Some Results With (σ, τ) -Derivation On Near Rings

Kassim Abdul-Hameed Jassim Zainab Talib Salman College of Science –University of Baghdad

Abstract

Let N be a prime –Near ring , I be a nonzero semigroup ideal .Let d be a (σ,τ) -derivation on N .Then

(i) If d(x+y-x-y)=0 for all $x, y \in I$, then (N,+) is abelian.

(ii) If d is a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on I, then d(I)=0.

(iii) If d is a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on I, then d(N)=0.

Introduction

Through this paper N stands for a prime near ring .We recall that N is a prime ring if aNb=0, then either a=0 or b=0 in [2].A right near ring is a set N together with two binary operation "+" and "." such that (i) (N,+) is a group (not necessarily abelian) (ii) (N,.) is a semigroup .(iii) For all $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N :(n_1 + n_2)$. $n_3 = n_1$. $n_2 + n_2$. n_3 (right distributive law).In other hand we can get a left near ring by using a left distributive law such that $n_1 . (n_2 + n_3) = n_1$. $n_2 + n_1$. n_3 see [2].

An additive map d:N \rightarrow N is a (σ , τ) - derivation if d(xy)=d(x) σ (y)+ τ (x)d(y) for all x,y \in N. where σ , τ :N \rightarrow N two automorphisms ,see[4].

Nurcan Argac in [3] get the following :- (i)If u+v=v+u for all $u,v \in N$, then N is abelian.(ii)If d acts a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism on I, then d(I)=0.Where d is a two sided α -derivation ,when $\alpha:N \rightarrow N$ such that $d(xy)=d(x) \alpha(y)+\alpha(x)d(y)$ where α is any map.

In this paper we generalized these results from (α, α) -derivation to (σ, τ) -Derivation .In case(ii), we get an extension that if we have d(I)=0, then we can get d(N)=0

2. Results

Lemma (2.1)[3]

Let N be a prime near ring and I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N.If u+v=v+u for all $u,v,\in I$, then (N,+) is abelian.

Lemma(2.2)

Let N be a prime near ring and I be a nonzero semigrop ideal of N. Let d be a (σ,τ) -derivation on N. If $x \in N$ and xd(I)=0, then x=0.

Proof

Assume xd(I)=0. Then xd(uy)=0, for all $y \in N$, $u \in I$. Hence $0=xd(uy)=xd(u) \sigma(y)+x\tau(u)d(y)=x\tau(u)d(y)$.

Then $x\tau(u)d(y)=0$. Since τ is an automorphism on N, then $\tau^{-1}(x)u\tau^{-1}(d(y))=0$

Since I is a nonzero semigroup ideal of N and d is a nonzero, then x=0.

Lemma(2.3)

Let N be a prime near ring and I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N, d be a (σ,τ) -derivation of N. If d(x+y-x-y)=0, for all $x,y \in N$, then $\tau(x+y-x-y)d(z)=0$, for all $x,y \in N$, $z \in I$.

Proof

Assume that d(x+y-x-y)=0 for all $x,y\in I$. Let us take yz and xz instead of y and x, where $z \in I$ respectively. Then 0=d((x+y-x-y)z)

=d (x+y-x-y) $\sigma(z)+\tau(x+y-x-y)d(z)$ 0= $\tau(x+y-x-y)d(z)$ for all x,y,z \in I.

Theorem(2.4)

Let N be a prime near ring and I be a nonzero semigrop of N. Let d be a (σ,τ) -derivation on N .If d(x+y-x-y)=0 for all $x,y \in I$, then (N,+) is abelian.

Proof

Suppose that d(x+y-x-y)=0, for all $x,y \in I$, so we have $\tau(x+y-x-y)d(z)=0$ for all $x,y,z\in I$ [By Lemma (2.3)]. Hence $\tau(x+y-x-y)d(I)=0$. Therefore, by Lemma (2.2), we have $\tau(x+y-x-y)=0$. Hence, x+y-x-y=0 for all $x,y\in I$. So, we have (N,+) is abelian.

Lemma(2.5)

Let N be a prime – Near ring , I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N . If Ia=0 (or aI=0) , then a=0 .

Proof:

Assume aI=0, then for all $u \in I$, $x \in N$. Hence, axu=0 and this implies aNI=0. then a=0.

Assume that Ia=0. So, we have, uxa=0, for all $u \in I$, $x \in N$. Then INa=0.Since N is a prime Near ring and I is a nonzero ideal of N, then a=0.

Theorem(2.6)

Let N be a prime – Near ring , I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N , d is a (σ, τ) -derivation of N .If d is a homomorphism on I , then d(I)=0.

Proof:

```
For all x, y \in I, we have
d(xy)=d(x)d(y)=d(x)\sigma(y)+\tau(x)d(y)\dots(1)
Replace y by yx,
     = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)
     = d(x) \sigma(yx) + \tau(x) d(yx)
     = d(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(x) + \tau(x)d(y)d(x).
From the other hand, so we have
d(x)d(yx)=d(xy)d(x)
          = d(x)\sigma(y)d(x)+\tau(x)d(y)d(x).then
d(x)\sigma(y)d(x) = d(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(x)
                                         for all x, y \in I. Then
                                                                                 d(x)\sigma(y)d(x) -
d(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(x)=0. Also,
d(x)\sigma(y)[d(x) - \sigma(x)]=0. Take ny, n \in \mathbb{N} instead of y then 0=d(x)\sigma(ny)[d(x)-
\sigma(\mathbf{x})]
 0=d(x)\sigma(n)\sigma(y)[d(x) - \sigma(x)]
Then, we have either
d(I)=0 or \sigma(y)[d(x) - \sigma(x)]=0.
If \sigma(y)[d(x) - \sigma(x)] = 0, then
y \sigma^{-1}([d(x) - \sigma(x)]) = 0.
Hence, I \sigma^{-1}([d(x) - \sigma(x)]) = 0. Therefor,
by Lemma(2.5) we have d(x) - \sigma(x)=0 and so d(x) = \sigma(x) for all x \in I. From (1)
we have \tau(x)d(y)=0 for all x,y\in I. Replace x by xn n\in N, so \tau(xn)d(y)=0
xn\tau^{-1}(d(y))=0. Hence, IN \tau^{-1}(d(I))=0, so we have d(I)=0.
```

Corollary (2.7)

Let N be a prime – Near ring , I be a nonzero semigroup ideal of N , d is a (σ,τ) -derivation of N .If d is a homomorphism on I , then d(N)=0. **Proof:**

By the previous Theorem , we have d(I)=0 . So , for all $u\!\in\!I$, $x\!\in\!N$ 0=d(ux).Also,

 $0=d(ux)=d(u)\sigma(x)+\tau(u)d(x)$

= $\tau(u)d(x)$.Then $\tau(u)d(x){=}0$ for all $u{\in}I$, $x{\in}N$.Since τ is an atomorphism , then

 $u\tau^{\text{-1}}(d(\bar{x})){=}0$ and hence $d(x){=}0$ for all $x{\in}N$ by Lemma (2.5).Therefore , $d(N){=}0$.

Theorem(2.8)

Let N be a prime – Near ring , I be a nonzero ideal semigroup ideal of N , d is a (σ,τ) -derivation of N .If d is an anti- homomorphism on I , then d(I)=0.

Proof:

```
For all x,y \in I, we have

d(xy)=d(y)d(x)=d(x)\sigma(y)+\tau(x)d(y). Take xy instead of y, so we have

d(x)\sigma(x)\sigma(y)+\tau(x)d(y)d(x)

= d(x)\sigma(xy)+\tau(x)d(xy)=d(xy)d(x)

= d(x)\sigma(y) d(x)+\tau(x)d(y)d(x). Then

d(x)\sigma(y) d(x)=d(x)\sigma(x)\sigma(y) for all x,y \in I.
```

Replace y by yt ,t \in I . then we have $d(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(t)d(x) = d(x)\sigma(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(t)$ $= d(x)\sigma(y)d(x)\sigma(t)$ $d(x)\sigma(y)\sigma(t)d(x) = d(x)\sigma(y)d(x)\sigma(t)$ $d(x)\sigma(y)[\sigma(t),d(x)]=0$ for all x,y, t \in I.

Since σ is an automorphism , then

 $\begin{aligned} &\sigma^{-1}(d(x)) \ y \ \sigma^{-1}(\ [\ \sigma(t),d(x)]) = 0. \\ \text{Since I is a nonzero ideal and a primeness of N,} \\ \text{we have either } d(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad [\ \sigma(t),d(x)] = 0. \\ \text{If } d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I \text{ , hence} \\ d(I) = 0. \\ \text{If } [\ \sigma(t),d(x)] = 0, \text{ so taking rt instead of } t, r \in N \text{ , then} \\ 0 = [\ \sigma(rt),d(x)] = [\ \sigma(r)\sigma(t),d(x)] \end{aligned}$

 $= \sigma(r) [\sigma(t), d(x)] + [\sigma(r), d(x)]\sigma(t)$

=[$\sigma(r)$,d(x)] $\sigma(t)$ for all x,y, t \in I.

Also, we have

 $=\sigma^{-1}([\sigma(r),d(x)] t)$ and hence

 $\sigma^{-1}([\sigma(r),d(x)])I=0.By$ Lemma(2.5), we have $[\sigma(r),d(x)]=0$ for all $x \in I$, $r \in N$. Therefore, we have $d(I) \subset Z(R).So$, we have d is a homomorphism on I, then we have by Theorem (2.6) d(I)=0.

Corollary (2.9)

Let N be a prime – Near ring , I be a nonzero ideal semigroup ideal of N , d is a (σ,τ) -derivation of N .If d is an anti- homomorphism on I , then d(N)=0 . **Proof**

By the previous Theorem , we have d(I)=0 . So , for all $u\!\in\!I$, $x\!\in\!N$ 0=d(ux).Also,

 $0 = d(ux) = d(u)\sigma(x) + \tau(u)d(x)$

= $\tau(u)d(x)$.Then $\tau(u)d(x){=}0$ for all $u{\in}I$, $x{\in}N$.Since τ is an atomorphism , then

 $u\tau^{-1}(d(x)){=}0$ and hence $d(x){=}0$ for all $x{\in}N$ by Lemma (2.5).Therefore , $d(N){=}0$.

References

- 1.Gunter Pliz, Near ring the theory and applications, North –Holland publishing Company -1977.
- 2. Herstein, I.N. Topics in ring theory. Univ. of Chicago press, Chicago, 1969.
- 3. Nurcan Argac, On Near –rings with two sided α-derivation .Turk-J. of Math 28(2004),195-204.
- 4. Yasuyuki Hirano and Hisao Tominga, (1984).Some Commutativity Theorems for prime rings with derivations and deferentially semiprime rings .Math. J.Okayama Univ. 26. 101-108.