Page 59-70

On Some Relationships Between Spectral Sequences And The New Exact Sequences

Dheia Gaze Salih Al-Khafajy Department of Mathematics College of Computer Science and Mathematics Al-Qadisiya University

Abstract

In this paper I introduce and study relationships between spectral sequences and the new exact sequences . I will show that the new exact sequence is the second derived exact couple .

Let



be an exact couple , we derive 3 to get the second exact couple $3^1 = NES$ which is the new exact sequence . the process of derivation can

be iterated indefinitely, yielding an infinite sequence of exact couples .

I establish some results ,for examples ;

The class of all exact couples and morphisms between these couples formed a category $\mathfrak{T} = (\mathfrak{Z}, \mathcal{F})$. There is a functor from the category of cw - complexes into \mathfrak{T} .

If $K \equiv L$ (are cw - complexes), then $\Im^{r}(K) \cong \Im^{r}(L) \quad \forall r, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. If K be any (n-1) - connected complex, then

 $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^r = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall r \& \forall p, p = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1 \text{ , and } \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r \cong \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \quad \forall r.$

Introduction

In this work I introduce and study relationships between spectral sequences and the new exact sequences (in short NES) introduced in [D]. I mean by the term " complex " in the sequel a " connected cw-complex ", see [H].

This work contains two sections ; in first section , I construct a spectral sequence from exact couples and I construct a categories whose objects are exact couples and morphisms between these couples .

In second section ,I establish some results about our work , some of these results are purely algebraic and others depend on the topology of space , for examples ;

If **K** be any (n-1) - connected complex, then $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^r = 0 \quad \forall r \& \forall p, p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, and $\mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r \cong \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \quad \forall r$.

Section 1_(Construction)

Let K be a cw-complex. For each integer p and q, let $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}$ be $\pi_{p+q}(K^p, K^{p-1})$ if $q \ge -p$ and zero otherwise, and $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}$ be $\pi_{p+q}(K^p)$ if $q \ge -p$ and zero otherwise.

Consider the following sequence which is known to be exact , see [D] ,

$$\cdots \to \pi_{p+q}(K^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{i_{p,q}} \pi_{p+q}(K^p) \xrightarrow{j_{p,q}} \pi_{p+q}(K^p, K^{p-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{p,q}} \pi_{p+q-1}(K^{p-1}) \to \cdots$$

which forms a first exact couple,



From this exact couple, we construct a second exact couple, which is itself the new exact sequence (NES), (see [D]), by taking

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^{1} = \frac{\ker d_{p,q}}{im d_{p-1,q}} \quad (=\mathcal{H}_{p,q})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^{1} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_{p,q}}{im \partial_{p+1,q}} \quad (=\aleph_{p,q})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^{1} = \ker j_{p,q} \quad (=\mathcal{L}_{p,q})$$

where $d_{p,q} = j_{p-1,q} \circ \partial_{p,q}$. and



It is easy to see that the NES is an exact sequence, (for details see [D])

Hence we have a second exact couple ;

where



Now, we will construct a third exact couple .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Let} & d_{p,q}^1 \ = \ j^1 \circ \partial^1 \colon \mathcal{E}^1_{p,q} \to \mathcal{E}^1_{p-1,q} \ . \\ \text{It is clear that} & d^1 \circ d^1 = 0 \ , \ \text{implies that} \ \mathcal{E}^1 \ \text{is a chain complex} \ . \end{array}$

Denote

$$\mathcal{E}^{2} = \frac{ker.d^{1}}{im.d^{1}}$$
$$\mathcal{C}^{2} = ker.j^{1} = im.i^{1}$$
$$\mathcal{C}^{2} = \frac{\mathcal{C}^{1}}{ker.i^{1}}$$

Define

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} i^2: \ \mathcal{C}^2 \to \mathcal{C}^2 & by \quad i^2(x) = [x], & \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^2 \\ j^2: \ \mathcal{C}^2 \to \mathcal{E}^2 & by \quad j^2([y]) = [j^1(y)], \quad \forall [y] \in \mathcal{C}^2, \\ \partial^2: \ \mathcal{E}^2 \to \mathcal{C}^2 & by \quad \partial^2([z]) = \partial^1(z), \quad \forall [z] \in \mathcal{E}^2. \end{array}$$

Remark 1.1

The homomorphisms i^2 , j^2 and ∂^2 are well defined.

To prove j^2 is well defined; Let $[y] \in C^2 = \frac{C^1}{ker.i^1}$, $y \in C^1 \implies j^1(y) \in \mathcal{E}^1$ $\implies d^1(j^1(y)) = j^1 \circ \partial^1(j^1(y)) = 0$ $\implies j^1(y) \in ker.d^1 \implies [j^1(y)] \in \mathcal{E}^2$ Now, suppose $[y_1] = [y_2]$ $\implies y_1 - y_2 \in ker.i^1 = im.\partial^1$ $\implies \exists x \in \mathcal{E}^1$ such that $\partial^1(x) = y_1 - y_2$ $\implies j^1(y_1 - y_2) = j^1\partial^1(x) \in im.d^1 \implies [j^1(y_1)] = [j^1(y_2)]$.

To prove
$$\partial^2$$
 is well defined;
Let $[z] \in \mathcal{E}^2 = \frac{\ker d^1}{im d^1}$, $z \in \ker d^1$
 $\Rightarrow 0 = d^1(z) = j^1 \circ \partial^1(z) \Rightarrow \partial^1(z) \in \ker j^1 = im i^1 = C^2$.
Now, suppose $[z_1] = [z_2]$
 $\Rightarrow z_1 - z_2 \in im d^1$, $\Rightarrow \exists y \in \mathcal{E}^1$ such that $d^1(y) = z_1 - z_2$
 $\Rightarrow \partial^1(z_1 - z_2) = \partial^1(d^1(y)) = \partial^1(j^1 \circ \partial^1(y)) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \partial^1(z_1) = \partial^1(z_2)$.

And it is clear that i^2 is well defined

Theorem 1.2



The diagram

is an exact couple.

proof

First, to prove ; $im.j^2 = ker.\partial^2$. Let $a \in C^2$, a = [x], where $x \in C^1$ $\partial^2 (j^2(a)) = \partial^2 ([j^1(x)]) = \partial^1 (j^1(x)) = 0$, $\Rightarrow im.j^2 \subseteq ker.\partial^2$. Let $b \in \mathcal{E}^2$, b = [z], where $z \in ker.d^1$ assume that $\partial^2 (b) = 0 \Rightarrow \partial^1 (z) = 0 \Rightarrow z \in ker.\partial^1 = im.j^1$ $\Rightarrow z = j^1(y)$ for some $y \in C^1 \Rightarrow [y] \in C^2$

so we let $j^2([y]) = [j^1(y)] = [z] = b \implies ker.\partial^2 \subseteq im.j^2$.

Second, to prove; $im.i^2 = ker.j^2$. Let $a \in C^2$, a = [x], where $x \in C^1$ assume $j^2(a) = 0 \implies [j^1(x)] = 0$ in $\mathcal{E}^2 = \frac{ker.d^1}{im.d^1}$ $\Rightarrow j^1(x) \in im.d^1$ so that $d^1(y) = j^1(x)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{E}^1$ thus $j^1\partial^1(y) = j^1(x) \implies (x - \partial^1(y)) \in ker.j^1 = im.i^1 = C^2$ so we let $i^2(x - \partial^1(y)) = [x] = a \implies ker.j^2 \subseteq im.i^2$. Let $x \in C^2 = im.i^1 = ker.j^1$ $\Rightarrow j^2(i^2(x)) = j^2([x]) = [j^1(x)] = 0 \implies im.i^2 \subseteq ker.j^2$.

Final, to prove;
$$im.\partial^2 = ker.i^2$$
.
Let $b \in \mathcal{E}^2$, $b = [z]$, where $z \in ker.d^1$
 $\Rightarrow i^2(\partial^2(b)) = i^2(\partial^2([z])) = i^2(\partial^1(z)) = 0 \Rightarrow im.\partial^2 \subseteq ker.i^2$.
Let $x \in \mathcal{C}^2 = im.i^1 = ker.j^1$
assume $i^2(x) = [x] = 0$ in $\mathcal{C}^2 = \frac{\mathcal{C}^1}{ker.i^1}$
 $\Rightarrow x \in ker.i^1 = im.\partial^1 \Rightarrow \partial^1(y) = x$, for some $y \in \mathcal{E}^1$
so $d^1(y) = j^1\partial^1(y) = j^1(x) = 0 \Rightarrow y \in ker.d^1$
Let $[y] \in \mathcal{E}^2 \Rightarrow \partial^2([y]) = \partial^1(y) = x \Rightarrow x \in im.\partial^2$
 $\Rightarrow ker.i^2 \subseteq im.\partial^2$

Remark 1.3

The exact couple 3^1 is called the derived couple of the original exact couple 3. the process of derivation can be iterated indefinitely, yielding an infinite sequence of exact couples;



such that $3^0 = 3$, $3^1 = NES$ and 3^{r+1} is the derived couple of 3^r . The endomorphism $d^r = j^r \circ \partial^r$ has the property that $d^r \circ d^r = 0$, so that \mathcal{E}^r is a chain complex under d^r , whose homology group is \mathcal{E}^{r+1} . In this way we obtain a spectral sequence.

Definition 1.4

Define a morphism between two exact couple 3^r , $\overline{3}^r$ $\mathcal{F}_r: 3^r \to \overline{3}^r$, we mean a family of homomorphisms (f_r, g_r) showing in the following diagram



such that

$$\overline{\partial}^r \circ f_r = g_{0r} \circ \partial^r \overline{\iota}^r \circ g_{0r} = g_{1r} \circ i^r \overline{j}^r \circ g_{1r} = f_r \circ j^r$$

So that \mathcal{F}_r is a chain morphism and induces a morphism $\mathcal{F}_r^1 = \mathcal{F}_{r+1}$, and f_r^1, g_r^1 defined a maps between the derived couples, such that;

 $\begin{array}{ll} f_{r+1}: \mathcal{E}^{r+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}^{r+1} & defined \ by \quad f_{r+1}([z]) = [f_r(z)] \ , \forall [z] \in \mathcal{E}^{r+1} \\ g_{0r+1}: \mathcal{C}^{r+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{r+1} & defined \ by \quad g_{0r+1}(x) = g_{0r}(x) \ , \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^{r+1} \\ g_{1r+1}: \mathcal{C}^{r+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{r+1} & defined \ by \quad g_{1r+1}([x]) = [g_{1r}(x)] \ , \forall x \in \mathcal{C}^{r+1} . \end{array}$

Now, let $\mathcal{F}_r: \mathfrak{Z}^r \to \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$ and $\mathcal{F}_r^*: \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r \to \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$ are two morphisms, we define the composition of morphisms as following;

 $\mathcal{F}_r^* \circ \mathcal{F}_r = (f_r^* \circ f_r, g_r^* \circ g_r): \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r \to \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$ it is easy to show that $\mathcal{F}_r^* \circ \mathcal{F}_r$ is a homomorphism.

Remark 1.5

The homomorphisms f_{r+1} , g_{0r+1} and g_{1r+1} are well defined.

To prove
$$f_{r+1}$$
 is well defined ;
Let $[z] \in \mathcal{E}^{r+1} = \frac{\ker d^r}{im. d^r}$, $z \in \ker d^r \subseteq \mathcal{E}^{r+1}$.
 $\overline{d}^r(f_r(z)) = \overline{j}^r \circ \overline{\partial}^r(f_r(z)) = \overline{j}^r(g_{0r} \circ \partial^r)(z)$
 $= \overline{j}^r(g_{0r}(\partial^r(z))) = \overline{j}^r(g_{1r}(\partial^r(z)))$
 $= (\overline{j}^r \circ g_{1r})(\partial^r(z)) = (f_r \circ \overline{j}^r)(\partial^r(z))$
 $= f_r(j^r \circ \partial^r(z)) = f_r(d^r(z)) = 0$

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

$$\begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow \ f_r(z) \in \ker.\overline{d}^r \ \Rightarrow \ [f_r(z)] \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}^{r+1} .x \\ \text{Assume} \ [z_1] = [z_2] \\ \Rightarrow \ z_1 - z_2 \in im. d^r \ that is \ d^r(y) = z_1 - z_2 \ for \ some \ y \in \mathcal{E}^r \\ \Rightarrow \ f_r(z_1 - z_2) = f_r(d^r(y)) = f_r(j^r \circ \partial^r(y)) = (f_r \circ j^r) \partial^r(y) \\ = (\overline{j}^r \circ g_{1r}) \partial^r(y) = \overline{j}^r \left(g_{1r}(\partial^r(y))\right) = \overline{j}^r(g_{0r}(\partial^r(y))) \\ = \overline{j}^r \left(\overline{\partial}^r \circ f_r(y)\right) = \overline{d}^r(f_r(y)) \\ \text{but} \ f_r(y) \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}^r \ \Rightarrow \ f_r(z_1 - z_2) \in im. \overline{d}^r \\ \text{and} \ \overline{\mathcal{E}}^{r+1} = \frac{\ker.\overline{d}^r}{im.\overline{d}^r} , \ that \ is \ [f_r(z_1)] = [f_r(z_2)] . \\ \text{To prove} \ g_{0r+1} \ is \ well \ defined ; \\ \text{Let} \ x \in \mathcal{C}^{r+1} = \ker.j^r = im.i^{r+1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^r \\ \overline{j}^r(g_{0r}(x)) = \overline{j}^r(g_{1r}(x)) = f_r \circ j^r(x) = f_r(0) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \ g_{0r}(x) \in \ker.\overline{j}^r \ \Rightarrow \ g_{0r+1}(x) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{r+1} \\ \text{Assume} \ x_1 = x_2 \ \Rightarrow \ g_{0r}(x_1) = g_{0r}(x_2) \ \Rightarrow \ g_{0r+1}(x_1) = g_{1r+1}(x_2) . \end{array}$$

To prove
$$g_{1r+1}$$
 is well defined ;
Let $[x] \in C^{r+1} = \frac{C^r}{\ker}$, $x \in C^r \implies g_{1r}(x) \in \overline{C}^r$
 $\implies [g_{1r}(x)] \in \overline{C}^{r+1} = \frac{\overline{C}^r}{\ker}$, \overline{i}^r
Assume $[x_1] = [x_2] \implies x_1 - x_2 \in \ker$. $i^r \subseteq \overline{C}^r$

$$\overline{\iota}^r \Big(g_{1r}(x_1 - x_2) \Big) = \overline{\iota}^r \Big(g_{0r}(x_1 - x_2) \Big) = g_{1r} \circ i^r(x_1 - x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow g_{1r}(x_1 - x_2) \in ker. \overline{\iota}^r \Rightarrow [g_{1r}(x_1)] = [g_{1r}(x_2)]$$

We shall describe $\mathcal{F}_r: \mathfrak{Z}^r \to \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$ is an isomorphism, if and only if, $f_r, g_{0r} \& g_{1r}$ are an isomorphisms. We shall describe \mathfrak{Z}^r as isomorphic to $\overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$ and write $\mathfrak{Z}^r \cong \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$, if and only if, there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_r: \mathfrak{Z}^r \to \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r$.

Remark 1.6

The relation , \cong , is an equivalence relation .

Section 2 (Results and Conclusion)

From remark $1.3\,$, definition 1.4 and (theorem 3 in [D]), we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.1

The class of all exact couples and the homomorphisms between these couples forms a category $\mathfrak{T} = (\mathfrak{Z}^r, \mathcal{F}_r)$.

From remark 1.3 , definition 1.4 , (theorem6 $\,$ in [D]) and (see [S]) we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.2

There is a functor from the category of cw - complexes into \mathfrak{T} . Denote it by \mathfrak{F} , where $\mathfrak{F}(K) = \mathfrak{F}(K)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(f) = \mathcal{F}_r$.

Theorem 2.3

In the second exact couple $3^1 (= NES)$.

If K is (n-1) - connected complex. Then $\aleph_{n+1,q}(K)$ is an extension of $\mathcal{H}_{n+1,q}(K)$ by $\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K) / \vartheta_{n+2,q}(\mathcal{H}_{n+2,q}(K))$.

Proof

From our property of NES [D], we have $\aleph_{n,q}(K) \cong \mathcal{H}_{n,q}(K)$ and $\mu_{n+1,q}$ is onto, we extract from $NES(=3^1)$ the subsequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+2,q}(K) \xrightarrow{\vartheta_{n+1,q}} \mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K) \xrightarrow{\theta_{n+1,q}} \aleph_{n+1,q}(K) \xrightarrow{\mu_{n+1,q}} \mathcal{H}_{n+1,q}(K) \longrightarrow 0$$

from exactness we have $\ker \mu_{n+1,q} = \theta_{n+1,q}(\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K))$ but $\theta_{n+1,q}$ maps $\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K)$ onto $\theta_{n+1,q}(\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K))$ with $\ker \cdot \theta_{n+1,q} = \vartheta_{n+1,q}(\mathcal{H}_{n+2,q}(K))$ then from fundamental homomorphism theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n+1,q}\left(\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K)\right) &\cong \frac{\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K)}{ker} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K)}{\vartheta_{n+2,q}(\mathcal{H}_{n+2,q}(K))} \end{aligned}$$

but $\mu_{n+1,q}\left(\aleph_{n+1,q}(K)\right) = \mathcal{H}_{n+1,q}(K)$

Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1,q}(K) \cong \frac{\aleph_{n+1,q}(K)}{ker} + \frac{\mu_{n+1,q}(K)}{ker} + \frac{\aleph_{n+1,q}(K)}{\theta_{n+1,q}(\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K))}$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_{n+1,q}(K) \cong \frac{\aleph_{n+1,q}(K)}{\mathcal{L}_{n+1,q}(K)/\vartheta_{n+2,q}\left(\mathcal{H}_{n+2,q}(K)\right)}$$

Corollary 2.4

If
$$q = 0$$
, then $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(K) \cong \frac{\pi_{n+1}(K)}{\mathcal{L}_{n+1}(K)/\vartheta_{n+2}(\mathcal{H}_{n+2}(K))}$

Proof

From $NES(=3^1)$, we have $\aleph_{p,0} \cong \pi_p(K)$, and from above theorem (Th. 2.3) the corollary is hold

From remark 1.3 , definition 1.4 and (theorem 7 in [D]), we have the following lemma

Lemma 2.5

Let $f, f^* : K \to L$ be homotopic $(f \approx f^*)$, then $\mathfrak{F}(f) = \mathfrak{F}(f^*) : \mathfrak{Z}^r(K) \to \mathfrak{Z}^r(L)$ $(i.e. \ \mathcal{F}_r = \mathcal{F}_r^*)$.

Theorem 2.6

If $K \equiv L$, then $\mathfrak{Z}^r(K) \cong \mathfrak{Z}^r(L) \quad \forall r, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

proof

From [D], we have $3^1(K) \cong 3^1(L)$, but 3^{r+1} derivative from 3^r , $\forall r, r = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$. Therefore $3^r(K) \cong 3^r(L) \quad \forall r, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

Theorem 2.7

 $\text{If} \quad \mathcal{C}^1_{p,q}\cong \overline{\mathcal{C}}^1_{p,q} \quad , \forall p,q \quad in \ \mathfrak{Z}^1 \ . Then \ \mathfrak{Z}^r\cong \overline{\mathfrak{Z}}^r \ \forall r,r=1,2,\cdots .$

Proof

From *five lemma theorem* (In a commutative diagram of abelian groups ,

if the two rows are exact and α , β , δ and ε are isomorphisms, then γ is an isomorphism also) see [H].

Theorem 2.8

If K is a cw - complex such that K^{n-1} consists of a single $0 - cell e^0$. Then

(1) $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^r = \mathbf{0}$, $\forall r, r = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \cdots$, $\forall p, p \le n - \mathbf{1}$, (2) $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \cong \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r$, $\forall r, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

Proof

Consider the second exact couple (NES) of K; $\cdots \to \aleph_{n+1,q} \to \mathcal{H}_{n+1,q} \to \mathcal{L}_{n,q} \to \aleph_{n,q} \to \mathcal{H}_{n,q} \to \mathcal{L}_{n-1,q} \to \aleph_{n-1,q} \to \cdots$ We have, (see [D]) $\mathcal{L}_{p,q} = \aleph_{p,q} = 0 \quad if \quad p \leq n-1$, and $\mathcal{L}_{n,q} = \mathbf{0}$, $\aleph_{n,q} \cong \mathcal{H}_{n,q}$. Then we have the following exact sequence ; $\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^1} \mathcal{L}_{n+1,q} \xrightarrow{i^1} \aleph_{n+1,q} \xrightarrow{j^1} \mathcal{H}_{n+1,q} \xrightarrow{\partial^1} 0 \xrightarrow{i^1} \aleph_{n,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}_{n,q} \to 0$ return to second exact couple, we have
$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{p,q}^1 &= \mathcal{L}_{p,q} = \mathbf{0} \quad if \ p \leq n \,, \\ \mathcal{C}_{p,q}^1 &= \aleph_{p,q} = \mathbf{0} \quad if \ p \leq n-1 \,, \end{split}$$
and $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}^1 = \aleph_{n,q} \cong \mathcal{H}_{n,q} = \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^1$. In third exact couple, we have $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^{2} = \frac{ker.d_{p,q}^{1}}{im.d_{p+1,q}^{1}}$, where $d_{p,q}^{1} = j_{p,q}^{1} \circ \partial_{p,q}^{1}$ $C_{p,q}^{2} = ker. j_{p,q}^{1} = im. i_{p,q}^{1}$ $C_{p,q}^{2} = \frac{\aleph_{p,q}}{im. \vartheta_{p+1,q}} = \frac{C_{p,q}^{1}}{ker. i_{p,q}^{1}}$

Now

$$C_{p,q}^2 = ker. j_{p,q}^1 = 0 \quad if \ p \le n-1, \ C_{n,q}^2 = ker. j_{n,q}^1 = 0 \ , \ C_{p,q}^2 = \frac{C_{p,q}^1}{ker. i_{p,q}^1} = 0 \quad if \ p \le n-1,$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} d_{n,q}^1 = j_{n-1,q}^1 \circ \partial_{n,q}^1 = 0 & \implies & ker. \, d_{n,q}^1 = \mathcal{H}_{n,q} = \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^1 \,, \\ d_{n+1,q}^1 = j_{n,q}^1 \circ \partial_{n+1,q}^1 = 0 & \implies & im. \, d_{n+1,q}^1 = 0 \,. \end{array}$$
Then

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,q}^2 = \frac{\ker d_{n,q}^1}{/im d_{n+1,q}^1} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n,q}}{0} = \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^1 \cong \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^1$$

But

$$C_{n,q}^2 = \frac{C_{n,q}^1}{ker. i_{n,q}^1} = \frac{C_{n,q}^1}{0} = C_{n,q}^1$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^2 &\cong \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^2 \,. \end{split} \\ \text{Therefore the third exact couple is} \\ \cdots &\to \mathcal{C}_{n+1,q}^2 \to \mathcal{C}_{n+1,q}^2 \to \mathcal{E}_{n+1,q}^2 \to \mathbf{0} \to \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^2 \to \mathbf{0} \,. \\ \text{Continue in this way , we have for each } r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \,, \\ \cdots &\to \mathcal{C}_{n+1,q}^r \to \mathcal{C}_{n+1,q}^r \to \mathcal{E}_{n+1,q}^r \to \mathbf{0} \to \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \to \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r \to \mathbf{0} \,. \\ \text{That is} \\ \mathcal{C}_{p,q}^r = \mathbf{0} \qquad \forall r \,, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \,, \forall p \,, p \leq n-1 \,, \\ \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \cong \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r \qquad \forall r \,, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \,. \end{split}$$

From above theorems (Th. 2.6 & Th. 2.8) , and two lemmas in [D] (Le. 2.14 & Le.2.15) , we have the following theorem

Theorem 2.9

- If K be any (n-1) connected complex. Then
- (1) $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}^r = \mathbf{0}$, $\forall r, r = \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \cdots$, $\forall p, p \leq n-1$,
- (2) $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}^r \cong \mathcal{E}_{n,q}^r$, $\forall r, r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

References

[1] H.J. Baues . On Homotopy Classification Problems of

J.H.C. Whitehead, in Algebraic Topology Gottingen 1984.

Edited by L. Smith, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1985.

[2] Dheia Al-Khafaji and Raymond Shekoury . A new exact sequence (a generalization of J. H. C. Whiteheads "certain exact sequence") . Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics , vol(2) , no(2) , 2010 .

[3] A. Hatcher . Algebraic Topology .Cambridge University Press 2002 .(Indian edition 2003).

[4] R.M. Switzer .Algebraic Topology , Homotopy and homology , Springer-Verlag , New York 1975 .

[5] J.H.C. Whitehead . A Certain Exact Sequence . Ann. Of Math. 52(1950),51-110 .

الخلاصة