

Some properties of two – fuzzy pre – Hilbert space
Noori F.AL – Mayahi & Layth S.Ibrahaim
Department of Mathematics ,College of Computer Science
and Mathematics ,University of AL – Qadissiya

Recived : 18 / 6 /2013

Revised: 1 /9 / 2013

Accepted: 2 /9 / 2013

We introduce the definition of a two-fuzzy pre Hilbert space (two-fuzzy **ABSTRACT:** inner product space) and discuss some properties of this spaces ,and we use the definition of two-fuzzy pre Hilbert space to introduce the definitions of (level complete in two-fuzzy Hilbert , fuzzy orthogonality) . Also, introduce some important theorems with their proofs. Moreover, crisp inner product and orthogonality are established .

: fuzzy set, Two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space, α -norm, **Keywords**

Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [2] in 1965 as an extension of the classical notion of set. A satisfactory theory of 2-norm on a linear space has been introduced and developed by Gahler in [4]. The concept of fuzzy norm and α -norm were introduced by Bag and Samanta and the notions of convergent and Cauchy sequences were also discussed in [6]. Zhang [1] has defined fuzzy linear space in a different way. RM. Somasundaram and ThangarajBeaula defined the notion of fuzzy 2-normed linear space $(F(X), N)$ or 2- fuzzy ,2-normed linear space. Some standard results in fuzzy 2-normed linear spaces were extended . The famous closed graph theorem and Riesz Theorem were also established in 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear space. In [5] , we have introduced the new concept of 2-fuzzy inner product space on $F(X)$, the set of all fuzzy sets of X . In this paper studysome properties of two-pere-fuzzy Hilbert spaces and results are discussed about the two concepts.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1.[3]. Let $F(X)$ be a vector space over the complex field C . The fuzzy subset η defined as a mapping from $F(X) \times F(X) \times C \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that for all $f, g, h \in F(X), \alpha \in C$

$$(1) \text{ For } s, t \in C, \eta(f + g, h, |t| + |s|) \geq \min\{\eta(f, h, |t|), \eta(g, h, |s|)\}$$

$$(2) \text{ For } s, t \in C, \eta(f, g, |st|) \geq \min\{\eta(f, f, |s|^2), \eta(g, g, |t|^2)\}$$

$$(3) \text{ For } t \in C, \eta(f, g, t) = \eta(g, f, t)$$

$$(4) \eta(\alpha f, g, t) = \eta(f, g, \frac{1}{|\alpha|}), \alpha (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{C}, t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

$$(5) \eta(f, f, t) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(6) \eta(f, f, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ if and only if } f = 0$$

$$(7) \eta(f, f, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I (= [0, 1]) \text{ is a monotonic non-decreasing function of } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(f, f, t) = 1$$

Then η is said to be two - fuzzy pre-Hilbert (2 - FPHS) on $F(X)$ and the pair $(F(X), \eta)$ is called a two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space (2-FPHS).

Definition 2.2. [5]. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a 2-FPHS satisfying the condition

$\{\eta(f, f, t^2) > 0, \text{ when } t > 0\}$ implies that $f = 0$. Then for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

define $\|f\|_\alpha = \inf\{t : \eta(f, f, t^2) \geq \alpha\}$ a crisp norm on $F(X)$, called the α -norm on

$F(X)$ generated by η . Now using these definitions let us define fuzzy norm on $F(X)$ and verify the conditions as follows:

Theorem 2.3. [5]. Let η be a two-fuzzy inner product on $F(X)$. Then

$N : F(X) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$N(f, t) = \begin{cases} \eta(f, f, t^2) & \text{when } t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } t \in \mathbb{R}, t < 0 \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy norm on $F(X)$.

(N1) By define $N(f, t) = 0$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \leq 0$.

Proof: (N2) Again from (6), for all $t > 0$, $\eta(f, f, t^2) = 1$ if and only if $f = 0$ therefore it follows that $N(f, t) = 1$ if and only if $f = 0$.

(N3) For all $t > 0$ and $c \neq 0$,

$$N(cf, t) = \eta(cf, cf, t^2) = \eta(f, cf, \frac{t^2}{|c|}) = \eta(f, f, \frac{t^2}{|c|^2}) = N(f, \frac{t}{|c|}).$$

(N4) To prove that $N(f + g, s + t) \geq \min\{N(f, s), N(g, t)\}$ for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $f, g \in F(X)$, let us consider the following cases:

(a) $s + t < 0$,

(b) $s = t = 0$; $s > 0, t < 0$ or $s < 0, t > 0$,

(c) $s + t > 0$; $s, t \geq 0$.

Let us prove (c).

$$\begin{aligned} N(f + g, s + t) &= \eta(f + g, f + g, (s + t)^2) \\ &= \eta(f + g, f + g, s^2 + st + st + t^2) \\ &\geq \eta(f, f, s^2) \wedge \eta(g, g, t^2) \wedge \eta(f, g, st) \\ &\geq \eta(f, f, s^2) \wedge \eta(g, g, t^2) \\ &= N(f, s) + N(g, t) \end{aligned}$$

(a) and (b) follows immediately.

(N5) From (7) $\eta(f, f, \cdot)$ is a monotonic non-decreasing function and tends to 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to. Thus $N(f, \cdot)$ is a monotonic non-decreasing function and tends to 1 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to.

Theorem 2.4. [5]. (Parallelogram Law) Let η be a two-fuzzy inner product on $F(X)$,

$\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ be the α -norm generated from 2-FIP η on $F(X)$. Then

$$\|f - g\|_\alpha^2 + \|f + g\|_\alpha^2 = 2(\|f\|_\alpha^2 + \|g\|_\alpha^2)$$

Theorem 2.5.[5] If a two - fuzzy pre-Hilbert space $(F(X), \eta)$ is strictly convex, and if $\eta(f, g, t) = \|f\|_\alpha \|g\|_\alpha$ then f and g are linearly dependent

Theorem 2.6.[5]. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space. If $\eta(f, h, t) = \eta(g, h, t)$ for all $h \in F(X)$ then f and g are dependent.

Definition 2.7.[5].A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in a fuzzy two-normed linear space $(F(X), N)$ is called a Cauchy sequence with respect to a-norm if $\lim \|f_n - f_m\| = 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.8. [5]. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ in a fuzzy two-normed linear called a convergent sequence with respect to α -norm if there exists $f \in F(X)$ such that if $\lim \|f_n - f_m\| = 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Definition 2.9.[5]. A fuzzy two-normed linear space $(F(X), N)$ is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence converges.

Definition 2.10.[5] A complete fuzzy 2-normed linear space $(F(X), N)$ is called two-fuzzy Banach space.

Definition 2.11.[5] A complex 2-fuzzy Banach space $(F(X), N)$ is said to be 2- fuzzy Hilbert space if its norm is induced by the two-fuzzy inner product.

Theorem 2.12. [5] A closed convex two-fuzzy subset C of a two-fuzzy Hilbert space $F(X)$ contains a unique element in $F(X)$ with smallest a-norm.

Definition 2.13.[5] Let $F(X)$ be a vector space over the complex field C . Let η be a two-fuzzy inner product on $F(X)$. Let

$$N(f, t) = \begin{cases} \eta(f, f, t^2) & \text{when } t \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

be the fuzzy norm induced by the two-fuzzy inner product. Let

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \inf \{t > 0 : N(f, t) \geq \alpha\}.$$

If $\| \cdot \|_\alpha$ satisfies parallelogram law then define α -two-inner product as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = F_\alpha + iG_\alpha,$$

$$\text{where } F_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} (\|f + g\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - g\|_\alpha^2) \text{ and}$$

$$G_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} (\|f + ig\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - ig\|_\alpha^2), \alpha \in (0, 1) \dots \dots \dots (\#).$$

3.Main results:

In this section, some of the basic results related to this work are given .

Theorem 3.1.Every two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space is a two-fuzzy normed space.

Proof: let $(F(X), \eta, *)$ be a fuzzy pre-Hilbert space. Define

$$N(f, t) = \begin{cases} \eta(f, f, t^2) & , t > 0 \\ 0 & , t \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{For all } f, g \in F(X), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The axioms (1, 3, 4, 5, 6) in Definition (3.3.1) satisfied Now to prove (2)

$$\begin{aligned} N(f + g, t + s) &= \eta(f + g, f + g, (t + s)^2) \\ &= \eta(f + g, f + g, t^2 + ts + ts + s^2) \\ &\geq \eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \wedge \eta(f + g, f + g, s^2) \wedge \eta(f + g, f + g, ts) \\ &\geq \eta(f, f, t^2) \wedge \eta(g, g, s^2) = N(f, t) * N(g, s). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(F(X), N, *)$ is a two- fuzzy normed space.

The relation between two-fuzzy metric space and two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space is given in next theorem .

Theorem 3.2. Every two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space is a two-fuzzy metric space.

Proof: Let $(X, F, *)$ be a fuzzy pre-Hilbert space.

$$\text{Defined } M(f, g, t) = \begin{cases} \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) & , t > 0 \\ 0 & , t \leq 0 \end{cases} ,$$

for all $f, g, h \in F(X)$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$(1) \quad M(f, g, t) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) > 0 \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

$$(2) \quad M(f, g, t) = 1 \Leftrightarrow M(f, g, t) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) = 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow f - g = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = g \text{ for all } t > 0;$$

$$(3) \quad M(f, g, t) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) = \eta(g - f, g - f, t^2) = M(g, f, t);$$

$$(4) \quad M(f, g, t) * M(g, h, s) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) \wedge \eta(g - h, g - h, s^2) \\ \leq \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) \wedge \eta(g - h, g - h, s^2) \wedge \eta(f - g, f - g, ts) \\ = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2 + s^2 + st) = \eta(f - g, f - g, (t + s)^2) \\ = M(f, h, t + s);$$

$$(5) \quad M(f, g, \bullet) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2): (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is continuous.}$$

Therefore $(F(X), M, *)$ is a two-fuzzy metric space.

The proof of the next theorem is straightforward it is omitted .

Theorem 3.3. Let $F(X)$ be a linear space over the complex field C . Let η be a two-fuzzy inner product on $F(X)$

$$(i) \quad \text{For } f, g, h \in F(X) \text{ and } t, s \in C, \eta(f, g + h, t + s) \geq \eta(f, g, t) \wedge \eta(f, h, s).$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For } \lambda \in C \text{ and } \lambda \neq 0, \eta(f, \lambda g, t) = \eta(\lambda f, g, t).$$

$$(iii) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } t > 0, \eta(0, 0, t) = 1 \geq \eta(f, g, t), \forall f, g \in F(X).$$

Remark 3.4 .

$$(i) \quad \text{let } f \in F(X), \eta(f, f, t^2) > 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall f, g \in F(X) \text{ and } p, q \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\eta(f + g, f + g, 2q^2) \wedge \eta(f - g, f - g, 2p^2) \geq \eta(f, f, p^2) \wedge \eta(g, g, q^2)$$

3.5.Minimizing vector

Definition 3.5.1. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert satisfying (Remark3.4). $F(X)$ is said to be level complete (l-complete) if for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, every Cauchy sequence converges in $F(X)$ w.r.t the α - norm, $\| \cdot \|_{\alpha}$, generated by the fuzzy norm N which is induced by two - fuzzy inner product η .

Theorem 3.5.2. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two- FPH space satisfying (Remark3.4) and $M(\neq \emptyset)$ be a convex subset of $F(X)$ which is level complete. Let $f \in F(X)$. Then for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, \exists a unique $g_0^{\alpha} \in M$ such that $m_{g_0^{\alpha}}^{(\alpha)} = \inf_{g \in M} \{m_g^{(\alpha)}\}$, where $m_g^{(\alpha)} = \wedge \{t \in \mathbb{R}^+, N(f, t) \geq \alpha\}$, N being the fuzzy norm induced by the two- FIP function η .

Proof :

Observe that for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $g \in M$,

$m_g^{(\alpha)} = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : N(f - g, t) \geq \alpha\} \|f - g\|_\alpha$ where $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ the α -norm induced from the fuzzy norm N which is obtained from the two-fuzzy inner product η . By Definition 2-3-14, $(F(X), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha)$ is an two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ is given by (#). Also M is level complete and convex. So for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, M is a convex complete subset of $(F(X), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha)$.

Hence by the minimizing vector theorem in crisp two- pre-Hilbert space we get the result.

3.6. Tow-Fuzzy Orthogonality

This section deals with the concept of two-fuzzy pre-Hilbert space and some of its properties.

Definition 3.6.1.[5] Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be two-fuzzy inner product space. If $f, g \in F(X)$ be such that $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = 0$, for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ then f and g are two-fuzzy orthogonal to each other and is denoted by $f \perp_\alpha g$. With the help of this many more results can be established.

Definition 3.6.2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $(F(X), \eta)$ be a 2 – FPH space satisfying (Remark 3.3.16). Now if $f, g \in F(X)$ be such that $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = 0$, then we say that f, g are α – fuzzy orthogonal to each other and is denoted by $f \perp_\alpha g$. Let M be a subset of V and $x \in V$. Now if $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = 0 \forall g \in M$, then we say that f is α – fuzzy orthogonal to M and is denoted by $f \perp_\alpha M$

Lemma 3.6.3.(orthogonality) Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two – FPH space satisfying (Remark 3.4).. Let $Y (\neq \emptyset)$ be a subspace of $F(X)$ which is level complete and $f \in F(X)$ be fixed. Then for each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $h^\alpha (= f - g_0^\alpha)$ is a-fuzzy orthogonal to Y , where $g_0^\alpha \in Y$ is such that $m_{g_0^\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = \inf_{g \in M} \{m_g^{(\alpha)}\}$

Proof :

As $(F(X), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha)$ is a crisp two- pre-Hilbert space and Y is closed w.r.t the α – norm, $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, the result follows.

Definition 3.6.4. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a 2 – FPH space satisfying (Remark 3.4). Now if $f, g \in F(X)$ be such that $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$, then we say that f, g are fuzzy orthogonal to each other and is denoted by $f \perp g$.

Thus $f \perp g$ iff $f \perp_\alpha g, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Theorem 3.6.5. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two-FPH space satisfying (Remark 3.4). such that $\eta(f, f, \bullet)$ is strictly increasing and lower semi continuous for any $f \in F(X)$. Then for $f, g \in F(X)$, $f \perp g$ iff $\eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2)$ And $\eta(f + ig, f + ig, t^2) = \eta(f - ig, f - ig, t^2), \forall t > 0$.

Proof: The condition is necessary.

Let $f \perp g$, then $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = F_\alpha + iG_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$

where $F_\alpha = 4(\|f + g\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - g\|_\alpha^2) \forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and

$G_\alpha = 4(\|f + ig\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - ig\|_\alpha^2), \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Then $\|f + g\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - g\|_\alpha^2 = 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1) \dots \dots \dots (i)$

And $\|f + ig\|_\alpha^2 - \|f - ig\|_\alpha^2 = 0, \forall \alpha \in (0, 1) \dots \dots \dots (ii)$

From (i) we get $\|f + g\|_\alpha = \|f - g\|_\alpha \forall \alpha \in (0,1) \dots \dots \dots$ (iii)

$\Lambda\{t > 0; N(f + g, t) \geq \alpha\} = \Lambda\{s > 0; N(x - y, s) > \alpha\}, \forall \alpha \in (0,1)$

$\Rightarrow \Lambda\{t > 0; \eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \geq \alpha\} =$

$\Lambda\{s > 0; \eta(f - g, f - g, s^2) \geq \alpha\}, \forall \alpha \in (0,1).$

Now if possible let $\eta(f + g, f + g, s^2) \neq \eta(f - g, f - g, s^2)$ for some $s > 0$.

Without loss of generality let

$\eta(f + g, f + g, s^2) > \eta(f - g, f - g, s^2)$ for some $s > 0 = \alpha_0$ (say)

Then by our assumption that $\eta(f, f, \bullet)$ is strictly increasing and lower semi continuous

$\forall f \in F(X)$, we get:-

$\Lambda\{t > 0; \eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \geq \alpha_0\} < \Lambda\{r > 0; \eta(f - g, f - g, r^2) \geq \alpha_0\} = s$

$\Rightarrow \|f + g\|_{\alpha_0} < \|f - g\|_{\alpha_0}$, which is a contradiction of (iii).

So, $\eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) = \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2), t > 0$

Similarly from (ii) we can prove that

$\eta(f + ig, f + ig, t^2) = \eta(f - ig, f - ig, t^2) \forall t > 0$ The sufficiency of the conditions readily follows.

Theorem 3.6.6. Let $(F(X), \eta)$ be a two-FPH space satisfying (Remark 3.4). and

$\alpha \in (0,1)$. If $\eta(f, f, \bullet)$ is strictly increasing and continuous $\forall f \in F(X)$, then

$f \perp_\alpha g$ iff

$\{\eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \geq \alpha \text{ iff } \eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) \geq \alpha, \forall t > 0\}$ and

$\{\eta(f + ig, f + ig, t^2) \geq \alpha \text{ iff } \eta(f - ig, f - ig, t^2) \geq \alpha, \forall t > 0\}$.

Proof: Let $f \perp_\alpha g$

Then $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = 0 = F_\alpha + iG_\alpha$

$\Rightarrow F_\alpha = 0$

$\Rightarrow \|f + g\|_\alpha = \|f - g\|_\alpha \dots \dots \dots$ (i)

and $G_\alpha = 0$

$\Rightarrow \|f + ig\|_\alpha = \|f - ig\|_\alpha \dots \dots \dots$ (ii)

Now from (i) we have,

$L = \Lambda\{t > 0; \eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \geq \alpha\} = \Lambda\{s > 0; \eta(f - g, f - g, s^2) \geq \alpha\}$

$= M$

If possible let $\eta(f + g, f + g, p^2) \geq \alpha$ and $\eta(f - g, f - g, p^2) < \alpha$ for some

$p \in R^+$ and $p > 0$.

Then $\exists r, s$ such that $\eta(f - g, f - g, p^2) < r < s < \alpha \leq \eta(f + g, f + g, p^2)$

We consider the following cases.

If $\eta(f + g, f + g, p^2) > \alpha > \eta(f - g, f - g, p^2)$,

Then $M > p > L$ (Since η is strictly increasing and continuous and hence upper semi continuous $\forall f \in F(X)$), which can not hold.

If $\eta(f + g, f + g, p^2) = \alpha > \eta(f - g, f - g, p^2)$,

Then $L = p < M$ (Since η is strictly increasing and continuous and hence lower semi continuous $\forall f \in F(X)$), which also can not hold.

Thus $\eta(f + g, f + g, t^2) \geq \alpha$ iff $\eta(f - g, f - g, t^2) \geq \alpha, \forall t > 0$

Similarly we can prove that

$\eta(f + g, f + ig, t^2) \geq \alpha$ iff $\eta(f - g, f - ig, t^2) \geq \alpha, \forall t > 0$ The converse part readily follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Zhang, The continuity and boundedness of fuzzy linear operators in fuzzy normed space, J.Fuzzy Math. 13(3) (2005) 519-536.
- [2] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [3] RM. Somasundaram and ThangarajBeaula, Some Aspects of 2-fuzzy 2-normed linear spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 32(2) (2009) 211-222.
- [4] S. Gahler, Lineare 2-normierte Raume, Math. Nachr. 28 (1964) 1- 43.
- [5] ThangarajBeaula, R. Angeline SargunaGifita. Some aspects of 2-fuzzy inner product space. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 4, No. 2, (October 2012), pp. 335-342
- [6] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 11(3) (2003) 687-705.