Page 156-165 Approximation of Functions by Means of the Modulus

$$\tau(f,\Delta)_{p,\mu}$$

Sahib K. AL- Saidy Zainab Esa Abdul Naby

Al – Mustansiriya University / College of Science

/ Department of Mathematics

E-mail: alsaidy\_1951@yahoo.co.uk

E-mail:esazainab@yahoo.com

$$\mathcal{T}(f,\Delta)_{p,\mu}$$
. تقریب الدوال بواسطة معدل القیاس معدل النبی عبد النبی د. صاحب کحیط الساعدی زینب عیسی عبد النبی الجامعة المستنصریة / کلیة العلوم / قسم الریاضیات

الخلاصسة

في بحثنا استخدمنا برهنتي وتني و رايز - تورن لإيجاد أفضل درجة تقريب للدوال بواسطة النماذج التكاملية في فضاء  $L_{p,u}(X)$  .

## **ABSTRACT**

In this paper, we are used Whitney's and Riesz-Torin Theorems to find the degree of best approximation of functions by means of the averaged modulus of smoothness in space  $L_{p,\mu}(X)$ .

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

### INTRODUCTION

Let X = [a,b];  $a,b \in R$  (the set of all real numbers). Then we define the space of all bounded measurable functions f on X, by norm a.e:

$$||f||_{p} = \left(\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{p} d(x)\right)^{1/p} < \infty ,$$
 (1.1)

and denoted by  $L_p(X)$ ,  $(1 \le p < \infty)$ , [1]. Also we denote by  $L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$   $(1 \le p < \infty)$ , of the space of all bounded  $\mu$ -measurable functions f on [a,b], and defined by:

$$||f||_{p,\mu} = \left(\int_{a}^{b} |f(x)|^{p} d\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} < \infty$$
 (1.2)

where  $\mu$  is the non-negative measure function on a countable set, [2]. For every function f we define the k-difference with step (h) at a point x as follows [3]:

$$\Delta_h^k f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k \left(-1\right)^{m+k} \binom{k}{m} f(x+mh), \quad x, x+mh \in [a,b]$$
(1.3)

And the kth locally of smoothness for  $f \in L_{\infty}[a,b]$ , (the set of all essentially bounded functions on [a,b]) is defined by [4]:

$$w_{k}(f,\delta) = \sup_{|h| < \delta} \left\{ \left| \Delta_{h}^{k} f(x) \right| : \left| h \right| \le \delta, x, x + kh \in [a,b] \right\}$$
 (1.4)

Also, for every bounded function f the following trivial estimate

holds: 
$$W_k(f,[a,b]) \le 2^k \|f\|_{C_{[a,b]}}$$
 (1.5)

where  $C[a,b] = \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$ ,[5].

$$\left| \Delta_h^k f(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i+k} {k \choose i} f(x+ih) \right|$$

Since

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} {k \choose i} \|f\|_{c_{[a,b]}} = 2^{k} \|f\|_{c_{[a,b]}}$$

In [5] the anther proved if f is a measurable bounded function on [a,b], then:

$$W_k(f,\delta)_p \le \tau_k(f,\delta)_p \le W_k(f,\delta)(b-a)^{1/p}, (1 \le p < \infty)$$

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

where

$$\tau_{k}(f,\delta)_{p} = \left\| w(f,\delta) \right\|_{p} = \left\| \sup_{|h| < \delta} \left\{ \left| \Delta_{h}^{k} f(x) \right| : \left| h \right| \le \delta, x, x + kh \in [a,b] \right\} \right\|_{p}$$

Also proved the following theorem which is now classical in approx-imation theory and numerical analysis. This theorem gives additional conditions which allow us to invert the above inequality.

## **Theorem 1, [5]:**

For each integer  $n \geq 1$  there is a number  $W_n$  with the following property, for any interval  $\Delta$  and for any continuous function f on  $\Delta$  there is a polynomial P of degree at most n-1 such that

$$|f(x) - P(x)| \le W_n w_n(f, \Delta) , x \in \Delta$$
 (1.6)

where  $W_n$  is called Whitney's constant,  $\Delta = [a,b]$ .

## **Definition** (Riesz – Torin Theorem), [5]:

Let T be a linear operator from the spaces  $L_p \big[ a,b \, \big]$  in to the spaces  $L_q \big[ a,b \, \big]$ , if there exists a constant k , for which

$$||Tf(x)||_{q[a,b]} \le k ||f(x)||_{p[a,b]}$$
,  $1 \le p < q < \infty$  (1.7)

For every function f in  $L_p[a,b]$ , we say that the operator T is of the type (p,q). The smallest number k with this property is called the (p,q)-norm of the operator T.

## **Theorem 2, [5]:**

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there is a number  $W_n$  and there is a polynomial  $p_n$  for each Lebesgue integral function f on [a,b], such that

$$\left| f - p_n \right| < W_n w_n (f, \Delta), \tag{1.8}$$

where  $W_n$  Whitney's constant,  $\Delta = [a,b]$ .

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

## *Lemma 1*, [5]:

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Let} L_n & \text{ be a linear operator and } \sum_n = \left\{ x_i : a = x_0 < \ldots < x_{n+1} = b \right\}. \text{ If } \\ f &\in M \left[ a, b \right]. \quad \text{Then} \quad L_n(f) \in L_p \left[ a, b \right], (1 \leq p \leq \infty) \\ \text{and} & \left\| L_n f \right\|_p \leq K \left\| f \right\|_{p \sum_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

where K is an absolute constant and M[a,b] the space of all measurable functions bounded on interval [a,b].

## **Lemma 2**, [2]:

Let  $\mu$  be a non – decreasing function on P, satisfying:  $\mu(y) - \mu(x) = \text{Constant}$  and  $1 , we put <math>w_{\mu}(\delta) = \sup_{0 < y - x \le \delta} \left( \mu(y) - \mu(x) \right), \ \delta > 0$ , and

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\max_{x\in I_k}\left|P_n\right|^p\right)^{1/p}\leq C(p)\left\|P_n\right\|_p,$$

where  $P_n$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree at most n and

$$I_{k} = \left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}\right] \text{ Then } \left\|P_{n}\right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C(p) \left(nw_{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^{1/p} \left\|P_{n}\right\|_{p} \tag{1.10}$$

## **Lemma 3,** [2]:

Let f be a bounded  $\mu$  - measurable function and  $1 \le p < \infty$  . Then  $\left\|f\right\|_{p} \le C(p) \left\|f\right\|_{p,\mu} \quad , \tag{1.11}$ 

where C(p) is a constant depends only on p.

### 2-Main Results

Now we are using the interpolation results of the Whitney's theorem and the Riesz-Torin theorem [4], [5] to obtain interpolation theorems which are using of the averaged modulus of smoothness.

#### Lemma 4:

Let f be a  $2\pi$  – periodic bounded  $\mu$  - measurable function then:

Vol 3 No.2 **Year 2011** 

$$\tau_k(f, n\delta)_{p,\mu} \le (2n)^{k+1} \tau_k(f, \delta)_{p,\mu} , 1 \le p < \infty$$

**Proof:** 

We use the identity 
$$\Delta_{nh}^{k} f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)} A_{i}^{n,k} \Delta_{h}^{k} f(t+ih)$$
 (2.1)

where  $A_i^{n,k}$  are defined by

$$\left(1+t+\ldots+t^{n-1}\right)^k = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)k} A_i^{n,k} t^i = n^k$$
 (2.2)

since 
$$\tau_k(f, n\delta)_{p,\mu} = \|w_k(f, x, n\delta)\|_{p,\mu}$$

we get 
$$\tau_k(f, n\delta)_{p,\mu} \leq \tau_k(f, [n]\delta)_{p,\mu}$$

$$= \left\| \sup_{|h| \le \delta} \left[ \left| \frac{\Delta^k}{2} f(t) \right| : t, t + k [n] h \in \left[ x - \frac{k [n] \delta}{2}, x + \frac{k [n] \delta}{2} \right] \cap [a, b] \right] \right\|_{p, \mu}$$

$$= \left\| \sup_{|h| \le \delta} \left[ \left| \sum_{i=0}^{[n-1]k} A_i^{[n],k} \Delta_i^k f(t+ih) \right| \right]:$$

$$t + ih, t + ih + nh \in \left[x - \frac{k[n]\delta}{2}, x + \frac{k[n]\delta}{2}\right] \cap [a,b]$$

$$W_{k}(f,x,n\delta) \le \sum_{i=0}^{(2n-1)k} A_{i}^{2n,k} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} W_{k}\left(f,x-(n-j)\frac{k\delta}{2},\delta\right)$$
 (2.3)

since.

$$t + ih, t + ih + nh \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{2(n)-1} \left[ x - \frac{k[n]\delta}{2} + (j-1)\frac{k\delta}{2}, x + \frac{k[n]\delta}{2} + (j+1)\frac{k\delta}{2} \right]$$

So that by using definition of local modulus of smoothness and (2.2),(1.5) we obtain

**Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011** 

$$\begin{split} &\tau_{k}(f, n\delta)_{p,\mu} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)k} A_{i}^{[2n],k} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} w_{k} \left( f, x - (n-j) \frac{k \, \delta}{2}, \delta \right) \right\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq 2n^{k} \left[ \int_{a}^{b} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} w_{k} \left( f, x - (n-j) \frac{k \, \delta}{2}, \delta \right) \right|^{p} d_{\mu}(x) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2n^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left[ \int_{a}^{b} \left| w_{k} \left( f, x - (n-j) \frac{k \, \delta}{2}, \delta \right) \right|^{p} d_{\mu}(x) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2n^{k} (2n-1) \left[ \int_{a-(n-j)\frac{k \, \delta}{2}}^{b-(n-j)\frac{k \, \delta}{2}} \left| w_{k} \left( f, x, \delta \right) \right|^{p} d_{\mu}(x) \right]^{1/p} \\ &= 2n^{k} (2n-1) \cdot \tau_{k} (f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \\ &= (2n^{k} \cdot 2n - 2n^{k}) \tau_{k} (f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \\ &= (2n^{k+1} - 2n^{k}) \tau_{k} (f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq 2n^{k+1} \tau_{k} (f, \delta)_{p,\mu} \end{split}$$

#### Lemma 5:

Let  $\sum_{n} = \{x_i, a = x_0 < \ldots < x_{n+1} = b\}$  be a partition of the interval [a,b] into n+1 subintervals and let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer . Using the notation  $\Delta_i = |x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}|$ ,  $i = 1,2,\ldots,n$ ,  $d_n = \max\{\Delta_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ 

Then 
$$\|w_k(f, x_i, 2h)\|_{p, \mu \sum} \le 2^{1/p + 2(k+1)} \tau_k(f, h + \frac{d_n}{k})_{p, \mu}$$
 (2.4)

## **Proof:**

From (1.9) and (1.10), (1.5) we have

$$\|w_{k}(f,x_{i},2h)\|_{p,\mu\sum} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |w_{k}(f,x_{i},2h)|^{p} \Delta_{i} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} |w_{k}(f,x_{i},2h)|^{p} d_{\mu}(x_{i}) \right\}^{1/p}$$

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

$$\leq 2^{1/p} c_{1}(p) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i+1}} \left| w_{k}(f, x, 2(h + \frac{d_{n}}{k})) \right|^{p} d(x) \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq 2^{1/p} c_{2}(p) \tau_{k} (f, 2(h + \frac{d_{n}}{k}))_{p}$$

$$\leq 2^{1/p} c_{3}(p) \tau_{k} (f, 2(h + \frac{d_{n}}{k}))_{p,\mu}$$

$$\leq 2^{1/p+2(k+1)} c_{3}(p) \tau_{k} (f, \frac{d_{n}}{k})_{p,\mu}$$

Now, the Whitney's theorem for  $f \in L_{p,\mu}(\Delta)$  spaces, have been proved.

## Theorem 2.1:

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there is a number  $W_n$  and there is a polynomial  $p_n$  for each Lebesgue Integral function f on [a,b] such that,

$$||f - p_n||_{p,\mu} \le W_n \tau_k (f, [a,b])_{p,\mu}$$
 (2.5)

where  $W_n$  is Whitney's constant.

#### **Proof:**

Let 
$$g = f d_{\mu}(x)$$

From (1.8), (1.6), (1.11) and (1.4) there is a polynomial  $p_n$  of degree n-1 such that

$$|g - p_{n}| \leq W_{n} w_{k} (g, [a,b])$$

$$= W_{n} \cdot \sup \{ |\Delta_{h}^{k} g(t)| : |h| \leq \delta, t, t + kh \in [a,b] \}, h > 0$$

$$||g - p_{n}||_{p} \leq W_{n} ||f - p_{n}||_{p,\mu} = W_{n} \left( \int_{\Delta} |\sup \Delta_{h}^{k} f(t)|^{p} d_{\mu}(t) : t, t + kh \in [a,b] \right)^{1/p}$$

$$= C(p) \tau_{k} (f, [a,b])_{p,\mu}$$

We shall call the polynomial  $p=p_n(f)$  for which theorem 2 is valid Whitney's polynomial for the function  $f\in L_{p,\mu}$  of degree (n-1).

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

### Theorem 2.2:

Let L be a bounded linear operator on  $L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$  and let L(P)=P, for every polynomial  $P\in H_{n-1}$ , where  $H_{n-1}$  is the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree n-1. Then for every function  $f\in L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$ , we

have 
$$\|f - L(f)\|_{p,\mu} \le C(p) W_n \tau_k \left( f, \frac{b-a}{n} \right)_{p,\mu}$$
 (2.6)

where  $W_n$  is Whitney's constant.

### **Proof:**

Let  $P_n(f)$  be polynomial for f of degree n-1. Then using (1.8), (1.10) and (1.11) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|f - L(f)\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \|f - P_n(f)\|_{p,\mu} + \|P_n(f) - L(P_n(f))\|_{p,\mu} \\ &+ \|L(P_n(f)) - L(f)\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \|f - P_n(f)\|_{p,\mu} + \|P_n(f) - L(P_n(f))\|_{p,\mu} \\ &+ \|L\|_{p,\mu} \cdot \|f - P_n(f)\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq (1 + \|L\|_{p,\mu}) \|f - P_n(f)\|_{p,\mu} + \|P_n(f) - L(P_n(f))\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq C_1(p)(1 + \|L\|_p) \cdot \|f - P_n(f)\|_p \\ &\leq C_1(p)W_n(1 + \|L\|_p) \cdot w_k(f, [a,b])_p \\ &\leq C_2(p)W_n(1 + \|L\|_p) \tau_k(f, \frac{b-a}{n})_p \\ &\leq C_3(p)W_n(1 + \|L\|_{p,\mu}) \tau_k(f, \frac{b-a}{n})_{p,\mu} \\ &= C_4(p)W_n \tau_k(f, \frac{b-a}{n})_{p,\mu} \end{split}$$
 where 
$$C_4(p) = C_3(p)(1 + \|L\|_{p,\mu})$$

## where $\mathcal{L}_{4}(p)$ $\mathcal{L}_{3}(p)$ (1 · $||\mathcal{L}||_{p,\mu}$

### Theorem 2.3:

Let F be a bounded linear functional on  $L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$ , let F(P)=0 for every  $P\in H_{n-1}$ . Then for every  $f\in L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$ ,

**Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011** 

$$||F(f)||_{p,\mu} \le M W_n \tau_k (f, b-a)_{p,\mu}$$
 (2.7)

where M is a constant.

#### **Proof:**

By using (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(f)\|_{p,\mu} &\leq \|F(f-p)\|_{p,\mu} + \|F(p)\|_{p,\mu} \\ &= \|F(f-p)\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq \|F\|_{p,\mu} \cdot \|f-p\|_{p,\mu} \\ &\leq M W_n \tau_k (f, [a,b])_{p,\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shows Riesz – Torin Theorem in the spaces of all functions belongs to  $L_{p,\mu}\left[a,b\right]$  .

## Theorem 2.4:

Let T be a linear operator from the spaces  $L_{p,\mu}\left[a,b\right]$  into the spaces  $L_{p,\mu}\left[a,b\right]$ , if there exists a constant K, for which

$$||Tf(x)||_{a,u} \le K ||f(x)||_{a,u}$$
 (2.8)

For every  $f \in L_{p,\mu}\left[a,b\right]$  , then the operator T is of type (p,q) .

#### **Proof:**

By using (1.10), (1.7) and (1.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n f\|_{q,\mu} &\leq C_1(q) \|T_n f\|_q \leq K C_1(q) \|f\|_p \\ &= C_2(p) \|f\|_p , \quad C_2(p) = K C_1(q) \\ &\leq K C_3(p) \|f\|_{p,\mu} \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem 2.5:

Let  $L_n$  be a linear operator, If  $f \in L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$ , then  $L_n(f) \in L_{p,\mu}[a,b]$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $\|L_n(f)\|_{p,\mu} \le k \|f\|_{p,\mu} \sum_n$  (2.9) where k is an absolute constant .

#### **Proof:**

Vol 3 No.2 Year 2011

By (1.9) and (1.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| L_{n} f \right\|_{p,\mu} &\leq C_{1}(p) \left\| L_{n} f \right\|_{p} \\ &\leq C_{2}(p) \left\| f \right\|_{p} \\ &\leq C_{3}(p) \left\| f \right\|_{p,\mu \sum_{n}} \end{aligned} \qquad \Box$$

## **CONCLUSIONS**

- 1- The best approximation of bounded  $\mu$  measurable function in  $L_{p,\mu}$  spaces by using Whitney's constant have been found.
- 2- The best approximation of bounded  $\,\mu$  measurable function in  $L_{p,\mu}$  spaces by using Riesz Torin Theorem, have also been found.

## References

- [1] Z. Ditzian (2007), "Polynomial Approximation and  $w_{\varphi}^{r}(f,t)$  Twenty Years Later, "Surveys in Approximation Thorey Volum 3, 2007, pp, 106-151.
- [2] A. H. Al Abdulla (2005), "On Equiapproximation of Bounded  $\mu$ -Measurable Function in  $L_p(\mu)$ -Spaces", Ph.D. Thesis, Baghdad University, Mathematical Department, College of Education (Ibn Al Haitham).
- [3] Radu Paltanea(2004), "Approximation Theory Using Positive Linear Oper ators", Birkhauser Boston.Basel.Berlin. B. Sendov, V. A.
- [4] T.TUNC (2007), "On Whithney Constants for Differentiable Functions", Methods Functional Analysis and Topology Vol.13, no. 1, pp.95-100.
- [5] Popov (1983), "The Averaged Moduli of moothness", Sofia.