

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



Quasi-semi clean rings

Esraa H. Remzi¹, Samer Al-Sammarraie², Abdelwahhab El-Najjar³, Akram S. Mohammed⁴

¹Ministry of Education, Directorate of Education Kirkuk, Iraq.

^{2,3,4}Tikrit University's, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, Tikrit, Iraq.

 $^{1}mathematics 235@gmail.com, ^{2}samer.a.salih@tu.edu.iq, ^{3}abdulwahhab.elnajjar@tu.edu.iq, ^{4}akr_tel@tu.edu.iq, ^{4}akr_tel@tu.e$

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received: 06/03/2025 Rrevised form: 14/03/2025 Accepted : 17/04/2025 Available online: 30/06/2025

Keywords:

Clean rings, r-clean rings , quasi clean rings

ABSTRACT

Recently, Tang et al. [1] studied a broader concept than the concept of clean rings called quasi-clean rings. In this paper, we use the method of Tang et al. [1] in generalizing clean to construct and study a generalization of semiclean rings of Ye [3] to a broader class of rings called quasi-semiclean rings. Let *R* be a ring with identity. Then, *R* is said to be a quasi-semiclean ring if every element $r \in R$ can be expressed as r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$ is a unit of *R* and *e* is a quasi-periodic element of *R*: that is *e* satisfies the equation $e^n = ke^m$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and *k* is a central unit, $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. We prove several properties of the class of quasi-semiclean rings similar to those of semiclean rings and also settle new properties and results. In particular, we show that clean rings and certain types of quasi-semiclean rings (quasi-clean rings to be precise) are the same concept and prove this equivalence. Furthermore, we investigate the quasi-semicleaness of various types of rings such as Morita context rings, trivial extensions of modules R(M), and the Nagata ring R(+)M.

MSC..

https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcsm.2025.17.22204

1. Introduction

In the recent decades, the original definition of clean ring provided by Nicholson [2] witnessed a vast interest due to the algebraic richness of this type of rings. Recall that a ring *R* is said to be a clean ring if we can write each $r \in R$ as r = u + e, where $u \in U(R)$ is a unit and $e \in Id(R)$ is an idempotent. The concept of clean ring was then extended to the concept of semiclean ring by Ye [3], where he replaced the idempotent by a general periodic element (an element *e* that fulfills the condition $e^n = e^m$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). Ye provided interesting classes of rings that are semiclean but not clean, see ([3], Example 3.1). Later, Fan and Yang [4] continued the work of Nicholson by defining f(x)-clean ring, where f(x) is a polynomial with coefficients from the underlying ring *R*. Let $f(x) \in C(R)[x]$ (here C(R) denotes the center of the ring *R*). They declare *R* is an f(x)-clean ring if each $r \in R$ can be written as r = u + e

^{*}Corresponding author: Akram S. Mohammed

where $u \in U(R)$ and e fulfills f(e) = 0. They gave a nice identification of clean rings in terms of certain types of f(x)-clean rings, see ([4], Theorem 3.2). Continuing on the previous work of Fan and Yang, El-Najjar and Akram [5-6] studied the concept of f(x), g(x)-clean ring where each element $r \in R$ can be written as $r = u + e_1 + e_2$, where $u \in U(R)$ and e_1 , e_2 fulfills $f(e_1) = g(e_2) = 0$. They provided a characterization of feebly clean rings [7] in terms of certain f(x), g(x)-clean rings, see ([5], Theorem 2.3). More recently, Tang et al. [1] defined a new type of rings called quasi-clean rings. An element $e \in R$ is said to be a quasi-idempotent if $e^2 = ke$ where $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. A ring R is said to be a quasi-clean ring if each element $r \in R$ can be written as r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in QI(R)$ (QI(R) is the set of all quasi-idempotents of R). They constructed an abundant of examples that properly generalize clean rings with multiple intriguing results.

In this paper, we investigate the concept of quasi-semiclean rings as a broader extension of quasi-clean rings. An element $e \in R$ is said to be a quasi-periodic element if $e^n = ke^m$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. The set of all quasi-periodic elements of R is denoted by QP(R). An element $r \in R$ is said to be quasi-semicelan if r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in QP(R)$ and R is said to be quasi-semicelan ring if every element of R is quasi-semicelan. Among many results, we show that for certain rings the quasi-semicleanness of them is equivalent to the quasi-semicleanness of their upper triangular matrices ring $T_n(R)$ for all $n \ge 1$, see Theorem 2.7. Moreover, we give a new definition of clean rings [2] in term of quasi-clean rings. In particular, we show in Theorem 2.11 that:

Theorem: Let *R* be a ring, $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$, and $a, b \in C(R)$ such that $b - a \in U(R)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. *R* is a *k*-quasi-clean ring.
- 2. *R* is a clean ring.
- 3. Every element of *R* can be written as a sum of a unit element, and a root of the polynomial $(x k^2 a)(x k^2 b)$.

We also extend the quasi-semiclean concept to various rings such as the power series rings, the localization of rings, the quotient rings, and various other constructions. Throughout the paper, *R* is a ring that posses a multiplicative identity.

2. Quasi-semiclean rings

Definition 2.1: Let *R* be a ring with identity. An element $e \in R$ is said to be a quasi-periodic element if $e^n = ke^m$ where $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. The set of all quasi-periodic elements of *R* is denoted by QP(R).

Definition 2.2: Let *R* be a ring with identity. An element $r \in R$ is said to be quasi-semicelan if r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in QP(R)$. If every element of *R* is quasi-semiclean element, then *R* is said to be a quasi-semiclean ring.

It is clear that every semicelan ring is a quasi-semiclean ring. The converse needs not to hold as explained in the following example.

Example 2.3: Let us consider the ring *R* which is defined by $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(5)} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(7)} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } b \neq b \right\}$

0 and 3,5,7 does not divides b. Using (1, Corollary 3.9(2)-(3)), we see that R is a quasi-clean ring (hence a quasi-semiclean ring) but not a semiclean ring.

Proposition 2.4: Let $f: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism. If R is a quasi-semiclean ring, then so is f(R).

Proof: Let $s \in f(R)$. By the assumption there exists an element $r \in R$ such that s = f(r), and r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$, and e fulfills $e^n = ke^n$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. Consequently, s = f(r) = f(u + e) = f(u) + f(e) where we have $f(u) \in U(f(R))$, and $f(e)^n = f(e^n) = f(ke^m) = f(k)f(e)^m$, and we see $f(k) \in U(f(R)) \cap C(f(R))$. Therefore, f(R) is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Corollary 2.5: Let *R* be a ring and *I* be a two sided ideal of *R*. Then, *R* is quasi-semiclean ring implies that $\frac{R}{I}$ is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Proof: Let $\pi: R \to \frac{R}{I}$ be the canonical homomorphism defined by $\pi(r) = r + I$. Since π is an onto ring homomorphism, then $\frac{R}{I}$ is a quasi-semiclean ring by Proposition 2.4.

Definition 2.6: A ring *R* is said to be of fixed quasi-periodicity if there exist fixed $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $e \in QP(R)$, we have $e^n = ke^m$ where $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$.

Theorem 2.7: Let *R* be a ring of fixed quasi-periodicity. Then, *R* is quasi-semicelan ring if and only if $T_n(R)$ is a quasi-semicelan ring for all $n \ge 1$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Since *R* is of fixed quasi-periodicity, there exist fixed $n', m' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $e \in QP(R)$, we have $e^{n'} = ke^{m'}$ where $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. Let $M = (m_{ij}) \in T_n(R)$. Then, $m_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \le j < i \le n$. By assumption, we have $m_{ii} = u_{ii} + e_{ii}$, where $u_{ii} \in U(R)$, and e_{ii} fulfills $e_{ii}^{n_{ii}} = k_{ii}e_{ii}^{m_{ii}}$ for some $n_{ii}, m_{ii} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{ii} \in U(R) \cap C(R)$ where $1 \le i \le n$. Thus, we can factorize *M* as follows:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & m_{12} & \cdots & m_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & u_{nn} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first matrix is invertible, since its determinant is a unit element of R. Also, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_{11} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}^{n'} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11}^{n'} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_{nn}^{n'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} e_{11}^{m'} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & k_{nn} e_{nn}^{m'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & k_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{11}^{m'} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_{nn}^{m'} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} k_{11} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & k_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} \ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}^{m'}$$

because $e_{ii} \in QP(R)$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Thus the *e*'s matrix lies in $QP(T_n(R))$ because the *k*'s matrix lies in $U(T_n(R)) \cap C(T_n(R))$. Consequently, *M* is a quasi-semiclean element and so is $T_n(R)$.

(⇐) Define the map $f: T_n(R) \to R$ by the mapping $M = (m_{ij})$ to m_{11} i.e. $f(M) = f((m_{ij})) = m_{11}$. Evidently, f is a rings homomorphism and $f(T_n(R)) = R$, so R is a quasi-semiclean ring by Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.8: Let *R* be a ring, then the following statements hold

- 1. *R* is quasi-semiclean if and only if R[[x]] is quasi-semiclean.
- 2. If *R* is commutative, then R[x] is never a quasi-semiclean ring.

Proof: (1) (\Rightarrow) Let $f = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n \in R[[x]]$. By assumption, $a_0 = u + e$ where $u \in U(R)$, and e fulfills $e^n = ke^m$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. It follows that

$$f = a_0 + \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n x^n = \left(u + \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n x^n \right) + e$$

where, the series in the brackets is a unit and so R[[x]] is a quasi-semiclean ring.

(⇐) Define $\phi: R[[x]] \to R$ by the formula $\phi(f) = f(0)$. Since $\phi(R[[x]]) = R$, we conclude that R is a quasi-semiclean ring by Proposition 2.4.

(2) We first observe that U(R[x]) = U(R) and the periodic elements of R[x] are those of R hence QP(R[x]) = QP(R). Suppose that R[x] is a quasi-semiclean ring. Then, x = u + e where $u \in U(R)$, and $e \in QP(R)$. Thus, $x - e = u \in U(R[x]) = U(R)$ which is absurd, thus R[x] is not a quasi-semiclean ring.

Definition 2.9: Let *R* be a quasi-semiclean ring and $T \subseteq U(R) \cap C(R)$. If we can write each $r \in R$ as r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$, and *e* fulfills $e^n = ke^m$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$, where $k \in T$, we say that *R* is a *T*-quasi-semiclean ring. In particular, if $T = \{k\}$ where $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$, we say that *R* is a *k*-quasi-semiclean ring.

Definition 2.10: If *R* is a *k*-quasi-semiclean ring where the *e*'s are always quasi-idempotent elements (that is n = 2 and m = 1 so $e^2 = ke$), we say that *R* is a *k*-quasi-clean ring.

Theorem 2.11: Let *R* be a ring, $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$, and $a, b \in C(R)$ such that $b - a \in U(R)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. *R* is a *k*-quasi-clean ring.
- 2. R is a clean ring.
- 3. Every element of *R* can be written as a sum of a unit element, and a root of the polynomial $(x k^2 a)(x k^2 b)$.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (3) Let $r \in R$. Since R is k-quasi-clean ring, we have that $\frac{r-k^2a}{k(b-a)} = u + e$, where $u \in U(R)$ and e fulfills $e^2 = ke$. Therefore, $r = k(b-a)u + k(b-a)e + k^2a$, where $k(b-a)u \in U(R)$ and $k(b-a)e + k^2a$ fulfills

$$(k(b-a)e + k^{2}a - k^{2}a)(k(b-a)e + k^{2}a - k^{2}b) = k^{2}(b-a)^{2}e^{2} - k^{3}(b-a)^{2}e = (b-a)^{2}k^{2}(e^{2} - ke) = 0$$

thus, every element of *R* is a sum of a unit element and a root of the polynomial $(x - k^2 a)(x - k^2 b)$ as required.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let $r \in R$. Then, $k(b-a)r + k^2a = u + e$, where $u \in U(R)$ and $(e - k^2a)(e - k^2b) = 0$. Then, $k(b-a)r = u + e - k^2a$, and thus

$$r = \frac{u}{k(b-a)} + \frac{e - k^2 a}{k(b-a)}$$

It is obvious that the first fraction is in U(R), so we need only to show that the second fraction satisfies

$$\left(\frac{e-k^2a}{k(b-a)}\right)^2 = k\left(\frac{e-k^2a}{k(b-a)}\right)$$

We have

$$\left(\frac{e-k^2a}{k(b-a)}\right)^2 = \frac{(e-k^2a)(e-k^2a)}{k^2(b-a)^2} = \frac{(e-k^2a)(e-k^2b+k^2b-k^2a)}{k^2(b-a)^2} = \frac{(e-k^2a)(e-k^2b)+k^2(b-a)(e-k^2a)}{k^2(b-a)^2} = \frac{e-k^2a}{b-a} = k\left(\frac{e-k^2a}{k(b-a)}\right)$$

as required. Hence R is a k-quasi-clean ring.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) Since $a, b \in C(R)$, we see that $ka, kb \in C(R)$ with $kb - ka = k(b - a) \in U(R)$. Thus, the result follows by ([4], Theorem 2.3].

Proposition 2.12: Let *R* be a commutative ring and *S* a multiplicative subset of *R*. Then, the following statements hold:

- 1. If *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring, then so is $S^{-1}R$.
- 2. If quasi-periodic elements of *R* lift modulo N(R), then *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring if and only if R/N(R) is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Proof: (1) Let $\frac{r}{s} \in S^{-1}R$. Since *R* is quasi-semiclean ring, we have r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$, and *e* fulfills $e^n = ke^m$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. As a result, we see that $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{u+e}{s} = \frac{u}{s} + \frac{e}{s}$ where $\frac{u}{s} \in U(S^{-1}R)$, and

 $\left(\frac{e}{s}\right)^n = \frac{e^n}{s^n} = \frac{ke^m}{s^n} = \frac{s^m k}{s^n} \cdot \frac{e^m}{s^m} = \frac{s^m k}{s^n} \cdot \left(\frac{e}{s}\right)^m \text{ where } \frac{s^m k}{s^n} \in U(S^{-1}R) \cap C(S^{-1}R). \text{ This shows that } \frac{r}{s} \text{ is a quasi-semiclean element of } S^{-1}R \text{ and so } S^{-1}R \text{ itself.}$

(2) (\Leftarrow) Let $r \in R$. Then, r + N(R) = (u + N(R)) + (e + N(R)) where $u + N(R) \in U(R/N(R))$, and e + N(R) fulfilles $(e + N(R))^n = (k + N(R))(e + N(R))^m$ where $k + N(R) \in U(R/N(R)) \cap C(R/N(R))$. Since unit elements, and quasi-periodic lift modulo N(R), we see that e is a quasi-periodic element of R and u is unit element of R. Thus, $r - u - e = n \in N(R)$, and so r = (n + u) + e which implies that r is a quasi-semicelan element of R because $n + u \in U(R)$ and so the ring R itself is quasi-semiclean.

(⇒) This follows from Proposition 2.4, since R/N(R) is a homomorphic image of R.

A Morita context is a sixtuple $(R, S, M, N, \eta, \theta)$ where R and S are rings, M and N are (R, S)-bimodule and (S, R)-bimodule respectively, with two bimodule homomorphism $\eta: M \bigotimes_S N \to R$ and $\theta: N \bigotimes_R M \to S$ that satisfies:

 $\eta(m \otimes n)m' = m\theta(n \otimes m'), \theta(n \otimes m)n' = n\eta(m \otimes n').$

We can associate with such Morita context a ring

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ N & S \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ n & s \end{bmatrix} \mid r \in R, m \in M, n \in N, s \in S \right\},\$$

with the usual addition of matrices and a product defined by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ n & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r' & m' \\ n' & s' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} rr' + \eta(m \otimes n') & rm' + ms' \\ nr' + sn' & \theta(n \otimes m') + ss' \end{bmatrix}.$$

The resulting ring is called a Morita context ring.

Proposition 2.13: Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ N & S \end{bmatrix}$ be a Morita context ring where η , $\theta = 0$. If T is a quasi-semiclean ring, then so are R and S.

Proof: Suppose that *T* is quasi-semiclean with η , $\theta = 0$. Define $I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ N & S \end{bmatrix}$ and $J = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ N & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is immediate that *I* and *J* are ideals of *T* with $\frac{T}{I} \cong R$ and $\frac{T}{I} \cong S$ thus *R* and *S* are quasi-semiclean rings by Corollary 2.5.

As an immediate result from Proposition 2.13, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.14: Let *R* and *S* be rings and *M* be an (*R*, *S*)-bimodule. Let $T = \begin{bmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{bmatrix}$ be the formal triangular matrix ring. If *T* is a quasi-semiclean ring, then so are *R* and *S*.

Let *R* be a commutative ring and *M* an *R*-module. The trivial extension of *R* by *M* is a commutative ring denoted by R(M) whose underling set is

$$R(M) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \mid r \in R, m \in M \right\},\$$

with usual matrix addition and multiplication.

Proposition 2.15: Let *R* be a commutative ring and *M* an *R*-module. Then, the trivial extension R(M) is a quasi-semiclean ring if and only if *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose that R(M) is a quasi-semiclean ring and consider the set $I = \{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} | m \in M \}$. We easily recognize I as an ideal of R(M) and additionally that $\frac{R(M)}{I} \cong R$, thus R is a quasi-semiclean ring by Corollary 2.5.

(⇐) Suppose that *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring, and let $\begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} \in R(M)$. By the assumption, we can write r = u + e, where $u \in U(R)$, and *e* fulfills $e^n = ke^m$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u & m \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix},$$

where, $\begin{bmatrix} u & m \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} \in U(R(M))$, and

6

 $\begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix}^n = \begin{bmatrix} e^n & 0 \\ 0 & e^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ke^m & 0 \\ 0 & ke^m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^m & 0 \\ 0 & e^m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix}^m$

so $\begin{bmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$ is a quasi-periodic element of R(M) hence we have a quasi-semiclean decomposition of the chosen element and thus R(M) is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Let *R* be a commutative ring and *M* and *R*-module. Then, Nagata ring is a commutative ring denoted by R(+)M which is the abelian group $R \times M$ with multiplication defined as: $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + r_2m_1)$.

Proposition 2.16: Let *R* be a commutative ring and *M* an *R*-module. Then, the Nagata ring R(+)M is a quasi-semiclean ring if and only if *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Suppose that R(+)M is a quasi-semiclean ring and consider the set 0(+)M. This is clearly an ideal of the ring R(+)M with the additional property that $\frac{R(+)M}{0(+)M} \cong R$, so R is a quasi-semiclean ring by Corollary 2.5.

(⇐) Suppose that *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring. Let $(r, m) \in R(+)M$. By the hypothesis, we write r = u + e, where $u \in U(R)$, and *e* fulfills $e^n = ke^m$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$. It follows that (r, m) = (u + e, m) = (u, m) + (e, 0), which is a quasi-semiclean decomposition by ([8], Theorem 25.1(6)).

Proposition 2.17 Let *R* be a ring with $2 \in U(R)$ and *G* be a group. Then, the following statements hold:

- 1. If $G = C_2$, then *R* is a quasi-clean ring if and only if RC_2 is a quasi-clean ring.
- 2. If $G = C_4$, then *R* is a quais-clean ring if and only if RC_4 is a quasi-clean ring.
- 3. If *R* is a quasi-clean ring and $G = C_{2^k}$, then RC_{2^k} is a quasi-clean ring for all $k \ge 0$

Proof: (1) Suppose that *R* is a quasi-clean ring. Since $2 \in U(R)$, we know, form ([9], Proposition 3), that $RC_2 \cong R \times R$, thus RC_2 is a quasi-clean ring by ([1], Proposition 2.8(5)). The converse part is now clear since *R* is a homomorphic image of *RG* so the statement follows from Proposition 2.4.

(2) As above one direction is clear. Now, suppose that *R* is a quasi-clean ring. Since $2 \in U(R)$, we see form ([10], Lemma 3.3), that

$$RC_4 \cong R \times R \times R[X]/(X^2 + 1).$$

But, again as $2 \in U(R)$, we see that $R[X]/(X^2 + 1) \cong RC_2 \cong R \times R$, thus we have that $RC_4 \cong R \times R \times R \times R$ and the statement follows from ([1], Proposition 2.8(5)).

(3) We know that $RC_{2^k} \cong (RC_k)C_2$, and it suffices to show that RC_2 is a quasi-clean ring which is by (1) and so the statement holds true.

An element *e* of a ring *R* is said to be a quasi-antiperiodic element, if $e^n = -ke^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$.

Theorem 2.18: Let *R* be a ring such that all quasi-periodic and quasi-antiperiodic elements satisfy $e^{2n} = ke$ and $e^{2m} = -k'e$ respectively, where $k, k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$ are arbitrary elements, and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, *R* is a quasi-semiclean ring if and only if every element *r* of *R* can be written as r = u + e where $u \in U(R)$, and *e* is a quasi-antiperiodic element.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Let $r \in R$. Then, -r = u + e, where $u \in U(R)$, and e fulfills $e^{2n} = ke$ for some $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, r = -u + (-e), where $-u \in U(R)$, and we have $(-e)^{2n} = ke = -k(-e)$ so -e is a quasi-antiperiodic element and the statement is satisfied.

(⇐) Let $r \in R$. Then, -r = u + e, where $u \in U(R)$, and e fulfills $e^{2m} = -k'e$ for some $k \in U(R) \cap C(R)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then, r = -u + (-e), where $-u \in U(R)$, and we have $(-e)^{2m} = e^{2m} = -k'e = k'(-e)$ so -e is a quasi-periodic element and the statement is satisfied.

References

[1] Tang, G., Su, H., & Yuan, P. (2023). Quasi-clean rings and strongly quasi-clean rings. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 25(02), 2150079.

[2] Nicholson, W. K. (1977). Lifting idempotents and exchange rings. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 229, 269-278.

[3] Ye, Y. (2003). Semiclean rings. Communications in Algebra, 31(11), 5609-5625.

[4] Fan, L., & Yang, X. (2008). On rings whose elements are the sum of a unit and a root of a fixed polynomial. *Communications in Algebra*, 36(1), 269-278.

[5] El Najjar, A., & Salem, A. (2024). The f(x),g(x)-clean property of rings. International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 19(3), 687-691.

[6] El Najjar, A., & Mohammed, A. S. (2024). Some Properties of Rings of the Type f(x),g(x)-clean. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, *16*(1), 23-28.

[7] Arora, N., & Kundu, S. (2017). Commutative feebly clean rings. Journal of Algebra and its Applications, 16(07), 1750128.

[8] Huckaba, J. A. (1988). Commutative rings with zero divisors. New York.

[9] Han, J., & Nicholson, W. K. (2001). Extensions of clean rings. *Communications in Algebra*, 29(6), 2589-2595.

[10] Yi, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2007). Baer and quasi-Baer properties of group rings. Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 83(2), 285-296.