

**FUGLEDE – PUTNAM THEOREM FOR
CLASS $A(K^*)$ OPERATORS
BY**

**Shaima Shawket Kadhim
University of Kufa
College of Engineering**

Abstract : We say that the operators A, B on a Hilbert space satisfy Fuglede – Putnam theorem if $AX=XB$ for some X implies that $A^*X = XB^*$. In this paper , the hypotheses on A and B can be relaxed by using a Hilbert-Schmidt operator X : Let A belong to class $A(K^*)$ and let B^* be invertible operator belong to class $A(K^*)$ such that $AX=XB$ for a Hilbert-Schmidt operator X , then $A^*X = XB^*$.

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث سنبرهن بأن نظرية Fuglede-Putnam متحققة عندما تكون المؤثرات A, B^* القابل للانعكاس تنتمي إلى الصنف $A(K^*)$.

1) Introduction

Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space and let $L(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . An operator $T \in L(H)$ is called normal if $T^*T = TT^*$, hyponormal if $TT^* \leq T^*T$, p -hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p \geq 0$ for $p > 0$. We say that an operator $T \in L(H)$ belongs to the class $A(K^*)$ if

$|T^*|^2 \leq (T^*|T|^{2k}T)^{1/(k+1)}$ for each $k > 0$. Where $|T|$ is a positive square root of T^*T .

Class $A(K^*)$ was first introduced by S. Panayappan [5] as a subclass of absolute K^* -paranormal operators. The following Theorem A is one of the results associated with class $A(K^*)$.

Theorem A ([5]).

For each $K > 0$ every class $A(K^*)$ operator is an absolute K^* -paranormal operator.

The familiar Fuglede-Putnam theorem is as follows(see [3] and [4]) :

Theorem B. If A and B are normal operators and if X is an operator such that $AX=XB$, then $A^*X = XB^*$.

S.K.Berberian [1] has extended the result by assuming A and B^* are hyponormal and X is a Hilbert – Schmidt operator . Recently , Chō & Huruya [2] have extended the result by assuming A , B^* and X to be p -hyponormal , invertible p -hyponormal and Hilbert-Schmidt respectively .

In this paper , we extended the result in theorem B by assuming A , B^* and X to be belong to class $A(K^*)$, invertible operator belong to class $A(K^*)$ and Hilbert-Schmidt respectively.

Theorem 1.1 . If T is an invertible operator belong to class $A(K^*)$, then so T^{-1} .

Proof :

Since

$$(|T^*|^2 = T.T^* \text{ and } (T^*|T|^{2k}T)^{1/(k+1)} = \left(T^{*(k+1)} . T^{(k+1)} \right)^{1/(k+1)}, \text{ then}$$

$$(T.T^*)^{(k+1)} \leq (T^* . T)^{(k+1)}, \text{ we have}$$

$$(T^* . T)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{2}} \left((T^*T)^{(k+1)} - (TT^*)^{(k+1)} \right) (T^*T)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{2}} \geq 0.$$

This is equivalent to

$$I \geq (T^*T)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{2}} (TT^*)^{(k+1)} (T^*T)^{\frac{-(k+1)}{2}}.$$

It is well known that $A \geq I$ implies $A^{-1} \leq I$. Thus

$$0 \leq (T^*T)^{\frac{(k+1)}{2}} (TT^*)^{-(k+1)} (T^*T)^{\frac{(k+1)}{2}} - I$$

$$= (T^*T)^{\frac{(k+1)}{2}} \left((TT^*)^{-(k+1)} - (T^*T)^{-(k+1)} \right) (T^*T)^{\frac{(k+1)}{2}}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (TT^*)^{-(k+1)} - (T^*T)^{-(k+1)} \\ &= \left((T^{-1})^* T^{-1} \right)^{(k+1)} - \left(T^{-1} (T^{-1})^* \right)^{(k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, T^{-1} is belong to class $A(k^*)$.

Theorem 1.2. Let T belong to class $A(K^*)$. If $Tx = \lambda x$, $\lambda \neq 0$, then $T^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$.

Proof:

We may assume $x \neq 0$. Since $\langle |T^*|^2 x, x \rangle \leq \langle (T^*|T|^{2k}T)^{1/(k+1)} x, x \rangle$

and $\langle (T^*|T|^{2k}T)^{1/(k+1)} x, x \rangle = \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \|x\|^2$.

Thus $|T^*|^2 x \leq |\lambda|^2 x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*x - \bar{\lambda}x\|^2 &= \langle T^*x - \bar{\lambda}x, T^*x - \bar{\lambda}x \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle x, T^*x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle T^*x, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \\ &= \langle |T^*|^2 x, x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle Tx, x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle x, Tx \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \\ &\leq |\lambda|^2 - |\lambda|^2 - |\lambda|^2 + |\lambda|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$.

2) Main Results

Let T be an operator in $L(H)$ and let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . We define the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of T to be

$$\|T\|_2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of basis (see [3]). If $\|T\|_2 < \infty$, then T is said to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and we denote the set of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H by $B_2(H)$.

Let $B_1(H)$ be the set $\{C = AB \mid A, B \in B_2(H)\}$. Then operators belonging to $B_1(H)$ are called trace class operators. We define a linear functional $\text{tr} : B_1(H) \rightarrow C$ by $\text{tr}(C) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ce_n, e_n \rangle$ for an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ for H .

In this case, the definition of $\text{tr}(C)$ does not depend on the choice of an orthonormal basis and $\text{tr}(C)$ is called the trace of C . Then we know the followings:

Theorem 2.1 [3].

1) The set $B_2(H)$ is self-adjoint ideal of $L(H)$.

2) If $\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ae_n, e_n \rangle = \text{tr}(B^*A) = \text{tr}(AB^*)$ for A and B in $B_2(H)$,

then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on $B_2(H)$ and $B_2(H)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to this inner product.

Theorem 2.2 [3]. If $T \in L(H)$ and $A \in B_2(H)$, then $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2 = \|A^*\|_2$,

$$\|TA\|_2 \leq \|T\| \|A\|_2 \text{ and } \|AT\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 \|T\|.$$

For each pair of operators A and B in $L(H)$, an operator J in $L(B_2(H))$ is defined by $JX = AXB$, which is due to Berberian [1].

Evidently, by the above Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, $\|J\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$. And the adjoint of J is given by the formula $J^*X = A^*XB^*$, as one sees from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J^*X, Y \rangle &= \langle X, JY \rangle = \langle X, AYB \rangle = \text{tr}((AYB)^*X) = \text{tr}(XB^*Y^*A^*) = \text{tr}(A^*XB^*Y^*) \\ &= \langle A^*XB^*, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $A \geq 0$ and $B \geq 0$, then also $J \geq 0$ and $J^{\frac{1}{2}}X = A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ because of

$$\begin{aligned} \langle JX, X \rangle &= \text{tr}(AXBX^*) = \text{tr}\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XBX^*A^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}XB^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^*\right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 . If A and B^* are belongs to class $A(K^*)$, then the operator J in $L(B_2(H))$ defined by $JX=AXB$ is also belong to class $A(K^*)$.

Proof :

Since $J^*JX = A^*AXB^*$ and $JJ^*X = AA^*XB^*B$ for any operator X in $B_2(H)$,

we get $|J|X = |A|X|B^*|$ and $|J^*|X = |A^*|X|B|$

and so $|J|^2X = |A|^2X|B^*|^2$ and $|J^*|^2X = |A^*|^2X|B|^2$,

we have $|J|^{2k}X = |A|^{2k}X|B^*|^{2k}$ and $|J^*|^{2k}X = |A^*|^{2k}X|B|^{2k}$ for each $k > 0$.

Thus, we have $(J^*|J|^{2k}J)X = (A^*|A|^{2k}A)X(B^*|B^*|^{2k}B)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(|A^*|^2\right)^{k+1} X \left(|B|^2\right)^{k+1} \left(\text{since } A \text{ and } B^* \text{ belong to class } A(K^*)\right) \\ &= \left(|J^*|^2\right)^{k+1} X \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. If A is belong to class $A(K^*)$ and B^* is invertible belong to class $A(K^*)$ such that $AX=XB$ for any operator X in $B_2(H)$, then $A^*X = XB^*$.

Proof : Let J be the operator on $B_2(H)$ defined by $JX = AXB^{-1}$. Since $(B^*)^{-1} = (B^{-1})^*$ is belong to class $A(K^*)$ by theorem 1.1 , by lemma 2.3 , J is also belong to class $A(K^*)$. The hypothesis $AX=XB$ implies $JX = AXB^{-1} = X$ and so , by Theorem 1.2 $J^*X = X$. Hence we have $A^*X(B^{-1})^* = J^*X = X$. Therefore , $A^*X = XB^*$ which is the desired relation.

3) **REFERENCES**

- 1) S.K.Berberian : Extensions of a theorem of fuglede and Putnam. Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 71(1978), no.1,113-114.
- 2) M.Chō & T.Huruya: P-hyponormal operators for $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$. Comment.Math.Prace Mat. 33(1993),23-29.
- 3) J.B.Conway:Subnormal operators, Research Notes in Mathematics,51.Pitman Advanced Pub . Program, 1981.
- 4) P.R.Halmos, A Hilbert space problem book , Springer-Verlage, New York, 1974.
- 5) S.Panayappan: A note on p *-paranormal and absolute k *-paranormal operators . Int.Journal of Math. Analysis, vol.2,2008,no.26,1257-1261.

