

b – ind and *b – Ind*

Raad Aziz Hussain Al-Abdulla

Sema Kadhim Gaber

Al-Qadissiya University

College of Science

Dept. of Mathematics

Abstract

We study some functions by using *b – open* set which are small and large inductive dimension (*ind* and *Ind*) and called by *b – ind* and *b – Ind*. Also we study some relations between them.

Introduction

The concept of *b – open* set in topological space was introduced in [2]. We recall the definition of *ind* and *Ind* in [7]. In this paper we study similar definitions using *b – open* sets which are called *b – ind* and *b – Ind* and we study some relations between them.

Section one : On *b – open* sets

1.1. Definition [2]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. A is called *b – open* set in X if $A \subseteq \overset{\circ}{A} \cup \overset{-}{A}$. The complement of *b – open* set is called *b – closed* set, that is, A

is *b – closed* set if $\overset{-}{A} \cap \overset{\circ}{A} \subseteq A$

It is clear that every open set is *b – open* and every closed set is *b – closed*, but the converse may be not true in general. As the following example.

1.2.Example

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $T = \{\{a\}, X, \phi\}$. The b -open sets are :- $\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \phi, X$. Then $\{a, b\}$ is b -open set but not open.

1.3.Proposition [4]

Let X be topological space then G is an open set in X iff $G \cap \overline{\overline{A}} = G \cap A$ for each $A \subset X$.

1.4.Remark [6]

The intersection of an b -open set and an open set is b -open .

1.5.Example

The intersection of two b -open sets may be not b -open in general . Example let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $T = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X, \phi\}$. Then each of $\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}$ is an b -open set , where as $\{a, c\} \cap \{b, c\} = \{c\}$ is not b -open .

1.6.Proposition [6]

Let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of b -open set in a topological space X , then $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$ is b -open .

1.7.Definition [3]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. A is called semi-open (s -open) set in X if $A \subseteq \overline{\overset{\circ}{A}}$. The complement of s -open set is called semi-closed (s -closed) that is, A is s -closed set if $\overline{\overset{\circ}{A}} \subseteq A$. The intersection of all s -closed subsets of X containing A is called semi-closur (s -closur) of A and the union of all s -open subsets of X contained in A is called semi- interior (s -int erior) of A , and are denoted by \overline{A}^s , $A^{\circ s}$ respectively.

1.8. Definition [5]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. A is called pre-open set in X if $A \subseteq \overset{\circ}{\bar{A}}$. The complement of pre-open set is called

pre-closed that is A is pre-closed set if $\overset{\circ}{\bar{A}} \subseteq A$. The intersection of all pre-closed subsets of X containing A is called pre-closure of A and the union of all pre-open subsets of X contained in A is called pre-interior of A , and denoted by \bar{A}^p , $A^{\circ p}$ respectively.

1.9. Proposition [1]

Let X be a topological space and $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$, then :

- (i) $\bar{A}^p \subseteq \bar{B}^p$
- (ii) $A^{\circ p} \subseteq B^{\circ p}$

1.10. Proposition [2]

For any subset A of a space X the following statements are equivalent:-

- (i) A is b -open set
- (ii) $A \subseteq A^{\circ p} \cup A^{\circ s}$
- (iii) $A \subseteq (A^{\circ p})^{-p}$

1.11. Proposition

For any subset A of a space X , the following statements are equivalent :-

- (i) A is b -open set
- (ii) $\bar{A}^p = \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$
- (iii) There exists an pre-open set G in X such that $G \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{G}^p$.

Proof :

(i) \rightarrow (ii) since $A \subseteq \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$ by proposition 1.10, then $\bar{A}^p \subseteq \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$ and since $\overline{A^{\circ p}}^p \subseteq \bar{A}^p$, then $\bar{A}^p = \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) let $G = A^{\circ p}$. Since $\bar{A}^p = \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$ and $A^{\circ p} \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$. Then $G \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{G}^p$.

(iii) \rightarrow (i) Suppose that there exists *pre-open* set G such that $G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{G}^p$. Then $G = G^{\circ p}$ and since $A \subseteq \overline{G}^p = \overline{G^{\circ p}}^p \subseteq \overline{A^{\circ p}}^p$, then A is *b-open* set by proposition 1.10.

1.12. Proposition

For any subset A of a space X , the following statements are equivalent :-

(i) A is *b-open* set

(ii) There exists an open set G in X such that $G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{G} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}$.

Proof :

(i) \rightarrow (ii) suppose that A is *b-open* set . let $G = \overset{\circ}{A}$, then

$$\overset{\circ}{A} = G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{\overset{\circ}{A}} \cup \overset{\circ}{A} = \overline{G} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}. \text{ Hence } G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{G} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}.$$

(ii) \rightarrow (i) Suppose that there exists an open set G in X such that

$$G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{G} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}. \text{ Then } A \subseteq \overline{G} \cup \overset{\circ}{A} = \overline{G \cup \overset{\circ}{A}} \subseteq \overline{A \cup \overset{\circ}{A}} \text{ by definition}$$

1.1 .Hence $A \subseteq \overline{A \cup \overset{\circ}{A}}$, therefore A is *b-open* set .

1.13. Proposition

Let X be a topological space . let Y be an open subset of X and A is *b-open* set in Y . Then there exists a *b-open* set B in X such that $A = B \cap Y$.

Proof:

Let A is *b-open* set in Y , then by proposition 1.12 there exists an open set U

in Y such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^Y \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y$, then there exists an open set W in X such that $W \cap Y = U$. Let $B = A \cup W$, then

$$B \cap Y = (A \cup W) \cap Y = (A \cap Y) \cup (W \cap Y) = A \cup U = A \quad \text{to prove}$$

$W \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{W} \cap \overset{\circ}{B}$, since $W \subseteq A \cup W = B$, then $W \subseteq B$, since $B = A \cup W \subseteq \overline{W} \cup A$

$$\begin{aligned} &\subseteq \overline{W} \cup \overline{U}^Y \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y \subseteq \overline{W} \cup (\overline{U} \cap Y) \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y \subseteq \overline{W} \cup (\overline{W} \cap Y) \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y \\ &\subseteq \overline{W} \cup \overline{A}^{\circ Y} = \overline{W} \cup (\overline{A} \cap Y)^{\circ Y} = \overline{W} \cup (\overline{A} \cap Y)^{\circ Y} = \overline{W} \cup (\overline{A} \cap Y)^{\circ Y} \end{aligned}$$

(since $Y = \overset{\circ}{Y} = \overline{W} \cup \overset{\circ}{A} \subseteq \overline{W} \cup \overset{\circ}{B}$ (since $A \subseteq A \cup W = B$). Therefore
 $W \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{W} \cup \overset{\circ}{B}$.

1.14. Proposition

Let X be a topological space and $Y \subseteq X$. If G is a b -open set in X and Y is an open set in X , then $G \cap Y$ is b -open set in Y .

Proof :

Since G is a b -open set in X , then $G \subseteq \overline{\overset{\circ}{G}} \cup \overset{\circ}{G}$. But
 $\overline{(G \cap Y)}^Y \cup \overline{(G \cap Y)}^{\circ Y} \supseteq \overline{(G \cap Y)}^Y \cup \overline{(G \cap Y)}^{\circ Y} = (\overline{G \cap Y} \cap Y) \cup (\overline{G \cap Y} \cap Y) =$
 $(\overline{G \cap Y} \cap Y) \cup (\overline{G \cap Y} \cap Y)$ by proposition 1.3 $\supseteq ((\overline{G \cap Y}) \cap Y) \cup$
 $(\overline{G \cap Y} \cap Y) = (\overline{G} \cap Y) \cup (\overline{G} \cap Y)$
 $= (\overline{G} \cap Y) \cup (\overline{G} \cap Y) \supseteq G \cap Y$. Then $G \cap Y$ is a b -open in Y

1.15. Proposition

Let X be a topological space. Let Y be an open subset of X and A is b -open set in Y . Then A is b -open in X .

Proof :

Let A is b -open set in Y , then by proposition 1.12 there exist an open set G in Y such that $G \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{G}^Y \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y$, then there exist an open set W in X such that
 $W \cap Y = G,$ $A \subseteq \overline{A}^Y \cup \overset{\circ}{A}^Y = (\overline{A} \cap Y) \cup (\overset{\circ}{A} \cap Y) \subseteq$
 $\overline{A} \cup (\overset{\circ}{A} \cap Y) = \overline{A} \cup (\overset{\circ}{A} \cap Y) = \overline{A} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}$. Then $A \subseteq \overline{A} \cup \overset{\circ}{A}$. Hence A is b -open in X .

1.16. Proposition

For any subset A of a space X , the following statements are equivalent :

(i) A is b -closed set in X .

(ii) There exist a closed set C in X such that $C^\circ \cap \overline{A}^\circ \subseteq A \subseteq C$.

Proof :

(i)→(ii) Suppose that A is b -closed set, then A^c is b -open set. By proposition 1.12 there exists an open set G such that $G \subseteq A^c \subseteq \overline{G \cup A^c}$,
 $(\overline{G \cup A^c})^c \subseteq A \subseteq G^c$
 $(\overline{G \cup A^c})^c = \overline{G^c \cap A^c}^c$

$$= G^{c^c} \cap A^{c^c} = G^{c^c} \cap \overline{A^c}$$

Then $\overline{G^c} \cup \overline{A^c} \subseteq A \subseteq G^c$, let $C = G^c$. Then $C^c \cap \overline{A^c} \subseteq A \subseteq C$.

(ii)→(i) Suppose that there exists a closed set C such that $C^c \cap \overline{A^c} \subseteq A \subseteq C$, then $C^c \subseteq A^c \subseteq (C^c \cup \overline{A^c})^c$,

$(C^c \cup \overline{A^c})^c = C^{c^c} \cup \overline{A^c}^c = \overline{C^c} \cup \overline{A^c}^{c^c}$, let $C^c = G$, $G \subseteq A^c \subseteq \overline{G \cup A^c}$, then by proposition 1.12 A^c is b -open set. Therefore A is b -closed set.

1.17. Proposition [8]

A space X is *regular* space iff for every $x \in X$ and each open set U in X such that $x \in U$ there exists an open set W such that $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$.

1.18. Proposition [8]

A space X is *normal* space iff for every closed set $C \subseteq X$ and each open set U in X such that $C \subseteq U$ there exists an open set W such that $C \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$.

Section TWO

On ind and $b-ind$

2.1. Definition [7]

Let X be a topological space then $ind X = -1$ iff $X = \phi$, and if n is a positive integer or 0 then it is said that $ind X \leq n$ iff the following satisfied :

For each $x \in X$ and for each open set G containing x , there exists an open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ and $ind b(U) \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $ind X \leq n$ then we put $ind X = \infty$

This suggests the following :-

2.2. Definition

Let X be a topological space. we say that $b-ind X = -1$ iff $X = \phi$, and if n is a positive integer or 0 then we say that $b-ind X \leq n$ iff the following satisfied:

For each $x \in X$ and for each open set G containing x , there exists an $b-open$ set U such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ and $b-ind b(U) \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $b-ind X \leq n$ then we put $b-ind X = \infty$.

To find $b-ind b(U) \leq n - 1$ we have to know the topology on $b(U)$, then we have to get $b-ind$ of the boundary of open set in the topology of $b(U)$.

2.3. Example

The following example of a space X with $ind X = b-ind X = 0$. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $T = \{\{c\}, \{a, b\}, \phi, X\}$ the $b-open$ sets are $\{c\}, \{a, b\}, \phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}$. Since $\{a, b\}$ is an open and closed then $b\{a, b\} = \phi$, $ind b\{a, b\} = -1$ hence $ind X \leq 0$ and since $X \neq \phi$ then $ind X = 0$. And by theorem 2.6 then $b-ind X = 0$.

2.4. Example

Example 1.5 gives $ind X = b-ind X = 1$. Since $a \in \{a\} \subseteq X$ such that $b\{a\} = \overline{\{a\}} - \{a\}^\circ = \{a, c\} - \{a\} = \{c\}$. The topology on $\{c\}$ is indiscrete then $ind b\{a\} = -1$ if $b\{a\} = \phi$ or $ind b\{a\} = 0$ if $b\{a\} \neq \phi$ since X is not regular then $ind X \neq 0$ and since $ind b\{a\} = 0$ then $ind X = 1$. Since $\{a\}$ is $b-open$ then $b-ind X = 1$

2.5. Proposition

Let X be a topological space .If $indX$ is exist then $b-ind X \leq indX$

Proof:

By induction on n . It is clear $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n-1$. Now suppose that $ind X \leq n$, to prove $b-ind X \leq n$, let $x \in X$ and G is an open set in X such that $x \in G$ since $ind X \leq n$, then there exists an open set V in X such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $ind b(V) \leq n-1$ and since each open set is $b-open$ then V is an $b-open$ set such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $b-ind b(V) \leq n-1$. Hence $b-ind X \leq n$.

2.6. Theorem

Let X be a topological space , then $ind X = 0$ iff $b-ind X = 0$.

Proof :

By 2.5 if $ind X = 0$ then $b-ind X \leq 0$ and since $X \neq \phi$, then $b-ind X = 0$. Now let $b-ind X = 0$, let $x \in X$ and G an open set in X such that $x \in G$. Since $b-ind X = 0$ then there exists an $b-open$ set U such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ and $b-ind b(U) \leq -1$. Then $b(U) = \phi$, and thus $ind b(U) = -1$, so that $ind X \leq 0$, and since $X \neq \phi$, then $ind X = 0$.

It is known that if X is a topological space with $ind X = 0$ then X is a regular space (see [7])

Then we have the following :

2.7. Corollary

Let X be a topological space , if $b-ind X = 0$ then X is a regular space .

Proof:

Let $x \in X$ and G an $open$ set such that $x \in G$. Since $b-ind X = 0$ then there exists an $b-open$ set V such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $b-ind b(V) = -1$ then $b(V) = \phi$ hence V is an open and closed set .Therefore $x \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq G$ by proposition 1.17 Then X is a regular space .

It is known that a space X satisfies $ind X = 0$ iff it is not empty and has a base for its topology which consists of open and closed sets. (see[7])

Similarity, we have :

2.8. Corollary

A space X satisfies $b-ind X = 0$ iff it is not empty and has a base for its topology which consists of open and closed sets.

2.9. Theorem

If A is an open subspace of a space X , then $b-ind A \leq b-ind X$.

Proof:

By induction on n . It is clear $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n - 1$. Now suppose that $b-ind X \leq n$, to prove $b-ind A \leq n$, let $x \in A$ and G is an open subset in A such that $x \in G$, since G is an open in A , then there exists U open set in X such that $U \cap A = G$. Since $x \in U$ and $b-ind X \leq n$, then there exist an b -open set W in X such that $x \in W \subseteq U$ and $b-ind b(W) \leq n - 1$, then $V = W \cap A$ is b -open in A by proposition 1.14.

$$x \in V = W \cap A \subset U \cap A = G$$

$$b_A(V) \subseteq b(V) \cap A = (V - \overset{\circ}{V}) \cap A \subset (\overline{W} - \overset{\circ}{V}) \cap A$$

$$= (W \cap \overset{\circ}{V}) \cap A$$

$$= \left[\overline{W} \cap (\overline{W} \cup A)^{\circ} \right] \cap A$$

$$= \left[(\overline{W} \cap \overset{\circ}{W}) \cup (W \cap A^c) \right] \cap A \subseteq [b(W) \cup A^c] \cap A$$

$$= (b(W) \cap A) \cup (A^c \cap A)$$

$$= b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W)$$

Since $b-ind b(W) \leq n - 1$, then $b-ind b_A(V) \leq n - 1$, therefore $b-ind A \leq n$.

Section Three : On Ind and $b-Ind$

3.1. Definition [7]

Let X be a topological space . It is said that $Ind X = -1$ iff $X = \phi$ and if n is a positive integer or 0 then we say that $Ind X \leq n$ iff the following is satisfied :

For each closed set C in X and each open set G , $C \subseteq G$, there exists an open set U such that $C \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $Ind b(U) \leq n-1$. If there exists no integer n for which $Ind X \leq n$ then we put $Ind X = \infty$

This suggest the following :

3.2. Definition

Let X be a topological space . we say that $b-Ind X = -1$ iff $X = \phi$, and if n is a positive integer or 0 then we say that $b-Ind X \leq n$ iff the following is satisfied :

For each closed set C in X and each open set G , such that $C \subseteq G$, there exists an $b-open$ set U such that $C \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $b-Ind b(U) \leq n-1$. If there exists no integer n for which $b-Ind X \leq n$ then we put $b-Ind X = \infty$.To find $b-Ind b(U) \leq n-1$ we have to know the topology on $b(U)$, then we have to get $b-Ind$ of the boundary of open set in the topology of $b(U)$.

3.3. Example

The following example of a space X with $b-Ind X = Ind X = 0$. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $T = \{\{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \phi, X\}$. The $b-open$ sets are $\{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \phi, X$.Since $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq X \subseteq X$, $b(X) = \phi$ then $Ind b(X) = -1$ hence $Ind X = 0$ and since X is an $b-open$ then $b-Ind X = 0$.

3.4. Example

The following example of a space X with $Ind X = b-Ind X = 1$.Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $T = \{\{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, X, \phi\}$.
 The $b-open$ sets are:- $\{a\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, d, e\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, \{a, e\}, \{b, d\}, \{d, e\}, \{c, d, e\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, e\}, \{a, b\}, \phi, X$
 ..Since $\{c\} \subset \{c, d\} \subset X$ such

that $b\{c, d\} = \overline{\{c, d\}} - \{c, d\} = \{b, c, d, e\} - \{a, c, d\} = \{b, e\}$. The topology on $\{b, e\}$ is an indiscrete then $Ind\ b\{c, d\} = -1$ if $b\{c, d\} = \phi$ or $Ind\ b\{c, d\} = 0$ if $b\{c, d\} \neq \phi$ since X is not normal then $Ind\ X \neq 0$ and since $Ind\ b\{c, d\} = 0$ then $Ind\ X = 1$. Since $\{c, d\}$ is b -open then b - $ind\ X = 1$

3.5. Proposition[7]

Let X be a topological space, if $Ind\ X = 0$ then X is normal space.

3.6. Corollary

Let X be a topological space, if b - $Ind\ X = 0$, then X is normal space.

Proof:

Let C be a closed set in X and U an open set such that $C \subseteq U$. Since b - $Ind\ X = 0$, then there exist an b -open set W such that b - $Ind\ b(W) = -1$, hence W is an open and closed set. Therefore $C \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$ by proposition 1.18. Then X is a normal.

3.7. Proposition:

Let X be a topological space. If $Ind\ X$ exist then b - $Ind\ X \leq Ind\ X$.

Proof:

By induction on n . It is clear $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n - 1$. Now suppose that $Ind\ X \leq n$, to prove b - $Ind\ X \leq n$, let C be a closed set in X and G is an open set in X such that $C \subseteq G$ since $Ind\ X \leq n$, then there exists an open set V in X such that $C \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and $Ind\ b(V) \leq n - 1$ and since each open set is b -open then V is an b -open set such that $C \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and b - $Ind\ b(V) \leq n - 1$. Hence b - $Ind\ X \leq n$.

The following analogous to theorem 2.6 and its proof is similar and hence is omitted.

3.8. Theorem

Let X be a topological space. Then $Ind\ X = 0$ iff b - $Ind\ X = 0$.

It is known and easy to see that if X is T_1 -space, then $ind\ X \leq Ind\ X$ (see[7]).

Similarity we have :

3.9. Proposition

Let X be a topological space . If X is T_1 -space then $b-ind X \leq b-Ind X$.

Proof:

Let $x \in X$ and G be an open set such that $x \in G$,since X is T_1 -space then $\{x\} \subseteq G$ and since $b-Ind X \leq n$ then there exist an $b-open$ set V such that $\{x\} \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and $Ind b(V) \leq n-1$.Hence $ind b(V) \leq n-1$,then $ind X \leq n$.

It is known and easy to see that if X is a regular topological space ,then $ind X \leq Ind X$. (see[7])

Similarity , we have

3.10. Theorem

Let X be a topological space . If X is regular space then $b-ind X \leq b-Ind X$.

Proof :

By induction on n . If $n = -1$ then $b-Ind X = -1$ and $X = \phi$, so that $b-Ind X = -1$. Suppose that the statement is true for $n-1$. Now , let $b-Ind X \leq n$. Let $x \in X$ and G be an open set such that $x \in G$. Since X is regular space then there exists an open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq G$ by proposition 1.17. Also since $b-Ind X \leq n$ and \bar{V} is closed , $\bar{V} \subseteq G$, then there exists an $b-open$ set U such that $\bar{V} \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $b-Ind b(U) \leq n-1$, then $b-ind b(U) \leq n-1$ [by indication] and $b-ind X \leq n$.

3.11. Proposition[7]

If A is a closed subset of a space X , then $Ind A \leq Ind X$

We have the following :

3.12. Theorem

If A is an open and closed subspace of a space X , then $b-Ind A \leq b-Ind X$.

Proof :

By induction on n . It is clear if $n = -1$, suppose that it is true for $n - 1$. Now suppose that $b - Ind X \leq n$, to prove $b - Ind A \leq n$, let C is a closed subset of A and G is an open subset in A such that $C \subseteq G$, since C is closed in A and A is closed in X , then C is closed in X . Since G is an open in A , then there exists U open set in X such that $U \cap A = G$. Since $C \subseteq U$ and $b - Ind X \leq n$, then there exists an $b - open$ set W in X such that $C \subseteq W \subseteq U$ and $b - Ind b(W) \leq n - 1$, since A is an open set then $V = W \cap A$ is $b - open$ set in A by proposition 1.14 ,

$$C \subseteq V = W \cap A \subseteq U \cap A = G$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_A(V) &\subseteq b(V) \cap A = (\overline{V} - V^\circ) \cap A \subseteq (\overline{W} - V^\circ) \cap A = (\overline{W} \cap \overset{\circ}{V}) \cap A \\ &= \left[\overline{W} \cap (W^\circ \cup A^\circ) \right] \cap A \\ &= \left[(\overline{W} \cap W^\circ) \cup (\overline{W} \cap A^\circ) \right] \cap A \\ &\subseteq \left[b(W) \cup A^c \right] \cap A \\ &= (b(W) \cap A) \cup (A^c \cap A) = b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W) \end{aligned}$$

Since $b - Ind b(W) \leq n - 1$, then $b - Ind b_A(V) \leq n - 1$, therefore $b - Ind A \leq n$.

References

- [1] Najla Jabbar kaisam Al-Malaki, "Some Kinds of Weakly Connected and Pair wise Connected Space", M.Sc. Thesis University of Baghdad, College of Education \ Abn AL-Haitham ,2005.
- [2] D. Andrijevic, "On $b - open$ sets", Mat. Vesnik, 48(1996), 59 - 64.
- [3] P. Das, "Note on Some Applications on Semi Open sets", Progress of Mathematics , 7(1973).
- [4] J. Dugundji, Topology , Allyn and Bacon Boston , 1966.
- [5] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd-El-Mansef and S.N. El-Deeb, "On Pre-continuous and Weak Pre-continuous Mappings" ,Proc.Math. and Phys. Egypt 53(1982),47-53.
- [6] A.AL-Omari and M.S. Noorani, " Decomposition of Continuity Via $b - open$ set", Bol. Soc. Paran. Math. V. 26(1-2)(2008):53-64.
- [7] A.P. Pears, "On Dimension Theory of General Spaces", Cambridge University press ,1975.
- [8] N.J. Pervin, "Foundation of General Topology" A cadmic press, New York, 1964.