

On intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideals of semiring

Mohammed Jassim Mohammed
Department of Mathematics, College of Education
University of Thi-Qar

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal in semiring and investigate some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideals of semiring.

1. Introduction:

The concept of fuzzy set μ of a set X was introduced by L. A. Zadeh [9] as a function from X in $[0,1]$. The concept of fuzzy ideals in a ring was introduced by W. L. Liu [8]. T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas [3,4] studied fuzzy ideals, fuzzy prime ideals of semirings and they defined fuzzy K-ideals and fuzzy prime K-ideals of semirings. Y. B. Jun, J. Neggers and M. S. Kim [5] extended the concept of an L-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideals of a ring to a semiring. The concept of the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set was first published by K. T. Atanassor [1,2], as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. K.H. Kim and J. G. Lee [6] studied the intuitionistic fuzzification of the concept of several ideals in a semigroups and investigate some properties of such ideals. K. H. Kim [7] introduced the notion of intuitionistic Q-fuzzy semiprimality in a semigroup and investigate some properties of intuitionistic Q-fuzzification of the concept of several ideals.

In this paper we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of semiring and investigate some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of semirings.

Throughout this paper R is a semiring.

2. Preliminaries:

Let $(R,+, \cdot)$ be a semiring. By a left (right) ideal of R we mean a non-empty subset A of R such that $A+A \subseteq A$ and $RA \subseteq A$ ($AR \subseteq A$). By ideal, we mean a non-empty subset of R which both left and right ideal of R . A left ideal A of R is said to be a left K-ideal if $t \in A$, $x \in R$ and if $t+x \in A$ or $x+t \in A$ then $x \in A$. Right K-ideal is defined dually, and two sided K-ideal or simply a K-ideal is both a left and a right K-ideal.

By a fuzzy set μ of a non-empty set R we mean a function $\mu : R \rightarrow [0,1]$, and the complement of μ , denoted by $\bar{\mu}$, is the fuzzy set in R given by $\bar{\mu}(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$, for all $x \in R$.

A fuzzy set μ in R is called fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R if for any $x, y \in R$, $\mu(x+y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ and $\mu(xy) \geq \mu(y)$ ($\mu(xy) \geq \mu(x)$) and μ is called fuzzy ideal if μ both fuzzy left and right ideal of R .

A fuzzy ideal μ of R is called K -fuzzy ideal of R if for any $x, y \in R$, $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu(x+y), \mu(y+x)\}, \mu(y)\}$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS for short) A in a non-empty set R is an object have the form:

$$A = \{(x: \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) / x \in R\}$$

Where the function $\mu_A: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_A: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denoted the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership, respectively, and

$$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \lambda_A(x) \leq 1$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{(x: \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) / x \in R\}$ in R can be identified to ordered pair (μ_A, λ_A) in $I^R \times I^R$. we shall use the symbol $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ for the IFS:

$$A = \{(x: \mu_A(x), \lambda_A(x)) / x \in R\}$$

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy K -ideal:

Definition 3.1:

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ in R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of R if for all $x, y \in R$:

$$1- \mu_A(x+y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(y) \quad (\text{resp.} \\ \mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x)).$$

$$2- \lambda_A(x+y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(y) \quad (\text{resp.} \quad \lambda_A(xy) \\ \geq \lambda_A(x)).$$

Definition 3.2:

An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ of R is called an intuitionistic fuzzy K -ideal of R if:

$$\mu_A(x) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu_A(x+y), \mu_A(y+x)\}, \mu_A(y)\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\min\{\lambda_A(x+y), \lambda_A(y+x)\}, \lambda_A(y)\}$$

For all $x, y \in R$.

Theorem 3.3:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ an intuitionistic fuzzy set in R such that μ_A is fuzzy K -ideal of R then $dA = (\mu_A, \bar{\mu}_A)$ is an intuitionistic K -ideal of R .

Proof:

1- Let $x, y \in R$, since μ_A is a fuzzy K -ideal of R

$\Rightarrow \mu_A$ is a fuzzy ideal.

So $\mu_A(x+y) \geq \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ and $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(y), \mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(x+y) &= 1 - \mu_A(x+y) \leq 1 - \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\} = \max\{1 - \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(y)\} \\ &= \max\{\bar{\mu}_A(x), \bar{\mu}_A(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

So $\bar{\mu}(x+y) \leq \max\{\bar{\mu}_A(x), \bar{\mu}_A(y)\}$

$$\bar{\mu}_A(xy) = 1 - \mu_A(xy) \leq 1 - \mu_A(y) = \bar{\mu}_A(y) \Rightarrow \bar{\mu}_A(xy) \leq \bar{\mu}_A(y)$$

also $\bar{\mu}_A(xy) \leq \bar{\mu}_A(x)$

therefore $dA = (\mu_A, \bar{\mu}_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal.

2- Let $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu_A(x+y), \mu_A(y+x)\}, \mu_A(y)\}$

$$\bar{\mu}(x) = 1 - \mu(x) \leq 1 - \min\{\max\{\mu_A(x+y), \mu_A(y+x)\}, \mu_A(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{1 - \max\{\mu_A(x+y), \mu_A(y+x)\}, 1 - \mu_A(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{\min\{1 - \mu_A(x+y), 1 - \mu_A(y+x)\}, \bar{\mu}_A(y)\}$$

$$= \max\{\min\{\bar{\mu}_A(x+y), \bar{\mu}_A(y+x)\}, \bar{\mu}_A(y)\}$$

so $\bar{\mu}(x) \leq \max\{\min\{\bar{\mu}_A(x+y), \bar{\mu}_A(y+x)\}, \bar{\mu}_A(y)\}$

Therefore $dA = (\mu_A, \bar{\mu}_A)$ is an intuitionistic K-ideal

Theorem 3.4:

An IFS $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R if and only if the fuzzy sets μ_A and $\bar{\lambda}_A$ are fuzzy K-ideals of R.

Proof :

Suppose that an IFS $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R.

Clearly μ_A is a fuzzy K-ideal .

Let $x, y \in R$ since $A = (\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(x+y) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\} \text{ and } \lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(y), \lambda_A(xy) \leq \lambda_A(x)$$

$$\lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\min\{\lambda_A(x+y), \lambda_A(y+x)\}, \lambda_A(y)\}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_A(x+y) = 1 - \lambda_A(x+y) \geq 1 - \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{\bar{\lambda}_A(x), \bar{\lambda}_A(y)\}$$

$$\text{so } \bar{\lambda}_A(x+y) \geq \min\{\bar{\lambda}_A(x), \bar{\lambda}_A(y)\}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_A(xy) = 1 - \lambda_A(xy) \geq 1 - \lambda_A(y) = \bar{\lambda}_A(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_A(xy) \geq \bar{\lambda}_A(y)$$

Also we can get that $\bar{\lambda}_A(xy) \geq \bar{\lambda}_A(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x) &= 1 - \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \geq 1 - \max\{\min\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \min\{1 - \min\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, 1 - \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \min\{\max\{1 - \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), 1 - \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \min\{\max\{\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

So $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \geq \min\{\max\{\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}$

Therefore $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ fuzzy K-ideals of R

Suppose that $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ are fuzzy K-ideals of R

Let $x, y \in R$

Since $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a fuzzy K-ideals of R

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \text{ and } \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(xy) \geq \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \\
\Rightarrow \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x) &\geq \min\{\max\{\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) &= 1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a fuzzy ideals of R we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) &= 1 - \min\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x), \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \max\{1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x), 1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \max\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

so $\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) \leq \max\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}$

Also we get that $\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(xy) \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(xy) \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x) = 1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x)$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a fuzzy K-ideals of R we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x) &\leq 1 - \min\{\max\{\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \max\{1 - \max\{\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y)\}, 1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \max\{\min\{1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), 1 - \bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y)\}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\} \\
&= \max\{\min\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}
\end{aligned}$$

So $\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \leq \max\{\min\{\lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}$

Therefore $A=(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal

Corollary 3.5 :

An IFS $A=(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R iff $dA=(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}, \bar{\mu}_{\mathbf{A}})$ and $A'=(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}})$ are intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R.

A semiring R is called intra-regular if for each $a \in R$ there exists $x, y \in R$ such that $a = xa^2y$.

Theorem 3.6:

Let $A=(\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of intra-regular semi-ring R then $A(a)=A(a^2)$ and $\lambda_A(ab)=\lambda_A(ba)$ for all $a,b \in R$.

Proof:

Let $a \in R$, since R intra-regular, there exist $x,y \in R$ such that $a=xa^2y$

Since $A=(\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal

$$\mu_A(a) = \mu_A(xa^2y) \geq \mu_A(a^2y) \geq \mu_A(a^2) \geq \mu_A(a)$$

$$\text{So } \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a^2)$$

$$\lambda_A(a) = \lambda_A(xa^2y) \leq \lambda_A(a^2y) \leq \lambda_A(a^2) \leq \lambda_A(a)$$

$$\text{So } \lambda_A(a) = \lambda_A(a^2)$$

$$\text{Hence we have } \mu_A(a) = \mu_A(a^2) \text{ and } \lambda_A(a) = \lambda_A(a^2)$$

Therefore $A(a)=A(a^2)$ for all $a \in R$.

Let $a,b \in R$ as above we get

$$\mu_A(ab) = \mu_A((ab)^2) \geq \mu_A(a(ba)b) \geq \mu_A(ba)$$

$$= \mu_A((ba)^2) = \mu_A(b(ab)a) \geq \mu_A(ab)$$

$$\text{So we have } \mu_A(ab) = \mu_A(ba)$$

$$\lambda_A(ab) = \lambda_A((ab)^2) \leq \lambda_A(a(ba)b) \leq \lambda_A(ba)$$

$$= \lambda_A((ba)^2) = \lambda_A(b(ab)a) \leq \lambda_A(ab)$$

$$\text{So we have } \lambda_A(ab) = \lambda_A(ba)$$

Therefore $\lambda_A(ab) = \lambda_A(ba)$ for all $a,b \in R$.

Theorem 3.7:

An IFS $A=(\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R iff for any $t \in [a,b]$ such that $(\mu_A)_t \neq \Phi$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_A)_t \neq \Phi$. $(\mu_A)_t$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_A)_t$ are K-ideal of R , where $(\mu_A)_t = \{x \in R / \mu_A(x) \geq t\}$.

Proof:

Suppose that IFS $A=(\mu_A, \lambda_A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R

So by theorem (2.3) μ_A and $\bar{\lambda}_A$ are fuzzy K-ideal of R

$\Rightarrow \mu_A$ and $\bar{\lambda}_A$ are fuzzy ideal of R

By [4] for any $t \in [0,1]$ such that $(\mu_A)_t \neq \Phi$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_A)_t \neq \Phi$

$(\mu_A)_t$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_A)_t$ are ideal of R

Let $x \in (\mu_A)_t$ and $y \in R$ and $x+y \in (\mu_A)_t$ or $y+x \in (\mu_A)_t$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \geq t \text{ and } \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) \geq t \text{ or } \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x) \geq t$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\} \geq t$$

Since $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ is fuzzy K-ideal of R

$$\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x)\} \geq t$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y) \geq t \text{ so } y \in (\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$$

Therefore $(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ is K-ideal of R.

Similarly we can prove that $(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ is K-ideal of R.

Suppose that for any $t \in [0,1]$ such $(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t \neq \Phi$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}})_t \neq \Phi$, $(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ are K-ideal of R.

So $(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ and $(\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ are ideal of R.

By [4] $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ are fuzzy ideal of R.

$$\text{Let } x, y \in R \text{ and } \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y) = r_1, \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y) = r_2, \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x) = r_3, \quad (r_i \in [0,1])$$

$$\text{Let } t = \min\{\max\{r_1, r_3\}, r_2\}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in (\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t \text{ and } x+y \in (\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t \text{ or } y+x \in (\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$$

Since $(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t$ is K-ideal of R.

$$\text{So } x \in (\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_t \Rightarrow \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \geq t$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu_{\mathbf{A}}(x+y), \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y+x)\}, \mu_{\mathbf{A}}(y)\}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ is fuzzy K-ideal of R

Similarly we can prove that $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathbf{A}}$ is fuzzy K-ideal of R

By theorem (2.5) we get that $A = (\mu_{\mathbf{A}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R

Recall a function f from a semi-ring R into semi-ring T homomorphism if $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ and $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for any $x, y \in R$

Let f be a function from a set X into a set Y respectively, then the image of A under f , denoted by $f(A)$ and the preimage of B under f , denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$, are IFS_s in X and Y respectively and defined by :

$$f(A) = (f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}), f(\lambda_{\mathbf{A}})), \quad f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_{\mathbf{B}}), f^{-1}(\lambda_{\mathbf{B}}))$$

Theorem 3.8 :

Let $f: R \rightarrow T$ be onto homomorphism of semi-rings. If $A = (\mu_{\mathbf{A}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{A}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set such that $\mu_{\mathbf{A}}$ is a fuzzy K-ideal then the $df(A) = (f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}), \overline{f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy of R

Proof:

Let $(f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}))_t$ be a non empty level subset of $f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})$ for any $t \in [0,1]$, if $t=0$ then $(f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}))_t = R$, if $t \neq 0$ by [4] $(f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}))_t = \bigcap_{0 < s < t} (f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}})_{t-s})$

So $(f(\mu_{\mathbf{A}}))_{t-s}$ is a non empty for any $0 < s < t$

$\Rightarrow (\mu_A)_{t-s}$ is an non empty level subset of μ_A for any $0 < s < t$

Since μ_A is a fuzzy K-ideal

Clearly $(\mu_A)_{t-s}$ is K-ideal

Since f is an onto homomorphism, so $(f(\mu_A))_{t-s}$ is K-ideal of T for any $0 < s < t$

Since $(f(\mu_A))_t = \bigcap_{0 < s < t} (f(\mu_A))_{t-s}$

So $(f(\mu_A))_t$ is K-ideal

Therefore $f(\mu_A)$ fuzzy is K-ideal

By theorem (2.3) $df(A) = (f(\mu_A), \overline{f(\mu_A)})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal.

Theorem 3.9 :

Let $f: R \rightarrow T$ be onto homomorphism of semirings. If $B = (\mu_B, \lambda_B)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of T then the preimage $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_B), f^{-1}(\lambda_B))$ of B under f is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R .

Proof :

1- let $x, y \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x+y) &= \mu_B(f(x+y)) = \mu_B(f(x)+f(y)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_B(f(x)), \mu_B(f(y))\} = \min\{f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x), f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

So $f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x+y) \geq \min\{f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x), f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y)\}$

$$f^{-1}(\mu_B)(xy) = \mu_B(f(xy)) = \mu_B(f(x)f(y)) \geq \mu_B(f(y)) = f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y)$$

So $f^{-1}(\mu_B)(xy) \geq f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y)$

Also $f^{-1}(\mu_B)(xy) \geq f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x+y) &= \lambda_B(f(x+y)) = \lambda_B(f(x)+f(y)) \\ &\leq \max\{\lambda_B(f(x)), \lambda_B(f(y))\} = \max\{f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x), f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

So $f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x+y) \leq \max\{f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x), f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y)\}$

$$f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(xy) = \lambda_B(f(xy)) = \lambda_B(f(x)f(y)) \leq \lambda_B(f(y)) = f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y)$$

So $f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(xy) \geq f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y)$

Also $f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(xy) \geq f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x)$

2- let $x, y \in R \Rightarrow f(x), f(y) \in T$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x) &\geq \mu_B(f(x)) \geq \min\{\max\{\mu_B(f(x)+f(y)), \mu_B(f(y)+f(x))\}, \mu_B(f(y))\} \\ &= \min\{\max\{f^{-1}(\mu_B)(x+y), f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y+x)\}, f^{-1}(\mu_B)(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x) &= \lambda_B(f(x)) \leq \max\{\min\{\lambda_B(f(x)+f(y)), \lambda_B(f(y)+f(x))\}, \lambda_B(f(y))\} \\ &= \max\{\min\{f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(x+y), f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y+x)\}, f^{-1}(\lambda_B)(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_B), f^{-1}(\lambda_B))$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy K-ideal of R .

References

- 1- K. T. Atanassov, **Intuitionistic fuzzy sets**, Fuzzy sets and systems, 20(1986), 87-96.
- 2- K. T. Atanassov, **New operations defined over the Intuitionistic fuzzy sets**, Fuzzy sets and systems 61(1994), 137-142.
- 3- T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas, **Fuzzy prime ideals of semiring**, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc.17(1994), 9-16.
- 4- T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas, **Fuzzy k-ideals of semirings**, Bull Malaysian Math. Soc.87(1995), 91-96.
- 5- Y. B. Jun., J. Neggers and M.s.kim, **On L-fuzzy ideals in semirings I**, Czech. Math.J.48(1998).669-675.
- 6- K. H. Kim and J. G.Lee, **On Intuitionistic fuzzy Bi-ideals of semigroup**, Turk J. Math. 20(2005),201-210.
- 7- K. H. Kim and J. G. Lee, **On Intuitionistic Q-fuzzy semiprime ideals in semigroups**, Advances in fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.1 No.1(2006),15-21.
- 8- W. J. Liu, **Fuzzy invariants subgroups and fuzzy ideals**, Fuzzy sets and systems 8(1987),133-139.
- 9- L.A. Zadach, **Fuzzy sets**, Information and control 8(1965),338-353.