Page 205-209

 On Jackson's Theorem

Eman Samir Abed-Ali Department of Mathematics College of Education Babylon University

Abstract

We prove that for a function $f \in W_p^1[-1,1]$, $0 < p < 1$ and n,r in N, we have

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)dx - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{j} f(x_{j})\right) \le c(r)n^{-1} \int_{0}^{1/n} \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{r-1}(f',u)_{p}}{u^{2}} du
$$

where $-1 < x_1 < ... < x_n < 1$ the roots of Legendre polynomial, and $\omega_{\varphi}^{m}(g,\delta)_{p}$, is the Ditzian-Totik mth modulus of smoothness of g in *Lp* .

1.Introduction

Let L_p , $0 < p < \infty$ be the set of all functions, which are measurable on $[a,b]$, such that

$$
||f||_{L_p[a,b]} := \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty.
$$

And let $W_p^r[a,b]$, p^r [*a*,*b*], be the space of functions that $f^{(r)} \in L_p[a,b]$ and $f^{(r-1)}$ is absolutely continuous in $|a,b|$.

We believe that for approximation in L_p , $p < 1$ the measure of smoothness $\omega_{\varphi}^r(f,\delta)_p$ introduced by Ditzian and Totik [1] is the appropriate tool. Recall that

$$
\omega_{\varphi}^r(f,\delta,[a,b])_p = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \left(\int_a^b \left| \Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^r(f,x,[a,b]) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p},
$$
\nwhere

where

Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics

\n**Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011**

\n
$$
\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^{r}(f, x, [a, b]) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{r} {r \choose k} (-1)^{r-k} f\left(x - \frac{rh}{2} + kh\right), & \text{if } x \pm \frac{rh}{2} \in [a, b] \\ 0, & \text{if } a, b \end{cases}
$$
\nFor $[a, b] := [-1, 1]$ for simplicity we write $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{L_p[a, b]}$, and $\omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f, \delta)_{p} := \omega_{\varphi}^{r}(f, \delta, [a, b])_{p}$.

Recall that the rate of best nth degree polynomial approximation is given by

$$
E_n(f)_p := \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n} \left\| f - p_n \right\|_p
$$

where Π_n denote the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree not exceeding n.

To prove our theorem we need the following direct result given by:

Theorem 1.1. For n,r in N and $f \in L_p[-1,1]$ $E_n(f)_p \leq c\omega_{\varphi}^r(f, n^{-1})_p$ $E_n(f)_p \leq c\omega_p^r \left(f, n^{-1}\right)_p$ (1)

where c is a constant depending on r and p (if p<1). For $1 \le p \le \infty$ (1) was proved by Ditzian and Totik [1] and for $0 \le p \le 1$, it has been proved by DeVore, Leviatan and Yu [2].

Now, consider the Gaussian Quadrature process [3]

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)dx \approx \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_j f(x_j) =: I_n(f)
$$
 (2)

(3)

based on the roots $-1 < x_1 < ... < x_n < 1$ of the nth Legendre polynomial. Since this exact polynomial of degree less than 2n, we get for the error

$$
e_n(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)dx - I_n(f)
$$

in (2) by the definition of the degree of best approximation we have $e_n(f) \leq 2E_{2n-1}(f)_{\infty}$

where

$$
\|f\|_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |f(x)|
$$

(note that $\omega_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n}$ $=$ *n j j* 1 ω_j). The crude method of estimating $e_n(f)$ consists

of applying Jackson estimate on the right of (3) from (1) we get the sharp inequality

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

$$
e_n(f) \le c \omega_{\varphi}^r \left(f, n^{-1}\right)_{\infty} \tag{4}
$$

which already takes in to account the possibly less smooth behavior of f at ± 1 . However the supremum norm in (5) is still too rough, and the natural question is whether for smooth functions one can get upper bounds for $e_n(f)$ using certain L_p , p < 1 quasi-norm.

R. A. DeVore and L. R. Scott [3] found such estimates, they proved

$$
e_n(f) \le c(s)n^{-s} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| f^{(s)}(x) \right| (1 - x^2)^{5/2} dx \tag{5}
$$

first for s=1 which obviously implies

$$
e_n(f) \le cn^{-1} E_{2n-2}(f')_{\varphi, p} \qquad p \ge 1 \tag{6}
$$

where $E_n(f)_{\varphi, p}$ means the best weighted approximation with weight $\varphi(x)$ of f in L_p defined by

$$
E_n(f)_{\varphi,\,p} := \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n} \|\varphi(f - p_n)\|_p.
$$

They then proceeded to estimate $E_n(f')_p$, $p \ge 1$, using higher derivatives of f which finally yielded (5) for any $s \ge 1$.

2. The main result

Using (6) we obtain the following theorem

Theorem 2.1. For $f \in W_p^1[-1,1], 0 < p < 1$ we have

$$
e_n(f) \le c(r) n^{-1} \int_{0}^{1/n} \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{r-1}(f', u)_p}{u^2} du \tag{7}
$$

Of course the convergence of the integral on the right implies that f is L_p equivalent of a locally absolutely continuous function. We use this equivalent representative of f in the quadrature formula (Otherwise, we don't have even $e_n(f) = o(1)$

Proof. Let $p_n \in \Pi_n$ be the best approximating polynomial for f in $L_p[-1,1], p < 1$. Then $f = p_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p_{2^{k+1}n} - p_{2^k n})$ ∞ $=$ $= p_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p_{2k+1})^2$ $0^{p_2 k+1} n^{-p_2}$ $f = p_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (p_{2^{k+1}n} - p_{2^k n})$

Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics Vol. 3 No.1 Year 2011

in $L_p[-1,1]$ (i.e. the expression in the right is the L_p equivalent of f which we need). From (6) and Markov-Bernstein type inequality (see for example [4]) $e_n(f) \le cn^{-1} E_{2n-2}(f')_{q,\varphi} \qquad q \ge 1$ $\leq c n^{-1} E_n(f')_{q,\varphi}$ $\leq c n^{-1} \|\varphi(f' - p'_n)\|_q$

$$
\leq cn^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{k+1}n\big\|\varphi\big(p_{2^{k+1}n}-p_{2^{k}n}\big)\big\|_{q}.
$$

 Then using the fact that any two quasi norms are equivalent on the space of algebraic polynomials of a fixed degree we have

$$
e_n(f) \le c(p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k+1} n E_{2^k n}(f)_p \qquad p < 1.
$$

In view of (1) we get

$$
e_n(f) \le c(p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k n \omega_{\varphi}^r \Big(f, 2^{-k} n^{-1} \Big)_{p}.
$$

Now since $f \in W_p^1[-1,1], 0 < p < 1$, so that

$$
e_n(f) \le c(p)n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k n\omega_{\varphi}^r (f, 2^{-k}n^{-1})_p
$$

$$
\le c(p)n^{-1} \int_{0}^{1/n} \frac{\omega_{\varphi}^{r-1}(f', u)_p}{u^2} du.
$$

Provided the last integral convergence

As a final remark, we mention that similar bounds holds for many other systems of nodes and in (7) the right hand side has the order

$$
\left(\int_{-1}^{x_n} |f|^p + \int_{x_n}^1 |f|^p\right)^{1/p},
$$

for any f constructed from analytic functions, $|x \pm 1|^{s}$ and iterated logarithms of these, which means that (7) is the best possible estimate for such functions.

References

- [1] Z. Ditzian and V. Totik (1987): Moduli of smoothness. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [2] R. A. DeVore, D. Leviatan and X. M. Yu (1992): Polynomial approximation in $L_p(0 < p < 1)$. Constr.approx. 8,187-201.
- [3] R. A. DeVore, and L. R. Scott (1984): Error bounds for Gaussian quadrature and weighted L^1 polynomial approximation. SIAM, J. Numer. Anal, 2, 400-412.
- [4] K. Kopotun and A. Shadrin (2002): On k-monotone approximation by free knots splines. Math.Analysis (accepted).