

On *ms*-Convergence of Nets and Filters

Fieras Joad Obead Al-Ysaary

Department of Mathematics, College of Education of Al-Qadisiyah University

E-mail: fieras.joad@qu.edu.iq

Recived : 13\12\2016

Revised : //

Accepted : 14\6\2017

Abstract:

In this paper I'm introduce and study another type of convergence in a minimal structures namely Minimal Structure Convergence (*ms*-convergence) of nets and filters by using the concept of *ms*-open sets. Also I'm investigate some properties of these concepts. As well as I'm used two functions on the basis of Minimal structure in various forms, one check transmission character compacting minimal structure and other check transmission two characters compacting minimal structure and compact from one side depending on the requirements of research.

Keywords: minimal structure, *ms*-open, *ms*-closed, *ms*-convergent, *ms*-limit, *ms*-cluster.

Mathematics subject classification : 54A20 / 54C10 / 54H99 .

Introduction:

The notion of converge is one of difference converge theorems used in general the basic notion in analysis. There're two topologies that lead to equivalent results. One of them base on the notion of a net in 1922 due to Moore and Smith [1], another one, which going back to work of Cartan [2] in 1937 is based on the notion of a filter. Al-Janabi S. H. and Al-Ysaary F. J. Provided a new concepts of minimal continuous, minimal open and minimal closed function also concepts of minimal proper function in [3] . Alimohammady M. and Roohi M. in [4] give the definition of minimal closed set (*m*-closed set) and give the definition of minimal continuous function (*m*-continuous function) and study the properties of it. Ravi O., Ganesan S., Tharmar S. and Balamukugan in [5] give the definition of minimal closed function (*m*-closed function) and study some properties of it. Also Al-Janabi S. H. and Al-Ysaary F. J. in [3] used it to construct a definition of minimal proper function and certain types of it (*m**-proper, **m*-proper and **m**-proper functions). For a subset *E* of *X*, the minimal structure closure and the minimal structure interior of *E* in *X* are denoted by \bar{E}^{-ms} and E^{oms} respectively [4],[6],[7] . Now, In this paper (*S, M_S*) represent minimal structure space on which not separation axiom are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a non empty set *S* the T_{M_S} I'm denoted the topology on *S* induced by minimal structure. Finally, we give some properties of the *ms*-

proper, *ms**-proper functions by using the concept of minimal structure exceptional (*msE_F*) set. I'm use T_U to denote the usual topology. In this paper every word (minimal) is mean (minimal structure).

1. Basic definitions and notations:

We introduce some elementary concept which we need in our work.

Definition 1.1 [4]: Let *S* be a non-empty set and $P(S)$ the power set of *X* . A subfamily M_S of $P(S)$ is called a minimal structure (briefly *m*-structure) on *S* if $\phi, S \in M_S$. In this case (*S, M_S*) is said to be minimal structure space (briefly *ms*-space) . A set $E \in P(S)$ is said *ms*-open set if $E \in M_S$. $C \in P(S)$ is an *ms*-closed set if $C^c \in M_S$.

Remark 1.2 [3]: If (*S, M_S*) is *ms*-space then there's always a subfamilies T_{M_S} of M_S satisfies the conditions of topological spaces (at least the family $\{\phi, S\}$) and the intersection of these families represent the indiscrete topology on *S* . T_{M_S} called induced topology from minimal structure M_S . If *E* is open set in *S* is mean $E \in T_{M_S}$. Also if *C* is closed set in *S* mean that $C^c \in T_{M_S}$.

Remark 1.3 [3]:

- i. For all open set is an *ms*-open set;
- ii. For all closed set is an *ms*-closed set.

The converse isn't true in general and we show that from the following next example.

Example 1.4: Let $S = \{a, b, c\}$ such that $M_S = \{\emptyset, S, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ is m -structure on S and $T_{M_S} = \{\emptyset, S, \{b\}\}$ be one of the topological space induced by the minimal structure, then the set $\{a\}$ is ms -open (also ms -closed) set, but $\{a\}, \{a\}^c = \{b, c\} \notin T_{M_S}$.

Definition 1.5 [8]: Let S be a non-empty set and M_S an m -structure on S . For a subset E of S , the minimal closure of E (briefly \overline{E}^{-ms}) and the minimal interior of E (briefly E^{oms}), defined by:

$$\overline{E}^{-ms} = \bigcap \{C : E \subseteq C, C \in M_S\}$$

$$E^{oms} = \bigcup \{O : O \subseteq E, O \in M_S\}$$

Definition 1.6 [3]: An ms -space (S, M_S) is said to be an:

- (i) ums -space if the arbitrary union of ms -open sets is an ms -open set.
- (ii) ims -space if any finite intersection of ms -open sets is an ms -open set.

Definition 1.7: Let S be an ms -space and $H \subseteq S$. An ms -neighborhood of H is any subset of S which contains ms -open set containing H . The ms -neighborhood of a subset $\{s\}$ is also called ms -neighborhood of the point s .

Definition 1.8 [9]: An ms -space S is called ms -Hausdorff ($ms-T_2$) if for any two points $s, t \in S$ distinction between it's there are two ms -open sets K, L of S distinction between it such that $s \in K$ and $t \in L$.

Definition 1.9: If $f : S \rightarrow V$ be a function of a space S into a space V then f is called:

- i. ms -continuous if $f^{-1}(H) \in M_S$, forever $H \in M_V$ [8].
- ii. ms_* -continuous if there is non-indiscrete topology T_{M_V} so that $f^{-1}(H) \in M_S, \forall H \in T_{M_V}$ [3].

Now, we review some basic definitions, theorems and remarks about a net.

Definition 1.10 [10]: A set X is said to be a directed set if there's a relation \leq on X satisfy:

- i. $x \leq x$ for all $x \in X$.
- ii. If $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $x_2 \leq x_3$ then $x_1 \leq x_3$.
- iii. If $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there's some $x_3 \in X$ with $x_1 \leq x_3$ and $x_2 \leq x_3$.

Definition 1.11 [10]: A net in a set S is a function $\chi : X \rightarrow S$, where X is a directed set. The point $\chi(x)$ is denoted by χ_x .

Definition 1.12 [10]: A subnet of a net $\chi : X \rightarrow S$ is the composition $\chi \circ \varphi$, where $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$ and M is a directed set, so that:

- (i) $\varphi(m_1) \leq \varphi(m_2)$, where $m_1 \leq m_2$.
- (ii) For every $x \in X$ there's some $m \in M$ such that $x \leq \varphi(m)$. For $m \in M$ the point $\chi \circ \varphi(m)$ is often written χ_{xm} .

Definition 1.13 [11]: Let $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in a topological space S and $E \subseteq S, s \in S$ thus:

- (i) $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is called eventual in E if there's $x_0 \in X$ so that $\chi_x \in E$ for every $x \geq x_0$.
- (ii) $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is called frequent in E if for every $x \in X$ there's $x_0 \in X$ with $x_0 \geq x$ such that $\chi_{x_0} \in E$.
- (iii) $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is said to converge to s if $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is eventually in each neighborhood of s (written $\chi_x \rightarrow s$). The point s is said to be a limit point of $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$.
- (iv) $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is said to have s as a cluster point if $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is frequent in each neighborhood of s (written $\chi_x \infty s$).

2. ms -Converge of Nets:

In this part, I'm introducing other types of convergence (namely minimal structure convergence (ms -convergence)) of a net and studying some properties of the concept of ms -limit point and ms -cluster point of the net in a given space. Also, we give some properties, remarks and examples about this subject.

Definition 2.1 [12]: Let $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in an ms -space $S, s \in S$, then $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is:

- i. an ms -converge to s . If $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is eventual for every ms -neighborhood of s (written $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$). The point s is said to be an ms -limit point of $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$.
- ii. said to have s as an ms -cluster point if $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is frequent in each ms -neighborhood of s (written $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\infty} s$).

Remark 2.2: Let S be an ms -space and let $E \subseteq S, \chi_x$ is a net in $S, s \in S$ then the following holds if:

- i. $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$ in (S, T_{M_S}) then $\chi_x \rightarrow s$ in (S, M_S) .
- ii. $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$ then $\chi_x \xrightarrow{\quad} s$ in (S, M_S) .
- iii. $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\infty} s$ in (S, T_{M_S}) then $\chi_x \infty s$ in (S, M_S) .
- iv. $\chi_x \infty s$ in (S, T_{M_S}) forever T_{M_S} in S then $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\infty} s$ in (S, M_S) .

Note that If χ_x is anet in S , $s \in S$ so that $\chi : X \rightarrow S$ in (S, M_S) then χ_x is not necessary be $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} S$ in (S, T_{M_S}) . And we see that from next example.

Example 2.3: If $S = \{1, -1\}$, $M_S = \{\phi, S, \{1\}, \{-1\}\}$ be an ms -structure on S , and let $T_{1M_S} = \{\phi, S\}$ (indiscrete topology on S), and let $\{(-1)^n\}$ be a net in S then $\{(-1)^n\}$ eventually in every neighborhood of 1 (supposing that the open set is only ϕ, S) but $\{(-1)^n\}$ is not eventually in every ms -neighborhood of 1, Since $\{1\}$ is an ms -neighborhood of 1 but $\{(-1)^n\}$ is not eventually in $\{1\}$

Theorem 2.4: An ms -space S is ms - T_2 ms -space iff each ms -convergent net in S has aunique ms -limit point.

Proof: Let S is ms - T_2 ms -space and $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be anet in S suchthat $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$, $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} t$ and $s \neq t$. Since S be an ms - T_2 ms -space. There're $K \in N_{ms}(s)$ and $L \in N_{ms}(t)$ suchthat $K \cap L = \phi$. Since $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$, there's $x_0 \in X$ suchthat $\chi_x \in K$ for all $x \geq x_0$. Since $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} t$, there is $x_1 \in X$ such that $\chi_{x_1} \in L$ for all $x \geq x_1$. Since X is directed set and $x_0, x_1 \in X$, then there's $x_2 \in X$ suchthat $x_2 \geq x_0$ and $x_2 \geq x_1$. Then $\chi_x \in K$ for ever $x \geq x_2$ and $\chi_x \in L$ for all $x \geq x_2$, thus $K \cap L \neq \phi$, this is a contradiction. So $s = t$.

Conversely: Suppose that S is not $ms - T_2$ ms -space, there are $s, t \in S$ and $s \neq t$, for ever $K \in N_{ms}(s)$, $L \in N_{ms}(t)$ so that $K \cap L \neq \phi$. Put $N_s^t = \{K \cap L : K \in N_{ms}(s) \text{ and } L \in N_{ms}(t)\}$, where N_s^t is directed set. Thus for all $X \in N_s^t$, there's $\chi_x \in X$ then $(\chi_x)_{x \in N_s^t}$ is anet in S .

To prove $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} t$, let $G \in N_{ms}(s)$ thus $G \in N_s^t, G \cap S \neq \phi$. Thus $\chi_x \in G$ for all $X \geq G$, so $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$. Also, let $B \in N_{ms}(t)$ then $B \in N_s^t, B \cap S \neq \phi$. Thus $\chi_x \in B$ for all $X \geq G$, so $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} t$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.5: If S be an ims -space and $E \subseteq S$, then:

- i. Apoint $s \in S$ is ms -limit point of E iff there's anet in $E - \{s\}$ ms -convergence to s .
- ii. Aset E is ms -closed in S iff no net in E ms -convergence to apoint in E^c .
- iii. Aset E is ms -open in S iff no net in E^c ms -convergence to apoint in E .

Proof: (i) Let s is ms -limit point of E . To prove that there is anet $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in $E - \{s\}$ so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$. Since s is ms -limit point of E , for each $K \in N_{ms}(s)$, $K \cap E - \{s\} \neq \phi$. Then $(N_{ms}(s), \subseteq)$ is directed set by inclusion. Since $K \cap E - \{s\} \neq \phi$, for all $K \in N_{ms}(s)$ then there is $\chi_K \in K \cap E - \{s\}$. Define $\chi : N_{ms}(s) \rightarrow E - \{s\}$ by $(K) = \chi_K$ for all $K \in N_{ms}(s)$, then $(\chi_K)_{K \in N_{ms}(s)}$ is anet in $E - \{s\}$. To prove it $\chi_K \xrightarrow{ms} s$, let $K \in N_{ms}(s)$ to finder $x_0 \in \circ$ so that $\chi_x \in K$ for all $x \geq x_0$. suppose $x_0 = K$ then for all $x \geq x_0, x = L \in N_{ms}(s)$, i.e.,

$L \geq K \Leftrightarrow L \subseteq K$. Then $\chi_x = \chi(x) = \chi(L) = \chi_L \in L \cap E - \{s\} \subseteq L \subseteq K$ then $\chi_L \in K$ for all $x \geq x_0$. Thus $\chi_K \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Conversely: Suppose that there is a net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in $E - \{s\}$ so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$. To prove s is ms -limit point of E . Let $K \in N_{ms}(s)$, since $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $\chi_x \in K$ for all $x \geq x_0$. But $\chi_x \in E - \{s\}$ foreach $x \in X$, then $K \cap E - \{s\} \neq \phi$ for all $K \in N_{ms}(s)$. Thus s is ms -limit point of E .

(ii) Let E is ms -closed set in S and there's anet $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in E when $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $s \in E^c$. Then $s \in E^{ms}$, since E is ms -closed set, then $E = \bar{E}^{ms}$, hence $s \in E$, then $E \cap E^c \neq \phi$, this's acontradiction. Thus not net in E ms -convergence to apoint in E^c .

Conversely: Let not net in E ms -convergent to apoint in E^c . Let $s \in \bar{E}^{ms}$ then there is a net in A so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$. By hypotheses, given each net in E ms -convergence to apoint in E . Thus $s \in E$, so $E = \bar{E}^{ms}$ implies that E is ms -closed.

(iii) By using (i).

Remark 2.6:

- i. Let $f : S \rightarrow V$ be afunction from ms -space S into ms -space V . if $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is anet in S , then $\{f(\chi_x)\}_{x \in X}$ is anet in V .
- ii. Let $f : S \rightarrow V$ be afunction from an ms -space S onto an ms -space V and $(y_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in V . Then there's a net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in S so that $f(\chi_x) = y_x$ for ever $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.7: If S and V be ms -spaces. A function $f : S \rightarrow V$ is ms -continuous if and only if whenever $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is a net in S so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$.

Proof: Suppose $f : S \rightarrow V$ is ms -continuous and $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is anet in S so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$. To prove $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$. Let $L \in N_{ms}(f(s))$ in V . Then $f^{-1}(L) \in N_{ms}(s)$, for some $x_0 \in X$, $x \geq x_0$ implies that $\chi_x \in f^{-1}(L)$. Thus, showing that $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$, since $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is eventual in each ms -neighborhod of s , then $(f(\chi_x))_{x \in X}$ is a net in V which is eventually in each ms -neighborhood of $f(s)$. Therefore $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$.

Conversely: To prove $f : S \rightarrow V$ is ms -continuous . Supposenot. Then there is $L \in N_{ms}(f(s))$ so that $f(K) \not\subseteq L$ forever $K \in N_{ms}(s)$. Thus for ever $K \in N_{ms}(s)$ we can $\chi_K \in K$ such that $f(\chi_K) \notin L$. But $(\chi_K)_{K \in N_{ms}(s)}$ is a net in S with $\chi_K \xrightarrow{ms} s$ while $(f(\chi_K))_{K \in N_{ms}(s)}$ doesn't ms -converge to $f(s)$. This is acontradiction, then f is ms -continuous function.

Definition 2.8 [13]: Let (S, M_s) be an ms -space. S is called ms -compact if for ever cover of S by sets of M_s has afinite subcover. A subset K of S is called ms -compact if for all cover of K by a subsets of M_s has afinite subcover.

Note that S is an ms -compact iff for all ms -open cover of S has a finite subcover.

Remark 2.9: If the ms -space (S, M_S) is ms -compact then the space (S, T_{M_S}) is compact for all T_{M_S} induced by M_S . But the converse isn't true in general. As the following example shows.

Example 2.10: Let $S = \mathfrak{R}$, $M_S = \{A : A = [a, a+1) \text{ such that } a \text{ is odd integer number}\}$. Then $T_{M_S} = \{\emptyset, X, [i, i+2)\}$ is topological space induced by M_S for all $i \in \Lambda$ so that $\Lambda = \{i : i \text{ is odd integer number}\}$. Then T_{M_S} is compact for all $i \in \Lambda$ and M_S is not ms -compact since $\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} [i, i+2)$ is ms -open cover for \mathfrak{R} but there is not finite subcover.

Theorem 2.11: Let (S, M_S) be an ms -space. Then:

- i. If S is an ms -compact and $S - K \in M_S$, then K is an ms -compact in S .
- ii. If $f : (S, M_S) \rightarrow (V, M_V)$ is an ms_* -continuous and E is an ms -compact subset of S , then $f(E)$ is a compact in V .

Proof:

- (i) Let (S, M_S) be an ms -compact and $S - K \in M_S$. Let $\{U_i \in M_S : i \in I\}$ be an ms -open cover of K , then $(S - K) \cap \{U_i \in M_S : i \in I\} = S - K$. Since S is an ms -compact, there exists a finite subset I_0 of I such that $(S - K) \cap \{U_i \in M_S : i \in I_0\} = S - K$ then $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} U_i$ and so K is an ms -compact in S .
- (ii) Let $\{L_i : i \in I\}$ be a cover of $f(E)$. Then $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(L_i)$, where $f^{-1}(L_i) \in M_S$. Since E is an ms -compact, there exists a finite subset I_0 of I so that $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} f^{-1}(L_i)$. Then $f(E) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I_0} L_i$ and so $f(E)$ is a compact in V .

Theorem 2.12 [11]: A space S is compact iff every net in S has a cluster point in S .

Theorem 2.13: Let S be an ms -space, then S is ms -compact then every net in S has an ms -cluster point in S .

Proof : Let (S, M_S) be an ms -compact space and $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in S , then (S, T_{M_S}) is a compact space for all T_{M_S} in S . Then by Theorem (2.12), the net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ has a cluster point s in (S, T_{M_S}) then s is an ms -cluster point of the net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$. (i.e. $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} s$) Hence for all net in S has ms -cluster point in S .

Corollary 2.14: If S be ms -space. Then S is ms -compact iff every net in S has a sub net which ms -converges to a point in S .

Theorem 2.15 [9]: Let (S, M_S) and (V, M_V) be two ms -spaces then $M_{S \times V} = \{K \times L : K \in M_S \text{ and } L \in M_V\}$ is an m -structure on $S \times V$.

Remark 2.16: Let (S_i, M_{S_i}) be an m -structure $\forall i \in \Lambda$ then it's clear to show that the projection function $Pr_\lambda : \prod_{i \in \Lambda} S_i \rightarrow S_\lambda$ is an ms -continuous $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 2.17: A net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in a product ms -space $\prod S_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is ms -convergence to $s \in \prod S_\lambda$, if and only if $Pr_\lambda(\chi_x) \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} Pr_\lambda(s)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (where Pr_λ is the λ -th projection function).

Proof: If $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} s$ in $\prod S_\lambda$, since Pr_λ are ms -continuous function, then by the theorem (2.7) we have $Pr_\lambda(\chi_x) \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} Pr_\lambda(s)$.

Conversely: Suppose that $Pr_\lambda(\chi_x) \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} Pr_\lambda(s)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $Pr_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(K_{\lambda_1}) \cap Pr_{\lambda_2}^{-1}(K_{\lambda_2}) \cap \dots \cap Pr_{\lambda_n}^{-1}(K_{\lambda_n})$ be a basis ms -neighborhood of s in $\prod S_\lambda$. Then for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is x_i so that whenever $x \geq x_i$, $Pr_{\lambda_i} \in K_{\lambda_i}$. Then x_0 greater than for all x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have $Pr_{\lambda_i} \in K_{\lambda_i}$ for all $x \geq x_0$. It follows that for all $x \geq x_0$, $\chi_x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n Pr_{\lambda_i}^{-1}(K_{\lambda_i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So $\chi_x \overset{ms}{\rightarrow} s$.

Corollary 2.18: If $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in a product ms -space $\prod S_\lambda$ having $s \in \prod S_\lambda$ as ms -cluster point, then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $(Pr_\lambda(\chi_x))_{x \in X}$ has $Pr_\lambda(s)$ for ms -cluster point.

Now, we give the definition of ms -proper functions and some results which are related to this concept.

Definition 2.19: A function $f : (S, M_S) \rightarrow (V, M_V)$ is called:

- i. ms -closed if for each ms -closed set H of S , $f(H)$ is ms -closed in V . [5]
- ii. ms_* -closed if there is a non-indiscrete topology T_{M_S} such that for each ms -closed set H of S , $f(H)$ is closed in V . [3]

Definition 2.20: Let f be a function of an ms -space S into an ms -space V then f is said to be an:

- i. ms -proper function if f is an ms -continuous function and the function $f \times i_Z : S \times Z \rightarrow V \times Z$ is an ms -closed for every space Z . [12]
- ii. ms_* -proper function if f is an ms_* -continuous function and the function $f \times i_Z : S \times Z \rightarrow V \times Z$ is an ms_* -closed for every space Z . [3]

Recall that a sub set E_f of $f(S)$ is called exceptional set of f which defined by:

$E_f = \{t \in f(S) : \text{there is a net } (\chi_x)_{x \in X} \text{ in } S \text{ with } \chi_x \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } f(\chi_x) \rightarrow t\}$, where f is a function from an ms -space S into an ms -space V . We shall introduce a new characterization, which is very useful for ms -proper function by using a special set namely, ms -exceptional (for brief msE_f) set of f .

Definition 2.21: Let f be a function from an ms -space S into an ms -space V , the ms -exceptional set of f (for brief msE_f) set is a subset of $f(S)$ which defined by $msE_f = \{t \in f(S) : \text{there's a net } (\chi_x)_{x \in X} \text{ in } S \text{ with } \chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} \infty \text{ and } f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} t\}$
 Now, we shall use msE_f to characterize ms -proper and ms_* -proper functions.

Theorem 2.22: If $f: S \rightarrow V$ be an ms -continuous function, where S is an ms -compact, S and V be an ms -Hausdorff im -spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i. f is ms -proper function.
- ii. If $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is a net in S and $t \in V$ is ms -cluster point of $\{f(\chi_x)\}$, then there's ms -cluster point $s \in S$ of $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ so that $f(s) = t$.

Proof: (i \rightarrow ii) Since f be an ms -proper function. Then f is an ms -closed function and $f^{-1}\{t\}$ is an ms -compact, $\forall t \in V$. If $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ be a net in S and $t \in V$ be an ms -cluster point of a net $f(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in V . Claim $f^{-1}\{s\} \neq \emptyset$, if $f^{-1}\{t\} = \emptyset$, then $t \notin f(S) \Rightarrow t \in (f(S))^c$ since S is an ms -closed set in S and f is an ms -proper (ms -closed), then $f(S)$ is an ms -closed set in V . That $(f(S))^c$ is an ms -open set in V . Therefore $f(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is frequently in $(f(S))^c$. But $f(\chi_x) \in f(S)$, for all $x \in X$. Then $f(S) \cap (f(S))^c \neq \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction. Thus $f^{-1}\{t\} \neq \emptyset$, is't frequently.

Now, suppose that the statements (ii) isn't true, that means for $s \in f^{-1}\{t\}$ there's ms -open set K_s in S contains s so that $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is't frequently in K_s .

Notice that $f^{-1}\{t\} = \bigcup_{s \in f^{-1}\{t\}} \{s\}$.

Therefore the family $\{K_s : s \in f^{-1}\{t\}\}$ is ms -open cover of $f^{-1}\{t\}$, but $f^{-1}\{t\}$ is ms -compact set. There're s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n so that $f^{-1}\{t\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$, then $f^{-1}\{t\} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i})^c = \emptyset$. Then $f^{-1}\{t\} \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c) = \emptyset$. But $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is not frequently in K_{s_i} for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus is not frequently in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$, but $\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$ is ms -open set in S , so $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)$ is ms -closed set in S . Thus by assumption $f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)$ is ms -closed set in V .

Claim $t \notin f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)$, if $t \in f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)$ then there's $s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c$ so that $f(s) = t$, thus $s \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$ but $s \in f^{-1}\{t\}$, therefore $f^{-1}\{t\}$ isn't subset of

$\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$, this is a contradiction. Then there is ms -open set E in S so that $t \in E$ and $\bigcap f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)$. That is $f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(f(\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c)) = \emptyset$,

also $f^{-1}(E) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}^c) = \emptyset$. So $f^{-1}(E) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$. But $f(\chi_x)$ is frequently in E , then $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is frequently in $f^{-1}(E)$ and then it is frequently in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{s_i}$. This is a contradiction, there's ms -cluster point $s \in S$ so that $f(s) = t$.

(ii \rightarrow i) To prove that $f \times I_Z: S \times Z \rightarrow V \times Z$ is ms -closed for any space Z . Let F be ms -closed subset of $S \times Z$ and $(f \times I_Z)(F) = G$. To prove G is ms -closed subset of $V \times Z$. Let $(t, z) \in \bar{G}^{ms}$, then there's a net $\{(y_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X}$ in G so that $\{(y_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X} \xrightarrow{ms} (t, z)$. Thus there's a net $\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X}$ in F so that $(f \times I_Z)(\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}) = \{(y_x, z_x)\}$ for ever $x \in X$. Since $\{(y_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X} \xrightarrow{ms} (t, z)$ by corollary (2.18), then $y_x \xrightarrow{ms} t$ and $z_x \xrightarrow{ms} z$ and $f(s) = t$. Since $\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X}$ in F and F is ms -closed. So $F = \bar{F}^{ms}$, then $(t, z) = (f \times I_Z)(s, z) \in G$. Then $G = \bar{G}^{ms}$ hence G is ms -closed subset of $V \times Z$. Then $f \times I_Z$ is ms -closed function, thus $f \times I_Z$ is ms -proper function.

Theorem 2.23: Let f is function from ms_* -space S into ms -space V . Then f is ms -proper if and only if $msE_f = \emptyset$.

Proof : Let $msE_f = \emptyset$. To see that $f \times I_Z: S \times Z \rightarrow V \times Z$ is ms -closed function for all space Z . suppose F is a closed subset of $S \times Z$ and let $(f \times I_Z)(F) = G$. To prove that G is ms -closed subset of $V \times Z$ let $(t_0, z_0) \in \bar{G}^{ms}$, then there's a net $\{(t_x, z_x)\}$ in G so that $(t_x, z_x) \xrightarrow{ms} (t_0, z_0)$. Therefore there's a net $\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}_{x \in X}$ in F so that $(f \times I_Z)(\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}) = \{(t_x, z_x)\}$, for ever $x \in X$, by theorem (2.17), thus $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} t_0$ and $I_Z(z_x) \xrightarrow{ms} z_0$. Since $msE_f = \emptyset$, then $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s_0$ for some $s_0 \in S$, thus by theorem (2.17) $(\chi_x, z_x) \xrightarrow{ms} (s_0, z_0)$. Since F is closed (ms -closed) then $(s_0, z_0) \in F$. By ms_* -continuous of $f \times I_Z$, we have $(f \times I_Z)(\{(\chi_x, z_x)\}) = (f(\chi_x), I_Z(z_x)) \xrightarrow{ms} ((f \times I_Z)(s_0, z_0)) = (f(s_0), I_Z(z_0))$, also we have $f(s_0) = t_0$, which implies to $(t_0, z_0) \in G$ which means that G is ms -closed set.

Conversely: Let f is ms -proper function. To show that $msE_f = \emptyset$. If not, then there is a point $t_0 \in msE_f$,

there's a net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in S with $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} \infty$ so that $f(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} t_0$. By remark (2.6.ii), there's a point $s_0 \in S$ so that $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s_0$ and $f(s_0) = t_0$. Thus we have the net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is ms -convergent, this is a contradiction.

Therefore $msE_f = \emptyset$.

Examples 2.24:

i. If f is function from ms -space (R, M_R) such that $M_R = T_U$ into itself defined by $f(x) = e^x$, for all $x \in X$. It's clear f is ms_* -continuous function and for each net

$(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in R with $\chi_n \xrightarrow{ms} \infty$, then $f(\chi_n) = e^{\chi_n} \xrightarrow{ms} \infty$ therefore $msE_f = \emptyset$, hence f is ms_* -proper function.

ii. Let Z and R are m -structure such that M_Z, M_R denoted indiscrete and discrete m -structure respectively and $f: Z \rightarrow R$ is a function defined by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for all $x \in Z$. Clear that f isn't ms_* -continuous and the net $(n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Z has ms -limit, since $f(n) = \frac{1}{n} \xrightarrow{ms} 0$, $0 \in R$ thus $0 \in msE_f$. Also, it's clear that if $(\chi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a net in Z with $\chi_n \xrightarrow{ms} \infty$, then $f(\chi_n) = \frac{1}{\chi_n} \xrightarrow{ms} 0 \in f(Z)$, therefore $msE_f \neq \emptyset$ and f is not ms_* -proper.

Now, we give some results on ms -proper functions, new proofs by using our exceptional set msE_f which makes the proofs much simpler.

Remark 2.25: Clear that if S is ms -compact, then an ms -continuous function $f: S \rightarrow V$ is ms -proper, when S and V are ms -Hausdorff spaces. This follows from the fact that all net in ms -compactness space has ms -convergent subnet, therefore $msE_f = \emptyset$.

3. ms -Convergence Of Filters:

In that section, I'm introduce a new type of converge namely, ms -converge of filter. Also, we given examples and theorem about this concept.

Now, we review some basic definitions, theorems and remarks about a filter.

Definition 3.1 [14]: Let ξ be a nonempty collection of a nonempty subset of a non-empty set S . We say that ξ is a filter on S if :

- i. If $F_1, F_2 \in \xi$, then $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \xi$.
- ii. If $F_1 \in \xi$ and $F_1 \subseteq F_2$ then $F_2 \in \xi$.

Definition 3.2 [10]: A sub collection ξ_0 of a filter ξ on a non-empty set S is called a filter base if and only if each element of ξ contains some element of ξ_0 . i.e. each $F \in \xi$ there is $F_0 \in \xi_0$ such that $F_0 \subseteq F$.

Remark 3.3 [10]: If ξ_0 is a filter base for a filter ξ on a non-empty set S . Then $\xi = \{F \subseteq S : F_0 \subseteq F, \text{ for some } F_0 \in \xi_0\}$ is called filter generated by ξ_0 .

Definition 3.4 [10]: A filter ξ on a space S is called converge to a point $s \in S$ (written $\xi \rightarrow s$) if and only if $\mathcal{N}(s) \subseteq \xi$. The point $s \in S$ is called a limit point of ξ . Also, we said $s \in S$ is a cluster point of ξ and it is denoted by $(\xi \alpha s)$ iff $F \cap K \neq \emptyset$, for all $F \in \xi$ and $K \in \mathcal{N}(s)$.

Remark 3.5 [10]: Let f be a function from a space S into a space V , then:

- i. If ξ is a filter on S . Then $f(\xi)$ is a filter on V having for a base the sets $f(F), F \in \xi$.
- ii. If ξ_0 is a filter base on S . Then $f(\xi_0)$ is a filter base on V .

Definition 3.6: A filter ξ on an ms -space S is called ms -converge to a point $s \in S$ (written $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$) if and only if $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$. Also, a filter ξ on an ms -space S has $s \in S$ as ms -cluster point (written $\xi \overset{ms}{\alpha} s$) if and only if $F \in \xi$ meets each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$.

Theorem 3.7: A filter ξ on an ms -space S has $s \in S$ as ms -cluster point iff $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$, for every $E \in \xi$.

Proof: $\xi \overset{ms}{\alpha} s \Leftrightarrow s \in \bigcap \overline{E}^{ms} \Leftrightarrow$ for all $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ and for all $E \in \xi$, $K \cap E \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$, for all $E \in \xi \Leftrightarrow s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$.

Remark 3.8: If ξ be a filter on an ms -space S and $s \in S$, then it's clear to show that If $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$ ($\xi \overset{ms}{\alpha} s$) then $\xi \rightarrow s$ ($\xi \alpha s$) and if $\xi \rightarrow s$ then $\xi \overset{ms}{\alpha} s$.

The converse in this remark isn't true in general and see that from next example:

Examples 3.9:

- i. If $S = \{1,2,3\}$, $M_S = \{\emptyset, S, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{2,3\}\}$ and $T_{M_S} = \{\emptyset, S, \{2,3\}\}$, let $\xi = \{S, \{2,3\}\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(3) = \{S, \{2,3\}\}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(3) \subseteq \xi$, then $\xi \rightarrow 3$. But $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(3) = \{S, \{3\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,3\}\}$ then $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(3) \not\subseteq \xi$. Thus ξ does not ms -converge to 3.
- ii. let $S = \{1,2,3\}$ such that M_S, T_{M_S} are discrete topology then there is $T_{M_S} = P(S)$. Let $\xi = \{S, \{1,3\}\}$ be a filter on S , $\mathcal{N}(2) = S$ then $\xi \alpha 2$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(2) = \{S, \{2\}, \{1,2\}, \{2,3\}\}$, then $\{1,3\} \in \xi$, $\{2\} \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(2)$, then $\{1,3\} \cap \{2\} = \emptyset$. Thus 2 does not ms -cluster point at ξ .
- iii. Let $S = R$, $M_S = T_U$ and $\xi = \{E \subseteq R : [-1,1] \subseteq E\}$ be a filter on R , then $\xi \alpha 0$ but ξ does not ms -converge to 0, since $(-1,1) \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(0)$, but $(-1,1) \notin \xi$.

Definition 3.10: A filter base ξ_0 on an ms -space S is called ms -converge to $s \in S$ (written $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$) if and only if the filter generated by ξ_0 ms -converge to s . Also, we say that a filter base ξ_0 has $s \in S$ as ms -cluster point (written $\xi_0 \overset{ms}{\alpha} s$) if and only if each $F_0 \in \xi_0$ meets each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$.

Definition 3.11: Let ξ_0 be a filter base on an ms -space S , $s \in S$. Then:

- i. A point s is called ms -accumulation point of ξ_0 if $s \in \bigcap \overline{F_0}^{ms}$, for every $F_0 \in \xi_0$.
- ii. A point s is called ms -adherent point of ξ_0 if $s \in \overline{F_0}^{ms}$, for every $F_0 \in \xi_0$.

Remark 3.12: Every ms -adherent point is ms -accumulation point.

Theorem 3.13: A filter base ξ_0 on an ms -space S is ms -converge to a point $s \in S$ iff for each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$, there's $F_0 \in \xi_0$ so that is such that $F_0 \subseteq K$.

Proof: Given $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then a filter ξ generated by ξ_0 and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$, hence for each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$, $K \in \xi$ thus there is $F_0 \in \xi_0$ such that $F_0 \subseteq U$.

Conversely: To prove that $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$ i.e., ξ be a filter on S generated by ξ_0 with $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$. Let $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ then by hypotheses, there is $F_0 \in \xi_0$ such that $F_0 \subseteq K$, since ξ is a filter on S , then $K \in \xi$. Hence $K \in \xi$ and $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$, therefore $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Theorem 3.14: A filter ξ on an ms -space S has $s \in S$ as an ms -cluster point if and only if there's a filter ξ' finer than ξ which ms -convergence to s .

Proof: Suppose that $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then by definition (3.10) each $F \in \xi$ meets each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$. Then $\xi'_0 = \{K \cap F : K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s), F \in \xi\}$ is a filter base for some filter ξ' which is finer than ξ and ms -convergence to s .

Conversely: Give $\xi \subseteq \xi'$ and $\xi' \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $\xi' \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi'$. Hence each $F \in \xi$ and each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ belong to ξ' . Since ξ' is a filter, then $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.15: Let S be an ms -space, $E \subseteq S$, $s \in S$. Then $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$ iff there's a filter ξ on S so that $E \in \xi$ and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Proof: If $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$, then $E \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for all $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$. Then $\xi_0 = \{E \cap K : K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)\}$ it's a filter base for some filter ξ . The result filter contain E and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Conversely: Let $E \in \xi$ and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$. Since ξ is a filter and $E \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for all $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$.

Thus $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$.

Corollary 3.16: Let S be an ms -space, $E \subseteq S$, $s \in S$.

Then $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$ iff there's a filter base ξ_0 on S so that $E \in \xi_0$ and $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Theorem 3.17: Let $f: S \rightarrow V$ is a function and ξ is a filter on S , $s \in S$. Then f is ms -continuous if and only if whenever $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$ in S , then $f(\xi) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$ in V .

Proof: Suppose that f is ms -continuous function and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$. To prove $f(\xi) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$ in V . Let $s \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(f(s))$, since f be ms -continuous, then there's $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ so that $f(K) \subseteq L$. Since $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $K \in \xi$. But $L \in f(\xi)$, thus $f(\xi) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$.

Conversely: Suppose that the condition is holds, to prove that f is ms -continuous. Let $\xi = \{K : K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)\}$ is a filter on S and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$. By hypotheses $f(\xi) \xrightarrow{ms} f(s)$, for each $L \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(f(s))$, we have $L \in f(\xi)$. There is $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ so that $f(K) \subseteq L$. That f is ms -continuous function.

Theorem 3.18: Let S be an ms -space, $E \subseteq S$. A point $s \in S$ is ms -limitpoint of E iff $E - \{s\}$ belong to some filter ξ which ms -convergence to s .

Proof: Suppose that s is ms -limit point, then $K \cap E - \{s\} \neq \emptyset$ for every $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$.

$\xi_0 = \{K \cap E - \{s\} : K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)\}$ be a filter base for some filter ξ . The result filter contain $E - \{s\}$ with $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Conversely: If $E - \{s\} \in \xi$ with $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $E - \{s\} \in \xi$. $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$. Since ξ is a filter. Then $K \cap E - \{s\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$. Hence s is ms -limitpoint of a set E .

Definition 3.19 [15]: Let $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is a net in a space S , ξ is a filter generated by a filter base ξ_0 consist of the sets $B_{x_0} = \{\chi_x : x \geq x_0, x_0 \in X\}$ is called a filter generated by $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$. i.e., $\xi_0 = \{B_{x_0} \subseteq S : \chi_x \text{ is eventually in } B_{x_0}\}$ is a filter base, ξ is a filter on S and it is called a filter associated with the net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$.

Theorem 3.20: A net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ in an ms -space S ms -convergence to $s \in S$ iff a filter ξ generated by $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ ms -convergent to s .

Proof: A net $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ ms -convergent to $s \in S$ iff each $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ contains a tail of $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$, since the tail of $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ are a base for a filter generated by $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$, the result follows.

Definition 3.21 [15]: Let ξ_0 be a filter base on a space S . For all $F_1, F_2 \in \xi_0$, we put $F_1 \geq F_2$ iff $F_1 \subseteq F_2$, then (ξ_0, \geq) is a directed set. For all $F \in \xi_0$, define $\chi : \xi_0 \rightarrow \cup F, F \in \xi_0$ such that for all $F \in \xi_0$ take (fixed) $\chi_F \in F$ so that $\chi(F) = \chi_F$. Thus $(\chi_F)_{F \in \xi_0}$ is a net in S and it is called a net associated with a filter base ξ_0 .

Theorem 3.22: Let $(\chi_F)_{F \in \xi_0}$ be a net associated with a filter base ξ_0 on an ms -space S and $s \in S$. If $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $\chi_F \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Proof: Let $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$. Thus there is $F_0 \in \xi_0$ such that $F_0 \subseteq K$, then $\chi_{F_0} \in K$, so $\chi_F \in K$ for all $F \geq F_0$. Therefore $\chi_F \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

The converse of this theorem isn't true in general. See that from next example:

Example 3.23: If $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $M_S = \{\emptyset, S, \{1\}\}$ be a m -structure on S . Put $\xi_0 = \{1, 3\}$ and $\xi = \{\{1, 3\}, S\}$. $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(1) = \{S, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}\}$. Define

$\chi : \xi_0 \rightarrow \{1, 3\}$ by $\chi(\{1, 3\}) = 1$, then χ is a net in S . Thus $\chi \xrightarrow{ms} 1$ but ξ_0 does not ms -convergence to 1 , since $\{1\} \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(1)$ but $\{1\} \notin \xi$.

Definition 3.24 [15]: Let ξ_0 be a filter base on a space. Put $X = \{(s, F) : s \in F, F \in \xi_0\}$, (X, \geq) is a directed set by relating, $(s_1, F_1) \geq (s_2, F_2)$ if and only if $F_1 \subseteq F_2$, so define a function $\chi : X \rightarrow S$, by $\chi(x) = \chi_x \in S$, where $x = (s, F)$. Then $(\chi_x)_{x \in X}$ is called the canonical net (net based) of ξ_0 .

Theorem 3.25: A filter base ξ_0 on an ms -space S is ms -convergence to $s \in S$ iff the canonical net of ξ_0 ms -convergence to s .

Proof: Let $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$, then there's $F_0 \in \xi_0$ so that $F_0 \subseteq K$. Since $F_0 \neq \emptyset$, there's $s_0 \in F_0$. Pick $x_0 = (s_0, F_0)$, then $\chi_x \in K$ for all $x \geq x_0$. Therefore $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Conversely: Let $\chi_x \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$, there's $x_0 \in X$ so that $\chi_x \in K$ for ever $x \geq x_0$. Thus there's $F_0 \in \xi_0$ and $s_0 \in F_0$ such that $x_0 = (s_0, F_0)$. To prove $F_0 \subseteq K$, let $s_0 \in F_0$. Then $x = (s, F_0) \geq (s_0, F_0) = x_0$, thus $\chi_x \in K$. Hence $F_0 \subseteq K$, therefore $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Corollary 3.26: A filter base ξ_0 on an ms -space S has $s \in S$ as an ms -cluster point if and only if the canonical net on ξ_0 has s as an ms -cluster point.

Theorem 3.27: An ms -space S is $ms - T_2$ ms -space if and only if every ms -convergefilter in S have a unique ms -limitpoint.

Proof: If S be a $ms - T_2$ ms -space and ξ be a filter on S so that $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$ and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} t$ with $s \neq t$. Since be an $ms - T_2$ ms -space, then there's $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ and $L \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(t)$ so that $K \cap L = \emptyset$. Since $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$, then $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \subseteq \xi$ and $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} t$ then $\mathcal{N}_{ms}(t) \subseteq \xi$. Since be a filter, then $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$. This is a contradiction, hence the result follows.

Conversely: To prove that S is an $ms - T_2$ ms -space. Suppose not, then there're $s, t \in S$ with $s \neq t$ so that forever $K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s)$ and forever $L \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(t)$, $K \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Then $\xi_0 = \{K \cap L : K \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(s) \text{ and } L \in \mathcal{N}_{ms}(t)\}$ is a filter base for some filter ξ . The result filter ms -convergence at s and t . This is a contradiction, thus S is $ms - T_2$ ms -space.

Theorem 3.28: An ms -space S be ms -compactness space iff all filter base ξ_0 with ms -adherent point $s \in S$ ms -convergence to s .

Proof: Suppose that S be an ms -compact and $s \in S$ be an ms -adherent point of ξ_0 . Then $s \in \overline{E}^{ms}$ for all $E \in \xi_0$, then by corollary (3.16) we have $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$.

Conversely: Suppose that $\xi_0 \xrightarrow{ms} s$, by theorem (3.22) every net associated with a filter base ξ_0 ms -convergence to s . Thus by corollary (2.14), every net has a subnet which ms -convergence to s . Thus S is ms -compact space.

Theorem 3.29: A filter ξ on a product ms -space $\prod S_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is ms -convergence to $s \in \prod S_\lambda$ if and only if $Pr_\lambda(\xi) \xrightarrow{ms} Pr_\lambda(s)$ in S_λ , for ever $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof: If $\xi \xrightarrow{ms} s$ in $\prod S_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since Pr_λ are ms -continuous, by theorem (3.17), $Pr_\lambda(\chi_x) \xrightarrow{ms} Pr_\lambda(s)$ in S_λ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Conversely: By using theorem (2.17).

Reference:

[1] Selvarani S., "Generalization of extreme value theorems in g -compact spaces, Generalization of Intermediate value theorem g -connected spaces and generalization of Urysohn's Lemma and Tietze Extension theorem in g -multiplicative spaces', International Journal of Computer, Vol.2 April (2013).

- [2] Cartan H. " Theorides filters " C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris, "205,595-598",("1937").
- [3] Al-Janabi S. H. and Al-Ysaary F. J., "On Certain Types of Minimal Proper Function", Iraq, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Pure Science (Quarterly), Vol.17, no.3, 134-156. (2012).
- [4] "AlimohammadyM. andRoohiM"., "FixedPoint inMinimalSpaces", Nonlinear Analysis. ModellingAndControl,"vol.10,no.4,305-314". ("2005").
- [5] Ravi O., Ganesan S., Tharmar S. and Balamurghan R. G., "Minimal g -closed sets with respect to an Ideal", Issue 2, vol.1, 1-12. (2011).
- [6] Popa V. and Noiri T., "MinimalStructures, Punctuallym-open FunctionIn theSense ofKuratowski andBitopologicalSpaces", Mathematical communications, vol.12, 247-253. (2007).
- [7] Ravi O., Tharmar S. and Ganesan S., "Some locally closed sets in ideal minimal spaces", Issuel, vol.1, 89-105. (2011).
- [8] Sunisa Buadong, Chokchai Viriyapong and ChawalitBoonpok, "OnGeneralizedTopology andMinimal StructureSpaces", Int.Journal ofMath.Analysis, vol.5,no.31,1507-1516. (2011).
- [9] Won Keun Min¹ and Yong Key Kim², "M*-continuity and Product Minimal Structure on Minimal Structure, vol.69, no.3, 329-339. (2011)
- [10] Moore E. H. and Smith H. L., " A General theory of limits ". Amer. J. Math. 44, 102-121, (1922).
- [11] Willard S., *General Topology*, Addison - Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., (1970).
- [12] Al-Janabi S. H. and Al-Ysaary F. J., "On Minimal Proper Function", Thesis University of AL-Qadissiya, College of Mathematics and Computer Science, (2012).
- [13] Noiri T. and Popa V., "Slightly m-Continuous Multifunctions" Vol. 1 (2006), No. 4, pp. 485-505.
- [14] Al Ghour. S. and S. Samarah" Cocompact Open Sets and Continuity",Abstract and Applied analysis, Article ID 548612, p.9, (2012).
- [15] Al-Hussaini F. H. Jasim, " On Compactness Via cocompact Open Sets", M.S. c. Thesis University of AL-Qadissiya, College of Mathematics and Computer Science, (2014).

حول تقارب البنية الاصغرية للشبكات والمرشحات

فراس جواد عبيد اليساري

قسم الرياضيات / كلية التربية/جامعة القادسية

fieras.joad@qu.edu.iq

المستخلص:

في هذا البحث سأقدم وأدرس نوع جديد من التقارب للبنية الاصغرية اطلقت عليه اسم (تقارب البنية الاصغرية للشبكات والمرشحات) باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة الاصغرية، كما تمكنت من تحقيق بعض الخصائص لهذه النوع . كذلك استخدمت دالتين معرفتين على اساس البنية الاصغرية باشكال مختلفة احدهما تحقق انتقال صفة التراص الاصغري والاخرى تحقق انتقال فيما بين صفتي التراص الاصغري والتراص من جهة واحدة تبعاً ومتطلبات البحث .