

Page 166-173 On 3 – Monotone Approximation by Piecewise Positive Functions

Eman Samir Bhaya

Malik Saad Al-Muhja

*University of Babylon,
 College of Education
 Department of Mathematics*

**University of Al-Muthana,
 College of Sciences,
 Department of Mathematics
 and Computer Application**

Abstract.

In 2005 Halgwrđ [3], introduced a paper for $f \in C[-1,1]$ with $1 < p < \infty$, be a convex function, we are interested in estimating the degree of 3-monotone approximation for the function f , which are copositive on $[-1,1]$. We obtained that f and g are piecewise positive in $[-1,1]$ in terms of the Ditzian-Totik modulus of smoothness .

1. Introduction and auxiliary results.

Let $Y_s = \{a < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_s < b\}$, $s \geq 0$. We denote by $\Delta^0(Y_s)$, the set of all functions f , such that $(-1)^{s-k} f(x) \geq 0$, for $x \in [y_j, y_{j+1}]$, $0 \leq k \leq s$. Functions f and g , that belong to the same class $\Delta^0(Y_s)$ are said to be *copositive* on $[a,b]$. *Copositive approximation* is the approximation of a function f , from $\Delta^0(Y_s)$, class by polynomials that are copositive with f . Also , let $E_n^0(f, k)_p = \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)} \|f - p_n\|_p$ be the *degree of copositive polynomial approximation* of f .

We denote $J_j(n, \varepsilon) = [y_j - \Delta_n(y_j)n^\varepsilon, y_j + \Delta_n(y_j)n^\varepsilon] \cap [a,b]$, $0 \leq j \leq s+1$, and denote $O_n(Y_s, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{j=1}^s J_j(n, \varepsilon)$, and $O_n^*(Y_s, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{s+1} J_j(n, \varepsilon)$. [2]

Functions f and g are called *weakly almost copositive* on I , with respect to Y_s if they are copositive on $I \setminus O_n^*(Y_s, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. We define a function class $(\varepsilon - alm\Delta)_n^0(Y_s) = \{f : (-1)^{s-k} f(x) \geq 0, \text{ for } x \in I \setminus O_n^*(Y_s, \varepsilon)\}$, the set of all weakly almost nonnegative functions on I , if $\varepsilon > 0$.

The degree of weakly almost copositive polynomial approximation of f in $L_p[a, b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, by means $p \in \Pi_n \cap (\varepsilon - alm\Delta)_n^0(Y_s)$ is $E_n^0(f, \varepsilon - almY_s)_p$
 $= \inf \{ \|f - p\|_p : p \in \Pi_n \cap (\varepsilon - alm\Delta)_n^0(Y_s) \}$.

These results can be summarized in the following theorem (see [5] and [8]).

Theorem A.

There are functions f_1 and f_2 in $C^1[-1,1]$, with $r \geq 1$, sign changes such that
 $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_n^0(f_1, r)}{\omega_4(f_1, n^{-1}, [-1,1])} = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_n^0(f_2, r)_p}{\omega_2(f_2, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p} = \infty, 1 < p < \infty,$
 where $E_n^0(f, r)_p$ is the degree of the best copositive L_p (C if $p = \infty$),
 approximation to f , by polynomials from Π_n .

Recently, Y. Hu, D. Leviatan and X. M. Yu [6], showed that theorem A can be considerably improved, thus together with theorem A, revealing an interesting and unexpected difference between the cases $p = \infty$, and $1 < p < \infty$, for copositive polynomial approximation. Their result is stated as follows.

Theorem B.

Let $f \in C[-1,1]$, change sign r , times at $-1 < y_1 < \dots < y_r < 1$, and let $\delta = \min_{0 \leq i \leq r} |y_{i+1} - y_i|$, where $y_0 = -1$ and $y_{r+1} = 1$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(r, \delta)$, but otherwise independent of f and n , such that for each $n \geq 4\delta^{-1}$, there is a polynomial $p_n \in \Pi_{Cn}$, copositive with f , satisfying

$$\|f - p_n\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]} \leq C \omega_2(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1]). \tag{1.1}$$

In [2] Bhaya, E. and other, showed that in the second result ω_2 in (1.1) can not be replaced by $\omega_3(f, b-a, [a, b])_p$, for $0 < p < 1$, i.e., she proved.

Theorem C.

Given any $A > 0, n \in \tilde{N}, a < 0, 0 < b, 0 < p < 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, there exists f in $L_p[a, b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, such that

$$E_n^0(f, \varepsilon - almY_s)_p > \omega_3(f, b-a, [a, b])_p. \tag{1.2}$$

The second result in [2], shows that τ -modulus of any order $k > 0$ can be used for $0 < p < 1$.

Theorem D.

Let f in $L_p[a, b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, $0 < p < 1$, and k be a positive integer. Then there exists a polynomial p_{k-1} in $\Pi_{k-1} \cap (\varepsilon - alm\Delta)_n^0(Y_s)$, satisfying $\|f - P_n\|_p \leq c(p)\tau_k(f, b-a, [a, b])_p$.

2. The main results

We will modify this polynomial near the points of sign change obtaining a smooth piecewise polynomial approximation f_n , with controlled first and third derivatives. We will consider σ_i that its convexity at $\{y_i, y'_i, y''_i\}$ with f .

Theorem 2.1

Let f in $L_p[a, b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$. Then for each $n \geq 4\delta^{-1}$, there exists a function f_n in $\Delta^3[-1, 1] \cap (S - \Delta^0(Y_s))$, copositive with f in $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i$, such that

$$\|f - f_n\|_{L_p[-1, 1]} \leq C(k)\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|\phi(x)^3 f_n^{(3)}(x)\|_{L_p[-1, 1]} \leq C(k)n^3\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|\Delta_n(x)f'_n(x)\|_{L_p[-1, 1]} \geq C\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p, \text{ for } x \in Y, \tag{2.4}$$

where $(S - \Delta^0(Y_s))$ is the set of all piecewise positive.

Proof. Let $n \geq 4\delta^{-1}$, and index $1 \leq i \leq k$, be fixed. For $x \in I_i^*$, we set σ_i to be the polynomial of degree ≤ 2 , which vanishes at y_i ,

$$\sigma_i(x) = \frac{x - y_i}{y''_i - y'_i} \left\{ \frac{x - y'_i}{y''_i - y_i} \sigma_i(y''_i) + \frac{x - y''_i}{y_i - y'_i} \sigma_i(y'_i) \right\} \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma_i(y'_i)$ and $\sigma_i(y''_i)$ are chosen so that

$$|\sigma_i(y'_i)| = \begin{cases} c\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p \operatorname{sgn}(f(y'_i)) & \text{if } |f(y'_i)| \leq c\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1, 1])_p, \\ f(y'_i) & \text{o.w} \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\sigma_i(y_i'')| = \begin{cases} c\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \operatorname{sgn}(f(y_i'')) & ; \text{if } |f(y_i'')| \leq c\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p, \\ f(y_i'') & ; \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

If $f(y_i') = 0$, then $\operatorname{sgn}(f(y_i'))$, equals the sign f on (y_{i-1}, y_i) . Since $\sigma_i \in \Pi_2$, and $\sigma_i(y_i')$ and $\sigma_i(y_i'')$, have opposite signs, then the only zero of σ_i in I_i^* is y_i .

Hence, σ_i is copositive with f in I_i^* . Also, the first derivative of σ_i ,

$$\sigma_i'(x) = \frac{2x - y_i - y_i'}{(y_i'' - y_i')(y_i'' - y_i)} \sigma_i(y_i'') + \frac{2x - y_i - y_i''}{(y_i'' - y_i')(y_i - y_i')} \sigma_i(y_i')$$

is a linear function, and

$$\sigma_i'\left(\frac{y_i + y_i'}{2}\right) = \frac{-\sigma_i(y_i')}{(y_i - y_i')}, \text{ and } \sigma_i'\left(\frac{y_i + y_i''}{2}\right) = \frac{\sigma_i(y_i'')}{(y_i'' - y_i)}$$

are of the same sign, which implies that σ_i' , does not change sign in ρ_i , and for any $x \in \rho_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_i'(x)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &\geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min \left\{ \left| \sigma_i'\left(\frac{y_i + y_i'}{2}\right) \right|, \left| \sigma_i'\left(\frac{y_i + y_i''}{2}\right) \right| \right\} = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \min \left\{ \frac{|\sigma_i(y_i')|}{|y_i - y_i'|}, \frac{|\sigma_i(y_i'')|}{|y_i'' - y_i|} \right\} \\ &\geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{c\Delta_n(x)} \min \{ |\sigma_i(y_i')|, |\sigma_i(y_i'')| \} \\ &\geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{\Delta_n(x)} \min \{ \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p, \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \} \\ &\geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{\Delta_n(x)} \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From [3], we have

$$\|f - \sigma_i\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p. \tag{2.6}$$

It is well known (see proof of Lemma 8 in [7]), that there exists a polynomial $Q_n(x)$, of degree $\leq n$, which is a polynomial of best approximation to f in $[-1,1]$, and satisfying

$$\|f - Q_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\|\phi(x)^3 Q_n^{(3)}(x)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq Cn^3 \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, we define the piecewise polynomial function $S(x) \in C[-1,1]$, as follows

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; \text{if } x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_i^*, \\ 0 & ; \text{if } x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i, \\ c & ; \text{if } x \in \left[y'_i, \frac{y_i + y'_i}{2} \right], 1 \leq i \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Finally , the function

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} |Q_n(x) - \sigma_i(x)| S(x) + \sigma_i(x) & ; \text{if } x \in I_i^*, \\ Q_n(x) & ; \quad \quad \quad o.w \end{cases}$$

is copositive with f in $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i$, and indeed f_n , coincides with σ_i in

ρ_i , and , let C be an absolute constant such that

$$\|f - f_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C \|f - \sigma_i\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p .$$

From (2.5), then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_n(x) f'_n(x)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &\geq Ch_{j(i)} \|f'_n(x)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \geq C \Delta_n(x) \frac{1}{\Delta_n(x)} \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \\ &= C \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p . \end{aligned}$$

Now , to prove the remaining (2.3), for $x \in \left[y'_i, \frac{y_i + y'_i}{2} \right]$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ (for

$x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^k I_i^*$, from (2.8), we have (2.3) is valid , and for $x \in Y$ it is trivial), from [4], look at

$$\left| \phi(x)^3 f_n^{(3)}(x) \right| \leq C n^3 |I_i^*|^3 \sum_{v=0}^3 |Q_n^{(v)} - \sigma_i^{(v)}| |S^{(3-v)}| , \text{ such that}$$

$\phi(x) \approx n \Delta_n(x) \approx n |I_i^*|$, for $x \in I_i^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \phi(x)^3 f_n^{(3)}(x) \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &\leq \left\| \phi(x)^3 f_n^{(3)}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C n^3 |I_i^*|^3 \sum_{v=0}^3 \|Q_n^{(v)} - \sigma_i^{(v)}\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]} \|S^{(3-v)}\|_{L_\infty[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C(p, v, n, 2) n^3 |I_i^*|^{3-k-1} \sum_{v=0}^3 \|Q_n - \sigma_i\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \|S\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C(p, v, n, 2) n^3 \left(\|Q_n - f\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + \|f - \sigma_i\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Now , from (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$\left\| \phi(x)^3 f_n^{(3)}(x) \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C(p, v, n, 2) n^3 \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p .$$

Also , let us introduce the following auxiliary proposition .

Proposition 2.9

If \hat{f} in $C^3[-1,1] \cap L_p[-1,1]$ is such that $\left| (1-x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{f}^{(3)}(x) \right| \leq M$, $x \in [-1,1]$, $-1 < y_1 < \dots < y_k < 1$, and $\delta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} |y_{i+1} - y_i|$, then for every $n \geq C$, there exists a polynomial $p_n \in \Pi_n$, such that

$$\left\| \hat{f} - p_n \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C \omega_3^\phi(\hat{f}, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\left\| \Delta_n(x)(\hat{f}' - p_n') \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C \frac{2}{n} \omega_2^\phi(\hat{f}', n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \quad (2.11)$$

where the constant C , depends only on k and p .

Proof. Note that (2,10) is trivial (see [1] theorem 3.2.1). In (2.11) is valid since $x \in [-1,1]$, then from [1], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Delta_n(x)(\hat{f}' - p_n') \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &\leq \frac{2}{n} \left\| \hat{f}' - p_n' \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C \frac{2}{n} \omega_2^\phi(\hat{f}', n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p . \end{aligned}$$

Now , let us introduce the following theorem as a main result

Theorem 2.12

Let f in $L_p[a,b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, change sign $k \geq 1$, times at $-1 < y_1 < \dots < y_k < 1$, and let $\delta = \min_{0 \leq i \leq k} |y_{i+1} - y_i|$, where $y_0 = -1$ and $y_{k+1} = 1$. Then there exists a constant C , such that for each $n > C$, there is a function g in $L_p[a,b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, copositive with f , and satisfying

$$\left\| f - g \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \quad (2.13)$$

where the constant C , depends only on k .

Proof. If $n \geq 4\delta^{-1}$, there exists $p_N \in \Pi_N$, let $g = p_N + 2^k \left\| f - p_N \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \eta \prod_{i=1}^k T_N(y_i, x)$ in $L_p[a,b] \cap \Delta^0(Y_s)$, where N is sufficiently large ($N = ((18\sqrt{C}) + 1)n$ will do) [4], and $\eta = \pm 1$ is such that $\text{sgn}(f(x)) = \eta \prod_{i=1}^k \text{sgn}(x - y_i)$.

Also , let f_n in $\Delta^3[-1,1] \cap (S - \Delta^0(Y_s))$ be a function which was described

in theorem 2.1 . (3.9) can be written as

$$\left\| (1-x^2)^{3/2} f_n^{(3)}(x) \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C(k)n^3 \omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p .$$

It follows from proposition 2.9, that there exists a polynomial $p_N \in \Pi_N$, best approximation to f_n and satisfies (2.7), such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - p_N\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &\leq \|f_n - f\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + \|f - p_N\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\|\Delta_n(x)(f'_n - p'_N)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \leq C\frac{2}{n}\omega_2^\phi(f'_n, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p . \quad (2.15)$$

Together with (2.4), this implies $\text{sgn}(p_N(x)) = \text{sgn}(f_n(x))$, $x \in Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i$.

In turn , it follows that p_N is copositive with f in $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i$, and also by (2.2), (2.10) and (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\|_{L_p[-1,1]} &= \|f - f_n + f_n - g\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq \|f - f_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + \|f_n - g\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq \|f - f_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + \left\| f_n - p_N - 2^k \|f - p_N\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \eta \prod_{i=1}^k T_N(y_i, x) \right\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq \|f - f_n\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + \|f_n - p_N\|_{L_p[-1,1]} + C\|f - p_N\|_{L_p[-1,1]} \\ &\leq C\omega_3^\phi(f, n^{-1}, [-1,1])_p . \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Al-Muhja , Malik S. , On k -monotone approximation in L_p spaces , M. Sc. Thesis , University of Kufa , 2009 .
- [2] Bhaya , E. and A. H. , **Weak Copositive Approximation and Whitney Theorem in L_p** , $0 < p < 1$, J. J. App. , Sci. , Vol8 , No.2 , 51-57 , 2006 .
- [3] Halgwrđ M. , **On the Shape Preserving Approximation** , Mc. Sc. Thesis , Babylon University , 2005 .
- [4] Kopotun , Kirill A. , **On Copositive Approximation by Algebraic Polynomials** , AMS , 1991 .
- [5] S. P. Zhou , **A Counterexample in Copositive Approximation** , Israel J. Math. , 78 ; 75-83 , 1992 .
- [6] Y. Hu , D. Leviatan and X. M. Yu , **Copositive Polynomial Approximation in $C[0,1]$** , J. Anal. , 1 ; 85-90 , 1993 .

- [7] Yingkang Hu , **On Equivalence of Moduli of Smoothness of Polynomial in L_p** , $0 < p \leq \infty$, J. Approx. Theory , 136 ; 182-197 , 2005 .
- [8] Zhou , S. P. , **On Coperative Approximation** , Approx. Theory Appl. , 104-110 , 1993 .