Math Page 7 - 12 Noori .F / Karrar .S

## On Fuzzy Measure on Fuzzy sets

Noori F. Al-Mayahi Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and IT University of Al-Qadisiya Email: nfam60@yahoo.com Karrar S. Hamzah
Department of Mathemat
College of Computer Science and IT
University of Al-Qadisiya
Email: karrar638@gmail.com

Recived: 2\\\2017 Revised: 14\\5\\2017 Accepted: 29\\5\\2017

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the fuzzy measure on fuzzy sets and prove some new properties. **Keywords:** Fuzzy measure, semi continuous fuzzy measure, null additive fuzzy measure, fuzzy measure accountably weakly null-additive fuzzy measure.

Mathematics subject classification: 20CXX.

#### 1. Introduction

The fuzzy measure, defined on  $\sigma$ -field, was introduced by Sugeno [4]. Ralescu and Adams [10] generalized the concepts of fuzzy measure and fuzzy integral to the case that the value of a fuzzy measure can be infinite, and to realize an approach from Subjective.

Wang [7,11] and Kruse [17] studied some structural characteristics of fuzzy measures and proved several theorem about fuzzy measure.

Wang [7, 11] introduced the concept of 'autocontinuity of a set function', used it with regard to the above-mentioned researches, and obtained a series of new results.

The notion of fuzzy measure was extended by Avallone and Barbieri, Jiang and Suzuki [14] Narukawa and Murofushi [8] , Ralescu and Adams [10] as a set function which was defined on  $\sigma\text{-field}$  with values in  $[0,\infty]$  . After that, many authors studied the fuzzy measure and proved some results about it as Guo and Zhang [8] Kui [13], Li and Yasuda [6] Lushu and Zhaohu [15] Minghu [16].

In this paper, we mention the definition of Fuzzy Measure on Fuzzy
Set with some Properties, and prove some new relations deal with fuzzy measure.

### **Definition (1): [18, 19]**

Let  $\Omega$  be anon empty set, a fuzzy set A in  $\Omega$ (or a fuzzy subset in  $\Omega$ ) is a function from  $\Omega$  into I, i.e.  $A \in I^{\Omega}$ . A(x) is interpreted as the degree of membership of element x in a fuzzy set A for each  $x \in \Omega$ . a fuzzy set A in  $\Omega$  is can be represented by the set of pairs:

$$A = \{(x, A(x)) : x \in \Omega \}$$

Note that every ordinary set is fuzzy set, i.e.  $P(\Omega) \subseteq I^{\Omega}$ .

# **Definition (2): [1, 2]**

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of fuzzy sets in a set  $\Omega$  is called a fuzzy  $\sigma$  —field on a set  $\Omega$  If,

- 1.  $\phi, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 2. If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 3. If  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}, n = 1,2,3,...$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Evidently, an arbitrary  $\sigma$  -field must be fuzzy  $\sigma$  -field.

A fuzzy measurable Space is a pair( $\Omega, \mathcal{F}$ ), where  $\Omega$  is a set and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$ —field on  $\Omega$ . a fuzzy set A in  $\Omega$  is called fuzzy measurable (fuzzy measurable with respect to the fuzzy  $\sigma$ —field ) if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e. any member of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a fuzzy measurable set.

### **Definition (3) [3]:**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  is said to be

- (1) Finite if,  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (2) Semi-finite, if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(A) = \infty$ , there exists  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $B \subseteq A$  and  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ .

(3) Bounded, if  $\sup\{|\mu(A): A \in \mathcal{F}|\} < \infty$ 

(4)  $\sigma$ -finite, if for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$
 And  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ .

Additive if, (5)  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B} = \emptyset$ .

Finitely additive if, (6)

$$\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(A_k)$$
 whenever  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are disjoint sets

in  $\mathcal{F}$  .

(7)  $\sigma$ -additive (sometimes called Completely additive, or A counably additive) if,

$$\mu(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty}A_k)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mu(A_k)$$
 , whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of disjoint

sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- (8) Measure, if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$  - additive and  $\mu(A) \ge 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (9)Probability, if  $\mu$  is a measure and  $\mu(\Omega) = 1.$
- (10)Continuous from below at  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ , whenever

 $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $A_n \uparrow A$ .

- Continuous from above at  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , (11)if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ , whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $A_n \downarrow A$ .
- Continuous at  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if it is (12)continuous both from below and from above at A.

### **Definition (4): [4]**

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  is said to be a fuzzy measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  if it satisfies the following properties:

(1)  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ 

(2) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq$  $\mu(B)$ 

## **Definition (5): [5]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  is called:

Upper semi continuous fuzzy measure if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$$

whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is increasing sequence.

(2) Lower semi continuous fuzzy measure if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A_n) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n)$$

whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is decreasing sequence.

- Semi continuous fuzzy measure (3)if it is both upper and lower semi continuous fuzzy measure.
- (4) Regular if and only if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ .

## **Definition (6): [5]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be

- 1. Exhaustive if  $\mu(A_n) \to 0$  whenever  $\{A_n\}$  is infinite sequence of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$
- 2. Order-continuous if  $\mu(A_n) \to 0$ , whenever  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , n = 1,2,... and  $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$ .

## **Definition (7): [6]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be additive, if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } A \cap B = \emptyset.$ 

### **Definition (8): [6, 7]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be Null-additive, if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A)$ whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $\mu(B) =$ 0.

### **Definition (9): [8]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be weakly null-additive, if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \mu(B) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = 0$$
  
Remark (10):

The concept of null-null additive stems from a wings textbook which the book[8] derived from, in which it is said to be weak null additive. But we consider that it is more precise and vivid to call it "null- null additive".

# **Definition (11):**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be finitely weakly null-additive, if for any  $\{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A_i) = 0$ 

, for all 
$$i = 1, ..., n \Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = 0$$

#### **Definition (12): [6]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be Countably weakly null-additive, if for any  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}, \mu(A_n) = 0$ 

, for all 
$$n \ge 1 \Longrightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 0$$

### **Definition (13): [6]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be null-continuous, if  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0$  for every increasing sequence  $\{A_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(A_n) = 0$ , for all  $n \ge 1$ .

#### **Definition (14):[9]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be null-subtractive, if we have

 $\mu(A \cap B^c) = \mu(A)$ , whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

## **Definition (15):[9]**

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .  $\mu$  is called pseudo-null-subtractive with respect to A, if for any  $B \in A \cap \mathcal{F}$ , we have

 $\mu(B \cap C) = \mu(B)$ , whenever  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A \cap C) = \mu(A)$ .here

 $A \cap \mathcal{F} = \{A \cap D : D \in \mathcal{F}\}.$ 

### **Definition (16):[9]**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space. A set function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be

auto continuous from above (resp. autocontinuous from below), if  $\mu(B_n) \to 0$  implies  $\mu(A \cup B_n) \to \mu(A)$  (resp.

 $\mu(A\cap B^c{}_n)\to \mu(A))$ 

, whenever  $A \in \mathcal{F}, \{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu$  is called autocontinuous if it is both autocontinuous from above and autocontinuous from below.

## **Definition (17): [9]**

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A) < \infty$ ,  $\mu$  is called pseudo-autocontinuous from above with respect to A (resp. from below with respect to A), if for any  $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , when

 $\mu(B_n \cap A) \to \mu(A)$ , then  $\mu(B_n^c \cap A) \cup C) \to \mu(C)$ ,

(resp.  $\mu(B_n \cap C) \to \mu(C)$  whenever  $C \in A \cap \mathcal{F}$ .

 $\mu$  is called pseudo-autocontinuous with respect to A, if it is both pseudo-autocontinuous from above with respect to A and pseudo-autocontinuous from below with respect to A.

## 2. Main results

#### Theorem (1):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a fuzzy measure space, if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$  – additive then

 $\mathcal{F}^* = \{A\Delta B, A \in \mathcal{F}, B \subseteq \Omega \text{ and } \mu(B) = 0\}$  is fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field on  $\Omega$ .

### **Proof**:

(1) Since 
$$\Omega \Delta \emptyset = \Omega$$
,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$   
,  $\emptyset \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$   
, we have  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}^*$ 

(2) Let 
$$V \in \mathcal{F}^*$$
, we have  $V = A\Delta B$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$   $\Rightarrow V^c = (A\Delta B)^c = [(A/B) \cup (B/A)]^c$   $= (A^c \cap B^c) \cup (A \cap B)$   $= (A^c/B) \cup (B/A^c)$ 

=  $A^c \Delta B$ , where  $B \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$ Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field, we have

$$A^c \in \mathcal{F}$$
 and  $B \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow V^c = A^c \Delta B, A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \subseteq \Omega$  with  $\mu(B) = 0$   
 $\therefore V^c \in \mathcal{F}^*$ 

(3) Let  $\{V_n\}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}^*$  with

 $V_n = A_n \Delta B_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $B_n \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\mu(B_n) = 0$  for all n.

We have

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$\mathcal{F} \text{ is fuzzy } \sigma - \text{field}$$

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \subseteq \Omega \text{ and } \mu \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \Delta B_n)$$

$$V_{n} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{n} \Delta B_{n})$$

$$= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [(A_{n} / B_{n})]$$

$$= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \Delta \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n} \in \mathcal{F}^{*}$$

Consequently  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is fuzzy  $\sigma$  —field on  $\Omega$ .

#### Remark (2):

The union of a collection of fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field need not be fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field as in the following example.

#### Example (3):

Let A, B, C, D are fuzzy sets and  $\Omega = \{A(x), B(x), C(x), D(x)\}$ , such that

$$A(x), B(x), C(x), D(x), \text{ such that}$$

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x \le 1/4 \\ 2x & 1/4 < x \le 1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$C(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$D(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le x \le 1/4 \\ 1 - 2x & 1/4 < x \le 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, A(x), C(x), \Omega\} , \quad \mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, A(x), C(x), \Omega\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, A(x), C(x), \Omega\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{\emptyset, B(x), D(x), \Omega\}$  are two fuzzy  $\sigma$  –fields, but  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is not fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field.

#### **Solution:**

First we must prove that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is fuzzy  $\sigma$  -field.

 $\mathcal{F}_1$  Is fuzzy  $\sigma$  -field

- (1)  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .
- (2) (i) Let  $A(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , to prove  $A^c(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$

From Definition (1.1.6) we get on

$$A^{c}(x) = 1 - A(x)$$

$$= 1 - \begin{cases} 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= C(x)$$

But  $C(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$  $\Rightarrow A^c(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .

(ii) Let  $C(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , to prove  $C^c(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ 

$$C^{c}(x) = 1 - C(x)$$

$$= 1$$

$$-\begin{cases} 1 - 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2x & 0 \le x \le 1/2 \\ 0 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= A(x)$$

But  $A(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$ 

$$\Rightarrow C^c(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$$

(iii) It is clear that  $\emptyset^c = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_1$ 

And  $\Omega^c = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_1$ 

(3) (i) if 
$$0 \le x \le 1/2$$
  
 $\Rightarrow (A \cup C)(x) = \max\{A(x), C(x)\}$   
 $= \max\{2x, 1 - 2x\} = 2x$ 

(a) If 
$$x = 0$$
  

$$\Rightarrow (A \cup C)(x) = 0$$

$$= \emptyset(x) \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$$

$$(A \cup C)(x) = \emptyset$$

$$(A \cup C)(x) = 1 = \Omega(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1.$$

(ii) 
$$1/2 < x \le 1$$
  
 $\Rightarrow (A \cup C)(x) = \max\{A(x), C(x)\}$   
 $= \max\{0,1\} = 1$ 

 $\therefore A \cup \mathcal{C}(x) = 1 = \Omega(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1 \ .$ 

 $\therefore \mathcal{F}_1$  Is fuzzy  $\sigma$  —field

In the same way we can prove that  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is fuzzy  $\sigma$  —field.

Now to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is not fuzzy  $\sigma$  –field

$$A_{1}, \quad B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x \le 1/4 \\ 2x & 1/4 < x \le 1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2 < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

(i) if 
$$0 \le x \le 1/4$$
  
 $\Rightarrow (A \cup B)(x) = \max\{A(x), B(x)\} = \max\{2x, 0\} = 2x$ 

(a) If 
$$x = 0 \implies A \cup B(x) = 0 = \emptyset(x) \in \mathcal{F}_1$$
.

(b) If 
$$x = \frac{1}{4} \Longrightarrow (A \cup B)(x) = 1/2 \notin \mathcal{F}_1$$
.

 $\therefore \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  is not fuzzy  $\sigma$  -field

### Theorem (4):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a fuzzy measure space, suppose that  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is  $\sigma$  -field and  $\mu^*$  is a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}^*)$ , for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mu(B) = \mu^*(A \cap B)$  For any  $B \in \mathcal{F}^*$  is fuzzy measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

## **Proof**:

- (1) Since  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is  $\sigma$  -field  $\Longrightarrow \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}^*$
- $\therefore \mu(\emptyset) = \mu^*(A \cap \emptyset) = \mu^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset.$
- (2) Let  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ , then  $\mu(A_1) = \mu^*(A_1 \cap B) \le \mu^*(A_2 \cap B) = \mu(A_2)$

 $\therefore \mu$  is fuzzy measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .

### Theorem (5):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a fuzzy measure space such that there is  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  with

 $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$ , define  $\mu^* : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  by  $\mu^*(A) = \mu(A \cap B) / \mu(B)$ , then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu^*)$  is fuzzy measure space.

## Proof:

(1)

(2)

$$\mu^*(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset \cap B)/\mu(B) = 0.$$

let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$ 

Since 
$$A \subseteq B$$
, hence  $A \cap B = A$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) \le \mu(B)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu(A \cap B)/\mu(B) \le \mu(B \cap B)/\mu(B)$   
 $\Rightarrow \mu^*(A) \le \mu^*(B)$ .

Consequently  $\mu^*$  is a fuzzy measure.

### Theorem (6):

Let  $(\Omega,\mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space,  $\mu,\nu$  be a fuzzy measures on  $\Omega$  , then  $\mu+\nu$  which denoted by

$$(\mu + \nu)(A) = \mu(A) + \nu(A)$$

is fuzzy measure on  $\Omega$ .

## Proof:

- (1) Since  $\mu, \nu$  be two fuzzy measures  $\Rightarrow (\mu + \nu)(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (2) let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ , if  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $(\mu + \nu)(A) = \mu(A) + \nu(A) \le \mu(B) + \nu(B) = (\mu + \nu)(B)$ .

So  $\mu + \nu$  is fuzzy measure.

Noori .F / Karrar .S

## Corollary (1):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space,  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure on  $\Omega$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ , define a set function( $\alpha\mu$ )(A) =  $\alpha\mu$ (A), then  $\alpha\mu$  is fuzzy measure on  $\Omega$ .

### **Proof**:

Since  $\mu$  be a fuzzy measure, we have (1)  $(\alpha \mu)(\emptyset) = \alpha \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

(2) let 
$$A, B \in \mathcal{F}$$
, if  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $\mu(A) \le \mu(B)$   $\Rightarrow (\alpha \mu)(A) = \alpha \mu(A) \le \alpha \mu(B)$   $= (\alpha \mu)(B)$ .

So  $\alpha\mu$  is fuzzy measure.

#### Remark (7)

The points (1) and (2) from Definition (5) explain fuzzy measure is upper semi continuous and lower semi continuous; the following results take us to the converse direction.

### Theorem (8)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space and let  $\mu$  be a function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , if  $\mu$  is additive, non-decreasing and upper semi continuous, then  $\mu$  is fuzzy measure.

#### Proof:

(1) Since 
$$A = A \cup \emptyset$$

Also  $\mu$  is additive we have

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A \cup \emptyset) = \mu(A) + \mu(\emptyset)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$
(1) Let  $A, B \in \mu$ , if  $A \subseteq B$ , we have
$$B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$$
and  $A \cap (B \setminus A) = \emptyset$ 

Since  $\mu$  is additive we have, we obtain

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

Consequently

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$$

In addition,  $\mu(B \setminus A) \ge 0$ 

Hence

$$\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$$

Then  $\mu$  is fuzzy measure.

### Theorem (9):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of disjoint fuzzy set in  $\mathcal{F}$ and it is decreasing , if  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  and  $\mu$  is lower semi continuous fuzzy measure at  $\emptyset$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

#### **Proof:**

Since  $\{A_n\}$  is lower continuous fuzzy measure at Ø, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A_n)=\mu(\emptyset)$$

But

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(\mathbf{A}_n)=0.$$

# Theorem (10):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, and for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A) \neq 0$ , then  $\mu$  is null additive.

### **Proof:**

If there exists some set  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ that  $\mu(B) = 0$ , then  $B = \emptyset$ .

Consequently, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , have  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A)$ .

### Theorem (11):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, if  $\mu$  is autocontinuous from below, then it is nullsubtractive.

#### Proof:

Let 
$$A, B_n \in \mathcal{F}$$

Since if  $\mu$  is autocontinuous from below, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(B_n)=0$$

Also we have

$$\mu(A \cap B_n^c) \to \mu(A)$$

Consequently  $\mu$  is null-subtractive.

### Theorem (12):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, if  $\mu$ is pseudo-autocontinuous from below with respect to A, then it is pseudo-nullsubtractice with respect to A.

#### Proof:

Let 
$$A, B_n \in \mathcal{F}$$

Since if  $\mu$  is pseudo-autocontinuous from below, we have

$$\mu(A) < \infty$$
. And  $C \in A \cap \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mu(B_n\cap C)\to \mu(C)$$

Consequently  $\mu$  is pseudo-null-subtractice with respect to A.

## Theorem (13):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, if  $\mu$  is upper semi continuous fuzzy measure and ccountably weakly null additive then  $\mu$  is exhaustive.

## Proof:

Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a disjoint of sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ Since  $\mu$  is countably weakly null additive

$$\mu(A_n) = 0$$
, for all  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\Rightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 0$$
 Also  $\mu$  is upper semi continuous

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$$

 $\therefore \mu$  is exhaustive.

## Theorem (14):

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  be a fuzzy measurable space, if  $\mu$  is ccountably weakly null additive then  $\mu$  is nullcontinuous.

Noori .F / Karrar .S

#### **Proof:**

Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a increasing sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that

 $\mu(A_n) = 0$ , for all  $n \ge 1$ Since  $\mu$  is countably weakly null additive

$$\Longrightarrow \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 0$$

 $\therefore \mu$  is null-continuous.

#### References

- [1] Qiao Zhong,"Riesz's theorem and Lebesgue's theorem on the fuzzy measure space", busefal 29, (1987), 33-41.
- [2] E. P. Klement, "Fuzzy u-algebras and fuzzy measurable functions", Fuzzy *Sets and Systems* 4, (1980), 83-93.
- [3] Ash, R.B, "Probability and Measure Theory" Second edition, 2000, London.
- [4] Sugeno, .M" Theory of Fuzzy Integrals and Its Applications", Ph.D. Dissertation, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1975.
- [5] G. J. Klir, "Convergence of sequences of measurable functions on fuzzy measure space", fuzzy set and system 87, (1997),317-323.
- [6] Jun Li, Radko Mesiar and Endre Pap, "Atoms of weakly null- additive monotone measures and integrals", Information Science 257, (2014), 183-192.
- [7] Wang Zhenyuan, "The Autocontinuity of Set Function and the Fuzzy Integral", journal of mathematical analysis and application, 99, (1984), 195-218.
- [8] Z. Wang and G. J. Klir, "Fuzzy measure theory" Plenum Press, New York, 1992.

- [9] QIAO Zhong," On Fuzzy Measure and Fuzzy Integral on Fuzzy Set", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 37,(1990),77-92 North -Holland.
- [10] D.Ralescu, G.Adms, "The fuzzy integral", J.Math.Anal.Appl.75, (1980), 562-570. [11] Wang Zhenyuan, "Asymptotic structural characteristics of fuzzy measure and their applications", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 16, (1985), 277-290.
- [12] Kruse, R., "on the construction of fuzzy measures", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 8, (1982), 323-327.
- [13] L. Y. Kui, "The completion of fuzzy measure and its applications", Fuzzy sets and Systems 146, (2001), 137-145.
- [14] Q. Jiang, H. Suzuki, "Lebesgue and Saks decompositions of  $\sigma$  –finite fuzzy measure", Fuzzy Set and Systems, 75, (1995), 181-201.
- [15] L. Lushu and S. Zhaohu, "The fuzzy setvalued measures generated by fuzzy random variables", Fuzzy Set and Systems, 97, (1998), 203-209.
- [16] H. Minghu, W. Xizhao and W. Congxin, "Fundamental convergence of sequence of measureable functions on fuzzy measure space", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 95,(1998), 77-81.
- [17] Kruse, R., "on the construction of fuzzy measures", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 8, (1982), 323-327.
- [18] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8, (1965), 338-353.
- [19] H. J. Zimmerman, "fuzzy set theory and Its Application", Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1991.

# حول القياس الضبابي على المجموعات الضبابية

نوري فرحان المياحي كرار سعد حمزه جامعة القادسية / كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات / قسم الرياضيات

#### المستخلص:

في هذا البحث، سندرس القياس الضبابي على مجموعات ضبابية ونبر هن بعض الخصائص الحديدة