

T-semimaximal submodules

Farhan Dakhil Shyaa

Department of Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq
 farhan.shyaa@qu.edu.iq farhan_math1@yahoo.co.uk

Received : 14\9\2017

Revised : 8\10\2017

Accepted : 16\10\2017

Abstract

In this paper, we define and study the notions of t-semimaximal submodule as a generalization of semimaximal submodule. We provided many properties and characterizations of this concept are provided.

Key words: maximal submodule, semimaximal submodule, t-semimaximal submodule and t-semisimple modules.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010:16 D10, 16D60, 16 D80.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R is a ring with unity and M unitary a right R -module. The second singular (or Goldie torsion) of M is denoted by $Z_2(M)$ and defined as $Z(M/Z_2(M)) = Z_2(M)/Z_2(M)$ where $Z(M)$ is

the singular submodule of M [5]. A module M is called Z_2 -torsion if $Z_2(M) = M$. A submodule A of an R -module M is said to be essential in M (denoted by $A \leq_{\text{ess}} M$), if $A \cap W \neq (0)$ for every non-zero submodule W of M [7].

The concept of t-essential submodules is introduced as a generalizations of essential submodules [2]. A submodule N of M is said to be t-essential in M (denoted by $(N \leq_{\text{tes}} M)$) if for every submodule B of M , $N \cap B \leq Z_2(M)$ implies that $B \leq Z_2(M)$. A submodule N of a module M is called small in M and denoted by $N \ll M$ if for every $K \leq M$ the equality $M = N + K$ implies $M = K$. A module M is called hollow if every proper submodule of M is small in M [10].

Asgari and Haghany in [3] introduced the concept of t-semisimple modules and t-semisimple rings; A module M is called t-semisimple if every submodule N of M contains a direct summand K of M such that K is t-essential in N . A submodule N of a module M is called semimaximal if M/N is a semisimple module [9].

In this paper, we introduce a generalization of semimaximal submodule, namely t-semimaximal. A submodule N of a module M is called t-semimaximal if M/N is t-semisimple module. This paper consists of two sections, in section two of this paper, we define and study the concept of t-maximal submodules and give some properties and charerizations of it.

Proposition (1.1)[2]:" The following statements are equivalent for a submodule A of an R -module M :

- (1) A is t-essential in M .
- (2) $(A + Z_2(M))/Z_2(M)$ is essential in $M/Z_2(M)$;
- (3) $A + Z_2(M)$ is essential in M ;
- (4) M/A is Z_2 -torsion [3]".

Corollary (1.2) [3]: " Let M be a t -semisimple module. Then:

- (1) Every submodule of M is t -semisimple.
- (2) Every homomorphic image of M is t -semisimple".

Corollary (1.3) [3]: "A module M is t -semisimple if and only if M has no proper t -essential submodule which contains $Z_2(M)$ ".

Corollary (1.4) [3]: Every direct sum of t -semisimple modules is t -semisimple.

2. t -semimaximal submodules

in this section, we will introduce and study the concept of t -semimaximal submodule

Definition (2.1): A submodule N of module M is called t -semimaximal if M/N is a t -semisimple module.

Proposition (2.2): Let M be an R -module. $Z_2(M)$ is semimaximal submodule of M if and only if $Z_2(M)$ is t -semimaximal submodule of M .

Proof: \Rightarrow It is clear.

\Leftarrow Since $Z_2(M)$ is t -semimaximal submodule of M , then $M/Z_2(M)$ is a t -semisimple module . Hence $M/Z_2(M) / Z_2(M/Z_2(M))$ is

semisimple by [3, Theorem 2.3], but $Z_2(M/Z_2(M)) = (0)$. Hence $M/Z_2(M)$ is semisimple module . Thus $Z_2(M)$ is semimaximal submodule of M .

Remarks and Examples (2.3):

- (1) It is clear that every semimaximal submodule of a right R -module is t -semimaximal submodule but not conversely, for example: $4Z$ is a t -semimaximal submodule of Z as Z -module (because $Z/4Z$ is t -semisimple Z -module [3])
- (2) Every t -essential (or essential) submodule N of M is t -semimaximal (by [3, Example 2.2(i)])
- (3) Let $N \leq M$ and W be the complement of N , then $N \oplus W$ is t -semimaximal of M .
- (4) Let $N \leq W \leq M$ and N be a t -semimaximal submodule, then W is a t -semimaximal submodule of M .

Proof: Let $f: M/N \mapsto M/W$ defined by $f(m + N) = m + W$, for all $m \in M$.

It is clear that f is a well-defined and epimorphism. Since M/N is t -semisimple it follows from Hence M/W is t -semisimple by Corollary 1.2(2) that M/W is t -semisimple and hence W is a t -semimaximal submodule of M .

- (5) If N is t -semimaximal of M and $N \leq K \leq M$, then N is t -semimaximal of K .

Proof: Since N is t -semimaximal of M it follows that M/N is t -semisimple. But $K/N \leq M/N$, hence by Corollary 1.2(1) K/N is t -semisimple. Thus N is t -semimaximal of K .

- (6) Let $\{M_i, i \in I\}$ be a family of R -modules and let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. If A_i is t -semimaximal of M_i , then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ is t -semimaximal of M .

Proof: Since A_i is t -semimaximal of M_i , it follows that M_i/A_i is t -semisimple and hence $\bigoplus_i M_i/A_i$ is t -semisimple by Corollary 1.4. Thus $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ is t -semimaximal of M .

- (7) Let $N \leq K \leq M$. Then N is t -semimaximal submodule if and only if N is t -semimaximal of M , K/N is a t -semimaximal submodule of M/N .

Proof: \Rightarrow Since N is a t -semimaximal submodule of M , it follows that M/N is t -semisimple. But $M/N \simeq M/K \oplus K/N$, it follows that M/K is a t -semisimple module and hence K/N is a t -semimaximal submodule of M/N .

\Leftarrow By similarly way of first direction.

- (8) $Rad(M)$ is t -semimaximal submodule of M if and only if $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that M_1 is semisimple and $Rad M \leq_{tes} M_2$ [3, Proposition 2.10].
- (9) If N is a t -semimaximal submodule of a module M then N is t -semimaximal, for each non-zero submodule N of M .

Proof: suppose that (0) is t-semimaximal submodule of a module M , thus $M/(0) \simeq M$ is t-semisimple. Hence M/N is t-semisimple by [3, Corollary 2.4(2)]. Thus N is t-semimaximal.

- (10) If N is a nonzero t-semimaximal submodule (0) need not be t-semimaximal, for example: $6Z$ in Z -module is t-semimaximal. But (0) is not t-semimaximal since $Z/(0) \simeq Z$ is not t-semisimple
- (11) M is t-semisimple R -module if and only if M is t-semisimple $R/ann(M)(0)$ -module.

Proof: Since every submodule of M R -module if and only if every submodule of $M/ann(M)$ module [10].

Proposition (2.4): Every submodule of t-semisimple R -module is t-semimaximal submodule.

Proof: Let $U \leq M$ and $\pi: M \rightarrow M/U$ be the natural epimorphism. Hence M/U is t-semisimple by Corollary 1.2(2). Thus U is t-semimaximal.

Proposition (2.5): The intersection of any two t-semimaximal submodules of an R -module is t-semimaximal submodule.

Proof: Let U_1, U_2 be two t-semimaximal submodules of M . Thus M/U_1 and M/U_2 are t-semisimple modules and hence $M/U_1 \oplus M/U_2$ is t-semisimple by Corollary 1.4. Since $M/U_1 \cap U_2$ is an isomorphism to a submodule of $M/U_1 \oplus M/U_2$ it follows that $M/U_1 \cap U_2$ is t-semisimple. Thus $U_1 \cap U_2$ is a t-semimaximal submodule of M .

Proposition (2.6): Let U_1 be a t-semimaximal submodule of an R -module M_1 and U_2 be a t-semimaximal submodule of an R -module M_2 . Then $U_1 \oplus U_2$ is a t-semimaximal submodule of $M_1 \oplus M_2$.

Proof: By hypothesis, M/U_1 and M/U_2 are t-semisimple R -module and hence from Corollary 1.4 we have that $M/U_1 \oplus M/U_2$ is t-semisimple. Since $M_1 \oplus M_2 / U_1 \oplus U_2 \simeq M/U_1 \oplus M/U_2$. It follows that $M_1 \oplus M_2 / U_1 \oplus U_2$ is t-semisimple and hence $U_1 \oplus U_2$ is t-semimaximal in $M_1 \oplus M_2$.

Proposition (2.7): Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. Then N is a t-semimaximal if and only if M/W is semisimple, for each t-closed submodule W of M and $W \supseteq N$.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let W be a t-closed submodule of M with $W \supseteq N$. Hence M/W is a t-closed in M/N by [4, Lemma 2.5]. But N is a t-semimaximal by hypothesis, so M/N is t-semisimple. Then by [3, Corollary 2.17], $M/N/W/N$ is semisimple and hence M/W is semisimple.

\Leftarrow To prove N is a t-semimaximal submodule of M . Let C/N be a t-closed in M/N , hence C is a t-closed of M , and $C \supseteq N$. So that M/C is semisimple by hypothesis, but $M/C \simeq M/N/C/N$ so that $M/N/C/N$ is semisimple for each t-closed submodule C/N of M/N , which implies M/N is t-semisimple by [3, Corollary 2.17]. Thus N is t-semimaximal submodule of M .

Proposition (2.8): If $Rad(M)$ is a t-semimaximal and M is hollow then $M/Rad(M)$ is Z_2 -torsion.

Proof: Since $Rad(M)$ is t-semimaximal, $M/Rad(M)$ is t-semisimple. By [3, Proposition 2.10] we have that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where M_1 is semisimple and $Rad(M) \leq_{tes} M_2$. Let $A \ll M$, then $A \leq Rad(M) \leq_{tes} M_2$, so if M is hollow, every submodule of M contain in M_2 . Hence $M = M_2$ and thus $M/Rad(M)$ is Z_2 -torsion.

Proposition (2.9): Let $N \leq M$. If $(N:R M)$ is t-semimaximal ideal in R , then N is t-semimaximal.

Proof: Since $(N:R M)$ is t-semimaximal ideal in R , $R/(N:R M)$ is a t-semisimple R -module. Since M/N is an R -module, M/N is an \bar{R} -module where $(\bar{R} = R/ann(M/N))$ that is M/N is an

$R/(N:R M)$ -module. Hence M/N is a t-semisimple \bar{R} -module. Hence M/N is a t-semisimple R -module (by Remarks and Examples 2.3(13)). Thus N is t-semimaximal.

Remark (2.10): If R is t-semisimple ring and M is an R -module, then every submodule of M is t-semimaximal.

Proof: Since R is a t -semisimple, every R -module M is t -semisimple [3, Theorem 3.2]. Hence by Proposition 2.3 every submodule of M is t -semimaximal.

Proposition (2.11): Let $N \leq M$. Then N is a t -semimaximal submodule in M if and only if for each submodule A of M with $A \supseteq N$, there exist $K, K' \leq N$ such that $A = K + K'$ and $M = K + L$ for some $L \leq M$ and $N \leq_{tes} K', K \cap L = N, K \cap K' = N$.

Proof: \Rightarrow Let N be a t -semimaximal submodule in M , then M/N is t -semisimple. For each $A \supseteq N$, $\frac{A}{N} \leq \frac{M}{N}$. Hence by [3, Proposition 2.13(3)] $\frac{A}{N} = \frac{K}{N} \oplus \frac{K'}{N}$ for each $K, K' \leq M$ with $\frac{K}{N} \leq^{\oplus} \frac{M}{N}$ and $\frac{K'}{N}$ is Z_2 -torsion. Hence $N \leq_{tes} K'$ by Proposition 1.1. $\frac{K}{N} \leq^{\oplus} \frac{M}{N}$, then $\frac{K}{N} \oplus \frac{L}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$ for some $L \leq M$ with $N \leq L$, then $K + L = M$ with $K \cap L = N$.

\Leftarrow For any $\frac{A}{N} \leq \frac{M}{N}$. As $A = K + K', K \cap K' = N$, then $\frac{A}{N} = \frac{K}{N} \oplus \frac{K'}{N}$. Also, $K + L = M, K \cap L = N$, then $\frac{K}{N} \oplus \frac{L}{N} = \frac{M}{N}$, so $\frac{K}{N} \leq^{\oplus} \frac{M}{N}$. But $N \leq_{tes} K'$ implies $\frac{K'}{N}$ is Z_2 -torsion. Hence $\frac{A}{N} = \frac{K}{N} \oplus \frac{K'}{N}$ with $\frac{K}{N} \leq \frac{M}{N}$ and $\frac{K'}{N}$ is Z_2 -torsion implies $\frac{M}{N}$ is t -semisimple by [3, Proposition 2.13(3)]. Thus N is t -semimaximal submodule in M .

Proposition (2.12): An R -module M is t -semisimple if and only if $\forall N \leq M, N + Z_2(M)$ is semimaximal.

Proof: \Rightarrow Suppose that M is t -semisimple, then $N + Z_2(M)$ is closed in $M, \forall N \leq M$ by [3, Corollary 2.8]. But $N + Z_2(M)$ contains $Z_2(M)$, so $N + Z_2(M)$ is t -closed [2, Proposition 2.6(4)]. Hence by [3, Corollary 2.17], $\frac{M}{N + Z_2(M)}$ is semisimple.

\Leftarrow Since $\forall N \leq M, N + Z_2(M)$ is semimaximal, so that $\frac{M}{N + Z_2(M)}$ is semisimple. Hence $\frac{M}{N + Z_2(M)}$ is semisimple (if $N = 0$). This implies M is t -semisimple [3, Theorem 2.3].

References

- [1] Anderson, F. W. and Fuller K. R. (1992). *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Math., Vol.1.13, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.
- [2] Asgari, Sh., Haghany, A. (2010). Densely co-Hopfian modules. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* 9(6):989-1000.
- [3] Asgari, Sh., Haghany, A. and Tolooei Y. (2013). T -semisimple modules and T -semisimple rings comm. *Algebra*, 41(5):1882-1902.
- [4] Asgari, Sh., Haghany, A. (2011). t -Extending modules and t -Baer modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 39(5):1605-1623.
- [5] Chatters, A. W. and Khuri, S. M. (1980). Endomorphism rings of modules over nonsingular CS rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 21:434-444.
- [6] Chen, J., Ding, N. and Yousif, M. F. (2004). On Noetherian rings with essential socle, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 76:39-49.
- [7] Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja N., Wisbauer, R. (2006). *Lifting Modules*. Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel.
- [8] Dung, N. V., Huynh, D. V., Smith, P. F, Wisbauer, R. (1994). *Extending Modules*. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 313, Longman, Harlow.
- [9] Hatem Yahya .(2007). Semimaximal submodules, Ph.D. Thesis, College of Education Ibn Al-Haitham, University of Baghdad.
- [10] Kasch F. *Modules and Rings* (1982), Acad. Press, London.

المقاسات الجزئية العظمى من النمط T

فرحان داخل شيعان
جامعة القادسية / كلية التربية / قسم الرياضيات

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث عرفنا و درسنا مفهوم المقاسات الجزئية العظمى من النمط T
كتعميم المقاسات الجزئية العظمى العديد من الخواص والمميزات لهذا المفهوم برهنت.