

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



On Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Using Quasi-Subordination

Sara Falih Makttoof^{1,*} and Waggas Galib Atshan² and Ameera N. Alkiffai³

^{1,3}Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Girls, University of Kufa , Najaf-Iraq; saraf.alkhazai@uokufa.edu.iq, ameeran.alkiffai@uokufa.edu.iq

² Department of Mathematics, College Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah , Diwaniyah-Iraq; waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq

ARTICLEINFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received: 14 /2/2025 Rrevised form: 2 /3/2025 Accepted : 6 /3/2025 Available online: 30 /3/2025

Keywords: bi-univalent functions, quasi-subordination, coefficient estimaties and starlike.

https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcsm.2025.17.11999

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk $\mathfrak{A} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ which the shape

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2} a_n z^n \qquad (z \in \mathfrak{A}), \tag{1.1}$$

In this paper , we introduced new subclasses $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$ and $\Re \mathbb{A}^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$ of bi-univalent functions defined in the open unit disk \mathfrak{A} . As we get upper bounds for the first two Taylor-

Maclaurin $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. Some new corollaries are obtained for these subclasses

and let *S* be the class of all functions form \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathfrak{A} . According to the Koebe One Quarter Theorem [8], for every $f \in S$ the inverse f^{-1} which satisfies: $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$, $(z \in \mathfrak{A})$ and $f^{-1}(f(w)) = w$, $(|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4})$, where $q \ge \frac{1}{4}$ denoted the radius of the image $f(\mathfrak{A})$. In fact the inverse function f^{-1} is given by

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^2 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \cdots.$$
(1.2)

By means of subordination, Ma and Minda [12] introduced the following classes :

$$S^*(\psi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} \colon \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \psi(z) \right\},\$$

where $\psi(0) = 1, \psi'(0) > 0$, and ψ is an analytic function having a positive real portion on \mathfrak{A} , mapping the unit disc \mathfrak{A} onto a starlike region with respect to 1, which is symmetric about the real axis. A function $f \in S^*(\psi)$ is referred to as Ma-Minda starlike. $C(\psi)$ denotes the class of convex functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

^{*}Corresponding author: Sara Falih Makttoof

Email addresses: saraf.alkhazai@uokufa.edu.iq

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \psi(z).$$

As special case of the classes $S^*(\psi)$ and $C(\psi)$ several well- known subclasses of starlike and convex function. By Robertson [19] in 1970 the concept of subordination is generalized through introduction a new concept of quasi-subordination. For two analytic functions f and ψ , the function f is quasi-subordination to ψ written as

$$f(\mathbf{z}) \prec_a \psi(\mathbf{z}) \quad (\mathbf{z} \in \mathfrak{A}),$$
 (1.3)

if there exist analytic functions h(z) and F, with $|h(z)| \le 1$, F(0) = 0 and |F(z)| < 1, such that

$$\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \prec \psi(z) \equiv f(z) = h(z)\psi(F(z)) \quad (z \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

If h(z) = 1, then $f(z) = \psi(F(z))$, so that $f(z) < \psi(z)$ in \mathfrak{A} , also if F(z) = z, then $f(z) = h(z)\psi(z)$, it is said that f(z) is majorized by $\psi(z)$ and written as $f(z) \ll \psi(z)$ in \mathfrak{A} . Therefore, it is evident that quasi-subordination is a generalisation of both conventional subordination and majorization. The research on quasi-subordination is comprehensive and encompasses current studies [1,2,11,13], while further references may be found in [20,21,24,25].

In 1967, Lewin [11] examined class \mathcal{X} of bi-univalent functions and established the constraint for the second coefficient a_2 . Brannan and Taha [6] examined specific subclasses of bi-univalent functions analogous to the well-known subclasses of univalent functions, which include starlike, extremely starlike, and convex functions. Obtained non sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ and they introduced the bi-starlike function, bi-convex function classes. Recently Ali et al. [2], Deniz [7], Peng et al. [16], Ramchandran et al, Tang et al. [23]. [18], Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [14] etc. have introduced and investigated Ma-Minda type subclasses of bi-univalent functions class \mathcal{X} . Additional generalizations of Ma-Minda type subclasses of the class have been conducted by numerous authors, including ([4,13,15,22,26]), through the use of quasi-subordination. Inspired by research in [3,5,9,10] about quasi-subordination, we present and examine specific new subclasses of class \mathcal{X} . It follows that $\psi(z)$ is analytic in A having $\psi(0) = 1$ and let

$$\psi(z) = \mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_1 z + \mathcal{D}_2 z^2 + \cdots, \qquad (|\psi(z)| \le 1, z \in \mathfrak{A})$$
(1.4)

and

2

$$\pi(\mathbf{z}) = 1 + \mathcal{K}_1 \mathbf{z} + \mathcal{K}_2 \mathbf{z}^2 + \cdots, \qquad (\mathcal{K}_1 \in \mathcal{R}^+).$$

$$(1.5)$$

Lemma 1.1. [17]: Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be a family of all functions p analytic in \mathfrak{A} , for which $Re\{p(z)\} > 0$ and have the form:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \cdots$$
, for $z \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $|p_n| \le n$, for each *n*.

2. Main Results

Definition2.1: Let $0 \le \rho \le 1$, $0 \le \delta \le 1$ and $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, a function $f \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be in the class $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{z}f'(\mathbf{z}) + (1-\rho)\mathbf{z}^2 f''(\mathbf{z})}{(\delta+\rho)f(\mathbf{z}) + (1-\rho)\mathbf{z}f'(\mathbf{z}) - \delta \mathbf{z}} - 1 \right) \right] \prec_{\mathfrak{q}} (\mathbf{\pi}(\mathbf{z}) - 1)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{w \mathfrak{g}'(w) + (1-\rho) w^2 \mathfrak{g}''(w)}{(\delta+\rho) \mathfrak{g}(w) + (1-\rho) w \mathfrak{g}'(z) - \delta w} - 1 \right) \right] <_{\mathfrak{q}} (\pi(w) - 1),$$

where $\mathcal{G} = f^{-1}$ and π is given by (1.5) and $z, w \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Remark(2.1): We put $\mathfrak{E} = 1$, $\rho = 0$, $\delta = 0$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\mathfrak{RA}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right] \prec_{q} (\pi(z)-1)$$

and

$$\left[\left(1+\frac{wg''(w)}{g'(z)}\right)\right] \prec_q (\pi(w)-1).$$

Remark(2.2): We put $\rho = 0, \delta = 0$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\left(\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right] \prec_q (\pi(z) - 1)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\left(\frac{w\mathfrak{g}''(w)}{\mathfrak{g}'(\mathfrak{z})}\right)\right] \prec_{\mathfrak{q}} (\pi(w) - 1).$$

Remark(2.3): We put $\mathfrak{E} = 1, \rho = 0, \delta = 1$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\mathfrak{RA}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)}{f(z) + zf'(z) - z} \right) \right] \prec_q (\pi(z) - 1)$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{wg'(w) + w^2g''(w)}{g(w) + wg'(z) - w}\right)\right] <_q (\pi(w) - 1).$$

Remark(2.4): We put $\mathfrak{E} = 1$, $\rho = 1$, $\delta = 0$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$\left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right] \prec_q (\pi(z) - 1)$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}\right)\right] \prec_q (\pi(w) - 1).$$

Remark(2.5): We put $\rho = 1$, $\delta = 0$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}}\left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{z}f'(\mathbf{z})}{f(\mathbf{z})}\right) - 1\right] \prec_{\mathfrak{q}} (\mathbf{\pi}(\mathbf{z}) - 1)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{w \mathfrak{g}'(w)}{\mathfrak{g}(w)} \right) - 1 \right] \prec_{\mathfrak{q}} (\pi(w) - 1).$$

Theorem 2.1 : For $0 \le \rho \le 1$, $0 \le \delta \le 1$ with $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the kind (1.1) and belongs to the class $\mathfrak{RA}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|\mathcal{K}_1}{2(2-\rho-\delta)}, \sqrt{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_1+|\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|)}{2+\delta^2-\delta-\rho^2}}\right\}$$
(2.1)

$$|a_{3}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4+2\delta^{2}-2\delta-2\rho^{2}} + \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1})}{6-4\rho-\delta}, \frac{\mathfrak{E}}{6-4\rho-\delta}\left[\frac{2-\rho+\delta}{(2-\rho-\delta)}|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}| + |\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Proof: Let $f \in \Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, \rho, \delta, \pi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, h: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ with r(0) = h(0) = 0, |h(z)| < 1 and |r(z)| < 1, the function ψ defined by (1.4) satisfies:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z) + (1-\rho)z^2 f''(z)}{(\delta+\rho)f(z) + (1-\rho)zf'(z) - \delta z} - 1 \right) \right] = \psi(z)(\pi(r'(z) - 1))$$
(2.3)

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{w g'(w) + (1 - \rho) w^2 g''(w)}{(\delta + \rho) g(w) + (1 - \rho) w g'(z) - \delta w} - 1 \right) \right] \prec_q \psi(w) (\pi(\hbar(w) - 1)).$$
(2.4)

Define the functions p_1 and p_2 by:

$$\mathfrak{p}_{1}(z) = \frac{1 + r(z)}{1 - r(z)} = 1 + \mathcal{F}_{1}z + \mathcal{F}_{2}z^{2} + \cdots,$$
(2.5)

$$\mathfrak{p}_2(w) = \frac{1+\hbar(w)}{1-\hbar(w)} = 1 + \dot{j}_1 w + \dot{j}_2 w^2 + \cdots,$$
(2.6)

which are equivalently

$$\mathscr{V}(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1(z) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathscr{F}_1 z + \left(\mathscr{F}_2 - \frac{\mathscr{F}_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \cdots \right]$$
(2.7)

and

$$\hbar(w) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_2(w) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_2(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1 w + \left(\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_2 - \frac{\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \cdots \right].$$
(2.8)

It is evident that $p_1(z)$, $p_2(z)$ are analytic and possess positive real portions in \mathfrak{A} . Considering (2.3), (2.4), (2.7), and (2.8), it is evident

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z) + (1-\rho)z^2 f''(z)}{(\delta+\rho)f(z) + (1-\rho)zf'(z) - \delta z} - 1 \right) \right] = \psi(z) \left(\pi \left(\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_1(z) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_2(z) + 1} \right) - 1 \right) \right)$$
(2.9)

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{w \mathfrak{g}'(w) + (1 - \rho) w^2 \mathfrak{g}''(w)}{(\delta + \rho) \mathfrak{g}(w) + (1 - \rho) w \mathfrak{g}'(z) - \delta w} - 1 \right) \right] <_{\mathfrak{g}} \psi(w) \left(\pi \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_1(w) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_2(w) + 1} \right) - 1 \right).$$
(2.10)

The series expansions for f(z) and g(w) as shown in (1.1) with (1.2) respectively, give us

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z) + (1-\rho)z^2 f''(z)}{(\delta+\rho)f(z) + (1-\rho)zf'(z) - \delta z} - 1 \right) \right] \\ = 1 + \left(\frac{2-\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}} \right) a_2 z + \left[\left(\frac{6-4\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}} \right) a_3 - \frac{((2-\rho)^2 - \delta^2)}{\mathfrak{E}} a_2^2 \right] z^2 + \cdots$$
(2.11)

$$1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \left[\left(\frac{w \mathfrak{g}'(w) + (1-\rho) w^2 \mathfrak{g}''(w)}{(\delta+\rho) \mathfrak{g}(w) + (1-\rho) w \mathfrak{g}'(z) - \delta w} - 1 \right) \right]$$
$$= \left(\frac{\rho+\delta-2}{\mathfrak{E}} \right) a_2 w + \left[\left(\frac{-((2-\rho)^2 - \delta^2)}{\mathfrak{E}} + \frac{12 - 8\rho - 2\delta}{\mathfrak{E}} \right) a_2^2 - \left(\frac{6 - 4\rho - \delta}{\mathfrak{E}} \right) a_3 \right] w^2$$
$$+ \cdots$$
(2.12)

Using (2.5) and (2.6) together with (1.4) and (1.5), we get

$$\psi(z)\left(\pi\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{1}(z)-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(z)+1}\right)-1\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1}z + \left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{4}\right]z^{2} + \cdots$$
(2.13)

and

$$\psi(w) \left(\pi \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(w) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(w) + 1} \right) - 1 \right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{1} \dot{j}_{1} w + \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{1} \mathcal{K}_{1} \dot{j}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{1} \left(\dot{j}_{2} - \frac{\dot{j}_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0} \mathcal{K}_{2} \dot{j}_{1}^{2}}{4} \right] w^{2} \\ + \cdots$$
(2.14)

Now equating (2.11) and (2.13) and comparing the coefficients to $z and z^2$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{2-\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\mathcal{F}_1 \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2} - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{4}.$$

$$\left[\left(\frac{6-4\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_{3} - \frac{((2-\rho)^{2}-\delta^{2})}{\mathfrak{E}}a_{2}^{2}\right]$$

$$(2.16)$$

Similarly (2.12) and (2.14), gives us

$$\left(\frac{\rho+\delta-2}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\dot{j}_1 \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\left[\left(\frac{-((2-\rho)^2-\delta^2)}{\mathfrak{E}}+\frac{12-8\rho-2\delta}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_2^2-\left(\frac{6-4\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_3\right] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1\dot{j}_1+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\left(\dot{j}_2-\frac{\dot{j}_1^2}{2}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_2\dot{j}_1^2}{4}.$$
 (2.18)

From (2.15) and (2.17), we find that

$$a_2 = \frac{\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{K}_1 \ell_1 \mathfrak{E}}{2(2 - \rho - \delta)} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{K}_1 \dot{\mathfrak{f}}_1 \mathfrak{E}}{2(2 - \rho - \delta)}\right),\tag{2.19}$$

which implies

Sara Falih Makttoof et al., Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 17. (1) 2025, pp. Math 89–100

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\mathcal{D}_0 \mathfrak{E}|\mathcal{K}_1}{2(2-\rho-\delta)}.$$
(2.20)

Adding (2.16) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{4+2\delta^2-2\delta-2\rho^2}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1(\mathcal{F}_2+\dot{j}_2) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_2}{4}\left(\mathcal{F}_1^2+\dot{j}_1^2\right)|\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|,$$
(2.21)

which implies

6

$$|a_2|^2 \le \frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4 + 2\delta^2 - 2\delta - 2\rho^2}.$$
(2.22)

Subsequently, to get the upper bound for $|a_3|$, we subtract (2.16) from (2.18), yielding

$$\left(\frac{2(6-4\rho-\delta)}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_{3} = \left(\frac{2(6-4\rho-\delta)}{\mathfrak{E}}\right)a_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathcal{F}_{1}-\dot{j}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathcal{F}_{2}-\dot{j}_{2}),$$
(2.23)

by using Lemma 1.1 and (2.21) in (2.23), we obtain

$$|a_3| \le \frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4 + 2\delta^2 - 2\delta - 2\rho^2} + \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1 + 2\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1)}{6 - 4\rho - \delta}.$$
(2.24)

Next, from (2.15) and (2.16), we have

$$\frac{6-4\rho-\delta}{\mathfrak{E}}a_{3} = \frac{2-\rho+\delta}{4(2-\rho-\delta)}\mathcal{D}_{0}{}^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}{}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}{}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{2} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1}{}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}{}^{2},$$

which implies

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{\mathfrak{E}}{6 - 4\rho - \delta} \Big[\frac{2 - \rho + \delta}{(2 - \rho - \delta)} |\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{K}_{1} |\mathcal{D}_{1} + \mathcal{D}_{0}| + |\mathcal{D}_{0}| |\mathcal{K}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{1}| \Big].$$
(2.25)

We have the special cases below are also previously uninhibited and they are as follows:

In virtue of Remark (2.1)-(2.5) we obtain some corollaries below

Corollary(2.1): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A(1,0,0,\pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{4}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(4\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_{3}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4} + \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\left[|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}|+|\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}$$

Corollary(2.2): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A(\mathfrak{E}, 0, 0, \pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|\mathcal{K}_1}{4}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|(4\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_1+|\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|)}{4} + \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1+2\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1}{6}, \frac{\mathfrak{E}}{6}\left[|\mathcal{D}_0|^2\mathcal{K}_1^2 + \mathcal{K}_1|\mathcal{D}_1+\mathcal{D}_0| + |\mathcal{D}_0||\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|\right]\right\}.$$

Corollary(2.3): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A(1,0,1,\pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_{3}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4} + \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}}{5}, \frac{1}{5}\left[3|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}| + |\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}.$$

Corollary(2.4): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A(1,1,0,\pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(4\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{2}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_{3}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{2} + \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1})}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left[|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}| + |\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}.$$

Corollary(2.5): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{E}, 1, 0, \pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{G}|\mathcal{K}_1}{2}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0\mathfrak{G}|(4\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{2}}\right\}$$

and

$$|a_{3}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathfrak{E}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{2} + \frac{\mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1})}{2}, \frac{\mathfrak{E}}{2}\left[|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}|+|\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}.$$

Definition 2.2: Let $0 \le \rho \le 1$, $0 \le \delta \le 1$ and $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, a function $f \in \mathcal{X}$ is said to be in the class $\Re \mathbb{A}^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$, if the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{f(z)}{z}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{z^{2}f''(z)+(1-\gamma)zf'(z)+\gamma z}{zf'(z)}\right] <_{q} (\pi(z)-1)$$

and

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}+\frac{g(w)}{w}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{w^2g''(w)+(1-\gamma)zw'(z)+\gamma w}{wg'(w)}\right]<_q(\pi(w)-1)$$

where $g = f^{-1}$ and π is given by (1.5) and $z, w \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Remark(2.6): we put $\eta = 0$, if a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$, then

$$\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{f(z)}{z}-2\right)\right] \prec_q (\pi(z)-1)$$

and

$$\left[\left(1+\frac{w\mathfrak{g}''(w)}{\mathfrak{g}'(w)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{w\mathfrak{g}'(w)}{\mathfrak{g}(w)}+\frac{\mathfrak{g}(w)}{w}-2\right)\right] \prec_{\mathfrak{g}} (\pi(w)-1).$$

Remark(2.7): we put $\eta = 1$, if a function $f \in A$ of the form (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$, then

$$\left[\frac{\underline{z}^{2}f^{\prime\prime}(\underline{z}) + (1-\gamma)\underline{z}f^{\prime}(\underline{z}) + \gamma z}{\underline{z}f^{\prime}(\underline{z})}\right] \prec_{q} (\pi(\underline{z}) - 1)$$

8

$$\left[\frac{w^2 g''(w) + (1-\gamma) z w'(z) + \gamma w}{w g'(w)}\right] <_q (\pi(w) - 1).$$

Theorem 2.2: For $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, $\eta \le 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$, then

$$|a_{2}| \leq \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_{0}|\mathcal{K}_{1}}{2(2-2\gamma)}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4-4\gamma+6\eta\gamma}}\right\}$$
(2.26)

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{3}| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1}+|\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4-4\gamma+6\eta\gamma} + \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}+2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1})}{6-3\gamma}, \frac{1}{6-3\gamma}\left[\frac{4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma}{4(2-2\gamma)^{2}}|\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{1}|\mathcal{D}_{1}+\mathcal{D}_{0}|+|\mathcal{D}_{0}||\mathcal{K}_{2}-\mathcal{K}_{1}|\right]\right\}. \quad (2.27) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $f \in \Re \mathbb{A}^*(\eta, \gamma, \pi)$, there exist two analytic functions $r, h: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ with r(0) = h(0) = 0, |h(z)| < 1 and |r(z)| < 1, the function ψ defined by (1.4) satisfies:

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{f(z)}{z}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{z^2f''(z)+(1-\gamma)zf'(z)+\gamma z}{zf'(z)}\right]$$
$$=\psi(z)(\pi(r'(z)-1)$$
(2.28)

and

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{w\mathfrak{g}''(w)}{\mathfrak{g}'(w)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{w\mathfrak{g}'(w)}{\mathfrak{g}(w)}+\frac{\mathfrak{g}(w)}{w}-2\right)\right] +\eta\left[\frac{w^{2}\mathfrak{g}''(w)+(1-\gamma)\mathfrak{z}w'(\mathfrak{z})+\gamma w}{w\mathfrak{g}'(w)}\right] \prec_{\mathfrak{g}}\psi(w)(\pi(\hbar(w)-1).$$
(2.29)

Define the functions p_1 and p_2 by:

$$\mathfrak{p}_1(z) = \frac{1 + r'(z)}{1 - r'(z)} = 1 + \mathcal{F}_1 z + \mathcal{F}_2 z^2 + \cdots,$$
(2.30)

$$\mathfrak{p}_2(w) = \frac{1 + \hbar(w)}{1 - \hbar(w)} = 1 + \dot{j}_1 w + \dot{j}_2 w^2 + \cdots,$$
(2.31)

which are equivalently

$$r'(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_1(z) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{F}_1 z + \left(\mathcal{F}_2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \cdots \right]$$
(2.32)

and

$$\hbar(w) = \frac{\mathfrak{p}_2(w) - 1}{\mathfrak{p}_2(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1 w + \left(\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_2 - \frac{\dot{\mathfrak{p}}_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \cdots \right].$$
(2.33)

It is clear that $p_1(z)$, $p_2(z)$ are analytic and have positive real parts in \mathfrak{A} . In view of (2.28), (2.29), (2.32) and (2.33), clearly

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{f(z)}{z}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{z^{2}f''(z)+(1-\gamma)zf'(z)+\gamma z}{zf'(z)}\right]$$
$$=\psi(z)\left(\pi\left(\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{1}(z)-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(z)+1}\right)-1\right)\right)$$
(2.34)

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}+\frac{g(w)}{w}-2\right)\right] +\eta\left[\frac{w^2g''(w)+(1-\gamma)zw'(z)+\gamma w}{wg'(w)}\right] <_{q} \psi(w)\left(\pi\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{1}(w)-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(w)+1}\right)-1\right).$$
(2.35)

The series expansions for f(z) and g(w) as given in (1.1) and (1.2) respectively, provide us

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+\frac{f(z)}{z}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{z^2f''(z)+(1-\gamma)zf'(z)+\gamma z}{zf'(z)}\right]$$
$$=1+(2-2\gamma)a_2z+\left[(6-3\gamma)a_3-(4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma)a_2^2\right]z^2+\cdots$$
(2.36)

and

$$(1-\eta)\left[\left(1+\frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)}\right)-\gamma\left(\frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)}+\frac{g(w)}{w}-2\right)\right]+\eta\left[\frac{w^2g''(w)+(1-\gamma)zw'(z)+\gamma w}{wg'(w)}\right]$$
$$=(-2+2\gamma)a_2w+\left[\left(2(6-3\gamma)-(4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma)\right)a_2^2-(6-3\gamma)a_3\right]w^2+\cdots.$$
(2.37)

Using (2.30) and (2.31) to gather with (1.4) and (1.5)

$$\psi(z)\left(\pi\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{1}(z)-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(z)+1}\right)-1\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1}z + \left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}-\frac{\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}}{4}\right]z^{2} + \cdots$$
(2.38)

and

$$\psi(w)\left(\pi\left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(w)-1}{\mathfrak{p}_{2}(w)+1}\right)-1\right) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}w + \left[\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{2} - \frac{\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\dot{\mathfrak{g}}_{1}^{2}}{4}\right]w^{2} + \cdots.$$
(2.39)

Now equating (2.36) and (2.37) and comparing the coefficients to $z and z^2$, we obtain

$$(2 - 2\gamma)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\mathcal{F}_1$$
 (2.40)

and

$$[(6-3\gamma)a_3 - (4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma)a_2^2] = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1\mathcal{F}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\left(\mathcal{F}_2 - \frac{\mathcal{F}_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_2\mathcal{F}_1^2}{4}.$$
(2.41)

Similarly (2.37) and (2.39), gives us

$$(-2+2\gamma)a_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1\dot{j}_1$$
(2.42)

and

$$\left[\left(2(6-3\gamma)-(4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma)\right)a_{2}^{2}-(6-3\gamma)a_{3}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\dot{j}_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\dot{j}_{2}-\frac{\dot{j}_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\dot{j}_{1}^{2}}{4}.$$
(2.43)

From (2.40) and (2.42), we find that

$$a_2 = \frac{\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{K}_1 \ell_1 \mathfrak{E}}{2(2 - 2\gamma)} = -\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{K}_1 \dot{\mathfrak{f}}_1 \mathfrak{E}}{2(2 - 2\gamma)}\right),\tag{2.44}$$

which implies

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{2(2-2\gamma)}.$$
(2.45)

Adding (2.41) and (2.43), we obtain

$$(4 - 4\gamma + 6\eta\gamma)a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1(\mathcal{F}_2 + \dot{j}_2) + \frac{\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_2}{4}\big(\mathcal{F}_1^2 + \dot{j}_1^2\big)|\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|, \qquad (2.46)$$

which implies

$$|a_2|^2 \le \frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4 - 4\gamma + 6\eta\gamma}.$$
(2.47)

Subsequently, to get the upper bound for $|a_3|$, we subtract (2.41) from (2.43), yielding

$$(2(6-3\gamma))a_3 = (2(6-3\gamma))a_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{F}_1 - \dot{j}_1) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{H}_1(\mathcal{F}_2 - \dot{j}_2),$$
(2.48)

by using Lemma 1.1 and (2.46) in (2.48), we obtain

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{2|\mathcal{D}_{0}|(\mathcal{K}_{1} + |\mathcal{K}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{1}|)}{4 - 4\gamma + 6\eta\gamma} + \frac{(\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1} + 2\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1})}{6 - 3\gamma}.$$
(2.49)

Next, from (2.40) and (2.41), we have

$$(6-3\gamma)a_{3} = \frac{4-\gamma+3\eta\gamma}{4(2-2\gamma)^{2}}\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}\mathcal{K}_{1}^{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{1}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{2} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_{0}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{F}_{1}^{2},$$

which implies

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{1}{6-3\gamma} \Big[\frac{4-\gamma-3\eta\gamma}{4(2-2\gamma)^{2}} |\mathcal{D}_{0}|^{2} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{2} + \mathcal{K}_{1} |\mathcal{D}_{1} + \mathcal{D}_{0}| + |\mathcal{D}_{0}| |\mathcal{K}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{1}| \Big].$$

$$(2.50)$$

We have the special cases below are also previously uninhibited and they are as follows:

In virtue of Remark (2.6), (2.7) we obtain some corollaries below

Corollary(2.6) : Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re \mathbb{A}^*(0, \gamma, \pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{2(2-2\gamma)}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1+|\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|)}{4-4\gamma}}\right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3| &\leq \min\left\{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4 - 4\gamma} \right. \\ &+ \frac{(\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1 + 2\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1)}{6 - 3\gamma}, \frac{1}{6 - 3\gamma} \Big[\frac{4 - \gamma}{2(2 - 2\gamma)^2} |\mathcal{D}_0|^2 \mathcal{K}_1^2 + \mathcal{K}_1 |\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_0| + |\mathcal{D}_0||\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|\Big] \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary(2.7): Assume *f* is given by (1.1) belonging to the class $\Re A^*(1, \gamma, \pi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \min\left\{\frac{|\mathcal{D}_0|\mathcal{K}_1}{2(2-2\gamma)}, \sqrt{\frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1+|\mathcal{K}_2-\mathcal{K}_1|)}{4+2\gamma}}\right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3| &\leq \min\left\{ \frac{2|\mathcal{D}_0|(\mathcal{K}_1 + |\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1|)}{4 + 2\gamma} \\ &+ \frac{(\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{K}_1 + 2\mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{K}_1)}{6 - 3\gamma}, \frac{1}{6 - 3\gamma} \left[\frac{4 - 4\gamma}{4(2 - 2\gamma)^2} |\mathcal{D}_0|^2 \mathcal{K}_1^2 + \mathcal{K}_1 |\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_0| + |\mathcal{D}_0||\mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_1| \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

In this paper , we introduced subclass of the function of analytic and bi-univalent defined in the open unit disk \mathfrak{A} by applying quasi-subordination. Some results and properties about the corresponding bound estimations of the coefficients a_2 and a_3 are given and investigated. Here, we opened some new windows to find the coefficients using quasi-subordination.

References

[3] W. G. Atshan and R. A. Al-Sajjad, some applications of quasi-subordination for bi-univalent functions using Jackson's Convolution operator, Iraqi Journal of Science, 63(10) (2022), pp. 4417-4428.

[4] W. G. Atshan, I. A. R. Rahman and A. A. Lupas, Some results of new subclasses for bi-univalent functions using quasi-subordination, Symmetry, 13(9)(2021),1653.

[5] E. I. Badiwi, W. G. Atshan, A. N. Alkiffai and A. A. Lupas, Certain results on subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions associated with coefficient estimates and quasi-subordination, Symmetry, 15 (12) (2023), 2208.

[6] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math. 31(2)(1986), 70-77.

[7] E. Deniz, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions satisfying subordinate conditions, J. Classical Ana. 2(1)(2013), 49-60.

[8] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, In : Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer - Verlag, New York, Berlin, Hidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).

[9] H. O. Guney and G. Murugusundaralmoorthy, New classes of pseudo-type bi-univalent functions, RACSAM, 114(2020).

[10] S. Hant, Coefficientes estimate for certan subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination, Journal of Fractional Calculus ana Applications, 9(1)(2018), 195-203.

[11] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18(1967), 63-68.

[12] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang and S. Zhang, eds., Int. Press, (1994), 157-169.

[13] N. Magesh, V. K. Balaji and J. Yamini, Certain subclasses of bistarlike and biconvex functions based on quasi - subordination, Abstract and analysis, 2016 Art. ID3102960, 6 pages, (2016).

[14] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, T. Janani and N. E. Cho, Bi-univalent functions of complex order based on subordinate conditions involving Hurwitz Lerch Zeta function, East Aasian Math. J., 32(1)(2016), 47-59.

[15] A. B. Patil, and U. H. Naik, Estimates on initial coefficients of certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination, Global Journal of mathematical Analysis, 5(1)(2017), 6-10.

[16] Z. Peng, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and T. Janani, Coefficient estimate of bi-univalent functions of complex order associated with the Hohlov operator, Journal of Complex analysis, 2014 Art.ID 693908, 6 pages, (2014).

[17] C. Pommerenke, Univalent functions. Vandenhoeck and Ruperchi, Gottingen, (1975).

^[1] S. A. Al-Ameedee, W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori ,Coefficients estimates of bi-univalent functions defined by new subclass function ,Journal of Physics :Conference Series ,1530 (2020) 012105 ,1-8.

^[2] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Subramaniam, Coefficient estimates for biunivalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, Appl. Math. Lett. 25(3)(2012), 344-351.

[18] C. Ramachandran, R. Ambroseprabhu, and N. Magesh, Initial coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions of Ma-minda type, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 9(47)(2015), 2299-2308.

[19] M. S. Robertson, Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjecture, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76(1970), 1-9.

[20] Q. A. Shakir, A. S. Tayyah, D. Breaz, L.-I. Cotîrlă, E. Rapeanu, and F. M. Sakar, "Upper bounds of the third Hankel determinant for bi-univalent functions in crescent-shaped domains," *Symmetry*, vol. 16, p. 1281, 2024.

[21] Q. A. Shakir and W. G. Atshan, "On third Hankel determinant for certain subclass of bi-univalent functions," Symmetry, vol. 16, p. 239, 2024.

[22] S. R. Swamy and W. G. Atshan, Coefficient bounds for regular and bi-univalent functions linked with Gegenbauer polynomials, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, 13(2)(2022), pp. 67-77.

[23] H. Tang, G. Deng and S. Li, Coefficient estimates for new subclasses of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions, J. Ineq. Appl., 2013 Art. 317, 10 pages, (2013).

[24] A. S. Tayyah, W. G. Atshan, "Starlikeness and bi-starlikeness associated with a new carathéodory function," J. Math. Sci. (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-025-07604-8.

[25] A. S. Tayyah, W. G. Atshan, "A class of bi-bazilevič and bi-pseudo-starlike functions involving tremblay fractional derivative operator," *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.*, 14(32)(2)(2025), in press.

[26] P. P. Vyas and S. Kant, Certain Subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with quasisubordination, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, 15(4)(2016), 315 - 325.