

On The λ - Choquet Integral with Respect to λ - Fuzzy Measure

By

Asraa Abd Zed

Al-Qadisiya University

College of Computer Science and Mathematic

Department of Mathematics

Abstract

We define the λ - fuzzy measure and the λ - Choquet integral for a measurable function with respect to λ - fuzzy measure. Also the relation between this integral and plausibility (belief) measure was given. In addition we explain every λ - fuzzy measure is fuzzy measure.

1-Introduction

The Choquet integral [4] for a non-negative measurable function can be taken with respect to λ - fuzzy measure. The term \mathfrak{F} is referred to a δ -algebra on a set X, where (X, \mathfrak{F}) a measurable space.

We say that $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable [5] with respect to \mathfrak{F} if

For any $r \in [0, \infty)$

$$f^{-1}([r, \infty)) = \{x \in X; f(x) \geq r\} \in \mathfrak{F}$$

If (X, \mathfrak{F}) a measurable space, a function $\beta: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called to be belief measure[2] if it verifying the following properties:

1- $\beta(\phi) = 0$.

2- $\beta(X) = 1$.

3- $\beta(A \cup B) \geq \beta(A) + \beta(B), \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{F}$

A function $p: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called to be plausibility measure[2] if it verifying the following properties:

1- $p(\phi) = 0$.

2- $p(X) = 1$.

3- $p(A \cup B) \leq p(A) + p(B), \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{F}$.

2-Main results

Definition 2.1 [2]. A collection of subset of a set X is called a δ -algebra (algebra) on X if:

1- $X \in \mathfrak{F}$.

2- If $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ then $A^c \in \mathfrak{F}$.

3- If $A_i \in \mathfrak{F}$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathfrak{F} (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \in \mathfrak{F}), i = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 2.2 [2]. A measurable space is a pair (X, \mathfrak{F}) where X is a non-empty set and \mathfrak{F} is a δ -algebra on X. A subset A of X is called measurable if $A \in \mathfrak{F}$. i.e. any number of \mathfrak{F} is called a measurable set.

Definition 2.3 [5]. Let (X_1, \mathfrak{T}_1) and (X_2, \mathfrak{T}_2) be two measurable spaces. A function $f: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is called measurable (relative to \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2) if

$$f^{-1}(B) \in \mathfrak{T}_1, \quad \forall B \in \mathfrak{T}_2.$$

Definition 2.4 [4]. Let (X, \mathfrak{T}) be a measurable space. A fuzzy measure μ is an extended real valued set function, $\mu: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ with the following properties:

$$1 - \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$$2 - \mu(A) \leq \mu(B) \text{ whenever } A \subseteq B, \text{ where } A, B \in \mathfrak{T} \text{ and } \mathfrak{R}^+ = [0, \infty].$$

Definition 2.5. Let (X, \mathfrak{T}) be a measurable space. A λ -fuzzy measure is an extended real valued set function, $\lambda: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ with the following properties:

$$1 - \lambda(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$$2 - A \subseteq B \text{ implies } \lambda(A) \leq \lambda(B).$$

$$3 - \lambda\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(A_i).$$

Proposition 2.6. Every λ -fuzzy measure is fuzzy measure.

Proof. It follows by definition 2.5 and definition 2.4.

Definition 2.7 [5]. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be subsets of a set X . If $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ and

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$$

we say that the A_n form an increasing sequence of sets with limit A , or that the A_n increase to A , we write $A_n \uparrow A$.

Definition 2.8[3]. A fuzzy measure $\mu: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called lower continuous if

$$A_n \uparrow A, (A_n) \subset A, A \in \mathfrak{T} \Rightarrow$$

$$\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right), \text{ where } \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$$

$$\mu(A_n) \uparrow \mu(A).$$

Definition 2.9. A λ -fuzzy measure, $\lambda: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called lower continuous if

$$A_n \uparrow A, (A_n) \subset A, A \in \mathfrak{T} \Rightarrow \lambda(A_n) \uparrow \lambda(A).$$

Definition 2.10[3]. A fuzzy measure $\mu: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called a belief measure if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{T}$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right)$$

Where the summations is taken over all non-empty subsets I of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and $|I|$ denotes the cardinal number of I .

Definition 2.11. A λ -fuzzy measure $\lambda: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called a belief measure if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{S}$

$$\lambda\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \lambda\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right)$$

Definition 2.12[3]. A fuzzy measure $\mu: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called a plausibility measure if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{S}$

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)$$

Definition 2.13. A λ -fuzzy measure $\lambda: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ is called a plausibility measure if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{S}$

$$\lambda\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i\right)$$

Definition 2.14. Let $f: (X, \mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ be a measurable function, $\lambda: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ be a λ -fuzzy measure and $A \in \mathfrak{S}$, then we define the λ -Choquet integral

$$(C) \int_A f d\lambda$$

By the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (C) \int_A f d\lambda &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(\{x \in A, f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X, f(x) > r\}) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.15 [1]. A non-negative finite-valued function $f(x)$, taking only a finite number of different values, is called a simple function. If a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m are the distinct values taken by f and $A_i = \{x \mid f(x) = a_i\}$, then

.And the integral of f with respect to μ is given by $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \chi_{A_i}(x)$

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mu(A_i).$$

Theorem 2.16. let f be a non-negative real measurable function with respect to a measurable space (X, \mathfrak{S}) , $X \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\lambda: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ be a λ -fuzzy measure.

Define $g: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ by the formula

$$g(A) = (C) \int_A f d\lambda.$$

Then

1- g is a λ -fuzzy measure.

2- g is lower continuous, wherever λ is lower continuous.

3- If λ is lower continuous and plausibility measure, then g is plausibility measure, too.

4- If λ is lower continuous and belief measure, then g is belief measure, too.

Proof:

(1)

$$g(\phi) = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(\phi) dr = 0.$$

If $A \subseteq B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(A) &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(B \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr = g(B). \end{aligned}$$

let $A_i \in \mathfrak{S}, i=1,2,\dots,n$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } g\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}\right) dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \cap \{x \in X, f(x) > r\})\right) dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(A_i \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(A_i \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

(2)

let λ be lower continuous and let $A_n \uparrow A$

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} g(A_n) &= \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(A_n \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A_n \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\})\right) dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \cap \{x \in X, f(x) > r\}\right) dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X, f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= (C) \int_A f d\lambda \\ &= g(A) \end{aligned}$$

(3)

Let λ be lower continuous and plausibility measure. Take simple functions f_n

$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i X_{A_i}, 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$, such that $f_n \uparrow f$. Fixed n and put

A_i disjoint. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(A) &= (C) \int_A f_n d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X; f_n(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda(A \cap (A_i \cup A_{i+1} \dots \cup A_m)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda(A \cap B_i); \end{aligned}$$

where $B_i = A_i \cup A_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup A_m$. then

$$\begin{aligned} g_n\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_j\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_j \cap B_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \lambda\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k \left(\bigcup_{j \in I} C_j\right) \cap B_i\right) \\ &= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k \left(\bigcup_{j \in I} C_j\right) \cap B_i\right) \\ &= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g_n\left(\bigcup_{j \in I} C_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$g_n\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_j\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g_n\left(\bigcup_{j \in I} C_j\right) \quad (1)$$

now

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty g_n(A) &= \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty (C) \int_A f_n d\lambda \\ &= \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X; f_n(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X; f_n(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(A \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \{x \in X; f_n(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda(A \cap \{x \in X; f(x) > r\}) dr \\ &= g(A). \quad \dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

hence $g_n(A) \uparrow g(A)$ for every $A \in \mathfrak{F}$.

By (1) and (2) we obtain

$$g\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k C_j\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g\left(\bigcup_{j \in I} C_j\right).$$

(4)

If λ is lower continuous and belief measure. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_n\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j \cap B_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k \left(\bigcap_{j \in I} C_j\right) \cap B_i\right) \\ &= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - a_{i-1}) \lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k \left(\bigcap_{j \in I} C_j\right) \cap B_i\right) \\ &= \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g_n\left(\bigcap_{j \in I} C_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$g_n\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g_n\left(\bigcap_{j \in I} C_j\right); \quad (3)$$

by (1) and (3) we obtain

$$g\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k C_j\right) \leq \sum_I (-1)^{|I|+1} g\left(\bigcap_{j \in I} C_j\right).$$

References

- [1] G. De Barra, "Measure Theory and Integration", (1985).
- [2] L. Garmendia, "The Evolution of the concept of fuzzy measure", Spanish Mcyt project BFM University of Complutense, Madrid, Spain (2002).
- [3] M. Duchon, J. Haluska, and B. Riecan, "on the Choquet integral for Riesz space valued measures" Tatra Mt. Math. Pubs. 19 (2000), 75-91.
- [4] M. Sugeno, Y. Narukawa, and T. Murofushi, "Choquet Integral and fuzzy measures on locally compact space" fuzzy sets and systems 99 (1998) 205-211.
- [5] R. B. Ash, "Real Analysis and probability", university of Illinois, Academic Press (1972).

الخلاصة

تم في هذا البحث تعريف القياس الضبابي λ وتكامل λ -جوكي للدالة القابلة للقياس بعلاقة مع القياس الضبابي λ . واعطيت العلاقة بين هذا التكامل وقياس الامكانية (الاعتقاد). بالاضافة الى ذلك تم توضيح ان كل قياس ضبابي λ هو قياس ضبابي.