

## On Differential Sandwich Theorems of Multivalent Functions Defined by a Linear operator

Waggas Galib Atshan

Salwa Kalf Kazim

Department of Mathematics , College of Computer Science and Information  
Technology , University of AL-Qadisiyah , Diwaniyah-Iraq

waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq , waggashnd@gmail.com

Received : 24/12/2018

Revised ://

Accepted : 13/1/2019

Available online : 28 /1/2019

DOI: 10.29304/jqcm.2019.11.1.480

### Abstract:

The main object of the present paper is to derive some results for multivalent analytic functions defined by linear operator by using differential subordination and superordination

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, multivalent functions, Hadamard product, subordination, linear operators.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A_p$  denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}; z \in U), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| < 1\}$ .

For two functions  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $U$ , we say that the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $U$ , written  $f < g$ , if there exists Schwarz function  $w$ , analytic in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$  and  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $U$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ,  $z \in U$ . If  $g$  is univalent and  $g(0) = f(0)$ , then  $f(u) \subset g(u)$ .

If  $f \in A_p$  is given by (1.1) and  $g \in A_p$  given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}.$$

Then Hadamard product (or convolution) is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{p+n} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}.$$

The linear operator  $J_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p}(a, c): A_p \rightarrow A_p$  defined by

$$J_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p}(a, c)f(z) = \phi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p}(a, c; z) * f(z), \quad (f \in A_p, z \in U), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\phi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p}(a, c; z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (p+1)_n (p+1-\mu+\nu)_n}{(c)_n (p+1-\mu)_n} z^{p+n} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$d_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ d(d+1)(d+2)\dots(d+n-1) & n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \end{cases}$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus z_0^-$ , where  $z_0^- =$

$\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, 0 \leq \lambda < 1, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu - \nu - p < 1$  and  $f \in A_p$ . Then linear operator

$I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c): A_p \rightarrow A_p$  (see [9]) is defined by

$$I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z) := \psi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c; z) * f(z), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\psi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c; z)$  is the function defined in terms of the Hadamard product by the following condition:

$$\phi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p}(a, c; z) * \psi_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c; z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{a+p}} \quad (a > -p). \quad (1.5)$$

We can easily find from (1.3) - (1.5) that

$$I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_n (p+1-\lambda+\nu)_n (\alpha+p)_n (p+1-\mu)_n}{(a)_n (p+1)_n (p+1-\mu+\nu)_n n!} a_{p+n} z^{p+n} \quad (1.6)$$

It is easily verified from (1.6) that

$$z(I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z))' = (\alpha + p)I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z) - \alpha I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z). \quad (1.7)$$

Note that the linear operator  $I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)$  unifies many other operators considered earlier. In particular

- 1)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, p, \alpha}(a, c) \equiv J_p^\alpha(a, c)$  (see Cho al. [5]).
- 2)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, p, \alpha}(a, a) \equiv D^{\alpha+p-1}$  (see Goel and Sohi [6]).
- 3)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, p, 1}(p+1-\lambda, 1) \equiv \Omega_Z^{(\lambda, p)}$  (see Srivastava and Aouf [16]).
- 4)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, p, \alpha-1}(a, c) \equiv J_p^{\alpha, \alpha}$  (see Hohlov [8]).
- 5)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, 1-\alpha, \alpha}(a, c) \equiv L_p(a, c)$  (see Saiton [13]).
- 6)  $I_{0, \nu}^{0, p, 1}(p+\alpha, 1) \equiv J_{\alpha, p, \alpha} \in z, \alpha > -p$  (see Liu and Noor [10]).

The main object of this idea is to find sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions  $f$  to satisfy:

$$q_1(z) < \left( \frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p} \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

and

$$q_1(z) < \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

where  $q_1(z)$  and  $q_2(z)$  are given univalent functions in  $U$  with  $q_1(0)$  and  $q_2(0) = 1$ .

## 2- Preliminaries

In order to prove our subordinations and superordinations results, we need the following definition and lemmas .

**Definition 2.1. [11]:** Denote by  $Q$  the set of all functions  $q$  that are analytic and injective on  $\bar{U} \setminus E(q)$ , where  $\bar{U} = U \cup \{z \in \partial U\}$ , and

$$E(q) = \{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} q(z) = \infty\} \quad (2.1)$$

and are such that  $q'(\zeta) \neq 0$  for  $\zeta \in \partial U / E(q)$ .

Further, let the subclass of  $Q$  for which  $q(0) = a$  be denoted by  $Q(a)$ ,  $Q(0) \equiv Q_0$  and  $Q(1) \equiv Q_1$ .

**Lemma 2.1.[1]:** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent function in  $U$ , let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and suppose that

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \max\{0, -Re\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\} .$$

If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $\alpha p(z) + \beta zp'(z) < \alpha q(z) + \beta zq'(z)$ , then  $p(z) < q(z)$  and  $q$  is the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.2. [3]:** Let  $q$  be univalent in  $U$  and let  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  be analytic in the domain  $D$  containing  $q(U)$  with  $\phi(w) \neq 0$ , when  $w \in q(U)$ .

Set  $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$  and  $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$ , suppose that

- 1-  $Q$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ ,
- 2-  $Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) > 0$ ,  $z \in U$ .

If  $p$  is analytic in  $U$  with  $p(0) = q(0)$ ,  $p(U) \subseteq D$  and

$$\phi(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) < \phi(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)),$$

then  $p < q$ , and  $q$  is the best dominant.

**Lemma 2.3.[12]:** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent in the unit disk  $U$  and let  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  be analytic in a domain  $D$  containing  $q(U)$ . Suppose that

$$1 - Re\left\{\frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))}\right\} > 0 \text{ for } z \in U,$$

2 -  $zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$  is starlike univalent in  $z \in U$ .

If  $p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$ , with  $p(U) \subseteq D$ , and  $\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z))$  is univalent in  $U$ , and

$$\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) < \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)), \quad (2.2)$$

then  $q < p$ , and  $q$  is the best subdominant.

**Lemma 2.4.[12]:** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent in  $U$  and  $q(0) = 1$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , that  $Re(\beta) > 0$ . If  $p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$  and  $p(z) + \beta zp'(z)$  is univalent in  $U$ , then

$$q(z) + \beta zq'(z) < p(z) + \beta zp'(z),$$

which implies that  $q(z) < p(z)$  and  $q(z)$  is the best subdominant.

### 3-Subordination Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent in  $U$  with  $q(0) = 1$ ,  $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Suppose that

$$Re\left(1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) > \max\left\{0, -Re\left(\frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)\right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

If  $f \in W$  is satisfies the subordination

$$G(z) < q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zq'(z), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p}\right)^\delta \times \left(1 + \eta \left(\frac{(pt_2 - t_2 \alpha) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z) + (t_2 - t_1 \alpha + t_2 p - pt_1) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + (t_1 \alpha - t_1 p) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+2}(a, c) f(z)}{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}\right)\right), \quad (3.3)$$

then

$$\left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p}\right)^\delta < q(z), \quad (3.4)$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Proof:** Define a function  $k(z)$  by

$$k(z) = \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p}\right)^\delta, \quad (3.5)$$

then the function  $k(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $q(0) = 1$ , therefore, differentiating (3.5) logarithmically with respect to  $z$  and using the identity (1.7) in the resulting equation,

$$G(z) = \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p}\right)^\delta \times \left(1 + \eta \left(\frac{(pt_2 - t_2 \alpha) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z) + (t_2 - t_1 \alpha + t_2 p - pt_1) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + (t_1 \alpha - t_1 p) I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+2}(a, c) f(z)}{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}\right)\right)$$

Thus the subordination (3.2) is equivalent to

$$k(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zk'(z) < q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zq'(z).$$

An application of Lemma (2.1) with  $\beta = \frac{\eta}{\delta}$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , we obtain (3.4).

Taking  $q(z) = \frac{1+Bz}{1+Bz}$ ,  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ , in Theorem (3.1), we obtain the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ . Suppose that

$$Re\left(\frac{1-Bz}{1+Bz}\right) > \max\left\{0, -Re\left(\frac{\delta}{\eta}\right)\right\}.$$

If  $f \in W$  is satisfy the following subordination condition:

$$G(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{\eta}{\delta} \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Bz)^2},$$

where  $G(z)$  given by (3.3), then

$$\left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p}\right)^\delta < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and  $\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$  is the best dominant.

Taking  $A = 1$  and  $B = -1$  in Corollary (3.1), we get following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and suppose that

$$Re \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) > \max\{0, -Re \left( \frac{\delta}{\eta} \right)\}.$$

If  $f \in W$  is satisfy the following subordination

$$G(z) < \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{\eta}{\delta} \frac{2z}{(1-z)^2},$$

where

$G(z)$  given by (3.3), then

$$\left( \frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p} \right)^\delta < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

and  $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$  is the best dominant.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent in unit disk  $U$  with  $q(0) = 1$ , let  $\eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\gamma, t, \psi, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \in W$ , and suppose that  $f$  and  $q$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\psi}{s} q(z) + \frac{2\tau\gamma}{s} q^2(z) + 1 + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \neq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{If } r(z) < t + \psi q(z) + \tau\gamma q^2(z) + s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$r(z) = \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta \left( \psi + t\gamma \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right) + \right.$$

$$\left. t + s_\delta(\alpha + p) \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)} - 1 \right) \right), \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$\left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta < q(z), \text{ and } q(z) \text{ is best dominant.}$$

**Proof :** Define analytic function  $k(z)$  by

$$k(z) = \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta. \quad (3.10)$$

Then the function  $k(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and  $g(0) = 1$ ,

differentiating (3.10) logarithmically with respect to  $z$ , we get

$$\frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} = \delta(\alpha + p) \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)} - 1 \right). \quad (3.11)$$

By setting  $\theta(w) = t + \psi w + \tau\gamma w^2$  and  $\phi(w) = \frac{s}{w}$ , it can be easily observed that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\phi(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $\phi(w) \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Also, if we let

$$\phi(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

and

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = t + \psi q(z) + \tau\gamma q^2(z) + s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

we find  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ , we have

$$h'(z) = \psi q'(z) + 2\tau\gamma q(z)q'(z) + s \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} +$$

$$sz \frac{q''(z)}{q(z)} - sz \left( \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right)^2,$$

and

$$\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{\psi}{s} q(z) + \frac{2\tau\gamma}{s} q^2(z) + 1 + z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)},$$

hence that

$$Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = Re \left( \frac{\psi}{s} q(z) + \frac{2\tau\gamma}{s} q^2(z) + 1 + \right.$$

$$\left. z \frac{q''(z)}{q'(z)} - z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \right) > 0.$$

By using (3.11), we obtain

$$\psi k(z) + \tau\gamma k^2(z) + s \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} = \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta \left( \psi + \right.$$

$$\left. \tau\gamma \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta \right) + t +$$

$$\left( s_\delta(\alpha + p) \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z)}{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)} - 1 \right) \right).$$

By using (3.8), we have

$$\psi k(z) + \tau\gamma k^2(z) + s \frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} \quad (3.8)$$

$$< \psi q(z) + \tau\gamma q^2(z) + s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$$

and by using Lemma (2.2), we deduce that subordination (3.8) implies that  $k(z) < q(z)$  and the function  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

Taking the function  $q(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), in Theorem (3.2), the condition (3.6) becomes.

$$Re \left( \frac{\psi}{s} \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \frac{2\tau\gamma}{s} \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^2 + 1 + \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)} - \frac{2Bz}{1+Bz} \right) > 0, \quad (3.12)$$

hence, we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ ,  $s, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\gamma, t, \psi, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that (3.12) holds.

If  $f \in W$  and

$$r(z) < t + \psi \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \tau\gamma \left( \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)^2 + s \frac{(A-B)z}{(1+Bz)(1+Az)},$$

where  $r(z)$  is defined in (3.9), then

$$\left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \text{ and } \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \text{ is best dominant.}$$

Taking the function  $q(z) = \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho$  ( $0 < \rho \leq 1$ ), in Theorem (3.2), the condition (3.6) becomes

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\psi}{s} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\rho + \frac{2\tau\gamma}{s} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{2\rho} + \frac{2z^2}{1-z^2} \right\} > 0, (s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \quad (3.13)$$

hence, we have the following Corollary.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $0 < \rho \leq 1, S, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \gamma, t, \tau, \psi \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that (3.13) holds. If  $f \in W$  and

$$r(z) < t + \psi \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\rho + \tau \gamma \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{2\rho} + s \frac{2\rho z}{1-z^2},$$

where  $r(z)$  is defined in (3.9), then

$$\left(\frac{I_{M,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{z^p}\right)^\delta < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\rho, \text{ and } \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\rho \text{ is the best dominant.}$$

#### 4-Superordination Results

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent  $U$  with  $q(0) = 1, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, Re\{\eta\} > 0$ , if  $f \in W$ , such that

$$\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p} \neq 0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q. \tag{4.1}$$

If the function  $G(z)$  defined by (3.3) is univalent and the following superordination condition:

$$q(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zq'(z) < G(z), \tag{4.2}$$

holds, then

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta \tag{4.3}$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best subdominant.

**Proof:** Define a function  $k(z)$  by

$$k(z) = \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta. \tag{4.4}$$

Differentiating (4.4) with respect to  $z$  logarithmically, we get

$$\frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} = \delta \left( \frac{t_1 \left( z \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) \right)' \right) + t_2 \left( z \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z) \right)' \right) - t_1 \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) \right) - t_2 \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z) \right)}{t_1 \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) \right) + t_2 \left( I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z) \right)} - \frac{pt_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + pt_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)} \right) \tag{4.5}$$

A simple computation and using (1.7) from (4.5), we

$$\left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta \times \left( 1 + \eta \left( \frac{(pt_2 - \alpha t_2) I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z) + (t_2 - \alpha t_1 + pt_2 - pt_1) I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)} - \frac{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + (\alpha t_1 + pt_1) I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+2}(a,c)f(z)}{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)} \right) \right) = k(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zk'(z),$$

now, by using Lemma(2.4), we get the desired result.

Taking  $q(z) =$

$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  ( $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ), in Theorem (4.1), we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $Re\{\eta\} > 0, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ , such that

$$\left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q.$$

If the function  $G(z)$  given by (3.3) is univalent in  $U$  and  $f \in W$  satisfies the following superordination condition:

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \frac{\eta(A-B)Z}{\delta(1+BZ)^2} < G(z),$$

then

$$\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} < \left(\frac{t_1 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{(t_1+t_2)z^p}\right)^\delta,$$

and the function  $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$  is the best subdominant.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $q(z)$  be convex univalent in unit disk  $U$ . Let  $\delta, s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \gamma, t, \psi, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, q(z) \neq 0$ , and  $f \in W$ . Suppose that

$$Re \left\{ \frac{q(z)}{s} (2\tau\gamma q(z) + \psi) \right\} q'(z) > 0,$$

and satisfies the next conditions

$$\left(\frac{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{z^p}\right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\frac{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{z^p} \neq 0.$$

If the function  $r(z)$  is given by (3.9) is univalent in  $U$ ,

$$t + \psi q(z) + \tau\gamma q^2(z) + s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} < r(z) \tag{4.7}$$

implies

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)}{z^p}\right)^\delta, \text{ and } q(z) \text{ is the best subdominant.}$$

**Proof:** Let the function  $k(z)$  defined on  $U$  by (3.14).

Then a computation show that

$$\frac{zk'(z)}{k(z)} = \delta(\alpha + p) \left( \frac{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha+1}(a,c)f(z)}{I_{\mu,v}^{\lambda,p,\alpha}(a,c)f(z)} - 1 \right), \tag{4.8}$$

by setting  $\theta(w) = t + \psi\omega + \tau\gamma\omega^2$  and  $\phi(w) = \frac{s}{\omega}$ , it can be easily observed that  $\theta(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\phi(w)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and that  $\phi(w) \neq 0$  ( $W \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ).

Also, we get  $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = s \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ , it is observed that  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $U$ .

Since  $q(z)$  is convex, it follows that

$$Re \left( \frac{z\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right) = Re \left\{ \frac{q(z)}{s} (2\tau\gamma q(z) + \psi) \dot{q}(z) \right\} > 0.$$

By making use of (4.8) the hypothesis (4.7) can be equivalently written as

$$\theta \left( q(z) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) \right) = \theta \left( k(z) + zk'(z)\phi(k(z)) \right),$$

thus, by applying Lemma (2.3), the proof is completed.

### 5.Sandwich Results

Combining Theorem (3.1) with Theorem (4.1), we obtain the following sandwich Theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be convex univalent in  $U$  with  $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$  and  $q_2$  satisfies (3.1). Suppose that  $Re\{\eta\} > 0, \eta, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

If  $f \in W$ , such that

$$\left( \frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p} \right)^\delta \in$$

$$\mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

and the function  $G(z)$  defined by (3.3) is univalent and satisfies

$$q_1(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zq_1'(z) < G(z) < q_2(z) + \frac{\eta}{\delta} zq_2'(z), \quad (5.1)$$

then

$$q_1(z) < \left( \frac{t_1 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha+1}(a, c) f(z) + t_2 I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{(t_1 + t_2) z^p} \right)^\delta <$$

$$q_2(z),$$

where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are respectively, the subordinant and the best dominant of (5.1).

Combining Theorem (3.2) with Theorem (4.2), we obtain the following sandwich Theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $q_i$  be two convex univalent functions in  $U$ , such that  $q_i(0) = 1, q_i(0) \neq 0$  ( $i=1,2$ ). Suppose that  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  satisfies (3.8) and (4.8), respectively.

If  $f \in W$  and suppose that  $f$  satisfies the next conditions:

$$\left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta \in \mathcal{H}[Q(0), 1] \cap Q,$$

and

$$\frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \neq 0,$$

and  $r(z)$  is univalent in  $U$ , then

$$t + \psi q_1(z) + \tau\gamma q_1^2(z) + s \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} < t + \psi q_1(z) +$$

$$\tau\gamma q_1^2(z) + s \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)},$$

implies

$$q_1(z) < \left( \frac{I_{\mu, \nu}^{\lambda, p, \alpha}(a, c) f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\delta < q_2(z),$$

and  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are the best subordinant and the best dominant respectively and  $r(z)$  is given by (3.9).

### References:

- [1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravich and, K. G. subramanian, Differential sandwich theorem for certain analytic functions, for East J. Math. Sci., (2004), 15, 87-94.
- [2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, on subordination and superordination of the multiplier transformation for meromorphic functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 33 (2010), 311-324.
- [3] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Bookpubl., Cluj-napoca, (2005).
- [4] T. Bulboacă, Classes of first order differential superordinations, Demonstration math., 35(2) (2002), 287-292.
- [5] N.E. Cho, O.H. Kwon and H.M. Srivastava, Inclusion and argument Properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 292(2004), 470-483.
- [6] R.M. Goel and N.S. sohi, A new criterion for P-valent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 78(1980), 535-557.
- [7] S.P. Goyal, P. Goswami and H. Silverman, Subordination and superordination results for a class of analytic multivalent functions, Int. J. Math. Sci., Article ID 561638, 1-12, (2008).
- [8] Yu. E. Hohlov, Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions, Izv. Vvssh. Uceb. Zaved. Math., 10(1987), 83-89.
- [9] R. Kargar, A. Bilavi, S. Abdolahi and S. Maroufi, A class of multivalent analytic functions defined by a new linear operator, J. Math. Com P. Sci., 8 (2014), 326-334.
- [10] J.L. Liu and k.l. Noor, some properties of Noor integral operator, J. Natur. Geom., 21(2002), 81-90.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, (2000).
- [12] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, complex variables, 48(10) (2003), 815-826.
- [13] H. Saito, A linear operator and its applications of first order differential subordinations, Math. Japon., 44(1996), 31-38.

- [14]T.N. Shanmugam, S. Shivasubramaniam and H. Silverman, On sandwich theorems for classes of analytic functions, Int. J. Math. Sci., Article ID29684(2006),1-13.
- [15]T.N. Shanmugam, V. Rvichandran and S. Sivasubramanian, Differential sandwich theorems for subclasses of analytic functions, Aust. J. Math. Anal. App1.,3, Article 8(2006),1.11.
- [16]H.M. Srivastava and M.K. Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients, Int. J. Math. App1., 171(1992),1-13.
- [17]N. Tuneski, On certain sufficient conditions for starlikeness, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 23(8) (2000), 521-527.

## على نظريات الساندويتش التفاضلية من وظائف متعددة التكافؤ المحددة من قبل المشغل الخطي

وقاص غالب عطشان سلوى كلف كاظم  
قسم الرياضيات ، كلية علوم الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات ، جامعة القادسية ، الديوانية-العراق

### المستخلص :

الهدف الرئيسي من هذا البحث هو استخلاص بعض النتائج للوظائف التحليلية متعددة التكافؤ التي يحددها المشغل الخطي باستخدام التبعية التفاضلية والإخضاع .