



# New Subclass of Multivalent functions Defined by Multiplier Differential Operator

**Abdullah Najm Mohammed<sup>a</sup> and Najah Ali Jiben Al- Ziadi<sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq. Email: abdullah.najm.mohammad@gmail.com

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq. Email: najah.ali@qu.edu.iq

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received: 10/06/2025

Revised form: 26/06/2025

Accepted: 14/07/2025

Available online: 30/09/2025

### Keywords:

Holomorphic function,  
multivalent functions,  
multiplier differential operator,  
coefficient estimate,  
radii of starlikeness and convexity  
extreme points.

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study a novel subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined based on multiplier differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)$  in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{s : s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |s| < 1\}$ . We get some interesting properties, like, coefficient estimate, radii of starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity, extreme points, weighted mean and arithmetic mean, integral operators, integral means inequalities, convex set, neighborhood property for functions being a member of the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

### MSC..

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2025.17.32576>

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  be denote the class of all functions of the form

$$k(s) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic and multivalent in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{s : s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |s| < 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}_p$  be denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  consisting of functions of the form

$$k(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n, \quad (d_n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.2)$$

\*Corresponding author Najah Ali Jiben Al- Ziadi

Email addresses: [najah.ali@qu.edu.iq](mailto:najah.ali@qu.edu.iq)

Communicated by 'sub editor'

For the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  given by (1.2) and the function  $g(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  defined by

$$g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n s^n, \quad (b_n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1.3)$$

we defined Hadamard product (or the convolution) of  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  by

$$(k * g)(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^n = (g * k)(s). \quad (1.4)$$

A function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , is told to be  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\rho$  if it fulfills the inequality

$$Re \left( \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} \right) > \rho, \quad (s \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \rho < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.5)$$

We represent the class of all  $p$ -valently starlike functions of order  $\rho$  by  $S_n^*(p, \rho)$ .

Also, the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , is told to be  $p$ -valently convex of order  $\rho$  if it fulfills the inequality

$$Re \left( 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} \right) > \rho, \quad (s \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \rho < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.6)$$

We represent the class of all  $p$ -valently convex functions of order  $\rho$  by  $C_n(p, \rho)$ . The class  $S_n^*(p, \rho)$  and  $C_n(p, \rho)$  are studied by Owa [8].

A function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , is told to be  $p$ -valently close-to-convex of order  $\rho$  if it fulfills the inequality

$$Re \left( \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} \right) > \rho \quad (s \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \rho < p; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.7)$$

Recently, Sambo and Lasode [11] present novel multiplier differential operator as follows:

**Definition (1.1)[11]:** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ;  $\xi, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0$ ;  $0 \leq \eta \leq \lambda$ ;  $0 < \nu \leq 1$  and  $\xi + \beta > 0$ , then for  $k \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , we defined the multiplier differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu): \mathcal{A}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) = k(s) \quad (1.8)$$

$$(\xi + \beta)\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{1, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) = [\xi + \beta + \eta - (2\nu - 1)(\lambda + \mu)]k(s) - (p - 1)\lambda\eta s^p$$

$$+ \frac{1}{p} [(2\nu - 1)(\lambda + \mu) - \eta]k(s)' + \lambda\eta s^2 k(s)'' \quad (1.9)$$

therefore

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m-1, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad (1.10)$$

and in general we have that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\xi + \left[ (2\nu - 1)(\lambda + \mu) + \eta(n\lambda - 1) \left( \frac{n}{p} - 1 \right) + \beta \right]}{\xi + \beta} \right]^m d_n s^n \quad (1.11)$$

or for brevity we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) = s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n s^n,$$

where

$$\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) = \left[ \frac{\xi + [(2\nu - 1)(\lambda + \mu) + \eta(n\lambda - 1)\left(\frac{n}{p} - 1\right) + \beta]}{\xi + \beta} \right]^m.$$

Now, by using multiplier differential operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)$ , we define the following:

**Definition (1.2):** A function of the form (1.2) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ ; if the following condition is met:

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \frac{s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)''}{(1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)''} \right\} \\ > \alpha \left| \frac{s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)''}{(1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)''} \right|^{p-1} + \gamma, \quad (1.12) \end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p+1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Remark (1.1):** When  $m = 0$ , the following distinct subclasses have been examined by different authors.

- 1) For  $p = 1$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $TS(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, k, j)$  introduced and studied by Yamini [14].
- 2) For  $\delta = 1$  and  $\tau = 1$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $UCV(p, \alpha, \beta)$  introduced and studied by Khairmar and More [7].
- 3) For  $p = 1, \delta = 1$  and  $\tau = 1$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $UCT(\alpha, \beta)$  introduced and studied by Bharati et al. [3].
- 4) For  $\alpha = 0, \tau = 1$  and  $\delta = 1$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $C_n(p, \alpha)$  introduced and studied by Owa [8].
- 5) For  $p = 1, \tau = 1, \delta = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $C(\alpha)$  introduced and studied by Silverman [12].
- 6) For  $\tau = 0$  and  $\delta = 0$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $UST(\alpha, \beta, p)$  introduced and studied by Khairmar and More [7].
- 7) For  $p = 1, \delta = 0$  and  $\tau = 0$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $S_p T(\alpha, \beta)$  introduced and studied by Bharati et al. [3].
- 8) For  $\alpha = 0, \delta = 0$  and  $\tau = 0$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  introduced and studied by Owa [8].
- 9) For  $p = 1, \tau = 0, \delta = 0$  and  $\alpha = 0$ , the subclass  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  shortens to the subclass  $T^*(\alpha)$  introduced and studied by Silverman [12].

In order to arrive to our primary conclusions, we must remember the following lemmas.

**Lemma (1.1)[1]:** Let  $y = v + iu$ , be a complex number and  $\alpha, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Re(y) \geq \varphi$  if and only if  $|y - (p + \varphi)| \leq |y + (p - \varphi)|$ , where  $\varphi \geq 0$ .

**Lemma (1.2)[1]:** Let  $y = v + iu$ , be a complex number and  $\alpha, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Re(y) \geq \alpha|y - p| + \varphi$  if and only if  $Re(y(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - p\alpha e^{i\theta}) \geq \varphi$ .

**Lemma (1.3)[4]:** If  $k$  and  $g$  are holomorphic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , with  $k < g$ , then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k(re^{i\theta})|^\omega d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^\omega d\theta,$$

where  $\omega > 0, s = re^{i\theta}, (0 < r < 1)$ .

The features listed below were examined for different classes in [2,6,9,13,15,16].

## 2. Coefficient Estimate

**Theorem (2.1):** The function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \\ \leq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p + 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The inequality is sharp for the extremal function

$$k(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^n. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof:** Since  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , when Lemma (1.2) is applied, inequality (1.12) equals

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\left( s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{\left( (1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right)} - p\alpha e^{i\theta} \right\} > \gamma$$

$(s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p + 1 \text{ and } -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi).$

So that

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\left[ s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{\left( (1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right)} - \frac{p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[ (1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right]}{\left( (1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right)} \right\} \geq \gamma. \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$N(s) = \left[ s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})$$

$$-p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[ (1-\tau)\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau)s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)'' \right]$$

and

$$N(s) = (1-\tau)\mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau)s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)k(s) \right)''.$$

Using the Lemma (1.1) in (2.3), we have

$$|N(s) + (p - \gamma)M(s)| \geq |N(s) - (p + \gamma)M(s)|.$$

We have

$$|N(s) + (p - \gamma)M(s)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| -p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left( \begin{aligned} &\left( ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) nd_n s^n + (\delta p^2 - \delta p)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta n^2 - \delta n)d_n s^n \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \\ &- (1-\tau)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (1-\tau)d_n s^n + \tau ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \tau nd_n s^n + (\delta - \tau)(p^2 - p)s^p \\ &- \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta - \tau)(n^2 - n)d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right) \right| \\ &+ (p - \gamma) \left| \left( \begin{aligned} &(1-\tau)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (1-\tau)d_n s^n + \tau ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \tau nd_n s^n + (\delta - \tau)(p^2 - p)s^p \\ &- \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta - \tau)(n^2 - n)d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \left( \begin{aligned} &ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) nd_n s^n + (\delta p^2 - \delta p)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta n^2 - \delta n)d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p - p\alpha e^{i\theta} - \gamma) \left( \begin{aligned} &(1-\tau)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (1-\tau)d_n s^n + \tau ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \tau nd_n s^n + (\delta - \tau)(p^2 - p)s^p \\ &- \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta - \tau)(n^2 - n)d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \begin{aligned} &(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p)(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})s^p + (p - \gamma - p\alpha e^{i\theta})(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)s^p \\ &- \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})) \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n s^n \\ &- \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} (p - \gamma - p\alpha e^{i\theta})(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1) \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n s^n \end{aligned} \right| \\ &\geq ((\delta p^2 - \delta p + p)(1 + \alpha) + (p - \gamma - p\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1))|S|^p \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) + (p - \gamma - p\alpha)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n |S|^n. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,  $|N(s) - (p + \gamma)M(s)|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left| \left( ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) nd_n s^n + (\delta p^2 - \delta p)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta n^2 - \delta n)d_n s^n \right) (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - (p\alpha e^{i\theta} + p + \gamma) \right| \\
 &= \left| \left( (1 - \tau)s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (1 - \tau)d_n s^n + \tau ps^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \tau nd_n s^n + (\delta - \tau)(p^2 - p)s^p \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) (\delta - \tau)(n^2 - n)d_n s^n \right) \right| \\
 &\leq ((p + \gamma + p\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) - (\delta p^2 - \delta p + p)(1 + \alpha))|s|^p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(\alpha + 1)) + (p - \gamma - p\alpha)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n |s|^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 |N(s) + (p - \gamma)M(s)| - |N(s) - (p + \gamma)M(s)| &\geq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \\
 &\leq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, by inequality (2.1), we need to show that

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\left[ s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + \delta s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right] (1 + \alpha e^{i\theta})}{(1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)''} \right\} \geq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

$$- \frac{p\alpha e^{i\theta} \left[ (1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)'' \right]}{(1 - \tau) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) + \tau s \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)' + (\delta - \tau) s^2 \left( \mathcal{D}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) k(s) \right)''}$$

Let  $0 \leq s = r < 1$ , such that  $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$  and  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , (2.4) is obtained from (2.1).

**Corollary (2.1):** Suppose the function  $k(s)$  defined based on (1.2) be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then

$$d_n \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p + 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 3. Radii of Starlikeness, Convexity and Close-to-Convexity

**Theorem (3.1):** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then  $k(s)$  is starlike of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_1$ , wherever

$$R_1 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(p-\rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(n-\rho)[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p+1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The outcome is sharp for the function  $k(s)$  specified based on (2.2).

**Proof:** It's sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_1$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (n-p)d_n |s|^{n-p}}{1 - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} d_n |s|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{sk'(s)}{k(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \frac{(n-\rho)}{(p-\rho)} d_n |s|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Then by Theorem (2.1), equation (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{(n-\rho)}{(p-\rho)} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}.$$

Hence,

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{(p-\rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(n-\rho)[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}.$$

$R_1$  is obtained by letting  $|s| = R_1$  and the proof completes.

**Theorem (3.2):** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then  $k(s)$  is convex of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_2$ , wherever

$$R_2 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{p(p-\rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{n(n-\rho)[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p+1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The outcome is sharp for the function  $k(s)$  specified based on (2.2).

**Proof:** It's sufficient to show that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_2$ , we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} n(n-p)d_n|s|^{n-p}}{p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} nd_n|s|^{n-p}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| 1 + \frac{sk''(s)}{k'(s)} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-\rho)}{p(p-\rho)} d_n |s|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Then by Theorem (2.1), equation (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\frac{n(n-\rho)}{p(p-\rho)} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}$$

Hence,

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{p(p-\rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{n(n-\rho)[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}.$$

$R_2$  is obtained by letting  $|s| = R_2$  and the proof completes.

**Theorem (3.3):** Let  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then  $k(s)$  is close to convex of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < p$ ) in the disk  $|s| < R_3$ , wherever

$$R_3 = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(p-\rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{n[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p+1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The outcome is sharp for the function  $k(s)$  specified based on (2.2).

**Proof:** It's sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < p),$$

for  $|s| < R_3$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n d_n |s|^{n-p}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{k'(s)}{s^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq p - \rho,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n d_n |s|^{n-p}}{p - \rho} \leq 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Then by Theorem (2.1), equation (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\frac{n}{p - \rho} |s|^{n-p} \leq \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)},$$

Hence,

$$|s| \leq \left[ \frac{(p - \rho)[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{n[(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}},$$

$R_3$  is obtained by letting  $|s| = R_3$  and the proof completes.

#### 4. Extreme Points

The extreme points of the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  are discussed in the following theorem.

**Theorem (4.1):** Let  $k_p(s) = s^p$  and

$$k_n(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^n,$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{U}, \lambda, \alpha, \mu, \xi, \beta \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < p, 0 \leq \tau \leq 1, \tau \leq \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, n \geq p + 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then the function  $k(s)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  if and only if it can be written as:

$$k(s) = \mathcal{L}_p s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n k_n(s), \quad (4.1)$$

such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_p \geq 0, \mathcal{L}_n \geq 0, n \geq p + 1 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n = 1).$$

**Proof:** Suppose that  $k(s)$  that defined in (4.1). Then

$$k(s) = \mathcal{L}_p s^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( s^p - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^n \right) \\
 &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} \mathcal{L}_n s^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} \\
 & \quad \times \frac{[(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)]\mathcal{L}_n}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} \\
 &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n = 1 - \mathcal{L}_p \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , we may set

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} d_n,$$

where  $d_n$  is defined in (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(s) &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^n \\
 &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} \mathcal{L}_n s^n \\
 &= s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} (s^p - k_n(s)) \mathcal{L}_n = \left( 1 - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n \right) s^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n k_n(s) = \mathcal{L}_p s^p + \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n k_n(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.1).

## 5. Arithmetic Mean and Weighted Mean

We shall elaborate that the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  is closed under arithmetic mean in the next theorem.

**Theorem (5.2):** Let  $k_1(s), k_2(s), k_3(s), \dots, k_{\vartheta}(s)$  that defined by

$$k_{\ell}(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_{n,\ell} s^n \quad (d_{n,\ell} \geq 0, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, \vartheta, n \geq 1 + p), \quad (5.1)$$

are in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , then the arithmetic mean of  $k_{\ell}(s)$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, \vartheta$ ) which clarified based on

$$h(s) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} k_{\ell}(s) \quad (5.2)$$

is also a member of the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** Based on (5.1 and 5.2), we are able to write

$$h(s) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} \left( s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} d_{n,\ell} s^n \right) = s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} d_{n,\ell} s^n \right).$$

Since  $k_{\ell}(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . For each  $(\ell = 1, 2, \dots, \vartheta)$ , so based on Theorem (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \left( \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} d_{n,\ell} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} \left( \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_{n,\ell} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\vartheta} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\vartheta} (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) \\ &= (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof.

**Definition (5.1):** Suppose that  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then, the Weighted Mean  $E_t(s)$  of  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  is given by

$$E_t(s) = \frac{1}{2} [(1 - t)k(s) + (1 + t)g(s)], \quad (0 < t < 1).$$

**Theorem (5.1):** Let  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then the Weighted Mean of  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  is also belong to the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** By definition (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_t(s) &= \frac{1}{2} [(1 - t)k(s) + (1 + t)g(s)] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 - t) \left( s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} d_n s^n \right) + (1 + t) \left( s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} b_n s^n \right) \right] \\ &= s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} [(1 - t)d_n + (1 + t)b_n] s^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k(s)$  and  $g(s)$  are in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , so by Theorem (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n$$

$$\leq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) b_n$$

$$\leq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \\ & \times \left[ \frac{1}{2}(1-t)d_n + \frac{1}{2}(1+t)b_n \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{2}(1-t) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(1+t) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) b_n \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-t)[(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(1+t)[(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)] \\ & = (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $E_t(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

## 6. Integral operator

**Theorem (6.1):** Let  $k(s)$  defined by (1.2) be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , and let  $c$  be a real number such that  $c > -p$ . Then the function  $F(s)$  defined by

$$F(s) = \frac{c+p}{s^c} \int_0^s t^{c-1} k(t) dt \quad (c > -p), \quad (6.1)$$

also belongs to the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** Form the representation of (6.1) of  $F(s)$ , it follows from that

$$F(s) = \frac{c+p}{s^c} \int_0^s t^{c-1} \left( t^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} d_n t^n \right) dt = \frac{c+p}{s^c} \int_0^s \left( t^{p+c-1} - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} d_n t^{n+c-1} \right) dt$$

$$= s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} \left( \frac{c+p}{c+n} \right) d_n s^n = s^p - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} g_n s^n,$$

where  $g_n = \left( \frac{c+p}{c+n} \right) d_n$ .

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) g_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(\alpha + 1)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \left( \frac{c+p}{c+n} \right) d_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1), \end{aligned}$$

since  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

Hence by (2.1),  $F(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

## 7. Integral Means Inequalities

**Theorem (7.1):** Let  $\omega > 0$ . If  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  and suppose that  $k_c(s)$  is defined by

$$k_c(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^c.$$

$$(c \geq p + 1; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

If a holomorphic function  $w(s)$  is created and defined based on

$$(w(s))^{c-p} = \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p}.$$

Then, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k(s)|^\omega d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k_c(s)|^\omega d\theta, \quad (\omega > 0). \quad (7.1)$$

**Proof:** We show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \right|^\omega d\theta$$

$$\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^{c-p} \right|^\omega d\theta.$$

By applying Lemma (1.3), it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} < 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^{c-p}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \\ = 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} (w(s))^{c-p}. \end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$(w(s))^{c-p} = \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p},$$

which readily yield  $w(0) = 0$ .

Furthermore using (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |w(s)|^{c-p} &= \left| \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n s^{n-p} \right| \\ &\leq |s| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} d_n \right| \leq |s| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next is the proof for the first derivative.

**Theorem (7.2):** Suppose that  $\omega > 0$ . If  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} k_c(s) &= s^p - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2\tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^c, \\ &\quad (c \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |k'(s)|^\omega d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k'_c(s)|^\omega d\theta, \quad (\omega > 0). \quad (7.2)$$

**Proof:** It's sufficient to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} d_n s^{n-p}$$

$$< 1 - \frac{c((1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1))}{p[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^{c-p}.$$

This follows because

$$|w(s)|^{c-p} = \left| \frac{p[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{c((1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1))} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} d_n s^{n-p} \right|$$

$$\leq |s| \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} d_n \right| \leq |s| < 1.$$

**Theorem (7.3):** Let  $g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n s^n$ , ( $s \in \mathbb{U}; b_n \geq 0; n \geq p+1; p \in \mathbb{N}$ )

and  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  for  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\frac{Q_c}{b_c} = \min_{n=p+1} \frac{Q_n}{b_n},$$

where

$$Q_n = \frac{[(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2 \tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}.$$

Also, for  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , the functions  $k_c$  and  $g_c$  be defined by

$$k_c(s) = s^p - \frac{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^c,$$

and

$$g_c(s) = s^p - b_c s^c. \quad (7.3)$$

If there exists a holomorphic function

$$(w(s))^{c-p} = \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1+\alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)]\Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{(1+\alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)} b_c \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p}.$$

Then, for  $\omega > 0$ ,  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$ ,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |(k * g)(s)|^\omega d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |(k_c * g_c)(s)|^\omega d\theta, \quad (\omega > 0).$$

**Proof:** Since

$$(k * g)(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^n.$$

from (7.3), we have

$$(k_c * g_c)(s) = s^p - \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) b_c}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^c.$$

We need to prove the Theorem by taking  $\omega > 0$ ,  $s = re^{i\theta}$  and  $(0 < r < 1)$  such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p} \right|^{\omega} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^{c-p} \right|^{\omega} d\theta.$$

Lemma (1.3) may be used to demonstrate that

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p} \prec 1 - \frac{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} s^{c-p}. \quad (7.4)$$

If (7.4), holds, then there exists a holomorphic function  $w(s)$

$$1 - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p} = 1 - \frac{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c}{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)} (w(s))^{c-p}.$$

We have

$$(w(s))^{c-p} = \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p},$$

Then  $w(0) = 0$ .

From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w(s)|^{c-p} &= \left| \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n s^{n-p} \right| \\ &\leq |s| \left| \frac{[(\delta c - \delta + 1)(c(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta c^2 - c^2 \tau - \delta c + 2c\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda, \mu}^{m, p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}{((1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)) b_c} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} d_n b_n \right| \leq |s| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

## 8. Neighborhood Property

Now we define the  $(n - \varepsilon)$  – neighborhoods for the function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  by

$$N_{n,\varepsilon}(k) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}_p : g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n s^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|d_n - b_n| \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1 \right\}. \quad (8.1)$$

For identity function  $e(s) = s^p$ ,  $(p \in \mathbb{N})$

$$N_{n,\varepsilon}(e) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{M}_p : g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n s^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|b_n| \leq \varepsilon, 0 \leq \varepsilon < 1 \right\}. \quad (8.2)$$

The concept of neighborhoods was first introduced by Goodman [5] and the generalized by Ruscheweyh [10].

**Definition (8.1):** A function  $k(s) \in \mathcal{M}_p$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , if there exist a function  $g(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{k(s)}{g(s)} - 1 \right| < p - \sigma \quad (s \in \mathbb{U}, 0 \leq \sigma < 1).$$

**Theorem (8.1):** If  $g(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  and

$$\sigma = p - \frac{\varepsilon \left( [(1 + \delta p)(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau(p + 1)^2 + \delta p + 2(p + 1)\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \right)}{(p + 1) \left[ [(1 + \delta p)(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau(p + 1)^2 + \delta p + 2(p + 1)\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) - (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) \right]}.$$

Then  $N_{n,\varepsilon}(g) \subset \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $k(s) \in N_{n,\varepsilon}(g)$ . Then we have from (8.1) that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n|d_n - b_n| \leq \varepsilon,$$

this indicates the following coefficient inequality with ease.

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |d_n - b_n| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{p + 1}.$$

Next, since  $g(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ , we have from Theorem (2.1)

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n \leq \frac{(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)}{[(1 + \delta p)(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau(p + 1)^2 + \delta p + 2(p + 1)\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu)}.$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{k(s)}{g(s)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} |d_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=1+p}^{\infty} b_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \left( [(\delta p + 1)(1 + p)(1 + \alpha) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau(p + 1)^2 + \delta p + 2(p + 1)\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) \right)}{(p + 1) \left[ [(1 + \delta p)(p + 1)(1 + \alpha) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau(p + 1)^2 + \delta p + 2(p + 1)\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) - (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1) \right]} \\ &= p - \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Definition (8.1),  $k(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  for each  $\sigma$  given by (8.3).

## 9. Convex Set

**Theorem (9.1):** The class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$  is convex set.

**proof:** Suppose that the functions ( $k$  and  $g$ ) be in the class  $\mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma)$ . Then for every  $0 \leq \Gamma \leq 1$  we must show that

$$(1 - \Gamma)k(s) + \Gamma g(s) \in \mathcal{RK}_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\alpha, \delta, \tau, \gamma). \quad (9.1)$$

We have

$$(1 - \Gamma)k(s) + \Gamma g(s) = s^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(1 - \Gamma)d_n + \Gamma b_n]s^n.$$

So by Theorem (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) [(1 - \Gamma)d_n + \Gamma b_n] \\ & (1 - \Gamma) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) d_n \\ & + \Gamma \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [(\delta n - \delta + 1)(n(1 + \alpha)) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta n^2 - n^2\tau - \delta n + 2n\tau - \tau + 1)] \Omega_{\lambda,\mu}^{m,p}(\xi, \beta, \eta, \nu) b_n \\ & \leq (1 - \Gamma)[(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)] \\ & + \Gamma[(1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1)] \\ & = (1 + \alpha)(\delta p^2 - \delta p + p) - (\alpha p + \gamma)(\delta p^2 - \tau p^2 - \delta p + 2\tau p - \tau + 1). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] E. S. Aqlan, Some problems connected with geometric Function Theory, Ph. D. Thesis (2004), Pune University, Pune.
- [2] W. G. Atshan and N. A. Jiben, On a new class of meromorphic multivalent functions defined by fractional differ – integral operator, *Journal of kufa for mathematics and computer*, 5(2018), 12-20.
- [3] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, On subclass of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 28(1)(1997), 17-32.
- [4] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Hidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).
- [5] W. Goodman, Univalent functions and analytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(3)(1957), 598-601.
- [6] I. A. Hasoon and N. A. J. Al-Ziadi, New class of multivalent functions defined by generalized (p,q)-Bernard integral operator, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 14(5)(2024), 1091-1118.
- [7] S. M. Khaimar and M. More, On a subclass of multivalent  $\beta$ -uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by linear operator, *LAENG Internat. J. Appl. Math.* 39(3)(2009), Article ID IJAM\_39\_06.
- [8] S. Owa, On certain classes of p-valent functions with negative coefficients, *Simon Stevin.*, 59(4) (1985), 385-402.
- [9] A. M. Ramadhan and N. A. J. Al-Ziadi, New class of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 10(2)(2022), 271-288.
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(4)(1981), 521-527.
- [11] B. Sambo and A. O. Lasode, Differential Subordination and Superordination for a Family of Analytic Functions Defined by a New Multiplier Differential Operator, *Ann. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 17(2023), 40-49.
- [12] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51 (1975), 109-116.

- [13] A. K. Wanas and H. K. Radhi, A certain subclass of multivalent functions associated with Borel distribution series, *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 10(2)(2022), 341-353.
- [14] J. Yamini, Certain subclasses of convex and starlike functions with negative coefficients. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 11(7) (2022), 1188-1191.
- [15] A. A. Yusuf and A. O. Abdulkareem, Defining novel integral operator on the class of multivalent functions, *Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math.*, 18(1)(2025), 35-55.
- [16] A. A. Husien and Q. A. Shakir, "On a Certain Subclass for Multivalent Analytic Functions with a Fixed Point Involving Linear Operator." *Earthline Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 2.2 (2019): 515-526.