Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

Page 20-27

Right Closed Multiplication sets in Prime Near-Ring (α, β) -Derivation

G. H. Mousa Ameer Mohammad Husain University of Kufa- College of Mathematics and Computer Sciences-Department of Mathematics

Abstract

In this paper, we extended the concept given in [1] which they used a semi group ideal to get the result has been given in [2]. We introduce a new body which is call it a right closed multiplicative set with zero. This structure give use similar results, and any semi group ideal satisfy the conditions of right closed multiplicative set. We prove that for any prime near-ring N and a multiplicative set I, if I, is abelian, then N is abelian. These results depends on many papers for example [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8].

1.Introduction

In this section we introduce a necessary conditions and definitions to get our results .

Definition(1.1)[1]

An additive mapping $D: N \to N$ is said to be derivation on N if D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y for all $x, y \in N$.

Notation(1.2)[1]

In this paper N will be denoted a left near-ring with multiplicative center Z(N), the symbol [x, y] will denote the commutator xy - yx, the symbol (x, y) will denote the additive commutator x + y - y - x, $[x, y]_{\alpha,\beta}$ will denote the (α,β) -commutator $\beta(x)y - y\alpha(x)$ and a near-ring N is called a zero symmetric if 0x = 0, for all $x \in N$.

Definition(1.3)[1]

An additive mapping $D: N \to N$ is called a (α, β) -derivation if there exists "as automorphisms" $\alpha, \beta: N \to N$ such that $D(xy) = \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Definition(1.4)[1]

The (α, β) -derivation *D* will be called (α, β) -commuting if $[x, D(x)]_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ for all $x \in N$.

Definition(1.5)[1]

A near-ring N is said to be prime if aNb = 0 implies that a = 0 or b = 0. Further an element $x \in N$ for which D(x) = 0 is called a constant.

Definition (1.6)

A subset I of a near-ring N is called a right closed multiplication set contain zero, if $NI \subseteq I$. We will use right closed multiplication set contain zero (RCM) for this set.

2.Main result

In this section, we give some results which depend on section one.

Lemma(2.1)

An additive endomorphism D on a near-ring N is (α,β) -derivation if and only if $D(xy) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Proof

 (α, β) – derivation on Let D be a near-ring **N** . Since a x(y+y) = xy + xy we obtain, $D(x(y+y)) = \beta(x)D(y+y) + D(x)\alpha(y+y)$ $= \beta(x)D(y) + \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y) + D(x)\alpha(y)...(2.1)$ for all $x, y \in N$, on the other hand , we have ; D(xy + xy) = D(xy) + D(xy) $= \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y)\dots(2.2)$ for $x, y \in N$, combining and (2.2) , (2.1)we find all for all $x, y \in N$. Thus $\beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)$ have $D(xy) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)$. , we Conversely, let $D(xy) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)$(2.3) for

all
$$x, y \in N$$
, then;

$$D(x(y+y)) = D(x)\alpha(y+y) + \beta(x)D(y+y)$$

$$= D(x)\alpha(y) + D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y) + \beta(x)D(y)...(2.4)$$

for all x, $y \in N$. Also ;

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

D(xy + xy) = D(xy) + D(xy)= $D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y)....(2.5)$ for all $x, y \in N$ combining (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain . $D(xy) = D(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)D(y) = \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y)$. Thus $D(xy) = \beta(x)D(y) + D(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in N$.

Lemma(2.2)

Let N be a prime near-ring and I be a non zero RCM. If (I, +) is a abelian then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof

Let $x, y \in N$ and $a \in I$, then $xa, ya \in I$. So xa + ya = ya + xa. Then we get (x + y - y - x)a = 0 for all $a \in I$ and $x, y \in N$. This means that (x + y - y - x)I = (x + y - y - x)NI = 0 because I is a non zero RCM set. Since N is a prime near-ring we have x + y - y - x = 0for all $x, y \in N$. Thus (N, +) is abelian.

Lemma(2.3)

Let N be a prime near-ring and I be a RCM set of N. (i)- If z is a non zero element in Z(N), then z is not zero divisor. (ii)- If there exist a non zero element z of Z(N) such that $z + z \in Z(N)$, then (I, +) is abelian.

Proof

(i) – If $z \in Z(N) - \{0\}$, and zx = 0 for some $x \in I$. Left multiplying this equation by b, where $b \in N$, we get bzx = 0. Since N is multiplicative with center Z(N), we get zbx = 0, for all $b \in N$, $x \in I$. Hence, zNx = 0. Since N is a prime near-ring and z is a non zero element, we get x = 0. $z \in Z(N) - \{0\}$, such $z + z \in Z(N)$, that (ii) - Let and let (x+y)(z+z) = (z+z)(x+y). $x, y \in I$ then Hence . xz + xz + yz + yz = zx + zy + zx + zy since $z \in Z(N)$, we get zx + zy = zy + zx. Thus, z(x + y - x - y) = 0 then be (i) $z \neq 0$ we get (x, y) = 0 hence (I, +) is abelian.

Lemma (2.4)

Let *D* be a non zero (α, β) -derivation on a prime near-ring *N* and *I* be a non zero RCM set of *N*. such that $\alpha(I) = I$ and $\beta(I) = I$, let $x \in I$ then :

(i)- If $\beta(x)D(I) = 0$ then x = 0. (ii)- If xD(I) = 0 then x = 0.

Proof

(i)- For $a, b \in I$, we have $\beta(x)D(ab) = 0$, so $\beta(x)(\beta(a)D(b) + D(a)\alpha(b)) = 0$, to get $\beta(x)\beta(a)D(b) + \beta(a)D(a)\alpha(b) = 0$, the second summand in this equation equal zero by the hypothesis, so get $\beta(x)\beta(a)D(b) = 0$, for $a, b, x \in I \cdot \beta(I) = I$, we get $\beta(x)ID(b) = 0$, since I is a RCM set of N, we get $\beta(x)NID(b) = 0$. Since N is a prime near-ring, I is a non zero RCM set of N, D is a non zero (α, β) -derivation of N, we get $\beta(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I$. Since $\beta(I) = I$, thus get x = 0.

(ii)- For all $a, b \in I$, we get xD(ab) = 0. Thus $x\beta(a)D(b) + xD(a)\alpha(b) = 0$, the second summand in this equation equal zero by the hypothesis and I is a RCM set of N, we get $x\beta(a)D(b) = 0$, for all $x, a, b \in I$. But $\beta(I) = I$, then xID(b) = 0, this means that xNID(I) = 0. By the same way in (i) we get x = 0.

Lemma(2.5)

Let D be (α, β) -derivation on a near-ring N and I be a RCM set of N such that $\alpha(I) = I$ and $\beta(I) = I$. Suppose $u \in I$ is a not a left zero divisor. If [u, D(u)] = 0, then (x, u) is a constant for every $x \in I$.

Proof

From $u(u + x) = u^2 + ux$, apply *D* for both sided to have $D(u(u + x)) = D(u^2 + ux)$. Expanding this equation, to have $D(u(u + x)) = \beta(u)D(u + x) + D(u)\alpha(u + x)$

$$= \beta(u)D(u) + \beta(u)D(u) + D(u)\alpha(u) + D(u)\alpha(x) \quad \text{and}$$

$$D(u^{2} + ux) = D(u^{2}) + D(ux)$$

= $\beta(u)D(u) + D(u)\alpha(u) + \beta(u)D(u) + D(u)\alpha(u)$, for all
 $u, x \in I$. since $D(u(u + x)) = D(u^{2} + ux)$ which reduces to

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

 $\begin{aligned} \beta(u)D(x) + D(u)\alpha(u) &= D(u)\alpha(u) + \beta(u)D(x) , \text{ for all } u, x \in I . \text{ By} \\ \text{using the hypothesis } [u, D(u)] &= 0 , \text{ this equation is expressible as} \\ \beta(u)\left(\left(D(x) + D(u) - D(x) - D(u)\right)\right) &= 0 = \beta(u)D((x, u)) . \end{aligned}$ so $\beta(I) &= I , uD((x, u)) = 0 .$ From u is not a left zero divisor, we get D((x, u)) = 0. Thus, (x, u) is a constant for every $x \in I$.

Proposition(2.6)

Let N be a near-ring and I is a RCM set of N have no non zero divisors of zero. If N admits a non zero (α, β) –derivation on D which is commuting on I, then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof

Let c be any additive commutator in I. Then, by lemma (2.5), yields constant. Now for any $x \in I$, cx is also that *c* is a an additive *I*. Hence , also a constant commutator in Thus . $0 = D(cx) = \beta(c)D(x) + D(c)\alpha(x) \quad \text{. Second}$ summand in this equation equal zero, we get $\beta(c)D(x) = 0$, for all $x \in I$ and an additive *c* in *I*. By lemma (2.4) (i), we get c = 0 for all additive commutator c in I. Hence, (I, +) is abelian. By lemma (2.2), we get commutator (N, +) is abelian.

Lemma (2.7)

Let N be a prime near-ring, I be a non zero RCM set of N and D be a non zero (α, β) -derivation on N, $\beta(I) = I$. If D((x, y)) = 0, for all x, y $\in I$, then (I, +) is abelian.

Proof

Suppose that D((x,y)) = 0, for all $x, y \in I$. Taking xu instead of x and yu instead of y, where $u \in I$ we get $0 = D((xu, yu)) = D((x, y)u) = \beta((x, y))D(u) + D((x, y))\alpha(u)$, for all $x, y, u \in I$. By the hypothesis have $\beta((x, y))D(u) = 0$, for all $x, y, u \in I$. Hence, $\beta((x, y))D(I) = 0$. Using lemma (2.4) (i), to get (x, y) = 0, for all $x, y \in I$. Thus, (I, +) is abelian.

lemma (2.8)

Let N be a prime near-ring and I be a non zero RCM set of N. If I is a commutative then N is a commutative near-ring.

Proof

For all $a, b \in I$, a, b = b, a we get a, b - b, a = 0, since, a.b-b.a = [a,b] = 0 so [a,b] = 0. Taking xa instead of a and yb $x, y \in N$ b instead where of . . to get 0 = [xa, yb] = xayb - ybxa = xyab - yxab = [x, y]ab, for all $a, b \in I$ and x, y $\in N$. Thus, $[x, y]ab = [x, y]I^2 = 0$. Since $NI \subseteq I$, we get $[x, y]NI^2 = 0$, for all x, y $\in N$. Since N is a prime near-ring and I is a non get [x, y] = 0, for all $x, y \in N$. Hence, N zero, we is a commutative near-ring.

Lemma(2.9)

Let N be a prime near-ring admits, a non zero (α, β) -derivation D and I be a RCM set of N. If $D(I) \subseteq Z(N)$ then (I, +) is abelian.

Proof

Since $D(I) \subseteq Z(N)$ and D is a non zero (α, β) -derivation. There exists a non zero element x in I, such that $z = D(x) \in Z(N) - \{0\}$ so $z + z = D(x) + D(x) = D(x + x) \in Z(N)$. Hence (I, +) is abelian by lemma((2.3) (ii)).

Corollary (2.10)

Let N be a prime near-ring admits a non zero (α, β) -derivation and I be a RCM set of N. If $D(I) \subseteq Z(N)$ then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof

Using lemma (2.9), to have (I, +) abelian, then using lemma (2.2), we get (N, +) is abelian.

Proposition (2.11)

Let N be a prime near-ring admitting a non zero (α, β) -derivation D such that $\beta D = D\beta$, I be a RCM set of N such that $\beta(I) = I$ and β is homomorphism on N. If [D(I), D(I)] = 0, then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof

[D(I), D(I)] = 0, for all $x, y, t \in I$ we have By the hypothesis D(t+t)D(x+y) = D(x+y)D(t+t)Hence D(t)D(x) + D(t)D(y) - D(x)D(t) - D(y)D(t) = 0. By application the in this hypothesis equation we get, (D(x) + D(y) - D(x) - D(y))D(t) = (D(x + y - x - y))D(t) = 0then D((x, y))D(t) = 0, for all $x, y, t \in I$. Since β is homomorphism get $\beta(D((x, y)))\beta(D(t)) = 0$. By using $\beta D = D\beta$, obtain on N. we $\beta(D((x,y)))D(\beta(t)) = 0$, for all $x, y, t \in I$. Using lemma ((2.4)(i)). Obtain D((x, y)) = 0, for all $x, y \in I$. Then using lemma (2.7), we get (I, +) is abelian. So using lemma (2.2), to get (N, +) is abelian.

References

[1] A. H. Majeed , Hiba, A. A , 2009 , Semi group Ideal in Prime Near-Ring with (α, β) –Derivations , Baghdad University, 368-372 .

[2] Mohammad. A , Asma. A and Shakir. A , 2004 , (α,β) –Derivations on prime near –ring , Tomus , 40 , 281-286.

[3] Bell, H. E. 1997, On derivations in near-ring II , Kluwer Academic Publishers Netherlands , 191-197.

[4] Bell, H. E. and Daif , M. N. , 1995 ,On derivation and commutatively in prime rings , Acta .Math. Hungar . 66 , No . 4 , 337-343.

[5] Posner, E.C.1957, Derivations in Prime ring . Proc. Amer . Math. Soc. 8 , 1093-1100.

[6] Pliz . G , 1983 , Near-ring 2^{nd} Ed , North-Holland , Amsterdam .

[7] Argac , N. 2004 , On near-ring with two sided $\alpha\text{-derivation}$, Turk. J. Math. , 28, 195-204.

[8] Wang , X . K. , 1994 , Derivations in prime near-ring . Proceedings of American Mathematical Society, 121 , no. 2 , 361-366.

 (α, β) المجموعات المغلقة بالضرب من اليمين في الحلقة المقتربة الأولية مع الاشتقاق

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث ، عملنا على تعميم الفكرة في البحث المنشور في [1] ، حيث استفادوا من تعريف المثاليات الأولية للحصول على النتائج التي ظهرت في [2] بينما نحن استحدثنا تعريف ذو شروط اقل و يقوم بنفس المهمة في النتائج و برهنا تلك النتائج . إن التعريف الذي استدلينا علية و أسميناه المجموعة المغلقة على عملية الضرب من اليمين و تحتوي على الصفر . واهتدينا إلى النتيجة الرئيسية التي تتص على أن الحلقة المقترب الأولية N تكون أبدالية مع الجمع أذا احتوت على مجموعه مغلقة من اليمين تعريف يتص تحتوي على المنائج التي عليه الميان التي تتص المخلقة على عملية الضرب من اليمين و تحتوي على الصفر . واهتدينا إلى النتيجة الرئيسية التي تتص على أن الحلقة المقترب الأولية N تكون أبدالية مع الجمع أذا احتوت على مجموعه مغلقة من اليمين تحتوي على أن الحلقة المقترب الأولية N تكون أبدالية مع الجمع أذا احتوت على مجموعه منها [3] ، [4] ، تحتوي على أن الحلق المقترب الأولية الا تكون أبدالية مع الجمع أذا احتوت على مجموعه منها إلى النيمين اليمين اليمين المين المعن الموالي المع الموالي المعالي الموالي الموالي الموالية الموالية المقترب الأولية الائيسية التي معلية الحمون أبدالية مع الجمع أذا احتوت على مجموعه منا اليمين المولي الحقوي على الصفر . [3] ، [4] ، [5] ، [6] ، [7] ، [8] .