

A new subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions associated with a differential operator

Hazha Zirar Hussain

**Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Salahaddin,
Erbil,Iraq.**

E-mail : hazhazirar@yahoo.com

Recived : 1\11\2018

Revised : 11\11\2018

Accepted : 27\11\2018

Available online : 24/1/2019

DOI: 10.29304/jqcm.2019.11.1.460

Abstract

In this paper we have introduced and studied some new subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions which are defined by means of a differential operator. We have obtained numerous sharp results including coefficient conditions, extreme points, distortion bounds and convex combinations for the above classes of meromorphic univalent functions.

Keywords: Univalent Functions, Meromorphic Functions, Differential Operator, Distortion Inequality, Extreme Points.

Mathematics Subject Classification:64S40.

1. Introduction

Let \mathfrak{S} denote the class of functions which are analytic in the punctured disk $\mathcal{U}^* = \{z: 0 < |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_0 > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Suppose that \mathfrak{S}^* denote the subclass of \mathfrak{S} consisting of functions that are univalent in \mathcal{U}^* .

Further \mathfrak{S}_m^* denote subclass of \mathfrak{S}^* consisting of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.2)$$

Definition: A function $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ is said to be meromorphic starlike of order α in \mathcal{U}^* if it satisfies the inequality

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > -\alpha, z \in \mathcal{U}^*, 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (1.3)$$

On the other hand, a function $f \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ is said to be meromorphic convex of order α in \mathcal{U}^* if it satisfies the inequality

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > -\alpha, z \in \mathcal{U}^*, 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (1.4)$$

Various subclasses of \mathfrak{S} have been introduced and studied by many authors see [1], [2], [5], [7], [8], [16],[17],[19], [20], [21] and [23] In recent years, some subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with several families of integral operators and derivative operators were introduced and investigated see [7] [8], [18] and [4],[15]. The first differential operator for meromorphic function was introduced by Fraisin and Darus [10]. Ghanim and Darus introduced a differential operator [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} I^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ I^1 f(z) &= zf'(z) + \frac{2a_0}{z}, \\ I^2 f(z) &= z(I^1 f(z))' + \frac{2a_0}{z}, \\ I^k f(z) &= z(I^{(k-1)} f(z))' + \frac{2a_0}{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

For a function f in \mathfrak{S}_m^* , from definition of the differential operator $I^k f(z)$, we easily see that

$$I^k f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \mathcal{U}^*. \quad (1.5)$$

By using the operator I^k , some authors have established many subclasses of meromorphic functions, for example [9], [11],[12] and [13]. With the help of the differential operator I^k , we define the following new class of meromorphic univalent functions and obtain some interesting results.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta)$, denote the family of meromorphic univalent functions f of the form (1.2) such that

$$\left| \frac{z^2 (I^k f(z))' + a_0}{\vartheta z^2 (I^k f(z))' - a_0 + (1 + \vartheta)\eta a_0} \right| < \theta, \quad (1.6)$$

For $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

For a given real number $z_0 (0 < z_0 < 1)$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{mi}^* (i = 0, 1)$ be a subclass of \mathfrak{S}_m^* satisfying the condition $z_0 f(z_0) = 1$ and $-z_0^2 f'(z_0) = 1$ respectively.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{mi,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0) &= \mathfrak{S}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta) \\ &\cap \mathfrak{S}_{mi}^*, (i = 0, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

For other subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions, one may refer to the recent work of Aouf [2], Aouf and Darwish [3], Cho et al [8], Joshi et al [14], Srivastava and Owa [21] and [22]. Also we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a subset C of the real interval $[0, 1]$ should satisfy the property $\cup_{z_r \in C} \mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_r)$ and $\cup_{z_r \in C} \mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_r)$ each constitute a convex family.

2. Coefficient Inequalities

In this section, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a function f meromorphic univalent in \mathcal{U}^* to be in $\mathfrak{S}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta)$, $\mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

Theorem 2.1: A function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by equation (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k (m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) a_{m+n} \leq \theta a_0 (1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta), \quad (2.1)$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

The result is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \frac{\theta a_0(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)} z^{m+n}, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Assume that the condition (2.1) is true. We must show that $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta)$ or equivalently prove that

$$\left| \frac{z^2(I^k f(z))' + a_0}{\vartheta z^2(I^k f(z))' - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} \right| < \theta,$$

$$\left| \frac{z^2(I^k f(z))' + a_0}{\vartheta z^2(I^k f(z))' - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} \right| = \frac{a_0 + (-a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1})}{\vartheta(-a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}) - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}}{\vartheta(-a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}) - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0}$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n}}{\vartheta(-a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n}) - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} \right| < \theta.$$

The last inequality is true by (2.1).

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{m,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta)$. We must show that the condition (2.1) holds true. We have

$$\left| \frac{z^2(I^k f(z))' + a_0}{\vartheta z^2(I^k f(z))' - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} \right| < \theta.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}}{\vartheta(-a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}) - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} < \theta.$$

Since $Re(z) < |z|$ for all z , we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}}{\vartheta(-a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)n^k a_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}) - a_0 + (1+\vartheta)\eta a_0} \right\} < \theta.$$

Now, choosing values of z on the real axis and allowing $z \rightarrow 1$ from the left through real values, the last inequality immediately yields the desired condition in (2.1).

Finally, it is observed that the result is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \frac{\theta a_0(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)} z^{m+n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Theorem 2.2: A function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{F}_m^*$ defined by equation (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{F}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)}{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)} + z_0^{m+n+1} \right] a_{m+n} \leq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

The result is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = \frac{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z^{m+n+1}}{z[n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1 \quad (2.4)$$

Proof: Assume that $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$f(z_0) = \frac{a_0}{z_0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$z_0 f(z_0) = a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$1 = a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$a_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting equation (2.5) in inequality (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)a_{m+n} \leq \theta \left(1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1} \right) (1 - \eta)(1+\vartheta),$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)a_{m+n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1} \leq \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)}{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)} + z_0^{m+n+1} \right] a_{m+n} \leq 1.$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.3: A function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by equation (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) \left[\frac{n^k(1+\vartheta\theta)}{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)} - z_0^{m+n+1} \right] a_{m+n} \leq 1, \quad (2.6)$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

The result is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = \frac{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z^{m+n+1}}{z(m+n)[n^k(1+\vartheta\theta) - \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1 \quad (2.7)$$

Proof: Assume that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$f(z_0) = \frac{a_0}{z_0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$-z_0^2 f'(z_0) = a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$1 = a_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$a_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.8)$$

substituting equation (2.8) in equation (2.1), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)a_{m+n} \leq \theta \left(1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1} \right) (1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)$$

and,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta)a_{m+n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta(m+n)(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1} \leq \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n) \left[\frac{n^k(1+\vartheta\theta)}{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)} - z_0^{m+n+1} \right] a_{m+n} \leq 1.$$

Hence the proof is complete.

From Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we have the following results:

Corollary 2.1: If a function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$a_{m+n} \leq \frac{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

Corollary 2.2: If a function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$a_{m+n} \leq \frac{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{(m+n)[n^k(1+\vartheta\theta) - \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $z \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

3. Covering theorems

In this section, distortion theorems will be considered and covering property for functions in the classes $\mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{m1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ will also be given.

Theorem 3.1: If a function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by equation (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$|f(z)| \geq \frac{m(1+\vartheta\theta) - \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)r^{m+1}}{r[m(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]},$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $0 < |z| < 1$.

The result is sharp with the extremal function f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{m(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)r^{m+1}}{r[m(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}.$$

Proof: Since $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, by Theorem 2.2 we have

$$m(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1} a_{m+n} \leq \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta),$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} \leq \frac{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{m(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+1}},$$

Also we have

$$a_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\geq \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta)}{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}}$$

Thus from the above equation we obtain

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n} \right|, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\geq \frac{a_0}{r} - r^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n}$$

$$\geq \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) - \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)r^{m+1}}{r[m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.2: If a function $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_m^*$ defined by equation (1.2) is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m,1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, then

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)r^{m+1}}{r[m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, 0 < \theta \leq 1, 0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $0 < |z| = r < 1$.

The result is sharp with the extremal function f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)r^{m+1}}{rm[(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}$$

Proof: Since $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m,1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ by Theorem 2.3 we have

$$m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1} a_{m+n} \leq \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta),$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} \leq \frac{\theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)}{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) - \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}}$$

Also we have

$$a_0 = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (m+n)a_{m+n}z_0^{m+n+1}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\leq \frac{(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}}{(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}}$$

Thus from the above equation we obtain

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n} \right|, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\leq \frac{a_0}{r} + r^m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n}$$

$$\leq \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)r^{m+1}}{rm[(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}$$

Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.1: The disk $0 < |z| < 1$ is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk $|w| < \frac{m(1 + \vartheta\theta) - \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)r^{m+1}}{[m(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+1}]}$ by any function $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m,0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

4. Extreme Points

The extreme points of the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m,0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{m,1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ are given by the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1: Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$,

and

$$f_{m+n}(z) = \frac{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z^{m+n+1}}{z[n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]}, \quad n \geq 0$$

then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m,0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n f_{m+n}(z)$ where $\gamma_n \geq 0, \gamma_i = 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, m \geq 2)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 1$.

Proof: Suppose

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n f_{m+n}(z)$$

$$= \frac{\gamma_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z^{m+n+1} \gamma_{m+n}}{z[n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} \left[\gamma_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) \gamma_{m+n}}{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta) \gamma_{m+n} z^{m+n+1}}{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}}$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}}{\theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta) \gamma_{m+n}}{n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}} \right),$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{m+n} = 1 - \gamma_0 \leq 1.$$

Now, we have

$$z_0 f_{m+n}(z_0) = 1.$$

Thus,

$$z_0 f(z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{m+n} z_0 f_{m+n}(z_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{m+n} = 1.$$

This implies that $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}$.

Therefore $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

Conversely, suppose $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$. Since

$$a_{m+n} \leq \frac{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)}{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}},$$

$$n \geq 0.$$

Set

$$\gamma_{m+n} = \frac{[n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]}{\theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)} a_{m+n,n}$$

$\geq 0,$

and $\gamma_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{m+n}$.

Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n f_n(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2: Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$,

and

$$f_{m+n}(z) = \frac{n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z^{m+n+1}}{z(m+n)[n^k(1+\vartheta\theta) - \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta)z_0^{m+n+1}]},$$

$$n \geq 0$$

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n f_n(z)$ where $\gamma_n \geq 0, \gamma_i = 0 (i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, m \geq 2)$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n = 1$.

Corollary 4.1: The extreme points of the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ are the functions $f_0(z), f_m, f_{m+1}, f_{m+2}, \dots$ in Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.2: The extreme points of the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ are the functions $f_0(z), f_m, f_{m+1}, f_{m+2}, \dots$ in Theorem 4.2.

5. Closure Theorems

Theorem 5.1: The class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ is closed under convex linear combination

Proof: Suppose that the functions $f, g \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ defined by

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n}, a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m+n} z^{m+n}, b_0 > 0, b_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

respectively, it is sufficient to prove that the function H defined by

$$H(z) = \omega f(z) + (1-\omega)g(z), \quad (0 \leq \omega \leq 1)$$

is also in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

Since

$$H(z) = \frac{\omega a_0 + (1-\omega)b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\omega a_{m+n} + (1-\omega)b_{m+n}) z^{m+n}, a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}](\omega a_{m+n} + (1-\omega)b_{m+n}) \leq \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta),$$

with the aid of theorem 2.2.

Thus $H(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In a similar manner, by using Theorem 2.3, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2: The class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.3: Let the function $f_l(z), l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q$ defined by

$$f_l(z) = \frac{a_{0,l}}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n,l} z^{m+n}, a_{0,l} > 0, a_{m+n,l} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$. Then the function

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{l=0}^q c_l f_l(z), \quad (c_l \geq 0)$$

is also in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, where $\sum_{l=0}^q c_l = 1$.

Proof: By Theorem 2.2 and for every $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}]a_{m+n,l} \leq \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta),$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \sum_{l=0}^q c_l \left(\frac{a_{0,l}}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n,l} z^{m+n} \right), \quad (c_l \geq 0) \\ &= \frac{c_l a_{0,l}}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^q c_l a_{m+n,l} \right) z^{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}] \left(\sum_{l=0}^q c_l a_{m+n,l} \right), \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^q c_l \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1+\vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}] a_{m+n,l} \right), \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{l=0}^q c_l \right) \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta), \\ &= \theta(1-\eta)(1+\vartheta), \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$.

Theorem 5.4: Let the function $f_l(z), l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q$ defined by

$$f_l(z) = \frac{a_{0,l}}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n,l} z^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n,l} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

be in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$. Then the function

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{l=0}^q c_l f_l(z), \quad (c_l \geq 0)$$

is also in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_1,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, where $\sum_{l=0}^q c_l = 1$.

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.3.

6. Convex Family

Definition 6.1: The family $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C) = \cup_{z_r \in C} \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_r),$$

where C is a nonempty subset of the real interval $[0, 1]$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ is defined by a convex family if the subset C consists of one element only by Theorems 5.1 and 5.3.

Now, we have the following results:

Lemma 6.1: Let $z_1, z_2 \in C$ be two distinct positive numbers and $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0) \cap \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_1)$, then $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$.

Proof: Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_1) \cap \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_1^{m+n+1} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_2^{m+n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

Thus, $a_{m+n} \equiv 0, \forall n \geq 0$, because $a_{m+n} \geq 0, z_1 > 0$ and $z_2 > 0$, hence

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z}.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Theorem 6.1: Suppose that $C \subset [0, 1]$, then $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ is a convex family if and only if C is connected.

Proof: Assume that C is connected and $z_1, z_2 \in C$ with $z_1 < z_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z_0^{m+n+1} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m+n} z_1^{m+n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the functions $f \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$ defined by

$$f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m+n} z^{m+n}, \quad a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

and $g \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_1)$

$$g(z) = \frac{b_0}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m+n} z^{m+n}, \quad b_0 > 0, b_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

it is sufficient to prove that the function H defined by

$H(z) = \omega f(z) + (1-\omega)g(z), \quad (0 \leq \omega \leq 1)$
 that there exists a $z_2 (z_0 \leq z_2 \leq z_1)$ is also in the class $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_2)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z) &= zH(z) \\
 K(z) &= \omega a_0 + (1 - \omega)b_0 \\
 &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\omega a_{m+n} + (1 - \omega)b_{m+n}) z^{m+n}, a_0 \\
 &> 0, a_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^* \\
 &= 1 \\
 &+ \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z^{m+n} - z_0^{m+n}) a_{m+n} \\
 &+ (1 - \omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z^{m+n} - z_1^{m+n}) b_{m+n}, a_0 > 0, a_{m+n} \\
 &> 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*
 \end{aligned}$$

since z is real number, then $K(z)$ is also real number also we have

$K(z_0) \leq 1$ and $K(z_1) \geq 1$, there exists $z_2 \in [z_0, z_1]$, such that $K(z_2) = 1$.

Therefore,

$$z_2 H(z_2) = z_2, \quad (z_0 \leq z_2 \leq z_1)$$

this implies that

$$H(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + z_2^{m+n+1}] (\omega a_{m+n} + (1 - \omega)b_{m+n}) \\
 &= \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}] a_{m+n} \\
 &\quad + (1 - \omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) \\
 &\quad \quad + z_1^{m+n+1}] b_{m+n} \\
 &+ \theta(1 - \eta)(1 \\
 &+ \vartheta)\omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_2^{m+n+1} - z_0^{m+n+1}) a_{m+n} \\
 &+ \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta)(1 \\
 &- \omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_2^{m+n+1} - z_1^{m+n+1}) b_{m+n} \\
 &= \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) + z_0^{m+n+1}] a_{m+n} \\
 &\quad + (1 - \omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n^k(m+n)(1 + \vartheta\theta) \\
 &\quad \quad + z_1^{m+n+1}] b_{m+n} \\
 &\leq \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta) + (1 - \omega) \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta) \\
 &= \theta(1 - \eta)(1 + \vartheta).
 \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of theorem 2.2.

Thus, $H(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_2)$.

Since z_1 and z_2 are arbitrary numbers, the family $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ is convex.

Conversely, if the set C is not connected, then there exists z_0, z_1 and z_2 such that $z_0, z_1 \in C$ and $z_2 \notin C$ and $z_0 < z_2 < z_1$.

Now, let $f(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$, and $g(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_1)$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\omega) &= K(z_2, \omega) \\
 &= 1 + \omega \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_2^{m+n+1} - z_0^{m+n+1}) a_{m+n} \\
 &+ (1 - \omega) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_2^{m+n+1} - z_1^{m+n+1}) b_{m+n}, a_0 \\
 &> 0, a_{m+n} > 0, z \in \mathcal{U}^*
 \end{aligned}$$

for fixed z_2 and $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$.

Since $K(z_2, 0) < 1$ and $K(z_2, 1) > 1$, there exists $\omega_0; 0 < \omega_0 < 1$, such that $K(z_2, \omega_0) = 1$ or $z_2 K(z_2) = 1$,

where $K(z) = \omega_0 f(z) + (1 - \omega_0)g(z)$.

Therefore $K(z) \in \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0)$

Also $K(z) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ using Lemma 6.1.

Since $z_2 \in C$ and $K(z) \neq z$.

Thus the family $\mathfrak{S}_{m_0, k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, C)$ is not convex which is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of theorem.

Conclusion: The main impact of this paper is to introduce a new subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions, and study their geometrical properties, like coefficient estimate, distortion theorem, extreme points and convex family.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, A certain subclass of meromorphically starlike functions with positive coefficients, *Rend. Mat.*, 9(1989), 225-235.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, On a certain class of meromorphically univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Rend. Mat.*, 11(1991), 209-219.
- [3] M. K. Aouf and H. E. Darwish, On meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients and fixed two points, *Ann. St. Univ. A. I. Cuza, Iasi, Tomul XLII, Matem.*, (1996), 3-14.
- [4] W. G. Atshan, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative II, *Surveys in Math. and its Appl.*, 3(2008), 67-77.

- [5] S. K. Bajpai, A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures App.*, 22(1977), 295-297.
- [6] N. E. Cho, On certain class of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 3,2(1990), 119-125.
- [7] N. E. Cho, K. Inayatnoor, Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 320(2006), 779-786.
- [8] N. E. Cho, I. H. Kim, Inclusion properties for certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 187(2007), 115-121.
- [9] R. M. El- Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, Hadamard product of certain meromorphic starlike and convex functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 57(2009), 1102-1106.
- [10] B. A. Frasin, M. Darus, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 28(2004), 615-623.
- [11] F. Ghanim, M. Darus, On certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed residue, *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 26(2007), 195-207.
- [12] F. Ghanim, M. Darus, A new subclass on uniformly starlike and convex functions with negative coefficients II, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45, 4(2009), 559-572.
- [13] F. Ghanim, M. Darus, On a certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications*, 5(2010), 49-60.
- [14] S. B. Joshi, S. R. Kulkarni and N. K. Thakare, Subclasses of meromorphic functions with missing coefficients, *J. Analysis*, 2(1994), 23-29.
- [15] Krzysztof, Piejko, J. Sokol, Subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with the Cho-Know-Srivastava operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 337(2008), 1261-1266.
- [16] J. E. Miller, Convex meromorphic mapping and related functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25(1970), 220-222.
- [17] M. L. Mogra, T. R. Reddy, O. P. Juneja, Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 32(1985), 161-176.
- [18] S. Mouyuan, Z. Mingliu, H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion relationships and integral preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 337(2008), 505-515.
- [19] C. Pommerenke, On meromorphic starlike functions, *Pacific J. Math.*, 13(1963), 221-235.
- [20] W. C. Poyster, Meromorphic starlike multivalent functions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 107(1963), 300-308.
- [21] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa, *Current topics in analytic functions theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [22] B. A. Uralegaddi and M. D. Ganigi, Meromorphic starlike functions with two fixed points, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, 14, No.1(1987), 10-21.
- [23] B.A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 43(1991), 137-140.

حول اصناف جديدة من الدوال احادية التكافؤ الميرومورفية بمؤثر تفاضلي

هازه زرار حسين

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم، جامعة صلاح الدين، اربيل، عراق

المستخلص :

في هذا البحث نعرف وندرس اصناف جديدة $\mathfrak{S}_{mi,k}^*(\eta, \theta, \vartheta, z_0) (i = 1, 2)$ من الدوال احادية التكافؤ الميرومورفية المعرفة بواسطة مؤثر تفاضلي ، ونحصل على العديد من النتائج المهمة مثل متباينة المعاملات، والنقاط القصوى، نظرية البعد، التركيب المحدب للاصناف من الدوال السابقة.