

Certain Sub-Classes of Harmonic Univalent Functions Associated With the Differential Operator

Fatima K. Manshad^{a,*}, Abdul Rahman S. Juma^b

^a Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq. Email: fat23u2007@uoanbar.edu.iq

^b Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Sciences, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq. Email: eps.abdulrahman.juma@uoanbar.edu.iq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 21/03/2025

Revised form: 11/04/2025

Accepted : 17/04/2025

Available online: 30/06/2025

Keywords:

Harmonic Functions,
univalent Functions,
Sense-Preserving,
extreme Points,
distortion Theorem.

ABSTRACT

In the present study, a subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by a differential operator acting on complex harmonic functions is tackled. A sufficient condition and a representation theorem for the subclass are derived. Some geometric properties associated with it are also investigated, including coefficient bound, extreme points, distortion and convex combinations in connection to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$.

MSC..

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2025.17.22223>

1. Introduction

The \mathcal{Y} denotes the family of continuous complex-valued harmonic functions that are harmonic in open unit disk $\Delta = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. The $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ contains analytic functions in Δ . A harmonic function in Δ is represented by the form $f = h + \bar{g}$, where $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}$. Here, h is referred to as the analytic part, and g is known as the co-analytic part of f . A condition that is both necessary and sufficient for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in Δ is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ (refer to [1]). Hence, without loss of generality, this can be expressed as:

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} a_s z^s, \quad g(z) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s z^s, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (1)$$

The \mathcal{S}_Y denotes the family of sense-preserving, harmonic, and univalent functions $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$ within Δ , satisfying the condition $f_z(0) - 1 = f(0) = 0$. It can be demonstrated that the sense-preserving characteristic implies $|b_1| < 1$. The $\mathcal{S}_Y^0 \subset \mathcal{S}_Y$ encompasses all functions of \mathcal{S}_Y that satisfy the condition $f_z(0) = 0$ (refer to [1]).

*Corresponding author: Fatima K. Manshad

Email addresses: fat23u2007@uoanbar.edu.iq

Communicated by 'sub editor'

The geometric subclass and certain coefficient bounds in the class \mathcal{Y} were examined in [1]. For more foundational outcomes, one may consult the standard introductory textbook [2] and explore additional insights from [3], [4]. Various researchers have unveiled a multitude of compelling findings in several articles, as indicated by references [5], [6], [7] and [8]. Moreover, researchers have extensively examined the related class and its subclasses in [9], [10] and [11].

For a function f in \mathcal{S} , the differential operator \mathcal{D}^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) of f was defined in [11]. For $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1), in [10] the modified Sălăgean operator of f was introduced as :

Drawing inspiration from the preceding studies of [12] and [9], the present study focuses on examining coefficient condition, convex combination, distortion, and extreme points.

$$\mathcal{D}^n f(z) = \mathcal{D}^n h(z) + (-1)^n \overline{\mathcal{D}^n g(z)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}^n h(z) = z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} s^n a_s z^s \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}^n g(z) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s^n b_s z^s.$$

Next, for the functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{H}$ defined in (1), in [9] multiplier transformations were introduced, as the modified multiplier transformation of f is denoted as:

$$I_{\mu,\tau}^0 f(z) = \mathcal{D}^0 f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)},$$

$$I_{\mu,\tau}^1 f(z) = \frac{\mu \mathcal{D}^0 f(z) + \tau \mathcal{D}^1 f(z)}{\mu + \tau} = \frac{\mu (h(z) + \overline{g(z)}) + \tau (h'(z) + \overline{g'(z)})}{\mu + \tau}, \quad (2)$$

$$I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z) = I_{\mu,\tau}^1 (I_{\mu,\tau}^{n-1} f(z)), \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (3)$$

For $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau$. If f is given by (1), then from (2) and (3) we see that

$$I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s z^s + (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n \overline{b_s z^s} \quad (4)$$

Also, as f is given by (1):

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z) &= f * \underbrace{(\Theta_1(z) + \overline{\Theta_2(z)}) * \dots * (\Theta_1(z) + \overline{\Theta_2(z)})}_{n\text{-times}} \\ &= h * \underbrace{(\Theta_1(z) * \dots * \Theta_1(z))}_{n\text{-times}} + \overline{g * \underbrace{(\Theta_2(z) * \dots * \Theta_2(z))}_{n\text{-times}}}, \end{aligned}$$

here " $*$ " represents power series convolution or the Hadamard product and

$$\Theta_1(z) = \frac{(\mu + \tau)z - \mu z^2}{(\mu + \tau)(1 - z)^2}, \quad \Theta_2(z) = \frac{(\mu - \tau)z - \mu z^2}{(\mu + \tau)(1 - z)^2}.$$

The operators examined by various researchers are obtained through the parameter specialization for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$:

- (i) $I_{0,1}^n f(z) = \mathcal{D}^n f(z)$ ([11]);
- (ii) $I_{\lambda}^n f(z)$ ([9]);
- (iii) $I_{1,1}^n f(z) = I^n f(z)$ ([13]) for $f \in \mathcal{Y}$;
- (iv) $I_{\mu,1}^n f(z) = I_{\mu}^n f(z)$ ([10]).

Definition 1.1. For $\rho \neq 0$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\rho| \leq 1$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ denoted the class of harmonic functions f given by (1) satisfying the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{(1 + e^{i\sigma}) I_{\mu, \tau}^{n+1} f(z)}{(1 - \gamma)z + \gamma I_{\mu, \tau}^n f(z)} - e^{i\sigma} - 1 \right) \right\} \geq \beta \quad (5)$$

As $I_{\mu, \tau}^n f(z)$ is defined by (4). Further, by $\overline{\mathcal{S}_Y}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ the subclass of $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ harmonic functions $f_n(z) = h(z) + \overline{g_n(z)}$ so that $h(z)$ and $g_n(z)$ are of the form:

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} a_s z^s, \quad g_n(z) = (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s z^s, \quad a_s, b_s \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

Through the selection of appropriate parameter values, the class $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ is transformed into various subclasses of harmonic univalent functions.

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, \sigma, 0, 1, \gamma, \beta) = \mathcal{G}_Y(\sigma, \gamma, \beta)$ in ([14]).
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, \sigma, 0, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{G}_Y(\beta)$ in ([15]).
- (i) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0) = \mathcal{SH}_Y^*(0)$ in ([16], [17], [18]).
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{SH}_Y^*(\beta)$ in ([19]).
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, 1, 0, n, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{SH}_Y(\mu, n, \beta)$ in ([20]).
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, 0, n, 1, 1, 0) = \mathcal{HK}_Y(0)$ in ([16], [17], [18]).
- (i) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{HK}_Y(\beta)$ in ([19]).
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, 0, n, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{H}_Y(n, \beta)$ in ([4]).
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_Y(0, 1, \sigma, n, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{RS}_Y(n, \beta)$ in ([21]).
- (iv) $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, 1, \sigma, n, 1, 1, \beta) = \mathcal{RS}_Y(\mu, n, \beta)$ in ([22]).

$\mathcal{S}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta) = \mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta) \cap \mathcal{S}_Y^0$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}_Y^0}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta) = \overline{\mathcal{S}_Y}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta) \cap \mathcal{S}_Y^0$ is defined.

2. The Coefficient Condition

In this section, we demonstrate the sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ as indicated by the following result.

Theorem 2.1. If $f = h + \bar{g}$ such that h and g are defined by (1) with $b_1 = 0$. Moreover:

$$\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |a_s| + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |b_s| \leq (1 - \beta) \quad (7)$$

As: $\rho \neq 0$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\rho| \leq 1, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \tau/2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\frac{\mu}{\mu + \tau} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\tau}{\mu + \tau}$. Then f is sense-preserving, harmonic univalent in unit disk Δ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$.

Proof. If z_1 and z_2 are two distinct points then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} b_s (z_1^s - z_2^s)}{(z_1 - z_2) - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} a_s (z_1^s - z_2^s)} \right| > 1 - \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} s |b_s|}{1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} s |a_s|} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{[2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)] - \gamma\rho(1 - \beta)] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} |b_s|}{1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)] + \gamma\rho(1 - \beta)] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} |a_s|} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This shows univalent. f is sense-preserving in unit disk Δ because,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h'(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} s|a_s| |z|^{s-1} \\
 &> 1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |a_s| \\
 &\geq \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |b_s| \\
 &> \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} s|b_s| |z|^{s-1} \\
 &\geq |g'(z)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the given condition that $|1 - \beta + \omega| \geq |1 + \beta + \omega|$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) \geq \beta$, it is sufficient to demonstrate that $|1 - \beta + \omega| - |1 + \beta + \omega| \geq 0$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\gamma(2\rho - \rho\beta - 1 - e^{i\sigma})I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z) + (1 + e^{i\sigma})I_{\mu,\tau}^{n+1} f(z) + (1 - \gamma)(2\rho - \rho\beta - 1 - e^{i\sigma})z| \\
 &\quad - |\gamma(1 + \rho\beta + e^{i\sigma})I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z) - (1 + e^{i\sigma})I_{\mu,\tau}^{n+1} f(z) + (1 - \gamma)(1 + \rho\beta + e^{i\sigma})z| \geq 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Substituting for $I_{\mu,\tau}^n f(z)$ and $I_{\mu,\tau}^{n+1} f(z)$ in (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \gamma(2\rho - \rho\beta - (1 + e^{i\sigma})) \left(z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s z^s + (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n \overline{b_s z^s} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (1 + e^{i\sigma}) \left(z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^{n+1} a_s z^s + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^{n+1} \overline{b_s z^s} \right) + (1 - \gamma)(2\rho - \rho\beta - 1 - e^{i\sigma})z \right| \\
 &\quad - \left| \gamma(1 + \rho\beta + e^{i\sigma}) \left(z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s z^s + (-1)^n \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n \overline{b_s z^s} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (1 + e^{i\sigma}) \left(z + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^{n+1} a_s z^s + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^{n+1} \overline{b_s z^s} \right) + (1 - \gamma)(1 + \rho\beta + e^{i\sigma})z \right| \\
 &\geq 2(1 - \beta)|z| - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(2 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |a_s| |z|^s \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(2 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |b_s| |z|^s \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho\beta \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |a_s| |z|^s \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho\beta \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |b_s| |z|^s \\
 &\geq 2(1 - \beta)|z| \left\{ \begin{aligned} &1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |a_s| \\ &\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n |b_s| \end{aligned} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The final result is non-negative by (7), thus concluding the demonstration.

Theorem 2.2. If $f_n = h + \overline{g_n}$ defined by (6) with $b_1 = 0$. Then $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_Y}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n b_s \leq (1 - \beta)
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

As: $\rho \neq 0$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\rho| \leq 1, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \tau/2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\frac{\mu}{\mu + \tau} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\tau}{\mu + \tau}$.

Proof. since $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta) \subset \mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. then the " if " part follows from Theorem 2.1 not that if the functions h and g in $f = h + \bar{g} \in \mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ are given in (6) then $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. For the " only if " part, by contradiction, $f \notin \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ if the condition (5) dose not hold.

Thus:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta)z - \left(\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s z^s + \right)}{1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s z^s + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n b_s \bar{z}^s} \right\} \geq 0.$$

The above condition satisfies all values of $|z| = r < 1$. By choosing z on the positive real axis ($0 \leq z = r < 1$),:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-\beta) - \left(\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s r^{s-1} + \right)}{1 - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n a_s r^{s-1} + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n b_s r^{s-1}} \right\} \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

If the condition (9) is not satisfied, the numerator in (10) is negative. This contradicts with $f \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. Here, the proof is complete.

3. Extreme points

To examine the extreme points of the function $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$, we utilize the coefficient condition obtained in Section 2.

Theorem 3.1. Let f_n by given by (2) then $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ if and only if

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (x_s h_s(z) + y_s g_{n_s}(z)),$$

$$\text{where, } h_1(z) = z, \quad h_s(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} z^s,$$

$$\text{and } g_{n_1}(z) = z, \quad g_{n_s}(z) = z + (-1)^n \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} \bar{z}^s,$$

$$x_s \geq 0, y_s \geq 0, \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (x_s + y_s) = 1, \rho \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{with} \quad |\rho| \leq 1, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \tau/2, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ \frac{\mu}{\mu + \tau} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\tau}{\mu + \tau} \text{ and } (s = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Specially, the extreme points of $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ are $\{h_s\}$ and $\{g_{n_s}\}$.

Proof. From (6), for functions f_n as:

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{X}_s h_s(z) + \mathcal{Y}_s g_{n_s}(z)) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{X}_s + \mathcal{Y}_s) z - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} \mathcal{X}_s z^s \\ + (-1) \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} \mathcal{Y}_s \overline{z^s}.$$

Then:

$$\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} \right) \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} \mathcal{X}_s \right) \\ + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} \right) \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n} \mathcal{Y}_s \right) \\ = \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_s + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_s = 1 - \mathcal{X}_1 - \mathcal{Y}_1 \leq 1$$

and so $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. Conversely, if $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$, then:

$$a_s \leq \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}$$

$$\text{and } b_s \leq \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_s = \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} a_s, \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_s = \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma \rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} b_s, \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots),$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_1 = 1 - \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{X}_s + \mathcal{Y}_s),$$

As: $\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{Y}_s \geq 0$. Then, as necessary, we obtain

$$f_n(z) = (\mathcal{X}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_1) z + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_s h_s(z) + \mathcal{Y}_s g_{n_s}(z) \\ = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{X}_s h_s(z) + \mathcal{Y}_s g_{n_s}(z)).$$

4. Distortion and Convex Combination

The Theorem outlined below demonstrates that the $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ remains invariant under distortion and convex combinations of its numbers.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$ and $\rho \neq 0$, $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, with $|\rho| \leq 1$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\frac{\mu}{\mu+\tau} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\tau}{\mu+\tau}$ we have

$$|f_n(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\frac{2[(2\tau+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{2\tau+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n} r^2$$

and

$$|f_n(z)| \geq r - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\frac{2[(2\tau+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{2\tau+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n} r^2$$

Proof. To establish the validity of the left-hand side, we assume that $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(z)| &\leq r + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} (a_s + b_s) r^s \\ &\leq r + \frac{(1-\beta)r^2}{\left[\frac{2[(2\tau+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{2\tau+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n} \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} a_s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s-\mu)+\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} - \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s-\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n}{1-\beta} b_s \right\} \\ &\leq r + \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\frac{2[(2\tau+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{2\tau+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n} r^2 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the validity of the right-hand is demonstrated.

Corollary 4.2. Let f_n of type (6) be so that, $f_n \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$, where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $0 \leq \mu \leq \tau/2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\frac{\mu}{\mu+\tau} \leq \beta \leq \frac{\tau}{\mu+\tau}$. then

$$\left\{ w: |w| < 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\frac{2[(2\tau+\mu)-\gamma(\mu+\tau)]}{\mu+\tau} + \gamma\rho(1-\beta) \right] \left(\frac{2\tau+\mu}{\mu+\tau} \right)^n} \right\} \subset f_n(\Delta).$$

Theorem 4.3. The class $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ is closed under convex combinations.

Proof. Let $f_{n_i} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_Y^0(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$ for $(i = 1, 2, \dots)$ is given by:

$$f_{n_t}(z) = z - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} a_{s_t} z^s + (-1) \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} b_{s_t} \bar{z}^s.$$

Then by (9),

$$\sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} a_{s_t} + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} b_{s_t} \leq 1. \quad (11)$$

For $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t = 1$, $0 < q_t < 1$, we express the convex combination of f_{n_t} as follows

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t f_{n_t}(z) = z - \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t a_{s_t} \right) z^s + (-1) \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t b_{s_t} \right) \bar{z}^s.$$

Then by (11),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t a_{s_t} \right) \\ & + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t b_{s_t} \right) \\ & = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t \left\{ \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s + \mu) - \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} + \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s + \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} a_{s_t} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\frac{2[(\tau s - \mu) + \gamma(\mu + \tau)]}{\mu + \tau} - \gamma\rho(1 - \beta) \right] \left(\frac{\tau s - \mu}{\mu + \tau} \right)^n}{1 - \beta} b_{s_t} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} q_t = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is the condition required by (9) and so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i f_{n_i}(z) \in \overline{\mathcal{S}_Y^0}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$.

5. Conclusion

This article introduces a subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by linear operator $I_{\mu, \tau}^n f(z)$. Furthermore, various intriguing outcomes are examined, such as the coefficient bound for the subclass $\overline{\mathcal{S}_Y^0}(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$. Subsequently, geometric properties pertaining to the considered function, including coefficient bound, extreme points, distortion and convex combinations in connection to the subclass $\mathcal{S}_Y(\mu, \tau, \sigma, n, \rho, \gamma, \beta)$.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the reviewers and editors for their valuable feedback and suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, "Harmonic univalent functions," *Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Mathematica*, vol. 9, pp. 3-25, 1984.
- [2] P. Duren, "Harmonic mappings in the plane," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2004.
- [3] O. P. Ahuja, "Planar harmonic univalent and related mappings," *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1-18, 2005.
- [4] J. M. Jahangiri, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and K. Vijaya, "Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions," *South J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 77-82, 2002.
- [5] A. R. S. Juma and L. I. Cotˆirla, "On harmonic univalent function defined by generalized Salagean derivatives," *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, vol. 23, pp. 179-188, 2010.
- [6] Z. S. Ghali and A. K. Wanas, "Some Characteristics Properties for Linear Operator on Class of Multivalent Analytic Functions Defined by Differential Subordination," *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 36-43, 2024.

- [7] Q. A. Shakir, F. M. Sakar, "On Third-Order Differential Subordination and Superordination Properties of Analytic Functions Defined by Tayyah-Atshan Fractional Integral Operator" *Advances in Nonlinear Variational Inequalities*. (2025). <https://doi.org/10.52783/anvi.v28.2528>.
- [8] A. M. Rashid and A. R. S. Juma, "A class of harmonic univalent functions defined by the q -derivative operator," *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 2713-2722, 2022.
- [9] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, "Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 399-410, 2003.
- [10] J. M. Jahangiri, "Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 235, no. 2, pp. 470-477, 1999.
- [11] G. S. Salagean, "Subclasses of univalent functions," in *Complex Analysis – Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 362-372. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983.
- [12] H. Bayram and S. Yalçın, "A subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by a linear operator," *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 6, Special Issue: II, pp. 320-326, 2017.
- [13] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, "Certain classes of univalent functions," in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, pp. 371-374, 1992.
- [14] S. Yalçın, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, and K. Vijaya, "Inclusion results on subclasses of harmonic univalent functions associated with Pascal distribution series," *Palestine J. Math*, vol. 11, pp. 267-275, 2022.
- [15] T. Rosy, B. A. Stephen, K. G. Subramanian, and J. M. Jahangiri, "Goodman-Ronning-type harmonic univalent functions," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 45, 2001.
- [16] Y. Avci, "On harmonic univalent mappings," *Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A*, vol. 44, pp. 1-7, 1991.
- [17] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, "Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions," *New Zealand Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, pp. 275-284, 1999.
- [18] H. Silverman, "Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 220, no. 1, pp. 283-289, 1998.
- [19] J. M. Jahangiri, "Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disk," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 235, no. 2, pp. 470-477, 1999.
- [20] E. Yasar and S. Yalçın, "Certain properties of a subclass of harmonic functions," *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1749-1753, 2013.
- [21] S. Yalçın, M. Öztürk, and M. Yamankaradeniz, "On the subclass of Salagean-type harmonic univalent functions," *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1-9, 2007.
- [22] R. Kumar, S. Gupta, and S. U. K. H. J. I. T. Singh, "A class of univalent harmonic functions defined by multiplier transformation," *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 371-382, 2012.