

On the (G, n) -Tupled fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space

Zena Hussein Maibed

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science,
Ibn Al-Haithem , University of Baghdad
mrs_ zena.hussein@yahoo.com

Recived : 5/7/2017

Revised : 14/9/2017

Accepted : 10/1/2018

Available online : 17 /2/2018

DOI: 10.29304/jqcm.2018.10.2.363

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new concepts of (G, n) -tupled fixed point and (G, n) - tupled coincidence point. And, to study the existence of tupled fixed (coincidence) point for any type of mappings. We will also establish some convergence theorems to a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed (coincidence) point in the complete fuzzy metric spaces.

Keywords: fuzzy metric spaces, continuous t – norm , fixed point, upper semi – continuous, equicontinuous.

Subject classification: 46S40 .

1. Introduction

In [1], Kamosil and Mchalek introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces (F. M. S). the existence of fixed points for mappings in fuzzy metric spaces studied by Gregri and Sapea

[2], Mihet [3]. The Fixed point theory for contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces is associated the fixed point theory for the same type of mappings in probabilistic metric space of menger type see, Qlu and Hong[4], Hong and Peng[5], Mohudine and Alotibietal [6], Wang [7], Hong [8], Sadtietal [9], [10] and many others. Zhand and Xiao[11] and Hu[12] introduced a coupled fixed point theorem for. In this paper, we introduce the concepts of (G. n) – tupled fixed (coincidence) point and we establish the (G. n)– tupled fixed (coincidence) point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces.

Now, we recall the following:

Definition (1.1) [3]

A binary operation $\mathfrak{K} : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a continuous t– norm if the following conditions are satisfy:

- i. \mathfrak{K} is a associative and commutative.
- ii. $a\mathfrak{K}1 = a \quad \forall a \in [0,1]$.
- iii. $a\mathfrak{K}b \leq c\mathfrak{K}d$ whenever $a \leq c$ & $b \leq d$, $\forall a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.
- iv. \mathfrak{K} is continuous.

And denoted by (c. t. n)

Definition (1.2)[4]

A triple $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{K})$ is called fuzzy metric space (F. M. S) if $X \neq \emptyset$, \mathfrak{K} is continuous t– norm and $\mathcal{G} : F \times F \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a fuzzy set the satisfying the following conditions.

- i. $\mathcal{G}(x,y,t) > 0$
- ii. $\mathcal{G}(x,y,t) = 1$ iff $x = y$
- iii. $\mathcal{G}(x,y,t) = \mathcal{G}(y,x,t)$
- iv. $\mathcal{G}(x,y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous.
- v. $\mathcal{G}(x,z,t+s) \geq \mathcal{G}(x,y,t) \mathfrak{K} \mathcal{G}(y,z,s) \quad \forall t, s > 0.$

Now, we will add the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(x,y,t) = 1 \quad \forall x, y \in F.$$

Lemma (1.3) [3]

In any fuzzy metric space $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{K})$, where \mathfrak{K} is (c. t. n) If there exists $\Delta \in {}^\circ C$ such that $\mathcal{G}(x,y,\theta(t)) \leq \mathcal{G}(x,y,t), \quad \forall t > 0$ then $x = y$.

Definition(1.4) [9]

For any $v \in [0,1]$, the sequence $\langle \mathfrak{K}^n v \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ be defined by:

$\mathfrak{K}^1 v = v$ and $\mathfrak{K}^n v = (\mathfrak{K}^{n-1} v) \mathfrak{K} v$. Then a t – norm \mathfrak{K} is said to be (c. t. n) of $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{T}$ type if the sequence $\langle \mathfrak{K}^n v \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous at $v = 1$.

Definition (1.5) [13]

Let $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{K})$ be a (F. M. S) then

- i. A sequence in (v_n) in X is said to be convergent to a point $v \in X$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(v_n, v, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$.
- ii. A sequence in (v_n) in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $t > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\mathcal{G}_{x_n, v_m, t} > 1 - \varepsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$.

Now we will give the concept of (G. n)– tupled fixed (coincidence) point.

Definition (1.6)

Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n : F^n \rightarrow F$ are mappings. Any element $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ is called a (G. n)– tupled fixed point of this mappings if

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) &= x_1 \\ Z_2 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \left(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_1 \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) &= x_2 \\ &\vdots \\ Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \left(x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) &= x_n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition (1.7)

Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n : F^n \rightarrow F$ and $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n : F \rightarrow F$ are mappings. Any element $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ is called (G. n) – tupled coincidence point of this mapping if

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \left(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &= E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n \left(x_1 \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \left(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_1 \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &= E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n \left(x_2 \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) \\ &\vdots \\ &\mathcal{F}_1 \left(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\dots \left(\mathcal{F}_n \left(x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right) \right) \dots \right) \right) = \\ &\mathcal{P}_1 \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \left(\dots \left(\mathcal{P}_n \left(x_n \right) \right) \dots \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper ,we consider \mathcal{C} is the set of all functions $\Delta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that:

Δ is increasing function.

Δ is upper semi – continuous .

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^n(t) < \infty$; $\forall t > 0$ where $\Delta^{n+1}(t) = \Delta(\Delta^n(t))$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.Main Results

Now, we establish the convergence theorems to a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed point as follows :

Theorem (2.1): Let $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n: F^n \rightarrow F$ and let $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{N})$ be a complete (F.M.S) such that \mathfrak{N} (c.t.n) of $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{T}$ type Suppose that $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying:

$$\mathcal{G}[Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(\dots))) \right)), Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(\dots))) \right), \Delta(t)] \geq \mathcal{G}[x_1, y_1, t] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_2, y_2, t] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_n, y_n, t] \quad (2.1)$$

where $t > 0$ and $x_i, y_i \in F$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

If F containing $Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x^n)) \dots)) \right)$.

Then there exists a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed point of compose these mappings.

Proof:

Suppose that $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_1^n \in F$, since F containing $Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x^n)) \dots)) \right)$, that there exists $x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n \in F$ such that

$$x_1^1 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n)) \dots)) \right),$$

$$x_1^2 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1)) \dots)) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_1^n = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^n, x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{n-1})) \dots)) \right)$$

Also, $x_2^1 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n)) \dots)) \right)$,

$$x_2^2 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_1^1)) \dots)) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_2^n = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^n, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^{n-1})) \dots)) \right)$$

In general, we can construct the sequences, $\langle x_k^1 \rangle, \langle x_k^2 \rangle, \dots$, and $\langle x_k^n \rangle$ as

$$x_k^1 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)) \dots)) \right),$$

$$x_k^2 = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^n)) \dots)) \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_k^n = Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1})) \dots)) \right)$$

We want to show that the above sequences are Cauchy sequences in $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{N})$. Since \mathfrak{N} is (c.t.n) of $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{T}$ type then we have, $\forall \lambda > 0 \exists \mu > 0$ such that:

$(1-\mu) \mathfrak{N} (1-\mu) \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} (1-\mu) \geq 1 - \lambda, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 On other hand, for all $x, y \in F$, $\mathcal{G}(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}(x, y, t) = 1$ then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that:

$$\mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0] \geq 1 - \mu, \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0] \geq 1 - \mu, \dots, \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0] \geq 1 - \mu \quad (2.2)$$

By using (2.1), we get:

- $\mathcal{G}[x_1^1, x_2^1, \Delta(t_0)] = \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n)) \dots)) \right), \\ Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n)) \dots)) \right), \Delta(t_0) \end{array} \right] \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]$

- $\mathcal{G}[x_1^2, x_2^2, \Delta(t_0)] = \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1)) \dots)) \right), \\ Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_0^1)) \dots)) \right), \Delta(t_0) \end{array} \right] \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^3, x_1^3, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]$

we continue this process in the same way

- $\mathcal{G}[x_1^n, x_2^n, \Delta(t_0)] = \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^n, x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{n-1})) \dots)) \right), \\ Z_1 \left((Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^n, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^{n-1})) \dots)) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]$

As the sameway

and by using above inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \mathcal{G}[x_2^1, x_3^1, \Delta^2(t_0)] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \\ Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_2^n) \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^2(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_1^1, x_2^1, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_1^2, x_2^2, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_1^n, x_2^n, \Delta(t_0)] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^n \end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \mathcal{G}[x_2^2, x_3^2, \Delta^2(t_0)] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_1^1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \\ Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_2^2, x_2^3, \dots, x_2^n, x_2^1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \Delta^2(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_1^2, x_2^2, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_1^3, x_2^3, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_1^n, x_2^n, \Delta(t_0)] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^n \end{aligned}$$

Continue this process, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \mathcal{G}[x_2^n, x_3^n, \Delta^2(t_0)] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_2^n, x_2^1, \dots, x_2^{n-1}) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \\ Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_3^n, x_3^1, \dots, x_3^n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \Delta^2(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_2^n, x_3^n, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_2^1, x_3^1, \Delta(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_2^{n-1}, x_3^{n-1}, \Delta(t_0)] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0]^n \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^n \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \mathcal{G}[x_k^1, x_{k+1}^1, \Delta^k(t_0)] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \\ Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_k^1, x_k^2, \dots, x_k^n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^1, x_k^1, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^2, x_k^2, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \dots \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^n, x_k^n, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\bullet \mathcal{G}[x_k^2, x_{k+1}^2, \Delta^k(t_0)] = \mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_k^2, x_k^3, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^2, x_k^2, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \\ & \quad * \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^3, x_k^3, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \\ & \quad * \dots \\ & \quad * \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^1, x_k^1, \Delta^{k-1}(t_0)] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^2, x_1^2, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^3, x_1^3, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Continue this process, as the same way we get

$$\mathcal{G}[x_k^n, x_{k+1}^n, \Delta^k(t_0)] \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \dots \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{n^{k-1}}$$

Now, by using above inequalities and for each

$n < m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \left[x_k^n, x_m^n, \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta^k(t_0) \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[x_k^n, x_m^n, \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \Delta^{k+1}(t_0) \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_k^n, x_{k+1}^n, \Delta^k(t_0)] * \mathcal{G}[x_{k+1}^n, x_{k+2}^n, \Delta^{k+1}(t_0)] * \\ & \quad \dots * \mathcal{G}[x_{m-1}^n, x_m^n, \Delta^{m-1}(t_0)] \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{n^{k-1}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{n^k} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^k} \dots \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{n^k} \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{n^{m-2}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{n^{m-2}} \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{n^{m-2}} \end{aligned}$$

Let $l = \max\{n^{k-1}, n^k, n^{m-2}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^l \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^l \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^l \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{ml} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{ml} \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{ml} \\ & \geq (1-\mu) \mathcal{G}[x_0^n, x_1^n, t_0]^{ml} \mathcal{G}[x_0^1, x_1^1, t_0]^{ml} \mathcal{G}[x_0^{n-1}, x_1^{n-1}, t_0]^{ml} \geq (1-\mu) \end{aligned}$$

And hence, $\mathcal{G}[(x_k^n, x_m^n, t)] > (1-\Delta)$

So, $\langle x_k^n \rangle$ is Cauchy sequence. As the same way, we get

$\langle x_k^1 \rangle, \langle x_k^2 \rangle$ and $\langle x_k^{n-1} \rangle$ are Cauchy sequences. Since F is complete then there exists $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^1 = a_1$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^n) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow a_1$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^2 = a_2$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^1) \right) \dots \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow a_2$$

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^n =$
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow a_n$
 $\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right]$
 $\geq \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^1, a_1, t] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^2, a_2, t] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^n, a_n, t]$
 As $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by continuity of \mathcal{G} , we get

$$\mathcal{G} \left[a_1, Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \Delta(t) \right] = 1$$

Also,

$$\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_{k-1}^1) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^2, a_2, t] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^3, a_3, t] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^1, a_1, t]$$

As, $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathcal{G} \left[a_2, Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), \Delta(t) \right] = 1$$

Continuity

$$\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \Delta(t) \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^n, a_n, t] \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^1, a_1, t] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_{k-1}^{n-1}, a_{n-1}, t]$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\mathcal{G} \left[a_n, Z_1 \left(\left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right) \right), \Delta(t) \right] = 1.$$

And hens,

$$a_1 = Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right),$$

$$a_2 = Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_1) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \dots \dots ,$$

$$a_n = Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(a_n, \dots, a_{n-1}) \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

Therefore,

(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is (G, n) – tupled fixed point of compose the mappings of Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n .

Corollary(2.2)

Let $(X, \mathcal{G}, *)$ be a (F.M.S) .Under the same assumptions of theorem(2.1) but

$$\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G}[x_1, y_1, t] * \mathcal{G}[x_2, y_2, t] * \dots * \mathcal{G}[x_n, y_n, t]$$

where $k \in (0,1), t > 0$ and $x_i, y_i \in F, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there exists a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed point of compose the mappings Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n .

Corollary(2.3)

Let $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{N})$ be a (F.M.S) Under the same assumptions of theorem(2.1) but

$$M \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G}[x_1, y_1, t]^{a_1} \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_2, y_2, t]^{a_2} \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_n, y_n, t]^{a_n}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \leq 1, t > 0$ and $x_i, y_i \in F \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there exists a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed point of compose the mappings Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n .

Corollary(2.4)

Let $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{N})$ be a (F.M.S) Under the same assumptions of theorem(2.1) but

$$\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(\left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots \right) \right) \right) \right), \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G}[x_1, y_1, t]^{a_1} \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_2, y_2, t]^{a_2} \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \mathcal{G}[x_n, y_n, t]^{a_n}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \leq 1, k \in (0,1)$, and $x_i, y_i \in F, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there exists a unique (G, n) – tupled fixed of compose the mappings Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n .

Theorem (2.5)

Let $(F, \mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{N})$ be a fuzzy metric space and A, B are two families of mappings such that $A = \{Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n: X^n \rightarrow X\}, B = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n: F \rightarrow F\}$. Suppose that $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), \right]$$

$$\geq \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(x_1) \dots \right) \right) \right), \right] \mathfrak{N} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(y_1) \dots \right) \right) \right), t \right]$$

$$\mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(x_2) \dots \right) \right) \right), \right] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(y_2) \dots \right) \right) \right), t \right]$$

$$\mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(x_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), \right] \mathfrak{N} \dots \mathfrak{N} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(y_n) \dots \right) \right) \right), t \right]$$

(2.3)

where $t > 0$ and $x_i, y_i \in F \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_n(x) \dots \right) \right) \right)$ is complete subspace of F containing $Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_n \right) \dots \right) \right)$. Then there exists a unique (G, n) – tupled coincidence fixed point of compose the mappings of A and B .

Proof:

Suppose that, $x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_1^n \in F$, since $E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x))\dots))$ containing $Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x^n))\dots))$, that there exists $x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n \in F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^1))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n))\dots)) \\ & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^2))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1))\dots)) \\ & \vdots \\ & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^n))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^n, x_0^1, \dots, x_0^{n-1}))\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

Also, $E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_2^1))\dots)) = Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n))\dots))$

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_2^2))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_1^1))\dots)) \\ & \vdots \\ & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_2^n))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^n, x_1^1, \dots, x_1^{n-1}))\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

In general, we can construct the sequences, $\langle E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^1))\dots)) \rangle$, $\langle E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^2))\dots)) \rangle$, ..., and $\langle E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^n))\dots)) \rangle$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^1))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n))\dots)) \\ & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^2))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_{k-1}^1))\dots)) \\ & \vdots \\ & E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_k^n))\dots)) \\ &= Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1}))\dots)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to show that the above sequences are Cauchy sequences in (F, M, \aleph) , since \aleph is t -norm of H -type, this implies $\forall \delta > 0 \exists \mu > 0$ such that $(1 - \mu)\aleph(1 - \mu)\aleph \dots \aleph(1 - \mu) \geq 1 - \delta$, $\forall n \in N$. on other hand. For all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is continuous and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^1))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^1))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \geq 1 - \mu \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^2))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^2))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \geq 1 - \mu \\ & \vdots \\ & (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^n))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^n))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \geq 1 - \mu$$

By using (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^1))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_2^1))\dots)), \Delta_{(t_0)} \end{array} \right] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n))\dots)), \\ Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^n))\dots)), \Delta_{(t_0)} \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^1))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^1))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^2))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^2))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^n))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^n))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^2))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_2^2))\dots)), \Delta_{(t_0)} \end{array} \right] = \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^n, x_0^1))\dots)), \\ Z_1(Z_2(\dots(Z_n(x_1^2, x_1^3, \dots, x_1^n, x_0^1))\dots)), \aleph_{(t_0)} \end{array} \right] \\ & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^2))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^2))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^3))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^3))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph \\ & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{l} E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_0^n))\dots)), \\ E_1(E_2(\dots(E_n(x_1^n))\dots)), t_0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bullet \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+1}^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] = & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} Z_1 \left(Z \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^3)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^3)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^{k-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \aleph & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^{k-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph & \text{Continue this process, as the same way we get} \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\
 & \vdots & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \aleph & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph & \text{Now, by using above inequalities and for} \\
 & & \text{each } n_0 \leq n < m, \text{ we have} \\
 \text{Also} & & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_m^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+1}^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] = & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_m^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \sum_{k=n_0}^{m-1} \Delta^{k+1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\
 & \mathcal{G} [Z_1 (Z_2 (\dots (Z_{n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1)} \dots \dots \dots)) \dots \dots \dots)) & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^k(t_0) \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & Z_1 (Z_2 (\dots (Z_{n(x_k^2, x_k^3, \dots, x_k^n, x_k^1)} \dots \dots \dots)) \dots \dots \dots)) & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k+2}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \aleph^{k+1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \aleph \dots \\
 & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^{k-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{m-1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_m^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \emptyset^{m-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \\
 & \bullet \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^3)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^3)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^{k-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] \ast \dots \ast & \vdots \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \Delta^{k-1}(t_0) \end{array} \right] & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right] \aleph \\
 & \vdots &
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^{k-1}} \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^{k-1}} \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^k} \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^k} \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^k} \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^{m-2}} \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^{m-2}} \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{n^{m-2}} \\
 \text{Let } l = \max\{n^{k-1}, n^k, n^{m-2}\} \\
 & \geq \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^l \\
 & > \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{ml} \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{ml} \aleph \dots \aleph \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_0^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_1^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right), t_0 \end{array} \right]^{ml} \\
 & \geq (1 - \mu)\aleph(1 - \mu)\aleph \dots \aleph(1 - \mu) \geq (1 - \Delta) \\
 \text{And hence,} \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), \\ E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_m^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right), t \end{array} \right] > (1 - \Delta) \\
 \text{So, } \langle E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \rangle \text{ is Cauchy} \\
 \text{sequence.} \\
 \text{As the same way, we get} \\
 \langle E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \rangle, \\
 \langle E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \rangle \text{ and} \\
 \langle E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^{n-1})} \right) \dots \right) \right) \rangle \text{ are} \\
 \text{Cauchy sequences} \\
 \text{Now, to prove that the mappings in } A \text{ and } B \\
 \text{have } (G, n) \text{ – tuple coincidence fixed point.} \\
 \text{Since } E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \text{ is complete} \\
 \text{subspace of } X \text{ then there exists} \\
 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \text{ and} \\
 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X \text{ such that} \\
 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\
 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\
 \rightarrow E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \right) \dots \right) \right) = x_1 \\
 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(x_k^2)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\
 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^1)} \right) \dots \right) \right) \\
 \rightarrow E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \dots \left(E_{n(a_2)} \right) \dots \right) \right) = x_2 \\
 \vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_k^n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 = & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^n, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow E \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, \dots, x_{k-1}^n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], \Delta(t) \\
 \geq & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t
 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ and by continuity of M , we get

$$\mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\ Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \end{array} \right] = 1$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{G} \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, \dots, x_{k-1}^1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], \Delta(t) \\
 \geq & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^3)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_3)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t
 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathcal{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\ Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \end{array} \right] = 1$$

Continuity

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^n, x_{k-1}^1, \dots, x_{k-1}^{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], \Delta(t) \\
 \geq & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t \\
 & \mathcal{G} \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(x_{k-1}^{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right] \\
 & \left[E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \right], t
 \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 & \left[\begin{array}{c} E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\ Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) \end{array} \right], \Phi(t) \\
 = & E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 = & Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) = x_1 \\
 & E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_2)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 = & Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_1)} \dots \right) \right) \right) = x_2 \\
 & \vdots \\
 & E_1 \left(E_2 \left(\dots \left(E_{n(a_n)} \dots \right) \right) \right) \\
 = & Z_1 \left(Z_2 \left(\dots \left(Z_{n(a_n, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})} \dots \right) \right) \right) = x_n
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) is (G, n) – tupled coincidence point of compose the mappings of A and B .

Reference

- [1].O.Kramosil and J.Michalek,"Fuzzy Metric and Statistical Metric Spaces," kybernetika,1975(326_334).
- [2].V.Gregori and A.Sapena,"On Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces," fuzzy sets syet 125, 245_252 ,(2002).
- [3].D.Mihet,"A Banach Contraction Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", fuzzy sets syet 144, 431_439 ,(2004).
- [4].Z.Qiu and Sh.Houg,"Coupled Fixed Points For Multivalued Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Space", fixed point theory Appl.2013,162(2013).
- [5].Sh.Houg and Y.Peng ,"Fixed Point of Fuzzy Contractive Set Valued Mappings and Fuzzy Metric Completeness", fixed point theory Appl.2013,276(2013).
- [6].SA.Mohiuddine and A.Alotaibi,"Coupled Coincidence Point Theorems For Compalible Mappings in Partially Ordered Intuitionistic Generalized Fuzzy Metric Space", fixed point theory Appl.2013,265(2013).
- [7].S.Wang .AM ,Alsaulami and L.Ciric ,"Common Fixed Point Theorems For Nonlinear Contractive Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces", fixed point theory Appl,2013,191(2013).
- [8].S.Hong,"Fixed Points For Modified Fuzzy Ψ –Contractive Set Valued Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces ", fixed point theoryAppl,2014 ,12 (2014).
- [9].R.Saadati ,P .Kumam and SY.Jung ," On The Tripled Fixed Point and Tripled Coincidence Point Theorems in Fuzzy Normed Spaces", fixed point theory App 2014,136(2014).

[10].I.Beg and M. Abbas," Common Fixed Point of Banach Operators Pair on Fuzzy Normed Space," fixed point theory 12(2),285_292,(2011).
[11].X .Zhu, J .Xiao ,"Note on Coupled Fixed Point Theorems For Contractions in Fuzzy Metric Spaces ,"Nonlinear Anal,74(2011),5475_5479.1,3,3.3.

[12].X.Hu,"Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorems For Contractive Mappings in Fuzzy Metric Spaces," fixed point theory Appl.2011 Article ID 363716(2011).
[13].A.George,P.Veeramain.On Some Result in Fuzzy Metric Space, Fuzzy sets and systems,64(1994)396_399.

حول نظريات النقطة الصامدة الثلاثية نوع (G, n) في فضاءات مترية ضبابية

زينة حسين معيب
جامعة بغداد/كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة/ ابن الهيثم
قسم الرياضيات

المستخلص :

الهدف من هذا البحث هو لتقديم مفهومين جديدين هما النقطة الصامدة الثلاثية والنقطة المتطابقة الثلاثية نوع (G, n) ولدراسة الوجود للنقطة الصامدة (المتطابقة) الثلاثية لاي نوع من التطبيقات. ايضا سننشئ نظريات التقارب الى نقطة صامدة (متطابقة) ثلاثية نوع (G, n) وحيدة في الفضاءات المترية الضبابية الكاملة .