



Some Properties of Rings of the Type $f(x),g(x)$ -clean

Abdelwahab El Najjar¹ & Akram S. Mohammed²

^{1,2} Tikrit University's, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Tikrit, Iraq

¹abdelwahabelnajjar@gmail.com

²akr_tel@tu.edu.iq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 2 /2/2024

Revised form: 11 /3/2024

Accepted : 14 /3/2024

Available online: 30 /3/2024

ABSTRACT

In [1], the authors introduced a property of rings called the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property. We investigate some new results about this property. In particular, we prove that the ring of matrices over a ring R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean if the ring R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean as well. We demonstrate, among many other things, that a ring's $f(x),g(x)$ -cleanness may always be passed to $R[[x]]$, but not always to its polynomial ring $R[x]$.

Keywords:

Matrix ring,

$g(x)$ -clean rings,

$f(x),g(x)$ -clean rings

MSC..

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2024.16.11445>

1-Introduction:

The definition of clean rings was initially provided in a 1977 publication by the author of [6]. "An element $a \in R$ of a ring R is called clean if $a = v + \eta$, where v is a unit and η is an idempotent, and R is clean if every element of it is clean". Numerous studies have been conducted on this kind of ring due to its intriguing features see [2], [5], and [7]. Several researchers have provided a broad range of generalizations of these rings; see, for example, [3], [4], and [9]. A novel class of rings known as $g(x)$ -clean was described by the writers of [4]. "Let R be a ring and let $g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ be a polynomial with coefficients in the center $C(R)$ of R . An element $a \in R$ is said to be $g(x)$ -clean if $a = v + \eta$, where v is a unit and $g(\eta) = 0$, and when every element of R is $g(x)$ -clean, we declare R as a $g(x)$ -clean ring". Rings that are $g(x)$ -clean rings and rings that possess the clean property are closely related, as demonstrated by the authors of

*Corresponding author: Akram S. Mohammed

Email addresses: akr_tel@tu.edu.iq

Communicated by 'sub editor'

[4]. The authors of [1] have recently investigated a property known as $f(x),g(x)$ -clean. Let $f(x),g(x) \in C(R)[x]$ be two polynomials. If $a = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit and $f(\eta)=g(\vartheta)=0$, then the element $a \in R$ is considered to be $f(x),g(x)$ -clean. We proclaim R to be an $f(x),g(x)$ -clean ring when every element in R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean.

The purpose of this study is to explore some more characteristics and extensions of rings that are $f(x),g(x)$ -clean. Section 1 covers these additional features, whereas Section 2 deals with extensions of $f(x),g(x)$ -clean rings. Throughout this work, R is a ring that has an identity element 1. The following definition is relevant in Proposition 1.4.

Definition [8]: An element $a \in R$ is said to be 3-good if $a = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$ where the v_i 's are unit elements, and the ring R is said to be 3-good if every element of R is 3-good.

2- New Properties of $f(x),g(x)$ -clean Rings

First, let's look at the following lemma, which will help us build additional $f(x),g(x)$ -clean rings. We'll also utilize it in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 1.1: Let $f(x), g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. Then R is an $f(x),g(x)$ -clean ring if R is $f(x)$ -clean and $g(x)$ has at worst one root in R .

Proof: Based on the presumption, there exists $\vartheta \in R$ where $g(\vartheta) = 0$. For an arbitrary $a \in R$, there is the following decomposition $a - \vartheta = v + \eta$, such that v is a unit while $f(\eta) = 0$ because R is $f(x)$ -clean. Now, we have $a = v + \eta + \vartheta$ so the element a is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean. Because the element a was arbitrary, each member of R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean, the proof is concluded.

Example 1.2: As mentioned above, we now use the previous lemma to construct more examples of rings that are $f(x),g(x)$ -clean. Let $R = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} : \gcd(b, 7) = 1 \right\}$ and let \mathbb{Z}_3 be the group with three elements. The author of [9] showed in Theorem 3.1 that $R\mathbb{Z}_3$ is $(x^4 - x)$ -clean and because this ring contains roots of the polynomial $(x^2 - x)$ (e.g. the elements 0 and 1), it follows from Lemma 1.1 that $R\mathbb{Z}_3$ is also $(x^2 - x), (x^4 - x)$ -clean. If we set $a = b = c = d = 1$ and $m = 1, n = 2$ in Theorem 1.3 below, we conclude that $R\mathbb{Z}_3$ is also $(x^2 + x), (x^4 + x)$ -clean.

Theorem 1.3: A ring R is $ax^{2m} - bx, cx^{2n} - dx$ -clean iff R is $ax^{2m} + bx, cx^{2n} + dx$ -clean, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b, c, d \in C(R)$.

Proof: Assume that the ring R is $ax^{2m} - bx, cx^{2n} - dx$ -clean, and let $r \in R$. It follows that $-r = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit and $a\eta^{2m} - b\eta = 0 = c\vartheta^{2n} - d\vartheta$, so $r = -v - \eta - \vartheta$, where now $-v$ is still a unit while $-\eta$ and $-\vartheta$ are roots of $a(-x)^{2m} - b(-x) = ax^{2m} + bx$ and $c(-x)^{2n} - d(-x) = cx^{2n} + dx$, respectively. Therefore R is $ax^{2m} + bx, cx^{2n} + dx$ -clean. The other direction follows exactly from the same reasoning used above.

Proposition 1.4: Consider a ring R and let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$ such that a_0 and b_0 are unit elements. R is 3-good if it is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean.

Proof: By assumption, we can write an arbitrary $r \in R$ as $r = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit and η, ϑ are roots for the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively. Now, $f(\eta) = 0$ implies that $\eta(a_1 + \dots + a_m \eta^{m-1}) = (a_1 + \dots + a_m \eta^{m-1})\eta = -a_0$, because the a_i 's are all central. Since $-a_0$ is a unit then so is η . The same argument shows that ϑ is also a unit so the element r is 3-good. The element r was arbitrary so the whole ring is 3-good.

The next theorem exhibits an interesting relationship between rings that has the clean property and certain rings that possesses the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property.

Theorem 1.5: Let 2 is a nilpotent element in a commutative ring R , and let $a, b \in R$ be arbitrary elements, the claims that follow considered equivalent:

1. R possesses the clean property.
2. R possesses the $(x^2-x), (x^2-a^2)$ -clean property.

3. R possesses the $(x^2-x), (x^2+b^2)$ -clean property.

Proof: $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ If R possesses the clean property, then this means that R is (x^2-x) -clean (an idempotent η is an element such that $\eta^2 = \eta$) and because the element a is a root of $(x^2 - a^2)$ we conclude from Lemma 1.1 that R possesses the $(x^2-x), (x^2-a^2)$ -clean property. Conversely, assume that R is $(x^2-x), (x^2-a^2)$ -clean and pick an arbitrary $r \in R$. Then by assumption we are allowed to write $r - a = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit, $\eta^2 = \eta$ and $\vartheta^2 = a^2$ so $r = v + (\vartheta + a) + \eta$. Note that

$$(\vartheta + a)^2 = \vartheta^2 + 2\vartheta a + a^2 = a^2 + 2\vartheta a + a^2 = 2(a^2 + \vartheta a),$$

which tells us that the element $\vartheta + a$ is a nilpotent because 2 is, therefore the element $v + (\vartheta + a)$ is a unit because it is a unit and nilpotent combination in a ring that is commutative so the element r is clean since η is an idempotent which proves the cleanness of R .

$(1 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ The forward arrow is a repetition of the forward arrow of the equivalence $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$. Conversely, assume that R is $(x^2-x), (x^2+b^2)$ -clean ring and let $r \in R$. Now we can decompose $r - b$ as $r - b = v + \eta + \vartheta$ where v is a unit while $\eta^2 = \eta$ and $\vartheta^2 = -b^2$ therefore $r = v + (\vartheta + b) + \eta$. We have

$$(\vartheta + b)^2 = \vartheta^2 + 2\vartheta b + b^2 = -b^2 + 2\vartheta b + b^2 = 2\vartheta b,$$

which shows that the element $\vartheta + b$ is a nilpotent because 2 is a nilpotent so the element $v + (\vartheta + b)$ is a unit because it is a unit and nilpotent combination in a commutative ring. As η is idempotent, the element r is clean which indeed proves the cleanness of R and concludes the proof.

The previous type of reasoning can be used to show the impossibility of constructing certain rings with the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property as demonstrated in the proposition below.

Proposition 1.6: Let R be a ring that is nonzero, commutative and contains 2 as a nilpotent, then R can't be $(x^2+1), (x^2-1)$ -clean.

Proof: On the contrary, suppose that R is $(x^2+1), (x^2-1)$ -clean. We can write $2 = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit, $\eta^2 = 1$ and $\vartheta^2 = -1$. Now we get $0 = v + (\eta - 1) + (\vartheta - 1)$ with the following observation

$$(\eta - 1)^2 = \eta^2 + 2\eta + 1 = 1 + 2\eta + 1 = 2(\eta + 1).$$

As was argued in the previous theorem, the element $\eta - 1$ is a nilpotent. Similarly, the element $\vartheta + 1$ is also nilpotent from which we deduce that the element $v + (\eta - 1) + (\vartheta - 1)$ is a unit because it is a sum of a unit and two nilpotents. Set this unit to be $u = v + (\eta - 1) + (\vartheta - 1)$ so $u = 0$ hence $1 = 0$ a contradiction with R being a nonzero ring.

To create an $f(x), g(x)$ -clean ring from two rings-one of which is an $f(x)$ -clean ring and the other has the $g(x)$ -clean property-one can utilize the direct product of two rings. Given two rings, R and S , and two polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i \in C(R)[x]$ and $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \in C(S)[x]$, we may embed $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in $C(R \times S)$ as follows: $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m (a_i, 0)x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (0, b_i)x^i$, respectively.

Proposition 1.7: Let R and S be two rings and $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be two polynomials as discussed above. If R possesses the $f(x)$ -clean property and S possess the $g(x)$ -clean property, then their product $R \times S$ is a ring that is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean.

Proof: Let $(r, s) \in R \times S$. Because R possesses the $f(x)$ -clean property and S possess the $g(x)$ -clean property, we may write $(r, s) = (v + \eta, u + \vartheta)$, where v is an invertible element of R , η is a zero of the polynomial $f(x)$, u is an invertible element of S and ϑ is a zero of $g(x)$. Therefore, we have $(r, s) = (v + \eta, u + \vartheta) = (v, u) + (\eta, 0) + (0, \vartheta)$, where (u, v) is an invertible element of $R \times S$ with multiplicative inverse (v^{-1}, u^{-1}) , while $(\eta, 0)$ and $(0, \vartheta)$ are zeros of the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, in that order (recall the embedding we mentioned earlier). We concluded that (r, s) is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean so $R \times S$ is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean ring.

3- Extensions of $f(x), g(x)$ -clean Rings

The current part of the paper discusses several extensions of $f(x),g(x)$ -clean rings. Let R and S be two rings and let $\phi: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. We can build a new ring homomorphism $\bar{\phi}: C(R)[x] \rightarrow C(S)[x]$ defined by $\bar{\phi}(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m) = \phi(a_0) + \phi(a_1)x + \dots + \phi(a_m)x^m$.

Proposition 2.1: Consider two rings R and S with a surjective ring homomorphism, $\phi: R \rightarrow S$. Let $f(x)=a_0+a_1x+\dots+a_mx^m, g(x)=b_0+b_1x+\dots+b_nx^n \in C(R)[x]$. If R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean, then S is $f_\phi(x),g_\phi(x)$ -clean where $f_\phi(x) = \bar{\phi}(f(x))$ and $g_\phi(x) = \bar{\phi}(g(x))$.

Proof: Let $s \in S$ be an arbitrary element, then $\exists r \in R$ with $\phi(r) = s$. Because R is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean, there is a decomposition $r = v + \eta + \vartheta$ with v an invertible element and $f(\eta) = g(\vartheta) = 0$. Now, $s = \phi(r) = \phi(v + \eta + \vartheta) = \phi(v) + \phi(\eta) + \phi(\vartheta)$ where $\phi(v)$ is a unit of S because it is an image of a unit under ϕ and $\phi(\eta)$ is a root of $f_\phi(x)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi(\phi(\eta)) &= \phi(a_0) + \phi(a_1)\phi(\eta) + \dots + \phi(a_m)(\phi(\eta))^m \\ &= \phi(a_0 + a_1\eta + \dots + a_m\eta^m) \\ &= \phi(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $f(\eta) = 0$. Similarly, $\phi(\vartheta)$ is a zero for $g_\phi(x)$ so the element s is $f_\phi(x),g_\phi(x)$ -clean so the whole ring is $f_\phi(x),g_\phi(x)$ -clean.

As an immediate result, we obtain the following statements.

Corollary 2.2: Consider 2 polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ with integers coefficients (in the subring generated by 1) and consider a family of rings $(R_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. The ring $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean iff each R_λ is $f(x),g(x)$ -clean.

Corollary 2.3: Consider a ring R with an ideal, $J \subseteq R$ and let $f(x),g(x)$ be 2 polynomials in $C(R)[x]$. The quotient ring R/J possesses the $f_\pi(x),g_\pi(x)$ -clean property if R possesses the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property, where $\pi: R \rightarrow R/J$ is the homomorphism defined by $\pi(a) = a + J$.

A partial converse of Corollary 2.3 can be constructed. Recall that if $\eta + J$ is a zero for a polynomial $f_\pi(x)$ in $C(R/J)[x]$, then we say that $\eta + J$ lifts module J if there exist $\eta' \in R$ that is a zero for $f(x)$ and with $\eta + J = \eta' + J$ i.e. $\eta - \eta' \in J$.

Theorem 2.4: Consider a ring R , an ideal J of R contained in the Jacobson radical, and let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be in $C(R)[x]$. If R/J possesses the $f_\pi(x),g_\pi(x)$ -clean property where all roots (zeros) of the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ lifts module J , it must be that R possesses the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property.

Proof: Chose some arbitrary $a \in R$, then from the assumption $a + J = (v + J) + (\eta + J) + (\vartheta + J)$, where $v + J$ is an invertible element of R/J , while $\eta + J$ and $\vartheta + J$ are roots of $f_\pi(x)$ and $g_\pi(x)$, respectively. Since roots lift module J , there exist roots η' and ϑ' in R for $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively where $\eta + J = \eta' + J$ and $\vartheta + J = \vartheta' + J$. Now, $a + J = (v + J) + (\eta' + J) + (\vartheta' + J)$ so $(a + J) - (\eta' + J) - (\vartheta' + J) = v + J$, and as $v + J$ is a unit, then also $(a - \eta' - \vartheta') + J$ is a unit of R/J . Because the ideal J lies in the Jacobson radical, we deduce that the element $a - \eta' - \vartheta'$ is a unit of R itself so we can write $a = (a - \eta' - \vartheta') + \eta' + \vartheta'$, where the element in the brackets is a unit of R , and the second and third are roots for the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, in that order. This proves the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property and ends the proof.

Next, we prove the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property of a matrix ring if its ring of entries is of the same type. Recall that the map $a \rightarrow aI_n$ equips the n by n matrix ring with a structure of an algebra over $C(R)$, where a is a central element of R and I_n is the identity matrix of size n by n .

Theorem 2.5: Consider a ring R with $f(x),g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. Whenever R possesses the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property, the ring $M_{n \times n}(R)$ possesses the $f(x),g(x)$ -clean property for every positive integer n .

Proof: The proof of this theorem is achieved by mathematical induction as follows. If $n = 1$, the theorem is satisfied because $M_{1 \times 1}(R) = R$. Assume the theorem is satisfied for $n - 1 > 1$. Let $K \in M_{n \times n}(R)$, we can put K in the form $K = \begin{bmatrix} K' & A \\ B & k \end{bmatrix}$, where $K' \in M_{n-1 \times n-1}(R)$, A is an $n - 1$ column, B is an $n - 1$ row and $k \in R$. Because $M_{n-1 \times n-1}(R)$ possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property, there is an expression $K' = V + H + \theta$, where V is a unit (invertible matrix) of $M_{n-1 \times n-1}(R)$, H is a zero for $f(x)$ in $M_{n-1 \times n-1}(R)$ and θ is a zero for $g(x)$ in $M_{n-1 \times n-1}(R)$. Note also that $k - BV^{-1}A \in R$ so by the $f(x), g(x)$ -cleanness of R we can write $k - BV^{-1}A = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is an invertible element and $\eta, \vartheta \in R$ such that $f(\eta) = g(\vartheta) = 0$. Putting everything in the described formula for K we get

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} V & A \\ B & v + BV^{-1}A \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \vartheta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the second and third matrices are roots of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively so we only need to show that the first matrix is invertible. One can easily see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} V & A \\ B & v + BV^{-1}A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ -BV^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-1} & -V^{-1}A \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1},$$

a product of invertible matrices so the first matrix in the decomposition of K is invertible proving the $f(x), g(x)$ -cleanness of K and hence of $M_{n \times n}(R)$. The result is satisfied for all $n \geq 1$.

Example 2.6: By direct computation, one can easily show that the ring \mathbb{Z}_5 is $(x^2 - 3x + 2), (x^2 - 4x + 3)$ -clean so it follows from Theorem 2.5, the ring of matrices $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z}_5)$ is $(x^2 - 3x + 2), (x^2 - 4x + 3)$ -clean for all $n \geq 1$.

We can consider another ring of matrices namely the trivial extension. Consider an R -module N where the ring R is commutative. We construct a ring called the trivial extension of R by N written as $R(N)$ which is the collection

$$R(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & n \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a \in R \text{ and } n \in N \right\},$$

with the typical and intuitive addition and matrix multiplication. We associate with such ring a homomorphism $\Phi: R[x] \rightarrow R(N)[x]$ that identify polynomials in the ring $R[x]$ with their images in the ring $R(N)[x]$ and which is defined as

$$\Phi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m) = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 \end{bmatrix}x + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} a_m & 0 \\ 0 & a_m \end{bmatrix}x^m.$$

Proposition 2.7: Consider a ring R which is commutative with some $f(x), g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. R possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property iff $R(N)$ possesses the same property.

Proof: Assume that $R(N)$ is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean. The set $\{J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } n \in N\}$ is evidently an ideal in the ring $R(N)$ hence $R(N)/J \cong R$ possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property by Corollary 2.3. Conversely, pick some $\begin{bmatrix} a & n \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \in R(N)$. By the $f(x), g(x)$ -cleanness of R , there is a decomposition $a = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit of R , and η, ϑ are roots of $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively. So we end up with the following decomposition

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & n \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v & n \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \eta & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \vartheta & 0 \\ 0 & \vartheta \end{bmatrix},$$

where the second and third matrices are the zeros for $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, at that order while the first matrix is obviously a unit. The proof is achieved.

Lastly, we will show that the ring of power series $R[[x]]$ over a given ring R possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property whenever R possesses this same property. On the other hand, we can find polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ for which we get R is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean but the ring of polynomials over the ring R is not.

Proposition 2.8: Consider a ring R and let $f(x), g(x) \in C(R)[x]$. R possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property iff $R[[x]]$ possesses this same property.

Proof: Assume that R is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean, and pick some $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \in R[[x]]$. We have $a_0 = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit and $f(\eta) = g(\vartheta) = 0$. Therefore, $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots = (v + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots) + \eta + \vartheta$. It is known that power series with unit constant terms are themselves units, so $(v + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots)$ is a unit, proving the $f(x), g(x)$ -cleanness of R . Conversely, when $R[[x]]$ is $f(x), g(x)$ -clean, then the map $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \rightarrow a_0$ is a surjective ring morphism onto R so R possesses the $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property by Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.9: Consider a ring R that is commutative and $(x^m-x), (x^n-x)$ -clean, for a pair $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the ring of polynomials $R[x]$ over R is not an $(x^m-x), (x^n-x)$ -clean ring.

Proof: With the aim of obtaining a contradiction, say $R[x]$ possesses the $(x^m-x), (x^n-x)$ -clean property. We can write x as $x = v + \eta + \vartheta$, where v is a unit and $f(\eta) = g(\vartheta) = 0$. As in Example 3.2 of [9] the elements η and ϑ are in R , so $v = a + x$, where $a = -\eta - \vartheta$ is a scalar. Since v is an invertible element and $v = a + x$, we conclude that the element $a + x$ is invertible, but this is a contradiction since units in $R[x]$ must have nilpotent coefficients for all terms except the constant term (Note that the coefficient of x is 1 which is not a nilpotent).

References

- [1] El Najjar, A., & Salem, A. (2024). The $f(x), g(x)$ -clean property of rings. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, 19(3), 687–691.
- [2] Camilo, V. P., & Simon, J.J. (2002). The Nicholson-Varadarajan theorem on clean linear transformations. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 44(3), 365-369.
- [3] Danchev, P. V. (2017). Invo-clean unital rings. *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 32(1), 19-27.
- [4] Fan, L., & Yang, X. (2008). On rings whose elements are the sum of a unit and a root of a fixed polynomial. *Communications in Algebra*, 36 (1), 269-278.
- [5] Han, J., & Nicholson, W. K. (2001). Extensions of clean rings. *Communications in Algebra*, 29(6), 2589-2595.
- [6] Nicholson, W. K. (1977). Lifting idempotents and exchange rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 229, 269- 278.
- [7] Nicholson, W. K., & Zhou, Y. (2005). Clean rings: a survey. In *Advances in Ring Theory*, 181-198.
- [8] Vámos, P. (2005). 2-good rings. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 56(3), 417-430.
- [9] Ye, Y. (2003). Semiclean rings. *Communications in Algebra*, 31(11), 5609-5625.