

Coefficients Bounds for a General Subclasses of m-Fold Symmetric Bi-Univalent Functions

Waggas Galib Atshan
 Department of Mathematics
 College of Computer Science
 and Information Technology
 University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniya-Iraq
 E-mail: waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq

Najah Ali Jiben Al-Ziadi
 Department of Mathematics
 College of Science
 University of Baghdad, Baghdad -Iraq
 E-mail: najah.ali@qu.edu.iq

Received : 7\5\2017

Revised : 19\6\2017

Accepted : 9\7\2017

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce and investigate a new general subclasses $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ of Σ_m consisting of analytic and m-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk U . We obtain estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$. Also, we obtain new special cases for our results.

Keywords: Analytic function, Univalent function, Bi-Univalent function, m-Fold symmetric function, m-Fold symmetric bi-univalent function.

Mathematics subject classification : 30C45. 30C50. 30C80.

1. Introduction

Symbolized by \mathcal{A} the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also, symbolized by \mathcal{S} the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent and normalized by $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ in U . The well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class \mathcal{S} are the class of starlike functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), symbolized by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and the class of convex functions of order α symbolized by $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ in U .

The Koebe One-Quarter Theorem [1] shows that the image of U under every function f from \mathcal{S} contains a disk of radius $1/4$. Thereby every such univalent function has an inverse f^{-1} which satisfies

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \quad (1.2)$$

The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is considered bi-univalent in U if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in U . Given by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1), the class of all bi-univalent functions in U can be symbolized by Σ .

For each function $f \in \Sigma$, the function

$$h(z) = \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)} \quad (z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1.3)$$

is univalent and the unit disk U can be mapped into a region with m-fold symmetry. It is considered m-fold symmetric (see [2, 3]) if the function has the following normalized form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1} \quad (z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.4)$$

The class of m -fold symmetric univalent functions in U , which are normalized by the series expansion (1.4) can be symbolized by \mathcal{S}_m and the functions in the class \mathcal{S} are one-fold symmetric (that is, $m=1$).

In [4] Srivastava et al. specified that m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function analogues to the concept of m -fold symmetric univalent functions and these gave some important results, such as each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an m -fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, in their study. As for as the normalized form of f given by (1.4) is concerned, they obtained the series expansion for f^{-1} as follows:

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_{m+1}w^{m+1} + [(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}]w^{2m+1} - \left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3m+2)a_{m+1}^3 - (3m+2)a_{m+1}a_{2m+1} + a_{3m+1} \right] w^{3m+1} + \dots, \quad (1.5)$$

where $f^{-1} = g$. The class of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in U can be symbolized by Σ_m . For $m = 1$, formula (1.5) coincides with formula (1.2) of the class Σ .

Mathematicians such as Lewin [5], Brannan and Clunie [6] as well as Netanyahu [7] studied the functions in Σ and proved the following, the first investigated the bi-univalent function class Σ and showed that $|a_2| < 1.51$, the second showed that $|a_2| < \sqrt{2}$, whereas the third showed that $\max |a_2| = 4/3$ if $f(z) \in \Sigma$, but the best known estimate for functions in Σ were obtained by Tan [8] in 1984, that is, $|a_2| \leq 1.485$. The coefficient estimate problem involving the bound of $|a_2|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\}$) for each $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1.4) is still an open problem. In fact, the aforementioned work of Srivastava et al. [9] essentially revived the investigation of various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ in recent years. Recently, many authors investigated bounds for various subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 9, 15]).

The object of the present paper is to obtain estimates on the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_{m+1}|$ and $|a_{2m+1}|$ for functions belonging to the new general subclasses $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ of Σ_m . Also, some interesting applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

In order to derive our main result, we have to recall here the following lemma [1].

Lemma 1.1. If $h \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{P} is the family of all functions h , analytic in U , for which

$$R(h(z)) > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad (z \in U).$$

2. Coefficients bounds for the function class

$\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.4) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (w \in U), \quad (2.2)$$

where ($\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$; $\lambda \geq 1$; $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$; $0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and the function g is given by (1.5).

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f(z)$, given by (1.4), be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{\sqrt{|\alpha\tau(m+1)(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)) + (1-\alpha)(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))^2|}} \dots (2.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2|\tau|^2(m+1)}{(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$. Then

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] = [p(z)]^\alpha \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] = [q(w)]^\alpha, \quad (2.6)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$, $p(z)$, $q(z)$ in \mathcal{P} and have the forms

$$p(z) = 1 + p_m z^m + p_{2m} z^{2m} + p_{3m} z^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_m w^m + q_{2m} w^{2m} + q_{3m} w^{3m} + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\left(\frac{1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1)}{\tau} \right) a_{m+1} = \alpha p_m, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\left(\frac{1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)}{\tau} \right) a_{2m+1} = \alpha p_{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha-1) p_m^2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$-\left(\frac{1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1)}{\tau} \right) a_{m+1} = \alpha q_m \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)}{\tau} \right) [(m+1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}] = \alpha q_{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha (\alpha-1) q_m^2. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.9) and (2.11), we find

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$2 \left(\frac{1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1)}{\tau} \right)^2 a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha^2 (p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.10), (2.12) and (2.14), we get

$$\left(\frac{1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)}{\tau} \right) (m+1) a_{m+1}^2$$

$$= \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_m^2 + q_m^2) = \alpha(p_{2m} + q_{2m}) + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1)}{\tau} \right)^2 a_{m+1}^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \tau^2 (p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{\alpha \tau (m+1) (1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)) + (1-\alpha)((1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1)))^2}. \quad \dots (2.16)$$

Applying Lemma (1.1) for the coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we immediately have

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{\sqrt{|\alpha \tau (m+1) (1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)) + (1-\alpha)(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))|^2}}. \quad \dots (2.17)$$

The last inequality gives the desired estimate on $|a_{m+1}|$ given in (2.3).

Next, in order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we obtain

$$2 \left(\frac{1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)}{\tau} \right) a_{2m+1} - \left(\frac{1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1)}{\tau} \right) (m+1) a_{m+1}^2 = \alpha(p_{2m} - q_{2m}) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_m^2 - q_m^2). \quad (2.18)$$

It follows from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.18) that

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\alpha^2 \tau^2 (m+1) (p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{4(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{\alpha \tau (p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}. \quad (2.19)$$

Applying Lemma (1.1) once again for the coefficients

p_m, p_{2m}, q_m and q_{2m} , we readily get

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha^2|\tau|^2(m+1)}{(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (2.1).

3. Coefficients bounds for the function class

$\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$

Definition 3.1. A function $f \in \Sigma_m$ given by (1.4) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] \right) > \beta \quad (z \in U) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] \right) > \beta \quad (w \in U), \quad (3.2)$$

where $(\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda \geq 1; 0 \leq \eta \leq 1; 0 \leq \beta < 1)$ and the function g is given by (1.5).

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f(z)$, given by (1.4), be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(m+1)(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2|\tau|^2(1-\beta)^2(m+1)}{(1+\lambda m+\eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that there exist

$p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] = \beta + (1 - B)p(z) \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] = \beta + (1 - B)q(w), \quad (3.6)$$

where $p(z)$ and $q(w)$ have the forms (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. Equating coefficients in (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\left(\frac{1 + \lambda m + \eta m(m + 1)}{\tau} \right) a_{m+1} = (1 - \beta)p_m, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1)}{\tau} \right) a_{2m+1} = (1 - \beta)p_{2m}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$- \left(\frac{1 + \lambda m + \eta m(m + 1)}{\tau} \right) a_{m+1} = (1 - \beta)q_m \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1)}{\tau} \right) [(m + 1)a_{m+1}^2 - a_{2m+1}] = (1 - \beta)q_{2m}. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7) and (3.9), we find

$$p_m = -q_m \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$2 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda m + \eta m(m + 1)}{\tau} \right)^2 a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)^2(p_m^2 + q_m^2). \quad (3.12)$$

Adding (3.8) and (3.10), we have

$$\left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1)}{\tau} \right) (m + 1)a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m}). \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$a_{m+1}^2 = \frac{\tau(1 - \beta)(p_{2m} + q_{2m})}{(m + 1)(1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1))}. \quad (3.14)$$

Applying Lemma (1.1) for coefficients p_{2m} and q_{2m} , we obtain

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(m+1)(1+2\lambda m+2\eta m(2m+1))}}.$$

This gives the bound on $|a_{m+1}|$ as asserted in (3.3).

In order to find the bound on $|a_{2m+1}|$, by subtracting (3.10) from (3.8), we get

$$2 \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1)}{\tau} \right) a_{2m+1} - \left(\frac{1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1)}{\tau} \right) (m + 1)a_{m+1}^2 = (1 - \beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m}) \quad (3.15)$$

or equivalently

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{(m + 1)}{2} a_{m+1}^2 + \frac{\tau(1 - \beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m + 1))}. \quad (3.16)$$

Upon substituting the value of a_{m+1}^2 from (3.12), we get

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{\tau^2(1-\beta)^2(m+1)(p_m^2 + q_m^2)}{4(1 + \lambda m + \eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{\tau(1-\beta)(p_{2m} - q_{2m})}{2(1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m+1))}. \quad (3.17)$$

Applying Lemma (1.1) once again for the coefficients p_m, p_{2m}, q_m and q_{2m} , we find

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{2|\tau|^2(1-\beta)^2(m+1)}{(1 + \lambda m + \eta m(m+1))^2} + \frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(1 + 2\lambda m + 2\eta m(2m+1))}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (3.1).

4. Corollaries and Consequences

For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, the classes $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ reduce to the classes $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ and thus, Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (3.1) reduce to Corollary (4.1) and Corollary(4.2), respectively.

Corollary 4.1. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{\sqrt{|2\alpha\tau(1 + 2\lambda + 6\eta) + (1 - \alpha)(1 + \lambda + 2\eta)^2|}} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2|\tau|^2}{(1 + \lambda + 2\eta)^2} + \frac{2\alpha|\tau|}{(1 + 2\lambda + 6\eta)}. \quad (4.2)$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$. Then

$$|a_{m+1}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(1 + 2\lambda + 6\eta)}} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$|a_{2m+1}| \leq \frac{4|\tau|^2(1-\beta)^2}{(1 + \lambda + 2\eta)^2} + \frac{2|\tau|(1-\beta)}{(1 + 2\lambda + 6\eta)}. \quad (4.4)$$

The classes $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ are defined in the following way:

Definition 4.1. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2} \quad (w \in U), \quad (4.6)$$

where $(\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda \geq 1; 0 \leq \eta \leq 1; 0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ and the function g is given by (1.2).

Definition 4.2. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda f'(z) + \eta z f''(z) - 1 \right] \right) > \beta \quad (z \in U) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1-\lambda) \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda g'(w) + \eta w g''(w) - 1 \right] \right) > \beta \quad (w \in U), \quad (4.8)$$

where $(\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; \lambda \geq 1; 0 \leq \eta \leq 1; 0 \leq \beta < 1)$ and the function g is given by (1.2).

Remark 4.1. For m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, if we put $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (3.1), we obtain the results which were given by Srivastava et al.[16]. In addition , if we put $\eta = 0$ in Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (3.1), we obtain the results which were given by Srivastave et al. [17]

Remark 4.2. For one-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, if we put $\tau = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (3.1), we obtain the results which were given by Frasin [12]. In addition , if we put $\tau = 1$ and $\eta = 0$ in Theorem (2.1) and Theorem (3.1), we obtain the results which were given by Frasin and Aouf [13].

References

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 259, Springer, New York, USA, (1983).
- [2] W. Koepf, Coefficients of symmetric functions of bounded boundary rotations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105, 324-329, (1989).
- [3] C. Pommerenke, On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 9, 259-269, (1962).
- [4] H. M. Srivastava, S. Sivasubramanian and R. Sivakumar, Initial coefficient bounds for a subclass of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Tbilisi Mathematical J.*, 7(2), 1-10, (2014).
- [5] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18, 63-68, (1967),.
- [6] D. Brannan and J. G. Clunie (Eds), *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, (Proceedings of the NATO advanced study institute held at the Univ. of Durham, Durham; July 1-20, 1979). New York , London: Academic Press, (1980).
- [7] E. Netanyahu, The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 32 (2), 100-112, (1969).
- [8] D. -L. Tan, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions, *Chinese Ann Math Ser*, A5, 559-568, (1984).
- [9] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math, Lett.*, 23, 1188-1192, (2010).
- [10] S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions, *Filomat*, 30 (6), 1567-1575, (2016).
- [11] E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, *Journal of Classical Analysis*, 2(1), 49-60, (2013).
- [12] B. A. Frasin, Coefficient bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 43(3), 383-389, (2014).
- [13] B. A. Frasin and M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24, 1569-1573, (2011),.
- [14] X. -F. Li and A. -P. Wang, Tow new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Int. Math. Forum*, 7, 1495-1504, (2012).
- [15] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 25 (6), 990-994, (2012).
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 41, 153-164, (2015).
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, Initial coefficient estimates for some subclasses of m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 36 B(3), 863-871, (2016).

حدود المعاملات لاصناف جزئية عامة للدوال الثنائية التكافؤ المتناظرة المطوية من النمط m

نجاح علي جين الزبيدي
قسم الرياضيات
كلية العلوم
جامعة بغداد

E-mail: najah.ali@qu.edu.iq

وقاص غالب عطشان
قسم الرياضيات
كلية علوم الحاسبات وتكنولوجيا المعلومات
جامعة القادسية

E-mail: waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث، قدمنا وناقشنا اصناف جزئية عامة جديدة $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \beta)$ و $\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma_m}(\tau, \lambda, \eta; \alpha)$ من Σ_m والمتكونة من الدوال التحليلية الثنائية التكافؤ المتناظرة المطوية من النمط m في قرص الوحدة المفتوح U . حصلنا على تخمينات حول معاملات مكلورين - تايلور $|a_{m+1}|$ و $|a_{2m+1}|$. حصلنا ايضاً على حالات خاصة جديدة لنتائجنا.