

On Coc – Dimension Theory

Raad Aziz Hussain
Raad-64@hotmail.com

Wrood Hasan Hweidee
wroodhasan1212@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics
College of Computer science and Information Technology
University of AL-Qadisiya

Received : 27/7/2015

Revised : 10/1/2016

Accepted : 17/1/2016

Abstract :

In this paper we introduce and define a new type on coc–dimension theory. The concept of $indX, IndX, dimX$, for a topological space X have been studied. In this work, these concepts will be extended by using coc – open sets.

Keywords: coc – open, coc – ind X , coc – Ind X , and coc– dim X .

Mathematics subject classification : 54XX

1. Introduction:

The “Dimension function“ in Dimension theory is function defined on the class of topological spaces such that $d(X)$ is an integer or ∞ . Then $d(X) = d(Y)$ if and only if X and Y are homeomorphic and $d(\mathbb{R}) = n$ for each positive integer n . The domain of dimension function is topological space and the codomain is the set $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$. In [A.P.Pears ,1975], The dimension functions ind, Ind, dim , Actually the dimension functions, $S - indX, S - IndX, S - dimX$ by using $S - open$ sets were studied in [Raad Aziz Hussain AL-Abdulla,1992], also the dimension functions, $b - indX, b - IndX, b - dimX$, by using $b - open$ sets were studied in [Sama Kadhim Gabar,2010], also the dimension functions, $f - indX, f - IndX, f - dimX$, by using $f - open$ sets were studied in [NedaaHasanHajee,2011]. and the dimension functions, $N - ind, N - Ind, N - dim$ are by using $N - open$ sets[Enas Ridha Ali,2014] also the dimension functions $S_\beta - ind, S_\beta - Ind, S_\beta - dim$, by using $S_\beta - open$ sets[Sanaa Noor Mohammed, 2015]. In this paper actually the dimension function ,coc-ind , coc-Ind and coc-dim by using coc-open sets. Finally some relations between them are studied and some results relating to these concepts are proved.

2. Preliminaries

Definition (2.1): A subset A of a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called co-compact open set (notation : coc-open set) if for every $x \in A$, there exists an open set U in X and a compact set $K \in C(X, \mathcal{T})$ such that $x \in U - K \subseteq A$, the complement of coc-open set is called coc-closed set. The family of all coc-open subsets of a space (X, \mathcal{T}) will be denoted \mathcal{T}^K and the family $\{U - K : U \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and } K \in C(X, \mathcal{T})\}$ of coc – open sets will denoted by $B^K(\mathcal{T})$ [S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012].

Theorem(2.2)[S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] : Let X be a topological space. Then the collection \mathcal{T}^K form a topology on X .

Example(2.3): It is clear that every coc – open set is open set and every coc – closed set is closed, but the converse is not true in general, see the following example. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $T = \{\emptyset, X\}$, then $\mathcal{T}^K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset, X\}$.

Proposition(2.4)[Farah Hausain.Jasim,2014]:Let X be a topological space and Y any non –empty closed set in X . If B is a coc-open set in X , then $B \cap Y$ a coc-open set in Y .

Corollary(2.5)[Farah HausainJasim,2014]:Let X be a topological space and Y any non –empty closed set in X. If B is a coc-closed set in X, then $B \cap Y$ a coc-closed set in Y.

Definition (2.6)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: Intersection of all coc – closed sets containing F is called the coc – closure of F is denoted by \bar{F}^{coc} .

Theorem(2.7)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: For any subset F of a topological space X, the following statements are true .

- 1- \bar{F}^{coc} is the intersection of all coc – closed sets in X containing F.
- 2- \bar{F}^{coc} is the smallest coc – closed set in X containing F.
- 3- F is coc – closed if and only if $F = \bar{F}^{coc}$.
- 4- If F and E are any subsets of a topological space X and $F \subseteq E$, then $\bar{F}^{coc} \subseteq \bar{E}^{coc}$.

Definitions(2.8)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:

Let X be a topological space, Then :

- 1- $A^{0coc} = \cup \{ B : B \text{ is coc-open in } X \text{ and } B \subseteq A \}$.
- 2- The coc – neighborhood of B is any subset of X which contains an coc-open set containing B. The coc – neighborhood of a subset $\{x\}$ is also called coc-neighborhood of the point x.
- 3- Let A subset of X. For each x in X is said to be coc-boundary point of A if and only if each coc – neighborhood U_x of x, we have $U_x \cap A \neq \emptyset, U_x \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$. The set of all coc – boundary points of A is denoted by $b_{coc}(A)$.

Proposition (2.9)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: Let A be any subset of a topological space X. If a point x is in the coc- interior of A, then there exists a coc-open set U of X containing x such that $U \subseteq A$.

Remark(2.10):

- i. $b_{coc}(A) = \bar{A}^{coc} \cap (A^{0coc})^c$.
- ii. Let A be a subset of a topological space X, then $b_{coc}(A) = \emptyset$ if and only if A is both coc-open and coc-closed set.

Definition (2.11)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A space X is called coc – \mathcal{T}_1 space if and only if, for each $x \neq y$ in X, there exist coc – open sets U and V such that $x \in U, y \notin U$ and $y \in V, x \notin V$.

Proposition (2.12)[S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012]:If a space X is \mathcal{T}_2 – space, then $\mathcal{T}^K = \mathcal{T}$.

Proposition (2.13)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:Let X be a topological space. Then X is coc – \mathcal{T}_1 space if and only if $\{x\}$ is coc – closed set for each $x \in X$.

Definition(2.14)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]:A space X is called coc – regular space if and if for each x in X and a closed set F such that $x \notin F$, there exist disjoint coc-open sets U, V such that $x \in U, F \subseteq V$.

Definition (2.15): A space X is said to be coc*-regular space if and only if ,for each $x \in X$ and F is an coc –closed sub such that $x \notin F$, there exist U,V disjoint open sets in X such that $x \in U$ and $F \subseteq V$.

Proposition(2.16)[N.J.Pervin, 1964]:A space X is regular space if and only if for every $x \in X$ and each open set U in X such that $x \in U$ there exist an open set w such that $x \in W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq U$.

Proposition(2.17)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A space X is coc- regular space if and only if for every $x \in X$ and each open set U in X such that $x \in U$ there exist a coc- open set W such that $x \in W \subseteq \bar{W}^{coc} \subseteq U$.

Proposition(2.18): A topological space X is coc*-regular topological space if and only if, for every $x \in X$ and each coc-open set U in X such that $x \in U$ then there exists an open set W such that $x \in W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq U$.

Proof: Assume that X is coc*-regular topological space and let $x \in X$ and U is a coc-open set in X such that $x \in U$.then U^c is an coc-closed in X, $x \notin U^c$. Since X is a coc*-regular topological space then there exist disjoint open sets W and V such that $x \in W$ and $U^c \subseteq V$.then it is means $x \in W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq \bar{V}^c = V^c \subseteq U$.

Conversely; Let $x \in X$ and C be a coc-closed set such that $x \in C^c$. thus there exists an open set W such that $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq C^c$. Then $x \in W, C \subseteq (\overline{W})^c$, then we get to $W, (\overline{W})^c$ is an open sets, $W \cap (\overline{W})^c = \emptyset$. Hence X is coc*-regular topological space.

Definition (2.19)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A space X is said to be coc-normal space if and only if for every disjoint coc-closed sets F_1, F_2 there exist disjoint coc-open sets V_1, V_2 such that $F_1 \subset V_1, F_2 \subset V_2$.

Definition (2.20)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A space X is said to be coc'-normal space if and only if for every disjoint coc-closed sets F_1, F_2 there exist disjoint open sets V_1, V_2 such that $F_1 \subset V_1, F_2 \subset V_2$.

Example(2.21): This example show that coc-normal space is not normal space in general. Let $x = \{a, b, c, d\}, \mathcal{T} = \{X, \emptyset, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}, \mathcal{T}^K = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\},$

$\{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{d, b, c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{c\}\}$ We put $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = n$ if it is true that $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$, but it is not true that $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$, then we put $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = \infty$. It is clear that X is coc-normal space and not normal space, since there exists disjoint closed sets $\{d\}, \{c\}$ but not there exist disjoint open sets contain them.

Proposition (2.22)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A topological space X is coc-normal topological space if and only if for every closed set $F \subseteq X$ and each open set U in X such that $F \subseteq U$ then there exists an coc-open set W such that $F \subset W \subset \overline{W}^{\text{coc}} \subset U$.

Proposition (2.23)[Farah Hausain Jasim, 2014]: A topological space X is coc'-normal topological space if and only if for every coc-closed set $F \subseteq X$ and each coc-open set U in X such that $F \subseteq U$ then there exists an coc-open set W such that $F \subset W \subset \overline{W}^{\text{coc}} \subset U$.

3. On small Coc- Inductive Dimension Function

Definition (3.1): The coc-small inductive dimension of a space $X, \text{coc} - \text{ind} X$, is defined inductively as

follows. topological space $X, \text{coc} - \text{ind} X = -1$, and only if, X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$ means that for each point $x \in X$ and each open set G such that $x \in G$ there exists an coc-open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(U) \leq n - 1$. We put $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = n$ if it is true that $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$, but it is not true that $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X \leq n$, then we put $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = \infty$.

Definition (3.2): The coc*-small inductive dimension of a space $X, \text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X$, is defined inductively as follows. topological space $X, \text{coc} - \text{ind} X = -1$, and only if, X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$ means that for each point $x \in X$ and each open set G such that $x \in G$ there exists an coc-open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b_{\text{coc}}(U) \leq n - 1$.

We put $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = n$ if it is true that $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$, but it is not true that $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X \leq n$, then we put $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = \infty$.

Theorem (3.4): Let X be a topological space, if $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$ then X is regular space.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and G an open set such that $x \in G$, since $\text{coc} - \text{ind} X = 0$, then there exists an coc-open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b(V) = -1$. Thus $b(V) = \emptyset$, hence V is an open and closed set. Therefore $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq G$ by proposition (2.16), hence X is regular space.

Theorem (3.5): Let X be a topological space, if $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = 0$, then X is coc-regular space.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and G an open set such that $x \in G$, since $\text{coc}^* - \text{ind} X = 0$, then there exists an coc-open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc} - \text{ind} b_{\text{coc}}(V) = -1$. Thus $b_{\text{coc}}(V) = \emptyset$ by Remark (2.9. ii.), hence V is an coc-open and coc-closed set. Therefore $x \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{\text{coc}} \subseteq G$ by proposition (2.18), hence X is coc-regular space.

Proposition(3.6): Let X be a topological space, if $coc - ind X$ exists then $coc - ind X \leq ind X$.

Proof: By induction on n . If $n = -1$, then $ind X = -1$ and $X = \emptyset$, so that $coc - ind X = -1$. Suppose the statements is true for $n - 1$. Now, suppose that $ind X \leq n$, to prove $coc - ind X \leq n$, let $x \in X$ and G is an open set in X such that $x \in G$ since $ind X \leq n$, then there exist an open set V in X such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $ind b(V) \leq n-1$ and since each open set is coc-open set. Then V is a coc-open set such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $coc - ind b(V) \leq n-1$. Hence $coc-ind X \leq n$.

Theorem (3.7): Let X be a topological space, then $coc - ind X = 0$ if and only if $ind X = 0$.

Proof: By proposition (3.6) if $ind X = 0$, then $coc - ind X \leq 0$ and since $X \neq \emptyset$ then $coc - ind X = 0$. Now let $coc - ind X = 0$, let $x \in X$ and G an open set in X such that $x \in G$, since $ind X = 0$ then there exists a coc-open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq G$ and $coc-ind b(V) = -1$, then $b(V) = \emptyset$, hence $ind b(V) = -1$, thus $ind X \leq 0$, but $X \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $ind X = 0$.

The following example a space X with $coc-ind X = ind X = 0$.

Example (3.8): Let $X = \{a, b\}$ and $T = \{\emptyset, X\}$ be a topology on X , then $\mathcal{T}^K = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \emptyset, X\}$, and $coc-ind X = ind X = 0$.

Theorem (3.9) : If A is a clopen (closed and open) subspace of a space X , then $coc-ind A \leq coc-ind X$.

Proof : By induction on n . It is clear if $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n-1$. Let $coc-ind X \leq n$, to prove that $coc-ind A \leq n$, let $x \in A$ and G an open set in A such that $x \in G$, thus there exist V an open set in X such that $V = G \cap A$, then $x \in V$ and since $coc-ind X \leq n$, then there exist W a coc-open set in X , such that $x \in W \subseteq V$ and $coc-ind b(W) \leq n-1$. , let $U = W \cap A$, then U coc-open set in A (since A closed in X). $b_A(U) \subseteq b(U) \cap A = \bar{U} \cap U^{0c} \cap A \subseteq \bar{W} \cap A \cap U^{0c} = \bar{W} \cap A \cap (W \cap A)^{0c} = \bar{W} \cap A \cap (W^{0c} \cup A^c) = b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W)$. We to

prove that $b_A(U)$ is closed set in $b(W)$, since $b_A(U)$ a closed set in A and A closed set in X , then $b_A(U)$ a closed set in X . Now; $\overline{b_A(U)}^{b(W)} = \overline{b_A(U)} \cap b(W) = b_A(U) \cap b(W) = b_A(U)$.

Since $coc-ind b(W) \leq n-1$, then $coc-ind b(U) \leq n-1$, therefore $coc-ind A \leq n$.

Proposition (2.24): A topological space X is coc^* -normal topological space if and only if for every $coc - closed$ set $F \subseteq X$ and each $coc - open$ set U in X such that $F \subseteq U$ then there exists an open set W such that $F \subseteq W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq U$.

Proof: Assume that X is coc^* -normal space and let F is coc -closed set in X and each U is a coc-open in X such that $F \subseteq U$, then F, U^c are a disjoint coc -closed sets in X . Since X is a coc^* -normal space, then there exist disjoint

open sets W and V such that $F \subseteq W$ and $U^c \subseteq V$. then it is means $F \subseteq W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq \bar{V}^c = V^c \subseteq U$.

Conversely; Let F and C a disjoint coc -closed sets in X , then C^c is coc -open set such that $F \subseteq C^c$. Thus there exists an open set W such that $F \subseteq W \subseteq \bar{W} \subseteq C^c$. Then $F \subseteq W, C \subseteq (\bar{W})^c$, then we get to $W, (\bar{W})^c$ is an open sets, $W \cap (\bar{W})^c = \emptyset$. Hence X is coc^* -normal space.

4. On Large coc – Inductive Dimension Function

Definition(4.1): The coc-large inductive dimension of a space $X, coc-Ind X$, is defined large inductively as follows. A space X satisfies $coc-Ind X = -1$ if and only if X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then $coc-Ind X \leq n$ means that for each closed set F and each open set G of X such that $F \subseteq G$ there exists a coc-open set U such that $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $Ind b(U) \leq n-1$. We put $coc-Ind X = n$, its true that $coc-Ind X \leq n$, but it is not true that $coc-Ind X \leq n - 1$. If there exists no integer n for which $Ind X \leq n$ then we put $coc-Ind X = \infty$.

Definition (4.2): The coc*-large inductive dimension of a space X , $\text{coc-Ind}X$, is defined large inductively as follows: A space X satisfies $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X = -1$ if and only if X is empty. If n is a non-negative integer, then $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X \leq n$ means that for each closed set F and each open set G of X such that $F \subset G$ there exists open set U such that $F \subset U \subset G$ and $\text{coc-Ind}b_{\text{coc}}(U) \leq n-1$. We put $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X = n$ if it is true that $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X \leq n$, but it is not true that $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n-1$. If there exists no integer n for which $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$ then we put $\text{coc-Ind}X = \infty$.

Proposition(4.3): Let X be a topological space, if $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$, then X is normal.

Proof: Let F be a closed set in X and G is an open set such that $F \subseteq G$. Since $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$, then there exist coc-open W such that $\text{coc-Ind}b(W) = -1$, hence W is open and closed set therefore $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$, then X is normal.

Proposition(4.4): Let X be a topological space, if $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X = 0$, then X coc-normal space.

Proof: Let F be a closed set in X and U is an open set such that $F \subseteq U$. Since $\text{coc}^*\text{-Ind}X = 0$, then there exist coc-open W such that $\text{coc-Ind}b_{\text{coc}}(W) = -1$, hence W is coc-open and coc-closed set therefore $F \subseteq W \subseteq \overline{W}^{\text{coc}} \subseteq U$ by proposition (2.23) X is coc-normal space.

Proposition(4.5): Let X be a topological space. $\text{coc-Ind}X$ exists, then $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq \text{Ind}X$.

Proof: By induction on n . It is clear that $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n-1$. Now, suppose that $\text{Ind}X \leq n$, to prove that $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$, let F be a closed set in X and G is an open set in X such that $F \subseteq G$, since $\text{Ind}X \leq n$, then there is open set U in X such that $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $\text{Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$, since each open set is coc-open set. Then U is coc-open set such that $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$. Hence $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$.

Theorem (4.6): Let X be a topological space, then $\text{Ind}X = 0$, if and only if $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$.

Proof: By proposition (4.5) If $\text{Ind}X = 0$, then $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq 0$, and since $X \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$. Now, Let $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$ and Let F is closed set in X and each open set G in X such that $F \subseteq G$. Since $\text{coc-Ind}X = 0$, then there exists a coc-open set U in X such that $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$ and $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq -1$. Then $b(U) = \emptyset$, therefore U is both open and closed set, then U open such that $F \subseteq U \subseteq G$, and $\text{Ind}b(U) = -1$, hence $\text{Ind}X \leq 0$ and since $X \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{Ind}X = 0$.

Theorem (4.7): If A is a clopen (closed and open) subspace of a space X , then $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq \text{coc-Ind}X$.

Proof: By induction on n . It is clear if $n = -1$. Suppose that it is true for $n-1$. Let $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$, to prove that $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq n$, let F is closed set in A and G an open set in A such that $F \subseteq G$, thus there exists V an open set in X such that $V = G \cap A$, then $F \subseteq V$ and since $\text{coc-Ind}X \leq n$, then there exist W a coc-open set in X , such that $F \subseteq W \subseteq V$ and $\text{coc-Ind}b(W) \leq n-1$, let $U = W \cap A$, then U coc-open set in A (since A closed in X). $b_A(U) \subseteq b(U) \cap A = \overline{U} \cap U^{0c} \cap A \subseteq \overline{W} \cap A \cap U^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W \cap A)^{0c} = \overline{W} \cap A \cap (W^c \cup A^c) = b(W) \cap A \subseteq b(W)$. We to prove that $b_A(U)$ is closed set in $b(W)$, since $b_A(U)$ a closed set in A and A closed set in X , then $b_A(U)$ a closed set in X . Now; $\overline{b_A(U)}^{b(W)} = \overline{b_A(U)} \cap b(W) = b_A(U) \cap b(W) = b_A(U)$. Since $\text{coc-Ind}b(W) \leq n-1$, then $\text{coc-Ind}b(U) \leq n-1$, therefore $\text{coc-Ind}A \leq n$.

5. On Coc- Covering Dimensiaton Function

Definition(5.1) [A.P. Pears, 1975]: Let X be a set and \mathcal{A} a family of subsets of X , by an order of the family \mathcal{A} we mean the largest integer n such that the family \mathcal{A} contains $n+1$ sets with a non- empty intersection, if no such integer exists, we say that the family \mathcal{A} has order ∞ . The order of a family \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\text{ord } \mathcal{A}$.

Definition(5.2) [Stephen Willard, 1970]: If \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are covers of X , we say \mathcal{U} refines \mathcal{V} , iff each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

Definition (5.3): The coc-covering dimension ($\text{coc-dim} X$) of a topological X is the least integer n such that every finite open covering of X has open refinement of order not exceeding n or ∞ if there is no such integer. Thus $\text{coc-dim} X = -1$ if and only if X is empty, and $\text{coc-dim} X \leq n$ if each finite open covering of X has coc- open refinement of order not exceeding n that

$\text{coc-dim} X \leq n - 1$. Finally $\text{coc-dim} X = \infty$ if for every integer n it is false that $\text{coc-dim} X \leq n$.

Definition (5.4): The coc'-covering dimension ($\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X$) of a topological space X is the least integer n such that every finite coc-open covering of X has coc-open refinement of order not exceeding n or ∞ if there is no such integer. Thus $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X = -1$ if and only if X is empty, and $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n$ if each finite open covering of X has coc-open refinement of order not exceeding n . We have $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X = n$ if it is true that $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n$, but it is not true that $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n - 1$. Finally $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X = \infty$ if for every integer n it is false that $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n$.

proposition(5.5) : Let X a topological space, then $\text{coc-dim} X \leq \text{dim} X$.

proof : Suppose that $\text{dim} X \leq n$. Let \mathcal{U} a finite open cover of X , then there exist \mathcal{V} an open refinement of order $\leq n$. Since every open set is coc-open set, then \mathcal{U} has \mathcal{V} a coc-open refinement of order $\leq n$, therefore $\text{coc-dim} X \leq n$.

proposition(5.6) : Let X a topological space, then $\text{coc-dim} X \leq \text{coc}' - \text{dim} X$.

proof : suppose that $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n$. Let \mathcal{U} a finite open cover of X , then \mathcal{U} a finite coc-open cover of X , then \mathcal{U} a coc-open cover. Since $\text{coc}' - \text{dim} X \leq n$, then there exists \mathcal{V} a coc-open refinement of order $\leq n$.

Hence \mathcal{U} has \mathcal{V} a coc-open refinement of order $\leq n$, therefore $\text{coc-dim} X \leq n$.

Lemma (5.7) : If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a CC space, then $\text{coc-dim} X = \text{coc}' - \text{dim} X = \text{dim} X$.

Proof : By [S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] the statement are equivalent : (i) (X, \mathcal{T}) is a CC space. (ii) $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^K$.

Lemma(5.8): If (X, \mathcal{T}) is a $\text{coc}\mathcal{T}_2$ -space, then $\text{coc-dim} X = \text{coc}' - \text{dim} X = \text{dim} X$.

Proof : By [S.Al Ghour and S. Samarah, 2012] if (X, \mathcal{T}) is a $\text{coc}\mathcal{T}_2$ -space, then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^K$.

Definition (5.9) : A coc-base for the topology of a space X is a set β of coc-open sets such that for every point $x \in X$ and every neighborhood N of x , there exists B such

that $x \in B \subseteq N$. Equivalently β is a coc-base if each open set is a union of some members of β .

Definition(5.10): A coc*-base for the topology of a space X is a set β of coc-open sets such that for every point $x \in X$ and every coc-neighborhood N of x , there exists B such that $x \in B \subseteq N$. Equivalently β is a coc*-base if each open set is a union of some members of β .

Theorem(5.11): Let X be a topological space. If X has a coc-base of sets which are both coc-open and coc-closed, then $\text{coc-dim} X = 0$ for an \mathcal{T}_1 -space, the converse is true.

Proof: Suppose that X has coc-base of sets which are both coc-open and coc-closed. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a finite open cover of X , it has a coc-open and coc-closed

refinement \mathcal{W} , if $w \in \mathcal{W}$, then $w \subset U_i$ for some i . Let each $w \in \mathcal{W}$ be associated with one of the U_i sets containing it and let U_i be the union of these members of \mathcal{W} , thus associated with U_i . Thus $V_i = W_j \setminus \bigcup_{r < j} W_r$ is coc-open set and hence $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$ forms disjoint coc-open refinement of $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$, then $\text{coc-dim} X = 0$

Conversely; Suppose that X is $coc\mathcal{T}_1$ space such that $coc\text{-dim}X = 0$. Let $x \in X$ and G be an open set in X such that $x \in G$. Then $\{x\}$ is closed set, then $\{G, X - \{x\}\}$ is finite open cover of X . Since $coc\text{-dim}X = 0$, then there exists coc -open refinement $\{V, W\}$ of order 0 such that $V \cap W = \emptyset$, $V \cup W = X$, $V \subset G$ and $W \subset X - \{x\}$. Then V is coc -open and coc -closed set in X such that $x \in W^c \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and hence X has coc -base of coc -open and coc -closed.

Remark(5.14) [A.P. Pears, 1975]: Let X be a topological space with $\dim X = 0$. Then X is normal space.

Theorem (5.15): Let X be a topological space. If $coc\text{-dim}X = 0$, then X is coc -normal space.

Proof: Let F_1 and F_2 are disjoint closed sets of X . Then $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$ is open cover of X . Since $coc\text{-dim}X = 0$, then it has coc -open refinement of order 0, hence So that coc -open sets H and G such that $H \cap G = \emptyset$, $H \cup G = X$, therefore $H \subset X - F_1$ and $G \subset X - F_2$. Thus $F_1 \subset H^c = G$, $F_2 \subset G^c = H$ and since $H \cap G = \emptyset$, then is X is coc -normal space.

Theorem (5.16): Let X be a topological space. If $coc'\text{-dim}X = 0$, then X is coc' -normal space.

Proof: Let F_1 and F_2 are disjoint coc -closed sets of X . Then $\{X - F_1, X - F_2\}$ is coc -open covering of X . Since $coc'\text{-dim}X = 0$, then it has coc -open refinement of order 0, hence there exists coc -open sets H and G such that $H \cap G = \emptyset$, $H \cup G = X$, so that $H \subset X - F_1$ and $G \subset X - F_2$. Thus $F_1 \subset H^c = G$, $F_2 \subset G^c = H$ and since $H \cap G = \emptyset$, then is X is coc' -normal space.

Theorem (5.18): If A closed subset of a topological space X , then $coc\text{-dim}A \leq coc\text{-dim}X$.

Proof: Suppose that $\dim X \leq n$, let $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$ be an open cover of A , then for each i , $U_i = A \cap V_i$, where V_i is an open set in X . The finite open covering $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k, X - A\}$ of X has coc -open refinement \mathcal{W} in X of order $\leq n$. Let

$\mathcal{V} = \{W \cap A : W \in \mathcal{W}\}$, by proposition(2.4), then \mathcal{V} is coc -open refinement of $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$ of order $\leq n$. Thus $coc\text{-dim}A \leq n$.

6. Relation between the dimensions Coc-ind and Coc-Ind

Theorem (6.1): Let X be a topological space, if X is regular, then $coc\text{-ind}X \leq coc\text{-Ind}X$.

Proof: By induction on n , if $n = -1$, then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for $n - 1$.

Now; Suppose that $coc\text{-Ind}X \leq n$, to prove $coc\text{-ind}X \leq n$. Let $x \in X$ and G be an open set such that $x \in G$. Since X is regular space, then there exists an open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq G$ by proposition (2.17). Also since $coc\text{-Ind}X \leq n$ and \bar{U} is closed, $\bar{U} \subseteq G$ then there exists a coc -open set V such that $\bar{U} \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and $coc\text{-Ind}b(V) \leq n - 1$, then $coc\text{-ind}b(V) \leq n - 1$ and $coc\text{-ind}X \leq n$, then $coc\text{-ind}X \leq coc\text{-Ind}X$.

Proposition (6.3): Let X be a topological space, if X \mathcal{T}_1 -space, then $coc^*\text{-ind}X \leq coc^*\text{-Ind}X$.

Proof: By induction on n , if $n = -1$, then the statement is true.

Suppose that the statement is true for $n - 1$.

Now, Suppose that $coc^*\text{-Ind}X \leq n$, to prove $coc^*\text{-ind}X \leq n$. Let $x \in X$ and each open set $G \subseteq X$ of the point x , since X is \mathcal{T}_1 -space, then $\{x\}$ is closed set and $\{x\} \subseteq G$. Since $coc^*\text{-Ind}X \leq n$, then there exists a coc -open set V in X such that $\{x\} \subseteq V \subseteq G$ and $coc^*\text{-Ind}b_{coc}(V) \leq n - 1$. Hence $coc^*\text{-ind}b_{coc}(V) \leq n - 1$ and $x \in V \subseteq G$. Thus $coc^*\text{-ind}X \leq n$.

Proposition (6.4): Let X be a topological space, if X is coc -regular space, then $coc^* - ind X \leq coc^* - Ind X$.

Proof: By the same way in proposition (6.1).

References:

[1] Ra'ad Aziz Hussain AL-Abdulla "On Dimension Theory", M.Sc. thesis university of Baghdad, college of science, (1992).

[2] Atallah Themer AL-Ani "On Compactification, Mapping and Dimension Theory" Ph.D. Dissertation university of London, Queen Elizabeth college, (1974).

[3] S.Al Ghour and S. Samara "Cocompact Open Sets and Continuity", Abstract and Applied analysis, Volume 2012, Article ID 548612, 9 Pages, 2012.

[4] Enas Ridha Ali "On Dimension Theory by Using N -Open Set", M.Sc. thesis University of AL – Qadisiyah college of mathematics and computer science, (2014).

[5] Bourkaki. N, Elements of Mathematics "General Topology" Chapter 1-4 Springer verlog, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 2nd Edition, (1989).

[6] Sama Kadhim Gaber "On b -Dimension Theory" M.Sc.thesis university AL – Qadisiyah college of mathematics and computer science, (2010).

[7] R. Engelking "General Topology", Sigma Seres in pure mathematics, VI,6 ISBN 3-88538-006-4, (1989).

[8] Nedaa Hasan Hajee "On Dimension Theory by Using Feebly Open Set", M.Sc. thesis university AL – Qadisiyah college of mathematics and computer science, (2011).

[9] Farah Hausain Jasim "On Compactness Via Coc -Open sets", M.Sc. thesis University of AL – Qadisiyah college of mathematics and computer science, (2014).

[10] Sanaa Noor Mohamid "on S_β - Dimension Theory" M.Sc. thesis university AL – Qadisiyah college of mathematics and computer science, (2015).

[11] A.P.Pears "On Dimension Theory of General Spaces" Cambridge university press, (1975).

[12] S. Willard "General Topology" University of Albara, (1970).

حول نظرية البعد باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط - coc

رعد عزيز حسين العبد الله ورود حسن هويدي شروم

جامعة القادسية / كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات / قسم الرياضيات

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث نقدم ونعرف نوع جديد و عام حول نظرية البعد من النمط - coc لقد درسنا المفاهيم ind ,Ind,dim وسوف نعرف في هذا البحث تلك المفاهيم باستخدام المجموعات المفتوحة من النمط - coc وايجاد بعض العلاقات فيما بينها .