

$\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra Metric Space and Some Results Fixed point Theorems

Noori F. AL-Mayahi

Department of Mathematics ,
College of Computer Science and Mathematics ,
University of AL –Qadisiya
nfam60@yahoo.com

Sarim H. Hadi

Department of Mathematics,
Collage of Education for Pure Science
University of Al-Basrah,
sarim.h2014@yahoo.com

Recived : 29\8\2017

Revised : 7\9\2017

Accepted : 11\9\2017

Abstract:

On the aim and properties of C^* - algebra, in this paper we introduce the two concepts $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra and $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space as well as introduce concept convergent and Cauchy sequence in space and to study the existence of fixed point theorems with contraction condition and $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra expansion on this space.

Keywords: $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra, $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, convergent, contraction function, fixed point theorem and $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra expansion .

Mathematical subject classification: 03E72 , 46 S40.

1. Introduction:

At the beginning of the introduction here we must introduce the concept of C^* – algebra presented by which [2]. The main idea \mathbb{B} is a unital algebra with unit I . An involution on \mathbb{B} is a conjugate linear function $b \rightarrow b^*$ satisfying

$$(i) (b^*)^* = b, \quad (ii) (bc)^* = c^*b^*,$$

for all $b, c \in \mathbb{B}$. $(\mathbb{B}, *)$ is called $*$ – algebra.

Banach $*$ – algebra is a $*$ – algebra \mathbb{B} with complete submultiplicative norm such that $\|b^*\| = \|b\|$. A C^* – algebra is a Banach $*$ – algebra such that $\|b^*b\| = \|b\|^2$ [2,6].

Clearly that under the norm topology, $L(H)$, the set of all bounded linear operator on Hilbert space H , is a C^* – algebra.

As we previously knew, Banach contraction is a very useful, a simple and classical tool in modern analysis. Also, it is important tool for solving problems of presence in many branches of mathematics. In general, fixed point theory has been mainstreamed, with the usual contractive condition is replaced by a new contractive condition. On the other hand, work spaces are replaced by metric spaces with an order on closed and bounded Banach algebra. In recent years, O Reagan and Petrusel [5] began investigations on a fixed theoretical point in the required metric space. And that many authors mainstream their theories a fixed point on a different type of C^* – algebra metric algebra spaces [3,4]. in this paper We will present Based on the concept and property of $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra we first introduce a concept of $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, some fixed point theorems for function the contractive condition and $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra expansion on such space.

Now: Suppose the following two conditions:

$\mathcal{C} =$
 $\{\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{M} \text{ is a } C^* \text{ – subalgebra closed subset of } \mathbb{B}\}.$

$\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{L}$
 $: \mathcal{L} \text{ is a } C^*$
 $\text{– subalgebra closed and bounded subset of } \mathbb{B}\}.$

In the item, \mathcal{B} mean to us that $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra.

2- Main Results

Definition (2.1): Suppose that the function $d_{\mathcal{B}}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is defined, with the following properties:

- (1) $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) \geq 0_{\mathcal{B}}$, for all x, y in X .
- (2) $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) = 0_{\mathcal{B}} \Leftrightarrow x = y$.
- (3) $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) = d_{\mathcal{B}}(y, x)$ for all x, y in X .
- (4) $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, z) \leq d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
 Then $d_{\mathcal{B}}$ is said to be a $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric on X , and $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is said to be a $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space.

Definition (2.2): Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}} 0_{\mathcal{B}}$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then it is said that $\{x_n\}$ is converge to x .

Definition (2.3): Suppose that $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{B} . If for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{m+n}, x_n) \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}} 0_{\mathcal{B}}$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then it is called a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{B}

Note: A $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is complete if every Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{B} is convergent.

Example (2.4): If X is a topological space, $CB(X)$ be a collection closed and bounded continuous subalgebra of algebra $\ell^\infty(X)$, then $CB(X)$ is C^* – algebra.

For $u, v \in CB(X)$, we define $d_{\mathcal{B}}: X \times X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(u, v) = \max(|u_1 - v_1|, |u_2 - v_2|)$$

Where $u = [u_1, u_2], v = [v_1, v_2]$

Then $d_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, and $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space.

Definition (2.5),[3]: Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a complete metric space and $h: X \rightarrow X$ be a function such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, hy) \leq \lambda d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y),$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Then h has a fixed point, i.e., there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $hx = x$.

Definition (2.6),[4]: An element $a \in \mathcal{B}$ is invertible if there is element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $ab = ba = 1$

$$Inv(\mathcal{B}) = \{a \in \mathcal{B}: a \text{ is invertible}\}$$

Lemma (2.7): Let \mathcal{B} be a unital $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra with a unit $1_{\mathcal{B}}$

- 1- If $b \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we have $b \leq 1_{\mathcal{B}} \Leftrightarrow \|b\| \leq 1_{\mathcal{B}}$.
- 2- If $b \in \mathcal{B}_+$ with $\|b\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $1_{\mathcal{B}} - b$ is invertible.
- 3- If $b, c \in \mathcal{B}_+$ and $cb = bc$, then $bc \geq 0_{\mathcal{B}}$.
- 4- If $b, c \in \mathcal{B}_h$ and $d \in \mathcal{B}_+$ with $d \leq b \leq c$ and $1 - b \in \mathcal{B}_+$ is an invertible, then $(1 - b)^{-1}c \geq (1 - b)^{-1}d$.

Theorem (2.8): Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space. Suppose that the function $h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition:

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, hy) \leq p^* d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y)p$$

where $p \in \mathcal{B}_+$ with $\|p\| < 1$, then h has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: If $p = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$, it is clear that h has fixed point in X .

Suppose that $p \neq 0_{\mathcal{B}}$

Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_{n+1} = hx_n = \dots = h^{n+1}x_0$

If $b, c \in \mathcal{B}$ and $b \leq c$, then for any $d \in \mathcal{B}$ both d^*bd and d^*cd are positive element and $d^*bd \leq d^*cd$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_B(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d_B(hx_n, hx_{n-1}) \leq p^* d_B(x_n, x_{n-1})p \\ &\leq (p^*)^2 d_B(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})p^2 \\ &\leq \dots \leq (p^*)^n d_B(x_1, x_0)p^n \\ &= (p^*)^n \mathcal{P} p^n \end{aligned}$$

We have to prove $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence, for any $n, m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} d_B(x_{m+n}, x_n) &\leq p[d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{m+n-1}, x_m)] \\ &= p d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) + p d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (p^*)^n \mathcal{P} p^n + \dots + (p^*)^m \mathcal{P} p^m \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^m (p^*)^k \mathcal{P} p^k \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^m (p^*)^k \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} p^k \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^m \left(p^k \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* \left(\mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} p^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^m \left| \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} p^k \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=n}^m \left| \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} p^k \right|^2 \right\| I \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^m \left\| \mathcal{P}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|^2 \|p^k\|^2 I \\ &\leq \|p\| \sum_{k=n}^m \|p\|^{2k} I \\ &\leq \|p\| \frac{\|p\|^{2n}}{1 - \|p\|} I \rightarrow 0_B \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since (X, \mathcal{B}, d_B) is complete, there exist an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hx_{n-1} = x$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0_B &\leq d_B(hx, x) \leq d_B(hx, hx_n) + d_B(hx_n, x) \\ &\leq p^* d_B(x_n, x)p + d_B(x_{n+1}, x) \rightarrow 0_B \end{aligned}$$

hence $hx = x$, (x is fixed point of h)

Now, let z be another fixed point of h such that $x \neq z$

$$0_B \leq d_B(x, z) = d_B(hx, hz) \leq p^* d_B(x, z)p.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0_B &\leq \|d_B(x, z)\| = \|d_B(hx, hz)\| \leq \|p^* d_B(x, z)p\| \\ &\leq \|p^*\| \|d_B(x, z)\| \|p\| \\ &= \|p\|^2 \|d_B(x, z)\| < \|d_B(x, z)\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x = z$

This means that the fixed point is unique.

Theorem (2.9): Let (X, \mathcal{B}, d_B) be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, and $h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_B(hx, hy) \leq \mathfrak{J}(d_B(hy, x) + d_B(hx, y))$$

where $\mathfrak{J} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ and $\|\mathfrak{J}\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: If $\mathfrak{J} = 0_B$, it is clear that h has fixed point in X .

Suppose that $\mathfrak{J} \neq 0_B$

Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_{n+1} = hx_n = \dots = h^{n+1}x_0$

$$\begin{aligned} d_B(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_B(hx_{n-1}, hx_n) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{J}(d_B(hx_n, x_{n-1}) + d_B(hx_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}(d_B(hx_n, hx_{n-2}) + d_B(hx_{n-1}, hx_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{J}(d_B(hx_n, hx_{n-1}) + d_B(hx_{n-1}, hx_{n-2})) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}d_B(hx_n, hx_{n-1}) + \mathfrak{J}d_B(hx_{n-1}, hx_{n-2}) \\ &= \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_n, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Using lemma (2.7), we have

$$(I - \mathfrak{J})d_B(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

Since $b \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ with $\|b\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $(1_B - \mathfrak{J})^{-1} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ and furthermore $\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ with $\|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| < 1$, therefore :

$$d_B(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1} d_B(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\ = r d_B(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

where $r = \mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}$

We have to prove $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence, for any $n, m \geq 1$

$$d_B(x_{m+n}, x_n) \leq \mathfrak{J}[d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) \\ + d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_m)] \\ = \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) + \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_m) \\ \leq \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) \\ + \mathfrak{J}^2[d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_{m+n-2}) \\ + d_B(x_{m+n-2}, x_n)] \\ = \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) + \mathfrak{J}^2 d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_{m+n-2}) \\ + \mathfrak{J}^2 d_B(x_{m+n-2}, x_n) \\ \leq \mathfrak{J}d_B(x_{m+n}, x_{m+n-1}) + \mathfrak{J}^2 d_B(x_{m+n-1}, x_{m+n-2}) \\ + \mathfrak{J}^3 d_B(x_{m+n-2}, x_{m+n-3}) + \dots \\ + \mathfrak{J}^{m-1} d_B(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ \leq \mathfrak{J}r^{m+n-1} + \mathfrak{J}^2 r^{m+n-2} + \dots + \mathfrak{J}^{m-1} r^n \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \mathfrak{J}^k r^{n+m-k} + \mathfrak{J}^{m-1} r^n \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \left| \frac{\mathfrak{J}^k r^{n+m-k}}{\mathfrak{J}^2 r^{\frac{n+m-k}{2}}} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\mathfrak{J}^{m-1} r^n}{\mathfrak{J}^2 r^{\frac{n}{2}}} \right|^2 \\ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \|\mathfrak{J}\|^k \|r\|^{n+m-k} I + \|\mathfrak{J}\|^{m-1} \|r\|^n \\ \leq \frac{\|\mathfrak{J}\|^m \|r\|^{n+1}}{\|\mathfrak{J}\| - \|r\|} I + \|\mathfrak{J}\|^{m-1} \|r\|^n I \rightarrow 0_B$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since (X, \mathcal{B}, d_B) is complete, there exist an $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} hx_{n-1} = x$.

$$d_B(hx, x) \leq \mathfrak{J}[d_B(hx, hx_n) + d_B(hx_n, x)] \\ \leq \mathfrak{J}[d_B(hx, x_n) + d_B(hx_n, x) + d_B(x_{n+1}, x)] \\ \leq \mathfrak{J}[d_B(hx, x_n) + d_B(x_n, x) + d_B(x_{n+1}, x) \\ + d_B(x_{n+1}, x)]$$

This is equivalent to

$$(I - \mathfrak{J})d_B(hx, x) \leq \mathfrak{J}(d_B(x, x_n) + d_B(x_{n+1}, x) \\ + d_B(x_{n+1}, x))$$

Then

$$\|d_B(hx, x)\| \leq \|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| (\|d_B(x, x_n)\| \\ + \|d_B(x_{n+1}, x)\|) \\ + \|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| \|d_B(x_{n+1}, x)\|$$

Now, let z is another fixed point of h such that $x \neq z$

$$0_B \leq d_B(x, z) = d_B(hx, hz) \leq \\ \mathfrak{J}(d_B(hx, z) + d_B(hz, x)) \\ = \mathfrak{J}(d_B(x, z) + d_B(z, x))$$

i.e. $d_B(x, z) \leq \mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1} d_B(x, z)$

Since $\|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| < 1$

$$0_B \leq \|d_B(x, z)\| = \|d_B(hx, hz)\| \\ \leq \|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| \|d_B(x, z)\|$$

$$\leq \|\mathfrak{J}(1 - \mathfrak{J})^{-1}\| \|d_B(x, z)\| < \|d_B(x, z)\|$$

Hence $x = z$.

Therefore h has a fixed point of X .

Corollary (2.10): (K-function) : Let (X, \mathcal{B}, d_B) be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, and $h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_B(hx, hy) \leq \mathcal{G}(d_B(hx, x) + d_B(hy, y))$$

where $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{B}_+$ and $\|\mathcal{G}\| < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point in X .

Theorem (2.11): Let (X, \mathcal{B}, d_B) be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, and $h: X \rightarrow X$ be a function satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_B(hx, hy) \leq \gamma d_B(hx, y) + \eta d_B(hy, x)$$

s.t. $\eta, \gamma \in \mathcal{B}_+$ and $\|\eta\| + \|\gamma\| < 1$. Then there exists a unique fixed point in X .

Proof :

If $\eta, \gamma = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$, it is clear that h has fixed point in X .

Suppose that $\rho \neq 0_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_{n+1} = hx_n = \dots = h^{n+1}x_0$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_{n-1}, hx_n) \\ &\leq \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + \\ \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, x_n) & \\ &\leq \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \gamma (d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, x_n) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

From which it follows $(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \gamma)d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n-1}) \dots (1)$

By a similar way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, hx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, x_n) + \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \eta (d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, x_n) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \eta)d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n-1}) \dots (2)$$

Now, from (1) and (2), we get

$$(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2})d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2}d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

Since $\eta, \gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ and $\|\eta + \gamma\| \leq \|\eta\| + \|\gamma\| < 1$, then $(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2})^{-1} \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ which together with lemma (2.7) we obtain

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (1_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2})^{-1} \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2} d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

Let $r = [(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2})^{-1} \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2}]$, then $\|r\| = \|(1_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2})^{-1} \frac{\eta + \gamma}{2}\| < 1$.

Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X and therefore by the completeness of X , there are $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x) &\leq d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x_{n+1}) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x) \\ &= d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, hx_n) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x) \\ &\leq \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x_n) + \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx_n, x) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x) \\ &= \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, x_n) + \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x) + d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x) \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\|d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x)\| \leq \|\gamma\| \|d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, x_n)\| + \|\eta\| \|d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x)\| + \|d_{\mathcal{B}}(x_{n+1}, x)\|$$

By the continuity of the metric and the norm, we know

$$\|d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x)\| \leq \|\eta\| \|d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x)\|$$

Since the $\|\eta\| < 1$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x)\| = 0_{\mathcal{B}}$, thus $hx = x$.

By the same logic of the theorem (2.8), we get $x = y$

Hence x is a fixed point of h .

Corollary(2.12): Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, and $h: X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following condition for all $x, y \in X$

$$d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, hy) \leq \gamma d_{\mathcal{B}}(hx, x) + \eta d_{\mathcal{B}}(hy, y)$$

s.t. $\eta, \gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_+$ and $\|\eta\| + \|\gamma\| < 1$. Then there exists a unique fixed point in X .

3. $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra expansion

Definition(3.1) : Let X be a nonempty set. We said that the function $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ is $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra expansion on X , it is satisfies the following condition:

- (1) $\varphi(X) = X$
- (2) $d_{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi x, \varphi y) \geq w^* d_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y) w$ for all $x, y \in X$

where $w \in \mathcal{B}$ is an invertible element and $\|w\| < 1$.

Theorem(3.2): Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, d_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a complete $\mathcal{B} - C^*$ algebra metric space, then for all algebra expansion the function φ , there exists a unique fixed point

Proof: Firstly, to prove that φ is 1-1. Assume that $\varphi x = \varphi y$, where $x, y \in X$

$$0_B = d_B(\varphi x, \varphi y) \geq w^* d_B(x, y) w$$

Since $w^* d_B(x, y) w = 0_B$. Also w is invertible, $d_B(x, y) = 0_B$, then $x = y$

Thus φ is 1-1.

Now, we will prove φ has a unique fixed point

Since φ is invertible and for any $x, y \in X$

$$d_B(\varphi x, \varphi y) \geq w^* d_B(x, y) w$$

Replace the above formula, x, y with $\varphi^{-1}x, \varphi^{-1}y$, respectively, we get

$$d_B(x, y) \geq w^* d_B(\varphi^{-1}x, \varphi^{-1}y) w$$

This mean

$$(w^*)^{-1} d_B(x, y) w^{-1} \geq d_B(\varphi^{-1}x, \varphi^{-1}y)$$

$$\Rightarrow (w^{-1})^* d_B(x, y) w^{-1} \geq d_B(\varphi^{-1}x, \varphi^{-1}y)$$

By theorem (2.8), there exist a unique fixed point x such that $\varphi^{-1}x = x$.

This means $\varphi x = x$.

References

- [1] Douglas RG, Banach Algebra Techniques in operator theory, Springer, Berlin (1998).
- [2] Murphy G.J, C^* -algebra and operator theory, Academic Press, London(1990).
- [3] Zhenhua Ma, Jiang LN, Sun. HK, C^* - algebra-valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, Fixed point Theory Appl, 206 (2014).
- [4] Michele BE, Paola Bo, Decay properties for functions of matrices over C^* -algebras, Linear Algebra and its Applications 456, 174–198 (2014).
- [5] O Regan, D. Petrusel. A, Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contractions in Ordered Metric Space, J. Math. Anal.Appl. 341(2),1241-1252(2008).
- [6] Xu. QH. Bieke, Ted. Chen. ZQ, Introduction to Operator Algebra and Noncommutative L^p spaces, science press, Beijing (In Chines), (2010).
- [7] Abbas M, Junqck G, Commen fixed point result for noncommuting mapping without continuity in cone metric space, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341, 416-420 (2008).
- [8] Huang LG, Zhang X, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mapping, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 322(2), 1468-1476 (2007).

الفضاء المتري الجبري من النوع $B - C^*$ وبعض نتائج مبرهنات النقطة الصامدة

صارم حازم هادي

قسم الرياضيات

كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة

جامعة البصرة

نوري فرحان المياحي

قسم الرياضيات

كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات

جامعة القادسية

المستخلص :

حول فكرة وخصائص C^* الجبري. في هذا الورقة البحثية سنقدم مفهوم $B - C^*$ الجبري و كذلك الفضاء المتري الجبري من النوع $B - C^*$ بالإضافة الى ذلك سنقدم مفهوم التقارب ومتابعة كوشي في الفضاء و دراسة مبرهنات الاساسية للنقطة الصامدة وبالاخير سيتم التطرق الى التوسيع الجبري من النوع $B - C^*$.