

# *On Automorphisms with Derivations on Semiprime Rings*

by

Mehsin Jabel Atteya

Mustansiriyah University-College of Education-Department of Mathematics

E-mail:mehsinjabel@yahoo.com

## **Abstract:**

*The main purpose of this paper is to investigate automorphisms and identities with derivations on semiprime ring  $R$ , we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.*

**Key words:** Automorphism, identity, derivation, semiprime ring, central ideal.

**1991AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 16W25, 16U80, 16W60.

## **1-Introduction**

*The history of commuting and centralizing mappings goes back to (1955) when Divinsky [1] proved that a simple Artinian ring is commutative if it has a commuting nontrivial automorphism. Two years later, Posner [2] has proved that the existence of a non-zero centralizing derivation on prime ring forces the ring to be commutative (Posner's second theorem). Luch [3] generalized the Divinsky result, we have just mentioned above, to arbitrary prime ring. Mayne [4] proved that in case there exists a nontrivial centralizing automorphism on a prime ring, then the ring is commutative (Mayne's theorem). Chung and Luh [5] have shown that every semicommuting automorphism of a prime ring is commuting provided that  $R$  has either characteristic different from 3 or non-zero center and thus they proved the commutativity of prime ring having nontrivial semicommuting automorphism except in the indicated cases. Mayne [4] has called  $d$  centralizing if  $[x, d(x)] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $Z(R)$  is the center of  $R$  and  $d$  an automorphism of  $R$ . Kaya and Koc [6] have shown that let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $d$  a semicentralizing automorphism of  $R$ , then  $d$  is a commuting automorphism. A result of Bresar [7] which states that every additive commuting mapping of a prime ring  $R$  is of the form  $x \rightarrow \lambda x + \zeta(x)$  where  $\lambda$  is an element of  $C$  and  $\zeta: R \rightarrow C$  is an additive mapping, should be mentioned. A mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called skew-centralizing on  $R$  if  $d(x)x + xd(x) \in Z(R)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , in particular, if  $d(x)x + xd(x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then it is called skew-commuting on  $R$ . Vukman [8] have shown that let  $R$  be*

a semiprime ring. Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $d(x) + x(\alpha(x) - x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . In this case,  $d=0$  and  $\alpha=I$ , where  $I$  the identity mapping of a ring  $R$ . In this paper, we investigate and study the automorphisms and identities with derivations on semiprime ring, we give some results about that.

## 2- Preliminaries:

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with cancellation property. We recall that  $R$  is semiprime if  $xRx=(0)$  implies  $x=0$  and it is prime if  $xRy=(0)$  implies  $x=0$  or  $y=0$ . A prime ring is semiprime but the converse is not true in general. An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . Note that the mapping  $d = \alpha - I$  is an  $\alpha$ -derivation, where we denote by  $I$  the identity mapping of a ring  $R$ , of course, the concept of  $\alpha$ -derivation generalizes the concept of derivation. A mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called centralizing of  $[d(x), x] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , in particular, if  $[d(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then it is called commuting and called central if  $d(x) \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $Z(R)$  the center of  $R$ . Every central mapping is obviously commuting but not conversely, in general. We write  $[x, y]$  for  $xy - yx$  and  $xoy$  for  $xy + yx$ . We will frequently use the identities  $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$  and  $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . To achieve our purpose, we mention the following results.

### Lemma 1 [9: Lemma1]

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring. Suppose that the relation  $axb + bxc = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$  and some  $a, b, c \in R$ . In this case,  $(a+c)xb = 0$  is satisfied for all  $x \in R$ .

### Lemma2[8 : Lemma3]

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and let  $d: R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping. If either  $d(x)x = 0$  or  $xd(x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d = 0$ .

### Lemma3[10: Main Theorem]

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring,  $d$  a non-zero derivation of  $R$ , and  $U$  a non-zero left ideal of  $R$ . If for some positive integers  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n$  and all  $x \in U$ , the identity  $[[\dots[[d(x^{t_0}), x^{t_1}], x^{t_2}], \dots], x^{t_n}] = 0$  holds either  $d(U) = 0$  or else  $d(U)$  and  $d(R)U$  are contained in a non-zero central ideal of  $R$ . In particular when  $R$  is a prime ring,  $R$  is commutative.

### Lemma 3[11: Lemma 3.1]

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $a \in R$  some fixed element. If  $a[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then there exists an ideal  $U$  of  $R$  such that  $a \in U \subseteq Z(R)$  holds.

### 3-The Main Results

#### Theorem 3.1

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $d(x)x + x(\alpha(x) - x) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have the relation

$$d(x)x + xg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (1)$$

where  $g(x)$  stands for  $\alpha(x) - x$ . The linearization of above relation gives

$d(x)x + d(x)y + d(y)x + d(y)y + xg(x) + xg(y) + yg(x) + yg(y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . According to (1), we obtain

$$d(x)y + d(y)x + xg(y) + yg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (2)$$

In (2), replacing  $y$  by  $yx$ , we obtain

$d(x)yx - d(y)\alpha(x)x + yd(x)x + xg(y)\alpha(x) + xyg(x) + yxg(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . According to (1), we get

$$d(x)yx + d(y)x^2 + xg(y)\alpha(x) + xyg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (3)$$

Right-multiplying (2) by  $x$ , we obtain

$$d(x)yx + d(y)x^2 + xg(y)x + yg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (4)$$

Subtracting (3) and (4), we get

$$xg(y)\alpha(x) + xyg(x) - xg(y)x - yg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

$$xg(y)(\alpha(x) - x) + xyg(x) - yg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$xg(y)g(x) + xyg(x) - yg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (5)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $xy$ , we obtain

$$xg(x)\alpha(y)g(x) + x^2g(y)g(x) + x^2yg(x) - xyg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

According to (5), we get

$$xg(x)\alpha(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (6)$$

Now, since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism, we obtain

$$xg(x)yg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \text{ Replacing } y \text{ by } rx, \text{ we get}$$

$$xg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Putting this relation in (1) gives}$$

$$d(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 2, the relation (7) with left-multiplying by  $x$ , gives

$$xd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (8)$$

By subtracting (8) and (7) with using Lemma 4, we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

#### Theorem 3.2

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[d(x) + \alpha(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** The linearization of the relation

$$[d(x) + \alpha(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (9)$$

We obtain

$$[d(x) + \alpha(x), y] + [d(y) + \alpha(y), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (10)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$ , we obtain

$$y[d(x) + \alpha(x), x] + [d(x) + \alpha(x), y]x + [d(y)x + yd(x) + \alpha(y)\alpha(x), x] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \text{ According to (9), we obtain}$$

$$[d(x) + \alpha(x), y]x + [d(y), x]x + y[d(x), x] + [y, x]d(x) + \alpha(y)[\alpha(x), x] + [\alpha(y), x]\alpha(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

Replacing  $[d(x) + \alpha(x), y]x + [d(y), x]x$  by  $-[\alpha(y), x]x$  and  $y[d(x), x]$  by  $-y[\alpha(x), x]$  which gives

$$-[\alpha(y), x]x - y[\alpha(x), x] + [y, x]d(x) + \alpha(y)[\alpha(x), x] + [\alpha(y), x]\alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$[\alpha(y), x]g(x) + g(y)[\alpha(x), x] + [y, x]d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (11)$$

Where  $g(x)$  stands for  $\alpha(x) - x$  and  $g(y)$  stands for  $\alpha(y) - y$ .

Putting in above relation  $xy$  for  $y$ , we get

$$[\alpha(xy), x]g(xy)[\alpha(x), x] + [xy, x]d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

$$\alpha(x)[\alpha(y), x]g(x) + [\alpha(x), x]\alpha(y)g(x) + g(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(x), x] + xg(y)[\alpha(x), x] + x[y, x]d(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in U.$  (12)

Multiplying (11) from the left sided by  $x$ , subtracting the relation so obtained from (12) and replacing  $\alpha(y)$  by  $y$ , we obtain (note that  $[\alpha(x), x] = [g(x), x]$  for all  $x \in U$ ).

$$g(x)[y, x]g(x) + [g(x), x]yg(x) + g(x)y[g(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

Which reduces to

$$xg(x)yg(x) + g(x)y(-g(x)x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

By Lemma 1, the above relation gives

$$[g(x), x]yg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (13)$$

In (13), replacing  $y$  by  $rg(x)xt[g(x), x]r$  with right-multiplying by  $x$ , we get

$$[g(x), x]rg(x)xt[g(x), x]rg(x)x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U, r, t \in R. \quad (14)$$

Since  $R$  is semiprime, we obtain

$$[g(x), x]rg(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U, r \in R. \quad (15)$$

Again from (13), we get

$$[g(x), x]rxg(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (16)$$

Subtracting (15) and (16) we get

$$[g(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Since } [\alpha(x), x] = [g(x), x] \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Then (9) reduced to}$$

$$[d(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ By Lemma 3, } R \text{ contains a non-zero central ideal.}$$

### Theorem 3.3

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[d(x)x + x\alpha(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have the relation

$$[d(x)x+x\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (17)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $x+y$ , we obtain

$$[d(x)x+d(x)y+d(y)x+d(y)y+x\alpha(x)+x\alpha(y)+y\alpha(x)+y\alpha(y),x+y]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U.$$

According to (17), we get

$$[A(x),y]+[d(x)y+d(y)x+x\alpha(y)+y\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (18)$$

Where  $A(x)$  stands for  $d(x)x+x\alpha(x)$ . Let in above  $y$  by  $yx$ , we obtain

$$[A(x),yx]+[d(x)yx+d(y)x^2+yd(x)x+x\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+yx\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U.$$

According to (17), we obtain

$$[A(x),y]x+[yA(x),x]+[(d(x)y+d(y)x)x,x]+[x\alpha(y)\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then according to (17), above reduces to}$$

$$[A(x),y]x+[y,x]A(x)+[d(x)y+d(y)x,x]x+x[\alpha(y)\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (19)$$

$$\text{From (18), we get } [A(x),y]+[d(x)y+d(y)x,x]=-[x\alpha(y)+y\alpha(x),x] \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (19) in (20), we obtain

$$-[x\alpha(y)+y\alpha(x),x]x+[y,x]A(x)+x[\alpha(y)\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$-x[\alpha(y),x]x-y[\alpha(x),x]x-[y,x]\alpha(x)x+[y,x]A(x)+x\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]+$$

$$x[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$x[\alpha(y),x]g(x)+[y,x](A(x)-\alpha(x)x)+x\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]-y[\alpha(x),x]x=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Where } g(x) \text{ denote to } \alpha(x)-x, \text{ then}$$

$$x[\alpha(y),x]g(x)+[y,x]B(x)+x\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]-y[\alpha(x),x]x=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (21)$$

Where  $B(x)$  stands for  $A(x)-\alpha(x)x$  ( $d(x)x+[x,\alpha(x)]$ ), replacing  $y$  by  $xy$ , we obtain

$$x[\alpha(xy),x]g(x)+[xy,x]B(x)+x\alpha(xy)[\alpha(x),x]-xy[\alpha(x),x]x=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$x\alpha(x)[\alpha(y),x]g(x)+x[\alpha(x),x]\alpha(y)g(x)+x[y,x]B(x)+x\alpha(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]-xy[\alpha(x),x]x=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (22)$$

Left-multiplying (21) by  $x$ , we obtain

$$x^2[\alpha(y),x]g(x)+x[y,x]B(x)+x^2\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]-xy[\alpha(x),x]x=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (23)$$

Subtracting (23) with (22) and replacing  $\alpha(y)$  by  $y$ , we get  $(x\alpha(x)-x^2)[y,x]g(x)+x[\alpha(x),x]yg(x)+x\alpha(x)y[\alpha(x),x]-x^2y[\alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x,y \in U$ .

$$xg(x)[y,x]g(x)+xg(x)y[\alpha(x),x]+x[\alpha(x),x]yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$xg(x)([y,x]g(x)+y[\alpha(x),x])+x[\alpha(x),x]yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U.$$

Note that  $[\alpha(x),x]=[g(x),x]$  for all  $x \in U$ . Above relation we can write as

$$xg(x)[yg(x),x]+x[g(x),x]yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$xg(x)yg(x)x-x^2g(x)yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \text{ Then}$$

$$xM(x)=0 \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

Where  $M(x)$  stands for  $g(x)yg(x)x-xg(x)yg(x)$ , by Lemma2, we get

$M(x)=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Thus

$$g(x)yg(x)x-xg(x)yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (24)$$

For Applying Lemma 1, we rewrite (24) by

$-xg(x)yg(x)+g(x)yg(x)x=0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then

$(g(x)x-xg(x))yg(x)=0$  for all  $x,y \in U$ .

$$[g(x),x]yg(x)=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \quad (25)$$

By same method in Theorem 3.2, we obtain

$[d(x),x]x=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Now, we have

$W(x)x=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Where  $W(x)$  stands for  $[d(x),x]$  with using Lemmas (2 and 3), we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

### Theorem 3.4

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[d(x)\alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $[d(x)\alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then

$$[d(x)\alpha(x),x]+[\alpha(x)d(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (27)$$

Putting  $x$  by  $x+y$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [d(x)\alpha(x),x]+[d(x)\alpha(y),x]+[d(y)\alpha(x),x]+[d(y)\alpha(y),x]+[d(x)\alpha(x),y]+[d(x)\alpha(y),y]+[ \\ & d(y)\alpha(x),y]+[d(y)\alpha(y),y]+[\alpha(x)d(x),x]+[\alpha(y)d(x),x] \\ & [\alpha(x)d(y),x]+[\alpha(y)d(y),x]+[\alpha(x)d(x),y]+[\alpha(y)d(x),y]+[\alpha(x)d(y),y]+[\alpha(y)d(y),y]= \\ & 0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

According to (27), the relation (28) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & [d(x)\alpha(y),x]+[d(y)\alpha(x),x]+[d(y)\alpha(y),x]+[d(x)\alpha(x),y] \quad +[d(x)\alpha(y),y]+ \\ & [d(y)\alpha(x),y]+[\alpha(y)d(x),x]+[\alpha(x)d(y),x]+[\alpha(y)d(y),x]+[\alpha(x)d(x),y]+ \\ & [\alpha(y)d(x),y]+[\alpha(x)d(y),y]=0 \text{ for all } x,y \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$ , we obtain after some calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} & d(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]+d(x)[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)+[d(x),x]\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+d(y)x \\ & [\alpha(x),x]+[d(y),x]x\alpha(x)+yd(x)[\alpha(x),x]+y[d(x),x]\alpha(x)+[y,x]d(x) \\ & \alpha(x)+d(y)x\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]+d(y)x[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)+[d(y),x]x\alpha(x)+yd(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]+yd(x) \\ & [\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)+y[d(x),x]\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+[y,x]d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+yd(x)[\alpha(y),x]+y[d(x),x]\alpha(y)+d \\ & (x)[\alpha(x),y]x+[d(x),y]\alpha(x)x+d(x)\alpha(y)y[\alpha(x),x]+d(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),y]x+d(x)y[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x) \\ & )+d(x)[\alpha(y),y]x\alpha(x)+y[d(x),x]\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+[d(x),y]x\alpha(y)\alpha(x)+d(y)xy[\alpha(x),x]+d(y)x[\alpha \\ & (x),y]x+d(y)[x,y]x\alpha(x)+y[d(y),x]x\alpha(x)+[d(y),y]x^2\alpha(x)+yd(x)y[\alpha(x),x]+yd(x)[\alpha(x), \\ & y]x+y^2[d(x),x]\alpha(x)+y[d(x),y]x\alpha(x)+y[y,x]d(x)\alpha(x)+\alpha(y)\alpha(x)[d(x),x]+\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x] \\ & d(x)+[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)d(x)+\alpha(x)[d(x),x]x+[\alpha(x),x]d(x)x+\alpha(x)y[d(x),x]+\alpha(x)[y,x]d(x)+ \\ & [\alpha(x),x]yd(x)+\alpha(y)\alpha(x)[d(y),x]x+\alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]d(y)x+[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)d(y)x+\alpha(y)\alpha(x)y[ \\ & d(x),x]+\alpha(y)\alpha(x) \quad [y,x]d(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha(y)[\alpha(x),x]yd(x) + [\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x)yd(x) + y\alpha(x)[d(x),x] + y[\alpha(x),x] \\
& d(x) + \alpha(x)[d(x),y]x + [\alpha(x),y]d(x)x + \alpha(y)\alpha(x)y[d(x),x] + \alpha(y)\alpha(x)[d(x),y]x + \alpha(y)y[\alpha(x) \\
& ),x]d(x) + \alpha(y)[\alpha(x),y]xd(x) + y[\alpha(y),x]\alpha(x) \\
& d(x) + [\alpha(y),y]x\alpha(x)d(x) + \alpha(x)d(y)[x,y]x + \alpha(x)y[d(y),x]x + \alpha(x) \\
& [d(y),y]x^2 + y[\alpha(x),x]d(y)x + [\alpha(x),y]xd(y)x + \alpha(x)y^2[d(x),x] + \alpha(x)y[d(x),y]x + \alpha(x)y[y, \\
& x]d(x) + [\alpha(x),x]yd(x) + [\alpha(x),y]xyd(x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \\
(29)
\end{aligned}$$

In (29) replacing  $\alpha(x)$  and  $y$  by  $x$ , we obtain

$$4[d(x),x]x^2 + 4x[d(x),x]x + 5x[d(x),x]x^2 + 3[d(x),x]x^3 + 5x^2[d(x),x]x + 3x^3[d(x),x] + 2x^2[d(x),x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (30)$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  and subtracting with (30), we obtain  $4[d(x),x^3] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free with using Lemma 3, we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

### Theorem 3.5

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $[[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . (31)

Putting  $x$  by  $x+y$  in above equation and according to (31), we obtain

$$[[d(y), \alpha(x)], x] + [[d(x), \alpha(y)], y] + [[d(y), \alpha(y)], x] + [[d(x), \alpha(x)], y] + [[d(y), \alpha(x)], y] + [[d(x), \alpha(y)], y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (32)$$

In (32) replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  with using (31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [d(y)[x, \alpha(x)], x] + [[d(y), \alpha(x)], x]x + [y[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] + [[y, \alpha(x)]d(x), x] + [\alpha(y), x][d(x), \\
& \alpha(x)] + [d(x), \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), x] + [[d(x), \alpha(y)], x]\alpha(x) + [d(y)[x, \alpha(yx)], x] + [[d(y), \alpha(yx)], x]x \\
& + x[[d(y), \alpha(yx)], x] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$[x, \alpha(yx)][d(y), x] + [[x, \alpha(yx)], x]d(y) + [[d(x), \alpha(x)], y]x + [d(y)[x,$$

$$\alpha(x)], yx] + [[d(y), \alpha(x)]x, yx] + [y[d(x), \alpha(x)], yx] + [[y, \alpha(x)]d(x)$$

$$, yx] + \alpha(y)[[d(x), \alpha(x)], yx] + [\alpha(y), yx][d(x), \alpha(x)] + [d(x), \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), yx] + [[d(x), \alpha(y)], yx] \alpha(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \text{ After some calculation, we obtain}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(y)[[x, \alpha(x)], x] + [d(y), x][x, \alpha(x)] + [[d(y), \alpha(x)], x]x + y[[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] + [y, x][d(x), \alpha(x) \\
& ) + [y, \alpha(x)][d(x), x] + [[y, \alpha(x)], x]d(x) + [\alpha(y), x][d(x), \alpha(x)] + [d(x), \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), x] + [[d(x) \\
& \alpha(y)], x]\alpha(x) + d(y)\alpha(y)[[x, \alpha(x)], x] + d(y)[\alpha(y), x][x, \alpha(x)] + d(y)[x, \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), x] + d \\
& (y)[[x, \alpha(y), x]\alpha(x) + [d(y), x]\alpha(y)[x, \alpha(x)] + [d(y), x][x, \alpha(y)]\alpha(x) + \alpha(y)[[d(y), \alpha(x)], x]x \\
& + [\alpha(y), x][d(y), \alpha(x)]x + [d(y), \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), x]x + [[d(y), \alpha(y)], x]\alpha(x)x + x\alpha(y)[[d(y), \alpha(x) \\
& ], x] + x[\alpha(y), x][d(y)], \alpha(x)] + x[d(y), \alpha(y)][\alpha(x), x] + x[[d(y), \alpha(y)], x]\alpha(x) + \alpha(y)[x, \alpha(x) \\
& ][d(y), x] + [x, \alpha(y)]\alpha(x)[d(y), x] + \alpha(y)[[x, \alpha(x)], x]d(y) + [\alpha(y), x][x, \alpha(x)]d(y) + [x, \alpha(y)] \\
& [\alpha(x), x]d(y) + [[x, \alpha(y), x]\alpha(x)d(y) + [[d(x), \alpha(x)], y]x + d(y)y[[x, \alpha(x), x] + d(y)[[x, \alpha(x)], \\
& y]x + y[d(y), x][x, \alpha(x)] + [d(y), y]x[x, \alpha(x)] + [d(y), \alpha(x)][x, y]x + y[[d(y), \alpha(x)], x]x + [[d(
\end{aligned}$$

$y), \alpha(x)], y]x^2 + y^2 [[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] + y [[d(x), \alpha(x)], y]x + y [y, x] [d(x), \alpha(x)] + [y, \alpha(x)] y [d(x), x] + [y, \alpha(x)] [d(x), y]x + y [[y, \alpha(x)], x] d(x) + [[y, \alpha(x)], y] x d(x) + \alpha(y) y [[d(x), \alpha(x)], x] + \alpha(y) [[d(x), \alpha(x)], y]x + y [\alpha(y), x] [d(x), \alpha(x)] + [\alpha(y), y] x [d(x), \alpha(x)] + [d(x), \alpha(y)] y [\alpha(x), x] + [d(x), \alpha(y)] [\alpha(x), x] y + y [[d(x), \alpha(y)], x] \alpha(x) + [[d(x), \alpha(y)], y] x \alpha(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . (33)

Replacing  $\alpha(U)$  by  $U$ ,  $y$  by  $x$  and  $x$  by  $-x$  with using (31), we get

$2[[d(x), x], x]x = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Since  $R$  is 2-torsion free with using Lemma 3, we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central.

**Theorem 3.6**

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[d(x) - x]x \pm x\alpha(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $[g(x)x + x\alpha(x)] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Where  $g(x)$  stands for  $d(x) - x$ , then

$$[g(x), x]x + x[\alpha(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (34)$$

Putting in the above relation  $xy$  for  $x$ , gives

$$g(x)[y, x]yxy + x[g(x), y]yxy + [g(x), x]y^2xy + x^2[g(y), y]xy + x[g(y), x]yxy + x[x, y]g(y)xy + x y \alpha(x)x[\alpha(y), y] + xy \alpha(x)[\alpha(y), x]y + xyx[\alpha(x), y]\alpha(y) + xy[\alpha(x), x]y\alpha(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

Replacing  $\alpha(y)$  by  $y$ , we obtain

$$g(x)[y, x]yxy + x[g(x), y]yxy + [g(x), x]y^2xy + x^2[g(y), y]xy + x[g(y), x]yxy + x[x, y]g(y)xy + x y \alpha(x)[y, x]y + xyx[\alpha(x), y]y + xy[\alpha(x), x]y^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$ , we obtain

$$x[g(x), x]x^3 + [g(x), x]x^4 + x^2[g(x), x]x^2 + x[g(x), x]x^3 + x^3[\alpha(x), x]x + x^2[\alpha(x), x]x^2 = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. (33)$$

From (34), the relation (33) reduces to

$$x[g(x), x]x^3 + [g(x), x]x^4 = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

Then  $[g(x), x^2]x^3 = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Thus, we have

$$[d(x), x^2]x^3 = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

Now, we have  $W(x)x = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Where  $W(x)$  stands for  $[d(x), x^2]x^2$  with using Lemmas (2 and 3), we obtain  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

Similary for  $[d(x) - x]x - x\alpha(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

**Theorem 3.7**

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[x(d(x) - x) \pm \alpha(x)x, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $x[g(x), x] + [\alpha(x), x]x = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . (36)

Where  $g(x)$  stands for  $d(x) - x$ , then replacing  $x$  by  $xy$ , we obtain

$$xyg(x)[y, x]y + xyx[g(x), y]y + xy[g(x), x]y^2 + xyx^2[g(y), y] + xyx[g(y), x]y + xyx[x, y]g(x) + \alpha$$



$(x)x[\alpha(y),y]xy+\alpha(x)[\alpha(y),x]yxy+x[\alpha(x),y]\alpha(y)xy+[\alpha(x),x]y\alpha(y)xy=0$  for all  $x,y \in U$ .

Replacing  $\alpha(y)$  by  $y$  and  $y$  by  $x$ , we obtain

$$x^3[g(x),x]x+x^2[g(x),x]x^2+x^4[g(x),x]+x^3[g(x),x]x+x[\alpha(x),x]x^3+[\alpha(x),x]x^4=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (37)$$

Replacing  $\alpha(x)$  by  $x$ , we get

$$x^3[g(x),x]x+x^2[g(x),x]x^2+x^4[g(x),x]+x^3[g(x),x]x=0 \quad \text{for all } x \in U. \quad (38)$$

Substituting (38) in (37), we obtain

$$x[\alpha(x),x]x^3+[\alpha(x),x]x^4=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (39)$$

$$[\alpha(x), x^2] x^3=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (40)$$

$M(x)x=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Where  $M(x)$  stands for  $[\alpha(x), x^2] x^2$ , by Lemma2, we get

$M(x)=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Thus

$$[\alpha(x), x^2] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (41)$$

From (36), we obtain according to (41)

$xA(x)=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Where  $A(x)$  stands for  $[g(x),x]-[\alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . , by Lemma2, we get

$A(x)=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Thus

$$[\alpha(x),x]=[g(x),x] \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (42)$$

Substituting (42) in (36), we obtain

$[d(x),x^2]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . By applying Lemma3, we get

$R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

Similarly for  $[x(d(x)-x)-\alpha(x)x,x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

### **Theorem 3.8**

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[xd(x) \pm x(\alpha(x)-x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $xM(x)=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

Where  $M(x)$  stands for  $[d(x)+(\alpha(x)-x),x]$ , then by using Lemma2, above relation gives

$$[d(x),x]+[g(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (43)$$

Where  $g(x)$  stands for  $(\alpha(x)-x)$ , the linearization of the relation (43) gives

$[d(x),y]+[d(y),x]+[g(x),y]+[g(y),x]=0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ , which means that we have

$$[d(x)+g(x),y]+[d(y)+g(y),x]=0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U. \quad (44)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yx$  with using (43), we obtain

$[d(x)+g(x),y]x+[d(y)+g(y),x]x+y[d(x)+g(x),x]+[y,x](d(x)+g(x))=0$  for all  $x \in U$ ,

$y \in R$ . According to (43) and (44), we obtain  $[y,x]B(x)=0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Where

$B(x)$  stands for  $(d(x)+g(x))$ , by using Lemma 4, we get  $R$  contains a non-zero

central ideal.

Similary for  $[xd(x)-x(\alpha(x)-x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

By same method we can be prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.9**

Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[xd(x) \pm x(\alpha(x)-x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.

**Theorem 3.10**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[[d(x),x] \pm \alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have

$$[[d(x),x],x]+[\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \tag{45}$$

The linearization of the above relation gives

$$[[d(x),x],x]+[[d(x),y],x]+[[d(x),x],y]+[[d(x),y],y]+[[d(y),x],x]+[[d(y),y],x]+[[d(y),x],y]+[[d(y),y],y]+[\alpha(x),x]+[\alpha(x),y]+[\alpha(y),x]+[\alpha(y),y]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U, y \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  and according to (45), we get

$4[[d(x),x],x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Applying that  $R$  has a 2-torsion free with using Lemma 3, we completes the proof of the theorem.

Similary for  $[[d(x),x]-\alpha(x),x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

**Theorem 3.11**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[d(x) \pm [\alpha(x),x],x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  is contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have

$$[d(x),x]+[[\alpha(x),x],x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Which means that we have}$$

$$[d(x),x]+[\alpha(x),x]x-x[\alpha(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \tag{46}$$

The linearization of the above relation with using (46) after some calculation gives

$$[d(x),y]+[d(y),x]+[\alpha(x),x]y+[\alpha(x),y]x+[\alpha(x),y]y+[\alpha(y),x]x+[\alpha(y),x]y+[\alpha(y),y]x-y[\alpha(x),x]-x[\alpha(x),y]-y[\alpha(x),y]-x[\alpha(y),x]-y[\alpha(y),x]-x[\alpha(y),y]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U, y \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $x$ , we obtain

$$2([d(x),x]+[\alpha(x),x]x-x[\alpha(x),x])+4([\alpha(x),x]x-x[\alpha(x),x])=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ According to (46) the above relation reduce to}$$

$$4([\alpha(x),x]x-x[\alpha(x),x])=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \tag{47}$$

Applying that  $R$  has a 2-torsion free on (47) and substituting the results in (46), gives

$$[d(x),x]=0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \text{ Applying Lemma 3, we completes the proof of theorem.}$$

Similarly for  $[d(x)-[\alpha(x),x],x]=0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

**Theorem 3.12**

Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and  $U$  a non-zero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exist a derivation  $d:R \rightarrow R$  and an automorphism  $\alpha:R \rightarrow R$  such that  $[[d(x) \pm \alpha(x), x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then  $R$  contains a non-zero central ideal.

**Proof:** We have  $[[d(x) + \alpha(x), x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then

$$[[d(x), x], x] + [[\alpha(x), x], x] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (48)$$

Putting  $x$  by  $x+y$ , we obtain after some calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} & [[d(x), x], x] + [[d(x), x], y] + [[d(x), y], x] + [[d(x), y], y] + [[d(y), x], x] \\ & [[d(y), x], y] + [[d(y), y], x] + [[d(y), y], y] + [[\alpha(x), x], x] + [[\alpha(x), x], y] + [[\alpha(x), y], x] + [[\alpha(x), y], y] \\ & + [[\alpha(y), x], x] + [[\alpha(y), x], y] + [[\alpha(y), y], x] + [[\alpha(y), y], y] = 0 \end{aligned} \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

According to (48), replacing  $\alpha(y)$  by  $y$  and  $y$  by  $x$ , we obtain

$3[[d(x), x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Since  $R$  is a 2-torsion free with applying Lemma 3, we completes the proof.

Similarity for  $[[d(x) - \alpha(x), x], x] = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ .

### References:

- [1] N. Divinsky, On commuting automorphisms of rings, Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. Sect. III. (3) 49 (1955), 19-22.
- [2] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [3] J. Luch. A note on commuting automorphisms of rings, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 61-62.
- [4] J. H. Mayne, Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Canada. Math. Bull. 19 (1976), No. 1, 113-115.
- [5] L. O. Chung and J. Luh, On semicommuting automorphisms of rings, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1) (1978), 13-16.
- [6] A. Kaya and C. Koc, Semicentralizing automorphisms of prime rings, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum, Hungaricae Tomus 38 (1-4) (1981), 53-55.
- [7] M.resar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J. Algebra 156 (1993), No. 2, 385-394.
- [8] J. Vukman, Identities with derivations and automorphisms on semiprime rings, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 2005: 7 (2005), 1031-1038.
- [9] J. Vukman, Centralizers on semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 42 (2001), No. 2, 237-245.
- [10] C. Lanski, An Engel condition with derivation for left ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (2) (1997), 339-345.
- [11] Zalar, B., On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 32 (4) (1991): 609-614.

حول الاوتومورفزمات مع الاشتقاقات على الحلقات شبة الاولية

محسن جبل عطية  
الجامعة المستنصرية-كلية التربية-قسم الرياضيات  
**Email: [mehsinjabel@yahoo.com](mailto:mehsinjabel@yahoo.com)**

الملخص: الغرض الرئيسي من البحث تحري الاوتومورفزمات والاحادية مع الاشتقاقات على الحلقات شبة الاولية  $R$ , وسوف نحصل على  $R$  تحوي على مثالي مركزي غير صفري .