

On Differential Sandwich Theorems of Meromorphic Univalent Functions

Waggas Galib Atshan Rajaa Ali Hiress

Department of Mathematics , College of Computer Science and
Information Technology, University of Al- Qadisiyah , Diwaniyah-Iraq

waggas.galib @ qu. edu.iq waggashnd@gmail.com

Received : 15\4\2018

Revised : 26\4\2018

Accepted : 22\5\2018

Available online : 5 /8/2018

DOI: 10.29304/jqcm.2018.10.3.399

Abstract

By using of linear operator, we obtain some Subordinations and superordinations results for certain normalized meromorphic univalent analytic functions in the in the punctured open unit disk U^* . Also we derive some sandwich theorems .

Keywords :Analytic Function, Differential Subordination, Hadamard Product, Meromorphic Univalent Function.

Mathematics Subject Classification :30C45

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the Linear space of all analytic functions in U . For a positive integer number n and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots\}.$$

For two functions f and g analytic in U . We say that the function g is subordinate to f in U and write $g(z) < f(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in U with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1 (z \in U)$, such that $g(z) = f(\omega(z)), (z \in U)$.

If the function $f(z)$ is if the function f is univalent in U , then we have

$$g(z) < f(z) \Leftrightarrow g(0) = f(0) \text{ and } g(U) \subset f(U),$$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic and meromorphic univalent function in the punctured open unit disk $U^* = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$.

Let $p, h \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\phi(r, s, t; z): \mathbb{C}^3 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z)$ are univalent functions in U and if p satisfies the second- order superordination

$$h(z) < \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z), (z \in U), \tag{1.2}$$

then p is called a solution of the differential superordination (1.2), (if f subordinate to g , then g is superordinate to f).

An analytic function q is called a subordinate of the differential superordination if $q < p$ for all p satisfying (1.2). A univalent subordinate \tilde{q} that satisfies $q < \tilde{q}$ for all subordinates q of (1.2) is said to be the best subordinate. Recently Miller and Mocnu [3] obtained sufficient conditions on the functions h, p and ϕ for which the following implication holds :

$$h(z) < \phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z); z) \Rightarrow q(z) < p(z), (z \in U). \tag{1.2}$$

If $f \in W$ is given by (1.1) and $g \in W$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z).$$

Using the results, Bulboacă [4] considered certain classes of first order differential subordinations as well as superordination preserving integral operator [1]. Ali et al. [5], have used the results of Bulboacă [4] to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) < \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < q_2(z),$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given univalent functions in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$. Also, Tuneski [6] obtained a sufficient conditions for starlikeness of f in terms of the quantity

$$\frac{f''(z)f(z)}{(f'(z))^2}.$$

Recently, Shanmugam et al. [7,8] and Goyal et al. [9] also obtained sandwich results for certain classes of analytic functions.

Ali et al. [10] introduced and investigated the linear operator

$$I_1(n, \lambda): W \rightarrow W$$

which is defined as follows:

$$I_1(n, \lambda)f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k + \lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)^n a_k z^k, \tag{1.4}$$

$(z \in U^*, \lambda > 1)$.

The general Hurwitz- lersch zeta function

$$\Phi(z, s, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(r + k)^s}, r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, s \in \mathbb{C}$$

when $0 < |z| < 1$.

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in W, z \in U^*, r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda > 1$, we define the operator $J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z): W \rightarrow W$, where

$$J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) = \frac{\Phi(z, s, r)}{z^{r-s}} * I_1(n, \lambda)f(z) \\ = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{1+k+r} \right)^s \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{\lambda-1} \right)^n a_k z^k \quad (1.5)$$

We note from (1.5) that, we have

$$\lambda J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) = z \left(J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) \right)' - \\ (\lambda - 1) J_{s,r,1}(n + 1, \lambda)f(z), \quad (1.6)$$

$$J_{0,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) = I_1(n, \lambda)f(z)$$

$$\text{and } J_{0,r,1}(0, \lambda)f(z) = f(z).$$

The main object of this idea is to find sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions f to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) < \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda)f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

and

$$q_1(z) < \left(z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

where $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are given univalent functions in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$.

2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our subordinations and superordinations results, we need the following definition and lemmas.

Definition 2.1. [2]: Denote by Q the set of all functions q that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \setminus E(q)$, where $\bar{U} = U \cup \{z \in \partial U\}$, and

$$E(q) = \left\{ \zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z) = \infty \right\} \quad (1.7)$$

and are such that $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of Q for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $Q(a)$, $Q(0) \equiv Q_0$ and $Q(1) \equiv Q_1$.

Lemma 2.1. [5] Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent function in U , let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \right\}.$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in U and

$$\alpha p(z) + \beta z p'(z) < \alpha q(z) + \beta z q'(z),$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.2. [1]

Let q be univalent in U and let ϕ and θ be analytic in the domain D containing $q(U)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$, when $w \in q(U)$. Set

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \text{ and } h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z),$$

suppose that

$$1 - Q \text{ is starlike univalent in } U,$$

$$2 - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) > 0, z \in U.$$

If p is analytic in U with $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(U) \subseteq D$ and

$$\phi(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) < \phi(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)),$$

then $p < q$, and q is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.3. [3] Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U and let θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$. Suppose that

$$1 - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right\} > 0 \text{ for } z \in U,$$

$2 - zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $z \in U$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(U) \subseteq D$, and $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$ is univalent in U , and

$$\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) < \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)), \quad (1.8)$$

then $q < p$, and q is the best subdominant

Lemma 2.4. [3]: Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in U and $q(0) = 1$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, that $\operatorname{Re}\{\beta\} > 0$. If $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ and $p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$ is univalent in U , then

$q(z) + \beta z q'(z) < p(z) + \beta z p'(z)$,
 which implies that $q(z) < p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

3. Subordination Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1, \eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\delta}{\eta} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

If $f \in W$ is satisfies the Subordination $G(z)$

$$< q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z q'(z), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta \times (1 + \eta \times \left(\frac{(\beta\lambda - \lambda + 1 - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + (\lambda - 1 - 2\lambda\beta + 2\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z) + (\beta\lambda - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+2,\lambda) f(z)}{(1-\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)} \right)), \quad (3.3)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta < q(z), \quad (3.4)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Define a function $g(z)$ by $g(z) = \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta$, (3.5)

then the function $g(z)$ is analytic in U and $q(0)=1$, therefore, differentiating (3.5) logarithmically with respect to z and using the identity (1.6) in the resulting equation,

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta \times (1 + \eta \times \left(\frac{(\beta\lambda - \lambda + 1 - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + (\lambda - 1 - 2\lambda\beta + 2\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z) + (\beta\lambda - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+2,\lambda) f(z)}{(1-\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)} \right)) = g(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z g'(z).$$

Thus the subordination (3.2) is equivalent to

$$g(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z g'(z) < q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z q'(z).$$

An application of Lemma (2.1) with $\beta = \frac{\eta}{\delta}$ and $\alpha = 1$, we obtain (3.4).

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), in

Theorem (3.1), we obtain the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$). Suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 - Bz}{1 + Bz} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\delta}{\eta} \right) \right\}.$$

If $f \in W$ is satisfy the following Subordination condition :

$$G(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{\eta}{\delta} \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Bz)^2},$$

where $G(z)$ given by (3.3), then

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is best dominant .

Taking $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Corollary (3.2), we get following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and suppose that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\delta}{\eta} \right) \right\}.$$

If $f \in W$ is satisfy the following Subordination

$$G(z) < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} + \frac{\eta}{\delta} \frac{2z}{(1 - z)^2},$$

where $G(z)$ given by (3.3), then

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda) f(z)}{\beta + 1} \right)^\delta < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

and $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is best dominant .

Theorem 3.4. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in unit disk U with $q(0) = 1$, let $\zeta, \eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha, t, \mu, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, f \in W$ and suppose that f and q satisfy the following conditions $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\zeta} q(z) + \frac{2\tau\alpha}{\zeta} q^2(z) + 1 + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0$, (3.6)

and

$$z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) \neq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

If

$$r(z) < t + \mu q(z) + \tau \alpha q^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$r(z) = (z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta \times ((\mu + \tau \alpha ((z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta) + t + \varsigma \delta(\lambda - 1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right)), \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$(z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta < q(z), \text{ and } q(z) \text{ is best dominant.}$$

Proof . Define analytic function $g(z)$ by

$$g(z) = (z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta. \quad (3.10)$$

Then the function $g(z)$ is analytic in U and $g(0) = 1$, differentiating (3.10) logarithmically with respect to z , we get

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \delta(\lambda - 1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right). \quad (3.11)$$

By setting $\theta(w) = t + \mu w + \tau \alpha w^2$ and $\phi(w) = \frac{z}{w}$, it can be easily observed that $\theta(w)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} , $\phi(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Also, if we let

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(z) = \varsigma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \text{ and } h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$$

$$= t + \mu q(z) + \tau \alpha q^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in U , we have

$$h'(z) = \mu q'(z) + 2\tau \alpha q(z)q'(z) + \varsigma \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} + \varsigma z \frac{q''(z)}{q(z)} - \varsigma z \left(\frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right)^2,$$

and

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{\mu}{\varsigma} q(z) + \frac{2\tau \alpha}{\varsigma} q^2(z) + 1 + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)},$$

hence that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mu}{\varsigma} q(z) + \frac{2\tau \alpha}{\varsigma} q^2(z) + 1 + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0.$$

By using (3.11), we obtain

$$\mu g(z) + \tau \alpha g^2(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = (z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta \times \left(\mu + \tau \alpha (z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta + t + \varsigma \delta(\lambda - 1) \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda)f(z)}{\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right) \right).$$

By using (3.8), we have

$$\mu g(z) + \tau \alpha g^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} < \mu q(z) + \tau \alpha q^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$$

and by using Lemma (2.2), we deduce that subordination (3.8) implies that $g(z) < q(z)$ and the function $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Taking the function $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), in Theorem (3.4), the condition (3.6) becomes

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\mu}{\varsigma} \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \frac{2\tau \alpha}{\varsigma} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^2 + 1 + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)} - \frac{2Bz}{1+Bz} \right) > 0 (s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (3.12)$$

hence, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3.5. Let ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), $s, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha, t, \tau, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that (3.12) holds.

If $f \in W$ and

$$r(z) < t + \mu \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \tau \alpha \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^2 + \varsigma \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)},$$

where $r(z)$ is defined in (3.9), then

$$(z\mathcal{J}_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \text{ and } \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \text{ is best dominant.}$$

Taking the function $q(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho$ ($0 < \rho \leq 1$), in

Theorem (3.4), the condition (3.6) becomes

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\varsigma} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho + \frac{2\tau \alpha}{\varsigma} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{2\rho} + \frac{2z^2}{1-z^2} \right\} > 0, \quad (\varsigma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (3.13)$$

hence, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 3. 6. Let

$0 < \rho \leq 1, \varsigma, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha, t, \tau, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that (3.13) holds.

If $f \in W$ and

$$r(z) < t + \mu \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho + \tau \alpha \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{2\rho} + \varsigma \frac{2\rho z}{1-z^2},$$

where $r(z)$ is defined in (3.9), then

$$\left(z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \right)^\delta < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho, \text{ and } \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho \text{ is best dominant.}$$

4. Superordination Results

Theorem 4. 1. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in U with $q(0) = 1, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \operatorname{Re}\{\eta\} > 0$, if $f \in W$, such that

$$\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \neq 0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q. \quad (4.1)$$

If the function $G(z)$ defined by (3.3) is univalent and the following superordination condition:

$$q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z q'(z) < G(z), \quad (4.2)$$

holds, then

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta \quad (4.3)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Proof. Define a function $g(z)$ by

$$g(z) = \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta. \quad (4.4)$$

Differentiating (4.4) with respect to z logarithmically, we get

$$\frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} = \delta \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z (J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z))' + \beta z (J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z))'}{(1-\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

A simple computation and using (1.6), from (4.5), we get

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta \times \left((1 + \eta) \frac{(\beta \lambda - \lambda + 1 - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + (\lambda - 1 - 2\lambda \beta + 2\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z) + (\beta \lambda - \beta) J_{s,r,1}(n+2, \lambda) f(z)}{(1-\beta) J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)} \right),$$

$$= g(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z g'(z),$$

now, by using Lemma(2.4), we get the desired result.

Taking $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), in

Theorem (4.1), we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 4. 2. Let $\operatorname{Re}\{\eta\} > 0, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, such that

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q.$$

If the function $G(z)$ given by (3.3) is univalent in U and $f \in W$ satisfies the following superordination condition:

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \frac{\eta}{\delta} \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)^2} < G(z),$$

then

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} < \left(\frac{(1-\beta) z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) + \beta z J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda) f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta,$$

and the function $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best subordinant.

Theorem 4. 3. Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in unit disk U , let $\varsigma, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \alpha, t, \mu, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, q(z) \neq 0$, and $f \in W$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{q(z)}{\varsigma} (2\tau \alpha q(z) + \mu) \right\} q'(z) > 0$,

and satisfies the next conditions

$$\left(z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$z J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda) f(z) \neq 0,$$

If the function $r(z)$ is given by (3.9) is univalent in U ,

$$t + \mu q(z) + \tau \alpha q^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{z q'(z)}{q(z)} < r(z), \quad (4.7)$$

implies

$q(z) < (zJ_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta$, and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof . Let the function $g(z)$ defined on U by (3.14) . Then a computation show that

$$\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \delta(\lambda - 1) \left(\frac{J_{s,r,1}(n+1, \lambda)f(z)}{J_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z)} - 1 \right), \quad (4.8)$$

By setting $\theta(w) = t + \mu w + \tau \alpha w^2$ and $\phi(w) = \frac{\varsigma}{w}$, it can be easily observed that $\theta(w)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} , $\phi(w)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\phi(w) \neq 0$ ($w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$). Also, we get

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \varsigma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

it observed that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in U .

Since $q(z)$ is convex , it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{q(z)}{\varsigma} (2\tau\alpha q(z) + \mu) \right\} q'(z) > 0.$$

By making use of (4.8) the hypothesis (4.7) can be equivalently written as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(q(z) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z))) \\ = \theta(g(z) + zg'(z)\phi(g(z))), \end{aligned}$$

thus, by applying Lemma (2.3), the proof is completed .

5 . Sandwich Results

Combining Theorem (3.1) with Theorem (4.1), we obtain the following sandwich theorem .

Theorem 5.1. Let q_1 and q_2 be convex univalent in U with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and q_2 satisfies (3.1) . Suppose that $\operatorname{Re}\{\eta\} > 0$, $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

If $f \in W$, such that

$$\left(\frac{(1-\beta)zJ_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda)f(z) + \beta zJ_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta \in$$

$\mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$,

and the function $G(z)$ defined by (3.3) is univalent and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z q_1'(z) < G(z) \\ < q_2(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} z q_2'(z), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left(\frac{(1-\beta)zJ_{s,r,1}(n,\lambda)f(z) + \beta zJ_{s,r,1}(n+1,\lambda)f(z)}{\beta+1} \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

where q_1 and q_2 are, respectively ,the subdominant and the best dominant of (5.1).

Combining Theorem (3.4) with Theorem (4.3), we obtain the following sandwich theorem .

Theorem 5.2. Let q_i be two convex univalent functions in U , such that $q_i(0) = 1$, $q_i(0) \neq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) . Suppose that q_1 and q_2 satisfies (4.8) and (3.8), respectively.

If $f \in W$ and suppose that f satisfies the next conditions :

$$(zJ_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q ,$$

and

$$zJ_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z) \neq 0 ,$$

and $r(z)$ is univalent in U , then

$$\begin{aligned} t + \mu q_1(z) + \tau \alpha q_1^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} < r(z) < t + \\ \mu q_1(z) + \\ \tau \alpha q_1^2(z) + \varsigma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

implies

$$q_1(z) < (zJ_{s,r,1}(n, \lambda)f(z))^\delta < q_2(z),$$

and q_1 and q_2 are the best subdominant and the best dominant respectively and $r(z)$ is given by (3.9).

References

- [1] T. Bulboaca, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, (2005) .
- [2] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, (2000).
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, Complex Variables , 48(10),815– 826, (2003).

- [4] T. Bulboacă, Classes of first order differential superordinations, *Demonstration Math.*, 35(2), 287– 292, (2002).
- [5] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran, K. G. Subramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for certain analytic functions, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 15, 87– 94, (2004).
- [6] N. Tuneski, on certain sufficient conditions for starlikeness, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 23(8), 521– 527, (2000).
- [7] T. N. Shanmugam, V. Ravichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, Differential Sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 3, Article 8, 1– 11, (2006).
- [8] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Shivasubramanian and H. Silverman, On sandwich theorems for some classes of analytic functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 29684, 1 – 13, (2006).
- [9] S. P. Goyal, P. Goswami and H. Silverman, Subordination and superordination results for a class of analytic multivalent functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Article ID 561638, 1– 12, (2008).
- [10] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, On Subordination and superordination of the multiplier transformation for meromorphic functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 33, 311 – 324, (2010).

حول مبرهنات الساندوج التفاضلية لدوال احادية التكافؤ الميرومورفيه

وقاص غالب عطشان رجاء علي هريس

قسم الرياضيات / كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات / جامعة القادسية

المستخلص :

باستخدام المؤثر الخطي، حصلنا على بعض النتائج للتبعية التفاضلية والتبعية التفاضلية العليا للدوال التحليلية الاحادية التكافؤ الاكيدة في قرص الوحدة المثقوب U^* . ايضا اشتقينا بعض مبرهنات الساندوج.