

Differential Subordination Results for Holomorphic Functions Related to Differential Operator

Abbas Kareem Wanas¹

S R Swamy²

Department of Mathematics

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

College of Science

R V College of Engineering

University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

Mysore Road, Bangalore-560 059, Karnataka, India

abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq¹

swamysr@rvce.edu.in²

Recived : 27\2\2019

Revised : 17 \3 \ 2019

Accepted : 26\3\2019

Available online : 24 /4/2019

Abstract:

In the present work, we introduce and study a certain class of holomorphic functions defined by differential operator in the open unit disk U . Also, we derive some important geometric properties for this class such as integral representation, inclusion relationship and argument estimate.

Key Words. Holomorphic functions, subordination, integral representation, differential operator.

1. Introduction.

Let \mathcal{A} stands for the family of all functions f of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are holomorphic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Given two functions f and g which are holomorphic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z) (z \in U)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w which is holomorphic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z)) (z \in U)$. In particular, if the function g is univalent in U , then $f < g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

For $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0, \mu, \lambda, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha \neq \lambda$, we consider the differential operator $A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, introduced by Amourah and Darus [2], where

$$A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-1)[(\lambda-\alpha)\beta + n\gamma]}{\mu + \lambda} \right]^{\eta} a_n z^n. \quad (1.2)$$

It is readily verified from (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} & z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \right)' \\ &= \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z) \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right) A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta)f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Here, we would point out some of the special cases of the operator defined by (1.2) can be found in [1,3,7,9].

Let T stands for the family of mapping h of the form:

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n,$$

which are holomorphic and convex univalent in U and satisfy the condition:

$$Re\{h(z)\} > 0, \quad (z \in U).$$

Now, we need the following lemmas that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.1 [5]. Let $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that ψ is convex and univalent in U with $\psi(0) = 1$ and $Re\{u\psi(z) + v\} > 0, (z \in U)$. If q is holomorphic in U with $q(0) = 1$, then the subordination

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{uq(z) + v} < \psi(z),$$

which implies to $q(z) < \psi(z)$.

Lemma 1.2 [6]. Let h be convex univalent in U and \mathcal{T} be holomorphic in U with $Re\{\mathcal{T}(z)\} \geq 0, (z \in U)$. If q is holomorphic in U and $q(0) = h(0)$, then the subordination

$$q(z) + \mathcal{T}(z)zq'(z) < h(z),$$

which implies to $q(z) < h(z)$.

Lemma 1.3 [4]. Let q be holomorphic in U with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. If there exists two points $z_1, z_2 \in U$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 = arg(q(z_1)) &< arg(q(z)) \\ &< arg(q(z_2)) = \frac{\pi}{2}b_2, \end{aligned}$$

for some b_1 and b_2 ($b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0$) and for all $z(|z| < |z_1| = |z_2|)$, then

$$\frac{z_1 q'(z_1)}{q(z_1)} = -i \left(\frac{b_1 + b_2}{2} \right) m$$

and

$$\frac{z_2 q'(z_2)}{q(z_2)} = i \left(\frac{b_1 + b_2}{2} \right) m,$$

where

$$m \geq \frac{1 - |\varepsilon|}{1 + |\varepsilon|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = i \tan \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{b_2 - b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right).$$

Such type of study was carried out for another classes in [10].

2. Main Results

We begin this section with the function class $\Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$ as follows:

Definition 2.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$, if it satisfies the following differential subordination condition:

$$\frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} - \delta \right) < h(z), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0, \mu, \lambda, \beta > 0$, $\alpha \neq \lambda$ and $h \in T$.

In the following theorem, we find integral representation of the class $\Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$. Then

$$A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) = z \cdot \exp \left[(1-\delta) \int_0^z \frac{h(w(s)) - 1}{s} ds \right],$$

where w is holomorphic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1, (z \in U)$.

Proof. Assume that $f \in \Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$. It is easy to see that subordination condition (2.1) can be written as follows

$$\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} = (1-\delta)h(w(z)) + \delta, \tag{2.2}$$

where w is holomorphic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1, (z \in U)$.

From (2.2), we find that

$$\frac{\left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = (1-\delta) \frac{h(w(z)) - 1}{z}, \tag{2.3}$$

After integrating both sides of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left(\frac{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)}{z} \right) \\ &= (1-\delta) \int_0^z \frac{h(w(s)) - 1}{s} ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, from (2.4), we obtain the required result.

Next, we establish the inclusion relationship for the class $\Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $Re \left\{ (1-\delta)h(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right\} > 0$. Then

$$\Psi(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h) \subset \Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Psi(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$ and put

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} - \delta \right). \tag{2.5}$$

Then q is holomorphic in U with $q(0) = 1$. Making use of the identity (1.3), we find from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \frac{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} \\ &= (1-\delta)q(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.6) with respect to z and multiplying by z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{(1-\delta)q(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z)} - \delta \right) < h(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Since $Re \left\{ (1-\delta)h(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right\} > 0$, then applying Lemma 1.1 to the subordination

(2.7), yields $q(z) < h(z)$, which implies to $f \in \Psi(\eta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; h)$.

Theorem 2.3

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < a_1, a_2 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. If

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}a_1 < \arg \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} - \delta \right) < \frac{\pi}{2}a_2,$$

for some $g \in \Psi \left(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)$, ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), then

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 < \arg \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} - \delta \right) < \frac{\pi}{2}b_2,$$

where b_1 and b_2 ($0 < b_1, b_2 \leq 1$) are the solutions of the equations:

$$a_1 \begin{cases} b_1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{(1-|\varepsilon|)(b_1+b_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t}{2(1+|\varepsilon|) \left(\frac{(1+A)(1-\delta)}{1+B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\gamma} \right) + (1-|\varepsilon|)(b_1+b_2) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t} \right) \\ b_1 \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$a_2 \begin{cases} b_2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{(1-|\varepsilon|)(b_1+b_2) \cos \frac{\pi}{2} t}{2(1+|\varepsilon|) \left(\frac{(1+A)(1-\delta)}{1+B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\gamma} \right) + (1-|\varepsilon|)(b_1+b_2) \sin \frac{\pi}{2} t} \right) \\ b_2 \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

with

$$\varepsilon = i \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{b_2 - b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right)$$

and

$$t = \frac{2}{\pi} \times$$

$$\times \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{(A-B)(1-\delta)}{\left(\delta + 1 - \frac{\mu+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\gamma} \right) (1-B^2) + (1-\delta)(1-AB)} \right) \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Define the function G by

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{1-\tau} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} - \tau \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where $g \in \Psi \left(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right)$, ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) and $0 \leq \tau < 1$.

Then G is holomorphic in U with $G(0) = 1$. Thus in view of (1.3) and (2.11), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1-\tau)G(z) + \tau) A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z) \\ &= \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right) A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

So, it is required to differential with respect to z the relation (2.12), and then multiplying by z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & ((1-\tau)G(z) + \tau) z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z) \right)' \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right) z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)' \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \right) z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Suppose that

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1-\delta} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} - \delta \right).$$

Using (1.3) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} \frac{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} \\ &= (1-\delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.13) and (2.14), we easily get

$$\begin{aligned} & G(z) + \frac{zG'(z)}{(1-\delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\tau} \left(\frac{z \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) g(z)} - \tau \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Notice that from Theorem 2.2, $g \in \Psi\left(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$ implies $g \in \Psi\left(\eta + 1, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, \delta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}\right)$. Thus,

$$H(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1).$$

By applying the result of Silverman and Silvia [8], we have

$$\left|H(z) - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2}\right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (B \neq -1, z \in U) \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$Re\{H(z)\} > \frac{1 - A}{2} \quad (B = -1, z \in U). \quad (2.17)$$

It follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\left| \frac{(1 - \delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}}{\left(\delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right)(1 - B^2) + (1 - \delta)(1 - AB)} - \frac{(A - B)(1 - \delta)}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{(A - B)(1 - \delta)}{1 - B^2}, \quad (B \neq -1, z \in U)$$

and

$$Re\left\{(1 - \delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right\} > \frac{(1 - A)(1 - \delta)}{2} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}, \quad (B = -1, z \in U).$$

Putting

$$(1 - \delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\phi},$$

where

$$-\frac{(A - B)(1 - \delta)}{\left(\delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right)(1 - B^2) + (1 - \delta)(1 - AB)} < \phi < \frac{(A - B)(1 - \delta)}{\left(\delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right)(1 - B^2) + (1 - \delta)(1 - AB)}, \quad (B \neq -1)$$

and $-1 < \phi < 1$, $(B = -1)$,

then

$$\frac{(1 - A)(1 - \delta)}{1 - B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} < \rho$$

$$< \frac{(1 + A)(1 - \delta)}{1 + B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma},$$

$(B \neq -1)$

and

$$\frac{(1 - A)(1 - \delta)}{1 - B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma} < \rho < \infty,$$

$(B = -1)$.

An application of Lemma 1.2 with $T(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \delta)H(z) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}}$, yields $G(z) < h(z)$.

If there exist two points $z_1, z_2 \in U$ such that

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 = arg(G(z_1)) < arg(G(z)) < arg(G(z_2)) = \frac{\pi}{2}b_2,$$

then by Lemma 1.3, we get

$$\frac{z_1 G'(z_1)}{G(z_1)} = -\frac{mi}{2}(b_1 + b_2)$$

and

$$\frac{z_2 G'(z_2)}{G(z_2)} = \frac{mi}{2}(b_1 + b_2),$$

where

$$m \geq \frac{1 - |\varepsilon|}{1 + |\varepsilon|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = i \tan \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{b_2 - b_1}{b_1 + b_2} \right).$$

Now, for the case $B \neq -1$, we obtain

$$arg\left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau} \left(\frac{z_1 \left(A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) f(z_1) \right)'}{A_{\mu, \lambda, \gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta) g(z_1)} - \tau \right)\right)$$

$$= arg\left(G(z_1)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{z_1 G'(z_1)}{(1 - \delta)H(z_1) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \arg(G(z_1)) \\
 &+ \arg\left(1 + \frac{z_1 G'(z_1)}{\left[(1-\delta)H(z_1) + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right]G(z_1)}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 + \arg\left(1 - \frac{mi}{2\rho}(b_1 + b_2)e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\phi}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 + \arg\left(1 - \frac{m}{2\rho}(b_1 + b_2)\cos\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi) + \frac{mi}{2\rho}(b_1 + b_2)\sin\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)\right) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 \\
 &- \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{m(b_1 + b_2)\sin\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)}{2\rho + m(b_1 + b_2)\cos\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)}\right) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 \\
 &- \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(1 - |\varepsilon|)(b_1 + b_2)\cos\frac{\pi}{2}t}{2(1 + |\varepsilon|)\left(\frac{(1+A)(1-\delta)}{1+B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right) + (1 - |\varepsilon|)(b_1 + b_2)\sin\frac{\pi}{2}t}\right) \\
 &= -\frac{\pi}{2}a_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where a_1 and t are given by (2.8) and (2.10), respectively.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\arg\left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau} \left(\frac{z_2 \left(A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z_2)\right)'}{A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)g(z_2)} - \tau\right)\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}b_2 \\
 &+ \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{(1 - |\varepsilon|)(b_1 + b_2)\cos\frac{\pi}{2}t}{2(1 + |\varepsilon|)\left(\frac{(1+A)(1-\delta)}{1+B} + \delta + 1 - \frac{\mu + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\gamma}\right) + (1 - |\varepsilon|)(b_1 + b_2)\sin\frac{\pi}{2}t}\right) \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2}a_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where a_2 and t are given by (2.9) and (2.10), respectively.

Similarly, for the case $B = -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\arg\left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau} \left(\frac{z_1 \left(A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z_1)\right)'}{A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)g(z_1)} - \tau\right)\right) \\
 &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}b_1 \\
 &\text{and} \\
 &\arg\left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau} \left(\frac{z_2 \left(A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)f(z_2)\right)'}{A_{\mu,\lambda,\gamma}^{\eta+1}(\alpha, \beta)g(z_2)} - \tau\right)\right) \\
 &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}b_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The above two cases disagree the assumptions. Therefore, the proof is complete.

[1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(2004), 1429–1436.

[2] A. Amourah and M. Darus, Some properties of a new class of univalent functions involving a new generalized differential operator with negative coefficients, Indian J. Sci. Tech., 9(36)(2016), 1–7.

[3] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order, Far East J. Math. Sci., 33(3)(2009), 299–308.

[4] A. Ebadian, S. Shams, Z. G. Wang and Y. Sun, A class of multivalent analytic functions involving the generalized Jung-Kim-Srivastava operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 18(2009), 265–277.

[5] P. J. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, General Inequalities, Birkhauser, Basel, 3(1983), 339–348.

[6] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu , Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. , 28(1981) , 157-171.

[7] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.

[8] H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, Canad. J. Math., 37(1985), 48-61.

[9] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, Int. Math. Forum, 7(36)(2012), 1751–1760.

[10] A. K. Wanas and A. H. Majeed, On a differential subordinations of multivalent analytic functions defined by linear operator, Int. J. Adv. Appl. Math. Mech., 5(1)(2017), 81-87.

نتائج التابعية التفاضلية للدوال التحليلية المرتبطة بالمؤثر التفاضلي

S R Swamy

قسم علوم الحاسوب والهندسة

كلية الهندسة

طريق ميسور، بنغالور ٥٦٠ ٥٩٠، كارناتاكا، الهند

عباس كريم وناس^١

قسم الرياضيات

كلية العلوم

جامعة القادسية، العراق

المستخلص:

في العمل الحالي ، نقدم وندرس صنف مؤكد من الدوال التحليلية المعرفة بواسطة المؤثر التفاضلي في قرص الوحدة المفتوح U . كذلك نقدم بعض الخصائص الهندسية المهمة لهذا الصنف مثل تمثيل التكامل ، علاقة الاحتمال وتخمين السعة.