subseteq m \circ S \circ m$  since  $m$  is  $G$ - $Bi$ -ideal of  $S$ ,  $m \circ S \circ m \subseteq m$ . Thus  $m = m \circ S \circ m$

That [1]  $\rightarrow$  [2]  $\rightarrow$  [3] is evident. Presently (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1) Assuming that (2) is true, we get  $ASA \subseteq A$  since, given any  $Q$ -ideal of  $S$ ,  $A$   $C_A$  is Fu- $Q$ -ideal by lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{a=yua} \{ (C_A \circ S)_{(y)} \wedge C_A(a) \} &= (C_A \circ S) \circ (C_A \circ S) \\ &= C_A(a) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This suggests that  $C_A(a) = 1$  and  $(C_A \circ S) = 1$  exist for elements  $t$  and  $v$  of  $S$ .

Using  $a = tv$ . Therefore,  $\bigvee_{t=pq} \{ (C_A)_{(p)} \wedge S(q) \} = (C_A \circ S)_t = 1$ .

According to this, elements  $d$  and  $c$  of  $S$  must exist for  $C_A(d) = 1$  and  $S(e) = 1$ .

Using  $b=de$ . Because  $d, c \in A$  and  $e \in S$ ,  $a=bc=(de)c \in ASA$ . Consequently,  $A=ASA$  since  $A \subseteq ASA$ . Therefore, since  $S$  is unit regular, (2) implies (1) based on theorem 2.7.

**Theorem 2.9:** A unit regular semi-group  $S$ , a Fu-2-sided ideals are all idempotent.

**Proof:** Let  $m$  be the ideal of a unit regular semi group  $S$  that is Fu-2-sided. Lemma 1.5 therefore gives us  $m \circ S \circ m \subseteq m$ . Since  $S$  is unit regular,  $m$  is a Fu-G-Bi-ideal of  $S$ . According to Theorem 2.8, we may deduce that  $m = m \circ S \circ m = m \circ (S \circ m) \subseteq m \circ S \subseteq m$ , and as a result,  $m \circ m = m$ .

**Definition 2.10:** [3]

When the left and right duos combine to form a semi group  $S$ , it is referred to as duo. A semi-group  $S$  is called left (right) duo if each  $A$  ideal of  $S$  that has 2-sides is its left (right) ideal.

**Theorem 2.11:** A unit regular semi group  $S$  is left (right) duo exclusively in the case of the Fu-left (right) duo.

**Proof:** Let  $S$  be the left-duo. Since the left ideal  $Sa$  is a 2-sided-ideal of  $S$ , let  $m$  be any Fu-left -ideal of  $S$  and  $a, b \in S$ . Furthermore, because  $S$  is unit regular, we get  $ab \in (aSa)b \subseteq (Sa)S \subseteq Sa$ . This suggests that there is an element  $a \in S$  such that  $ab = xa$ .

Hence,  $m(ab) = m(xa) \supseteq m(a)$  since  $m$  is Fu-left -ideal of  $S$ . As a result,  $S$  is Fu-left-duo since  $m$  is Fu-right -ideal of  $S$  and  $m$  is a Fu-2-sided -ideal.

On the other hand, let's say  $S$  is the Fu-left duo. Lemma 1.4 states that the characteristic function image of an arbitrary left-ideal  $A$  is a Fu-left ideal of  $S$ . Next, presuming

**Corollary 2.12:** A unit regular semi group is duo  $\Leftrightarrow$  it is Fu-duo.

**Theorem 2.13:** For a unit regular semi group  $S$ , the sub sequent situations are equal.

1) A right (left, 2-sided) ideal of  $S$  is a bi-ideal of  $S$  in all cases.

2) As for Fu-right (left, 2-sided) ideals of  $S$ , all Fu-Bi-ideals of  $S$  are Fu-right.

**Proof:** Let  $m$  be any Fu-Bi-ideal of  $S$  with  $t, u \in S$ . Assume that (1) holds. As the set  $tSt$  is assumed to be a right -ideal of  $S$ , it is bi-ideal of  $S$ . We have  $tu \in (tSt)S \subseteq tSt$  because  $S$  is unit regular. This means that there is an element  $v \in S$  such that  $tu = tv$ . Since  $f$  is a fu-bi-ideal of  $S$ ,

$m(tu) = m(tv) \supseteq m(t) \wedge m(t) = m(t)$ , which means  $m$  is a Fu-right ideal of  $S$ . Consequently, we obtain (2).

On the other hand, if (2) is true and we let  $A$  be any Bi-ideal of  $S$ , then theorem 1.7 indicates that the characteristic function  $C_A$  is a Fu-Bi -ideal of  $S$ , hence, we can assume that it is Fu-right -ideal of  $S$ , as shown by (lemma 1.4).

**Theorem 2.14:** For a semi -group  $S$  the subsequent situations are similar.

1)  $S$  is unit regular.

2) The equation  $m \cap n = m \circ n$  holds  $\forall$  Fu-left ideal  $n$  and each Fu-right ideal  $m$  of  $S$ .

**proof:** If (1) is correct, then let  $m$  be Fu-right -ideal and  $n$  be any left -ideal of  $S$ . Then, (by lemma 1.5) we have  $m \circ n \subseteq m \circ S \subseteq m$  and  $m \circ n \subseteq S \circ n \subseteq n$  thus  $m \circ n \subseteq m \cap n$ , let  $a \in S$ . Since  $S$  is unit regular,  $\exists$  an element  $u \in S$  such that  $a = au$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (m \circ n)_{(a)} &= \bigvee_{a=yz} \{m(y) \wedge n(z)\} \\ &\geq m(au) \wedge n(a) \\ &\geq m(a) \wedge n(a) \\ &= (m \cap n)_{(a)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $m \circ n \supseteq m \cap n$ , meaning that  $m \circ n = m \cap n$ , and thus (1)  $\rightarrow$  in contrast, if (2) is true, then let  $M$  and  $N$  represent any right and left ideals of  $S$ , respectively, in order to determine that  $M \cap N \subseteq MN$  holds. If it is true, then (by lemma 1.4) the characteristic functions  $C_M$  and  $C_N$  of  $M$  and  $N$  are, respectively, a Fu-right ideal and a Fu-left -ideal of  $S$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{MN}(a) &= (C_M \circ C_N)_{(a)} \\ &= (C_M \cap C_N)_{(a)} \\ &= C_{M \cap N}(a) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, given that the inclusion in the other direction is always true, we get that  $M \cap N = MN$ . This means that since  $S$  is unit regular according to Theorem 2. 8, (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). And therefore,  $a \in MN$ , and thus  $M \cap N \subseteq MN$ .

**Theorem 2.15:** For a semi group  $S$  The ensuing prerequisites are comparable.

- (1)  $S$  is unit regular
- (2)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu-Q -ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu-2-sided -ideal  $n$  of  $S$
- (3)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu - Q\_ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu- int -ideal  $n$  of  $S$
- (4)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu-Bi-ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu- 2-sided -ideal  $n$  of  $S$
- (5)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu-Bi-ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu-int -ideal  $n$  of  $S$
- (6)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu- G- Bi-ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu- 2-sided -ideal  $n$  of  $S$
- (7)  $m \cap n = m \circ n \circ m \quad \forall$  Fu- G- Bi-ideal  $m$  and  $\forall$  Fu-int -ideal of  $S$ .

**Proof:** Assuming (1) is true, let  $m$  and  $n$  be any Fu-G-Bi -ideal and Fu-int -ideal of  $S$ , respectively, Then,  $m \circ n \circ m \subseteq m \circ S \circ m \subseteq m$  and  $m \circ n \circ m \subseteq S \circ n \circ S \subseteq n$ , and so  $m \circ n \circ m \subseteq m \cup n$ .

Let  $k$  be a member of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is unit regular,  $\exists$  an element  $u$  in  $S$  such that  $k = uk = (kuk)$ . Therefore, since  $n$  is a Fu-int -ideal of  $S$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (m \circ n \circ m)_{(k)} &= \bigvee_{k=yk} \{m(y) \wedge (n \circ m)(k)\} \\ &\geq m(k) \wedge (n \circ m)(uk) \\ &= m(k) \wedge \bigvee_{ukuk=pq} \{n(p) \wedge m(q)\} \end{aligned}$$



$$\geq m(k) \wedge (n(uku) \wedge m(k))$$

$$\geq m(k) \wedge n(k)$$

$$= (m \cap n)(k)$$

Thus,  $m \circ n \circ m \subseteq m \cap n$ , and thus, (1)  $\rightarrow$  (7),  $m \circ n \circ m = m \cap n$ .

That is evident from (7)  $\rightarrow$  (5)  $\rightarrow$  (3)  $\rightarrow$  (2) and (7)  $\rightarrow$  (6)  $\rightarrow$  (4)  $\rightarrow$  (2)

Assuming (2) to be true, let  $m$  be any Fu-Q -ideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a Fu-2-sided ideal of  $S$ , we get  $m = m \cap S = m \circ S \circ m$ . This shows that  $S$  is unit regular, which is implied (2) by theorem 2.9.

## References

- 
- [1] Mohammed, A. S., Asaad, S. H., & Ahmed, I. S. (2022). New properties of strongly regular left almost semi-group. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, 25(2), 615-622.
- [2] Howie, J. M. (1976). *An introduction to semigroup theory*, Academic Press, New YORK .
- [3] Mordeson, J. N., Malik, D. S., & Kuroki, N. (2012). *Fuzzy semigroups* (Vol. 131). Springer.
- [4] Kuroki, N. (1981). On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 5(2), 203-215.
- [5] Rosenfeld, A. (1971). Fuzzy groups. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 35(3), 512-517.
- [6] McFadden, R. B. (1984). Unit-regular orthodox semigroups. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 25(2), 229-240.
- [7] Asaad, S. H., Ahmed, I. S., & Mohammed, A. S. (2020, November). Generalization Fuzzy Completely Intra  $\Gamma$ -ideals in  $\Gamma$ -Semi Groups. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1664, No. 1, p. 012028). IOP Publishing.
- [8] Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-353.

