

Weakly Nearly Semiprime Submodules and Some Related Concepts

Haibat Karim Mohammadali ^(a)

Ali Sabah Sadiq ^(b)

(a) Department of Mathematics, College of Comp. Science and Math. University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq . Email: dr.mohammadali2013@gmail.com

(b) Department of Mathematics, College of Comp. Science and Math. University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq. Email: alisabahsadicikali@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 06 /04/2020

Revised form: dd /mm/year

Accepted : 16 /04/2020

Available online: 07 /05/2020

Keywords:

Weakly semiprime submodules, WN-semiprime submodules, Jacobson radical of submodules, Multiplication R-modules.

DOI : 10.29304/jqcm.2020.12.2.686

ABSTRACT

In present paper the concept of WN-semiprime submodule of unitary left R-module G over a commutative ring R with identity are introduced and studied, as a generalization of weakly semiprime submodules. Numerous basic properties of this concept are investigate. Where a proper submodule A of an R-module G is said to be WN-semiprime, if whenever $0 \neq r^n x \in A$, for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $rx \in A + Rad(G)$. Furthermore many characterizations of WN-semiprime submodule are introduced. Moreover, some properties of WN-semiprime submodules in some classes of modules are given.

MSC:

1. Introduction

The concept of a weakly semiprime submodule was first introduced by Tavallae Zalfoghari in 2012, where a proper submodule A of an R-module F is called weakly semiprime, if whenever $0 \neq r^k x \in A$, for $r \in R, x \in F$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $rx \in A$ [1]. Recently the concept of weakly semiprime submodule was generalization to the concept of weakly semi-2-Absorbing submodules in 2018 [2]. The concept of WE-semiprime submodule as a strong form of weakly semiprime submodule was introduced in [3]. In this note we investigate the concept WN-semiprime submodule, where a proper submodule A of an R-module G is called WN-semiprime, if whenever $0 \neq r^n x \in A$, for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $rx \in A + Rad(G)$. Where $Rad(G)$ is the intersection of all maximal submodule of G , $Rad(G)$ is called the Jacobson radical of G . Also the concept of WN-semiprime submodule is a generalization of WNprime submodule, which introduced in [4]. Recall that an R-module G is faithful, if $ann_R(G) = (0)$, where $ann_R(G) = \{r \in R : rG = (0)\}$ [5]. "An R-

Corresponding author: Ali sabah sadiq

Email address: alisabahsadicikali@gmail.com

Communicated by :

module G is called multiplication if every submodule A of G is of the form $A = IG$ for some ideal I of R , in particular $A = [A:R G]G$ [6].

2. Basic properties of WN-semiprime submodules

In this part of this research we introduce the definition of WN-semiprime submodule and we give some basic properties, characterizations and examples.

Definition 2.1 A proper submodule A of an R -module G is called a weakly nearly semiprime (for short WN-semiprime) submodule of G , if whenever $0 \neq r^n x \in A$, for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$. And an ideal J of a ring R is called a WN-semiprime ideal of R , if J is a WN-semiprime submodule of an R -module R .

Remarks and Examples 2.2

(1). It is clear that every weakly semiprime submodule of an R -module G is a WN-semiprime submodule, but not conversely, the following example shows that.

Consider the Z -module Z_{24} and the submodule $A = \{\bar{0}, \bar{8}, \bar{16}\}$ of Z_{24} . A is a WN-semiprime submodule of Z_{24} since $\text{Rad}(Z_{24}) = \langle \bar{2} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{3} \rangle = \langle \bar{6} \rangle = \{\bar{0}, \bar{6}, \bar{12}, \bar{18}\}$ and whenever $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ for $r \in Z, x \in Z_{24}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(Z_{24}) = \{\bar{0}, \bar{8}, \bar{16}\} + \{\bar{0}, \bar{6}, \bar{12}, \bar{18}\} = \langle \bar{2} \rangle = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}, \bar{8}, \bar{10}, \bar{12}, \bar{14}, \bar{16}, \bar{18}, \bar{20}, \bar{22}\}$. But A is not weakly semiprime submodule of Z_{24} since $0 \neq 2^2 \bar{2} \in A$ for $2 \in Z, \bar{2} \in Z_{24}$, but $2\bar{2} \notin A$.

(2). The submodule $B = \langle \bar{12} \rangle$ of the Z -module Z_{24} is a WN-semiprime submodule of Z_{24} , since $0 \neq 2^2 \bar{3} \in B$ for $2 \in Z, \bar{3} \in Z_{24}$, implies that $2\bar{3} = \bar{6} \in B + \text{Rad}(Z_{24}) = \langle \bar{12} \rangle + \langle \bar{6} \rangle = \langle \bar{6} \rangle$.

(3). It is clear that every WNprime submodule of an R -module G is a WN-semiprime submodule, but not conversely, the following example explains that.

In the Z -module Z_{24} we shows that by (2) the submodule $A = \langle \bar{12} \rangle$ is a WN-semiprime. But A is not WNprime submodule of Z_{24} for $0 \neq 3\bar{4} \in \langle \bar{12} \rangle$ for $3 \in Z, \bar{4} \in Z_{24}$, but $\bar{4} \notin \langle \bar{12} \rangle + \text{Rad}(Z_{24}) = \langle \bar{12} \rangle + \langle \bar{6} \rangle = \langle \bar{6} \rangle$ and $3 \notin [\langle \bar{12} \rangle + \text{Rad}(Z_{24}) :_Z Z_{24}] = [\langle \bar{6} \rangle :_Z Z_{24}] = 6Z$.

The following proposition gives some equivalent characterizations of WN-semiprime submodule.

Proposition 2.3 Let G be an R -module and A be a proper submodule of G . Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1). A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

(2). $[A:G \langle r^n \rangle] \subseteq [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle] \cup [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$.

(3). Either $[A:G \langle r^n \rangle] \subseteq [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$ or $[A:G \langle r^n \rangle] \subseteq [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in [A:G \langle r^n \rangle]$, implies that $r^n x \in A$, if $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ and A is a WN-semiprime it follows that $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$, hence $x \in [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$. Thus $x \in [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle] \cup [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$. If $r^n x = 0$, then $x \in [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$, it follows that $x \in [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle] \cup [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$. Hence $[A:G \langle r^n \rangle] \subseteq [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle] \cup [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) clearly.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in Z^+$, hence $x \in [A :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$ and $x \notin [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$ then by hypothesis $x \in [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$. That is $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$, and it gives that A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

The following proposition gives another characterization of WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proposition 2.4 Let G be an R -module and A be a proper submodule of G . Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n B \subseteq A$ for $r \in R, B$ is a submodule of G and $n \in Z^+$, implies that $\langle r \rangle B \subseteq A + \text{Rad}(G)$.

Proof (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n B \subseteq A$ for $r \in R, B$ is a submodule of G and $n \in Z^+$ that is $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle B \subseteq A$, it follows that $B \subseteq [A :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$ with $B \not\subseteq [\langle 0 \rangle :_G \langle r^n \rangle]$. Thus by Proposition(2.3) $B \subseteq [A + \text{Rad}(G) :_G \langle r \rangle]$, it follows that $\langle r \rangle B \subseteq A + \text{Rad}(G)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in Z^+$. Implies that $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle \langle x \rangle \subseteq A$, that is $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n \langle x \rangle \subseteq A$, so by hypothesis $\langle r \rangle \langle x \rangle \subseteq A + \text{Rad}(G)$, implies that $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$. Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

As a direct consequence of Proposition (2.4) we get the following corollaries

Corollary 2.5 Let G be an R -module and A be a proper submodule of G . Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n G \subseteq A$ for $r \in R$, and $n \in Z^+$, implies that $\langle r \rangle G \subseteq A + \text{Rad}(G)$.

Corollary 2.6 Let G be an R -module and A be a proper submodule of G . Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $0 \neq r^n B \subseteq A$ for $r \in R, B$ is a submodule of G and $n \in Z^+$, implies that $rB \subseteq A + \text{Rad}(G)$.

The following proposition gives a condition under which WN-semiprime submodules are weakly semiprime.

Proposition 2.7 Let G be an R -module and A be a WN-semiprime submodule of G with $\text{Rad}(G) \subseteq A$. Then A be a weakly semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Let $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in Z^+$. Since A a WN-semiprime submodule, then $rx \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$. But $\text{Rad}(G) \subseteq A$, it follows that $\text{Rad}(G) + A = A$, hence $rx \in A$. Hence A is a weakly semiprime submodule of G .

It is well-known that if A is a submodule of an R -module G with $\text{Rad}\left(\frac{G}{A}\right) = (0)$, then $\text{Rad}(G) \subseteq A$ [5, Theo. (9.1.4)(b)]. So we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8 Let G be an R -module and A be a WN-semiprime submodule of G with $\text{Rad}\left(\frac{G}{A}\right) = (0)$. Then A be a weakly semiprime submodule of G .

Another condition on WN-semiprime submodule of G to be weakly semiprime in the following result.

Proposition 2.9 Let G be an R -module and A be a WN-semiprime submodule of G with $Rad(G) = (0)$. Then A is a weakly semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Clear .

Recall that an R -module G is a semisimple if and only if $Rad(G) = (0)$ [5, Theo. (9.2.2)].

As a direct Application of Proposition 2.9 we get the following result.

Corollary 2.10 Let G be a semisimple R -module and A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G . Then A is a weakly semiprime submodule of G .

Recall that an R -module G is called torsion free if the set $\mathfrak{S}(G) = \{x \in G : rx = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } r \in R\}$ [7].

Proposition 2.11 Let G be a torsion free R -module, and A is a submodule of G with $Rad\left(\frac{G}{A}\right) = (0)$. Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if for any nonzero ideal I of R , $[A :_G I]$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n x \subseteq [A :_G I]$ for each $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $\langle r \rangle^n (xI) \subseteq A$. If $0 \neq \langle r \rangle^n (xI) \subseteq A$ and A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then by proposition 2.4 we have $\langle r \rangle (xI) \subseteq A + Rad(G)$. But $Rad\left(\frac{G}{A}\right) = (0)$, then $Rad(G) \subseteq A$, it follows that $Rad(G) + A = A$, hence $\langle r \rangle (xI) \subseteq A$, implies that $\langle r \rangle x \subseteq [A :_G I] \subseteq [A :_G I] + Rad(G)$. Thus $\langle r \rangle x \subseteq [A :_G I] + Rad(G)$. If $(0) = \langle r \rangle^n (xI)$, so $\langle r \rangle^n x i = 0$ for some nonzero $i \in I$, then $\langle r \rangle^n x \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(G) = (0)$ contradiction .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[A :_G I]$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G for any nonzero ideal I of R . Put $I = R$ we get $[A :_G I] = A$ which is WN-semiprime submodule of G .

For next corollary we need to introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.12 [8, Lemma 4.11] Every faithful multiplication R -module is torsion free.

Corollary 2.13 Let G be a faithful multiplication R -module, and A is a proper submodule of G with $Rad\left(\frac{G}{A}\right) = (0)$. Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if for any nonzero ideal I of R $[A :_G I]$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Follows by Lemma 2.12 and Proposition 2.11.

Recall that an R -module G is a hollow module if every submodule A of G is small, that is if $G = A + B$ for some submodule B of G , implies that $B = G$ [9].

Proposition 2.14 Let G be an R -module, and A a small submodule of G , such that $Rad(G)$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of G . Then A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Let $0 \neq r^n x \in A$ for $r \in R, x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since A is a small submodule of G , then $A \subseteq Rad(G)$ that is $0 \neq r^n x \in Rad(G)$, but $Rad(G)$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of G , it follows that $rx \in Rad(G) \subseteq A + Rad(G)$. Hence A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Corollary 2.15 Let G be a hollow R-module with $Rad(G)$ is a weakly semiprime submodule of G , then every proper submodule of G is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Recall that a submodule N of an R-module G is called coclosed if for any submodule A of G contained in N , $\frac{N}{A}$ is a small in $\frac{G}{A}$, implies that $N = A$ [9].

We need to recall the following lemma before we introduce the next proposition.

Lemma 2.16 [9, Prop. (1.2.16)] If B is a coclosed submodule of an R-module G , then $Rad(B) = B \cap Rad(G)$.

Proposition 2.17 Let G be an R-module, and B, C are submodules of G with $C \not\subseteq B$. If C is a coclosed submodule of G such that $Rad\left(\frac{G}{C}\right) = (0)$ and B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G . Then $B \cap C$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof It is clear that $B \cap C$ is a proper submodule of C . Let $0 \neq r^n x \in B \cap C$, for $r \in R, x \in C$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $0 \neq r^n x \in B$. Since B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , implies that $rx \in B + Rad(G)$. It follows that $rx \in (B + Rad(G)) \cap C$. Since $Rad\left(\frac{G}{C}\right) = (0)$, then $Rad(G) \subseteq C$, hence by modular law we have $rx \in (B \cap C) + (C \cap Rad(G))$. But C is a coclosed submodule of G , then by Lemma 2.16 $Rad(C) = C \cap Rad(G)$, hence $rx \in (B \cap C) + Rad(C)$. That is $B \cap C$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of C .

Proposition 2.18 Let $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ be an R-module for G_1, G_2 are R-modules, with G_2 is injective R-module and A_1 is a small submodule of G_1 . Then A_1 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_1 if and only if $A_1 \oplus G_2$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $(0,0) \neq r^n(x_1, x_2) \in A_1 \oplus G_2$ where $r \in R, (x_1, x_2) \in G_1 \oplus G_2$ with x_1 is nonzero in $G_1, x_2 \in G_2$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, implies that $0 \neq r^n x_1 \in A_1$. But A_1 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_1 , then $rx_1 \in A_1 + Rad(G_1)$. Since A_1 is a small submodule of G_1 , implies that $A_1 \subseteq Rad(G_1)$, it follows that $rx_1 \in Rad(G_1)$, and since G_2 is injective, then by [10, Lemma 2.3] $Rad(G_2) = G_2$, implies that $rx_2 \in Rad(G_2)$, so $r(x_1, x_2) \in Rad(G_1) \oplus Rad(G_2) = Rad(G_1 \oplus G_2) \subseteq A_1 \oplus G_2 + Rad(G_1 \oplus G_2)$. Hence $A_1 \oplus G_2$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $A_1 \oplus G_2$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , and let $0 \neq r^n x_1 \in A_1$ for $r \in R$ and x_1 is nonzero element G_1 and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. It follows that for each $x_2 \in G_2$ $(0,0) \neq r^n(x_1, x_2) \in A_1 \oplus G_2$. Since $A_1 \oplus G_2$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , implies that so $r(x_1, x_2) \in (A_1 \oplus G_2) + Rad(G_1 \oplus G_2) = (A_1 \oplus G_2) + Rad(G_1) \oplus Rad(G_2)$. Since A_1 is small submodule of G_1 , then $A_1 \subseteq Rad(G_1)$, and G_2 is injective, then by [8, Lemma 2.3] $Rad(G_2) = G_2$. Hence $r(x_1, x_2) \in (A_1 \oplus G_2) + ((A_1 + Rad(G_1)) \oplus G_2) \subseteq (A_1 + Rad(G_1)) \oplus G_2$ (because $A_1 \oplus G_2 \subseteq (A_1 + Rad(G_1)) \oplus G_2$). Thus $r x_1 \in A_1 + Rad(G_1)$. It follows that A_1 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_1 .

Corollary 2.19 Let $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ be an R-module where G_1, G_2 are R-modules, G_1 is a hollow module and G_2 is an injective R-module and A_1 is a proper submodule of G_1 . Then A_1 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_1 if and only if $A_1 \oplus G_2$ is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

We end this section by following proposition.

Proposition 2.20 Let $G = G_1 \oplus G_2$ be an R -module where G_1, G_2 are R -modules, and $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ be a submodule of G with $A \subseteq \text{Rad}(G)$. If A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then A_1, A_2 are WN-semiprime submodule of G_1, G_2 respectively.

Proof let $0 \neq r^n x_1 \in A_1$ for $r \in R$ and x_1 is nonzero element G_1 and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $(0,0) \neq r^n(x_1, 0) \in A$. Since A is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then $r(x_1, 0) \in A + \text{Rad}(G)$. But $A \subseteq \text{Rad}(G)$, then $r(x_1, 0) \in \text{Rad}(G) = \text{Rad}(G_1 \oplus G_2) = \text{Rad}(G_1) \oplus \text{Rad}(G_2)$. It follows that $rx_1 \in \text{Rad}(G_1) \subseteq A_1 + \text{Rad}(G_1)$. Hence A_1 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_1 .

Similarly, we can prove that A_2 is a WN-semiprime submodule of G_2 .

3. More properties of weakly nearly semiprime submodules

Our concern in this section is to give properties of WN-semiprime submodule in class of multiplication R -module characterize by good rings, Artinian rings and local rings before introduce the first proposition.

Recall that a ring R is a good ring if $\text{Rad}(G) = \text{Rad}(R)G$ for any R -module G [7].

Before we introduce the first proposition we recall the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 [6, Coro. of Theo. 9] "Let G be a finitely generated multiplication R -module, and I, J are ideals of ring R . Then $IG \subseteq JG$ if and only if $I \subseteq J + \text{ann}_R(G)$."

Proposition 3.2 Let G be a finitely generated multiplication R -module over a good ring R and I is a WN-semiprime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(G) \subseteq I$. Then IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Let $0 \neq r^n x \in IG$ for $r \in R, 0 \neq x \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, that is $0 \neq r^n \langle x \rangle \subseteq IG$. Since G is a multiplication then $\langle x \rangle = JM$ for some ideal J of R , that is $0 \neq r^n JG \subseteq IG$. It follows that by Lemma 3.1, $0 \neq r^n J \subseteq I + \text{ann}_R(G)$. But $\text{ann}_R(G) \subseteq I$, implies that $\text{ann}_R(G) + I = I$, hence $0 \neq r^n J \subseteq I$. Since I is a WN-semiprime ideal of R , it follows that $rJ \subseteq I + \text{Rad}(R)$, so $rJG \subseteq IG + \text{Rad}(R)G$. But R is a good ring, then $\text{Rad}(G) = \text{Rad}(R)G$. Hence $r \langle x \rangle \subseteq IG + \text{Rad}(G)$, hence $rx \in IG + \text{Rad}(G)$. Hence IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

It is well-known that an Artinian ring is a good ring [5, Coro. (9.7.3)(10)].

So, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3 Let G be a finitely generated multiplication R -module over an Artinian ring R and I is a WN-semiprime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(G) \subseteq I$. Then IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

It is well-known that if an R -module G over a local ring, then $\text{Rad}(G) = \text{Rad}(R)G$ [9, Prop. 1.2].

Proposition 3.4 Let G be a finitely generated multiplication R -module over a local ring R and I is a WN-semiprime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(G) \subseteq I$. Then IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Let $0 \neq r^n L \subseteq IG$, for $r \in R$ and L be a nonzero submodule of G , and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since G is multiplication, implies that $0 \neq r^n JG \subseteq IG$ for some nonzero ideal J of R . Hence by Lemma 3.1, $0 \neq r^n J \subseteq I + \text{ann}_R(G)$. But $\text{ann}_R(G) \subseteq I$, implies that $\text{ann}_R(G) + I = I$, that is $0 \neq r^n J \subseteq I$. It follows by Corollary 2.6 $rJ \subseteq I + \text{Rad}(R)$, implies that $rJG \subseteq IG + \text{Rad}(R)G$. But R is a local ring,

then $Rad(G) = Rad(R)G$. Hence $rL \subseteq IG + Rad(G)$. That is IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proposition 3.5 Let G be a projective finitely generated multiplication R -module and I be a WN-semiprime ideal of R with $ann_R(G) \subseteq I$. Then IG be a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proof Let $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle A \subseteq IG$, for $r \in R$ and A be a nonzero submodule of G , and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since G is multiplication, then $A = JG$ for some nonzero ideal J of R , that is $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle JG \subseteq IG$, it follows that by Lemma 3.1, $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle J \subseteq I + ann_R(G)$, implies that $ann_R(G) + I = I$ by hypothesis, hence is $0 \neq \langle r^n \rangle J \subseteq I$. It follows by Proposition 2.4, $\langle r \rangle J \subseteq I + Rad(R)$, it follows that $\langle r \rangle JG \subseteq IG + Rad(R)G$. But G is a projective R -module, then by [3, Prop. 17.10] $Rad(G) = Rad(R)G$. Thus $\langle r \rangle A \subseteq IG + Rad(G)$. That is IG is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Remark 3.6 If A is a WN-semiprime subodule of an R -module G , then $[A : G]$ need not to be a WN-semiprime ideal of R . The following example shows that :

Let $G = Z_8$ as a Z -module and $A = \{\bar{0}, \bar{4}\}$ be a submodule of Z_8 . It is clear that $Rad(Z_8) = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$. The submodule A is a WN-semiprime submodule of Z_8 , since $0 \neq 2^2 \bar{1} \in A$ for $2 \in Z, \bar{1} \in Z_8$, it follows that $2 \bar{1} \in A + Rad(Z_8) = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}, \bar{6}\}$. But $[A : Z_8] = 4Z$ is not WN-semiprime ideal of Z , since $0 \neq 2^2 1 \in 4Z$, but $2.1 \notin 4Z + Rad(Z) = 4Z + (0) = 4Z$.

Now we look for conditions under which the result of WN-semiprime is WN-semiprime ideal.

Proposition 3.7 Let G be an R -module and B be a proper submodule of G with $Rad\left(\frac{G}{B}\right) = (0)$ and $Rad(R) \subseteq [B :_R G]$. Then B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $[B :_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $0 \neq r^n s \in [B :_R G]$ for $r \in R$ and s is nonzero element of R , it follows that $0 \neq r^n sG \subseteq B$. Since B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then by Corollary 2.5, $rsG \subseteq B + Rad(G)$, but $Rad\left(\frac{G}{B}\right) = (0)$, then $Rad(G) \subseteq B$, it follows that $rsG \subseteq B$, hence $rs \in [B :_R G] \subseteq [B :_R G] + Rad(R)$. That is $[B :_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

(\Leftarrow) Let $0 \neq r^n G \subseteq B$ for r be nonzero element of R , it follows that $0 \neq r^n \cdot 1 \in [B :_R G]$, but $[B :_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R , implies that $r \cdot 1 \in [B :_R G] + Rad(R)$. Since $Rad(R) \subseteq [B :_R G]$, it follows that that $r \in [B :_R G]$, that is $rG \subseteq B \subseteq B + Rad(G)$. Hence B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proposition 3.8 Let G be multiplication R -module over an Artinian ring R and B be a proper submodule of G . Then B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $[B :_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $0 \neq r^n \cdot I \subseteq [B :_R G]$ for $r \in R$, and I is nonzero ideal of R , $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that $0 \neq r^n \cdot IG \subseteq B$. Since B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then $rIG \subseteq B + Rad(G)$. But G is a multiplication R -module over an Artinian ring, then $B = [B :_R G]G$ and $Rad(G) = Rad(R)G$, it follows that $rIG \subseteq [B :_R G]G + Rad(R)G$, hence $rI \subseteq [B :_R G] + Rad(R)$. That is $[B :_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

(\Leftarrow) $0 \neq r^n L \subseteq B$ for $r \in R$, and L be a submodule of G , $n \in Z^+$. Since G be multiplication R -module then $L = IG$ for some nonzero ideal I of R , that is $0 \neq r^n IG \subseteq B$, it follows that $0 \neq r^n I \subseteq [B:{}_R G]$. But $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R , then $rI \subseteq [B:{}_R G] + \text{Rad}(R)$, implies that $rIG \subseteq [B:{}_R G]G + \text{Rad}(R)G$. But G is a multiplication R -module over an Artinian ring, then $rL \subseteq B + \text{Rad}(G)$. That is B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

Proposition 3.9 Let G be multiplication R -module over a local ring R and B be a proper submodule of G . Then B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

Proof It follows that as in Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.10 Let G be multiplication R -module over a good ring R and B be a proper submodule of G . Then B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G if and only if $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

Proof It follows that as in Proposition 3.7.

Recall that an R -module G is a cancellation if $IG = JG$ for any ideals I, J of R , implies that $I = J$ [12].

Proposition 3.11 Let G be a multiplication faithful finitely generated projective R -module, and B be a proper submodule of G . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .
- (2). $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .
- (3). $B = IG$ for some WN-semiprime ideal I of R .

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $0 \neq r^n I \subseteq [B:{}_R G]$ for $r \in R, n \in Z^+$ and I is nonzero ideal of R , then $0 \neq r^n IG \subseteq B$. Since B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G , then by Corollary 2.6 $rIG \subseteq B + \text{Rad}(G)$. But G be a multiplication projective R -module, then $B = [B:{}_R G]G$ and $\text{Rad}(G) = \text{Rad}(R)G$, it follows that $rIG \subseteq [B:{}_R G]G + \text{Rad}(R)G$, that is $rI \subseteq [B:{}_R G] + \text{Rad}(R)$. Thus $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) $0 \neq r^n A \subseteq B$ for $r \in R$, and A be a submodule of G , $n \in Z^+$. Since G be multiplication R -module then $A = JG$ for some nonzero ideal J of R , that is $0 \neq r^n JG \subseteq B$, it follows that $0 \neq r^n J \subseteq [B:{}_R G]$. But $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R , implies that $rJ \subseteq [B:{}_R G] + \text{Rad}(R)$, it follows that $rJG \subseteq [B:{}_R G]G + \text{Rad}(R)G$. Since G is a multiplication projective R -module, then $rA \subseteq B + \text{Rad}(G)$. Hence B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is given that $[B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R , and since G is a multiplication R -module, then $B = [B:{}_R G]G = IG$ where $I = [B:{}_R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $B = IG$ for some WN-semiprime ideal I of R . But $B = [B:{}_R G]G$, hence $[B:{}_R G]G = IG$. Since G is a multiplication faithful finitely generated R -module then by [12, Prop. 3.1] G is a cancellation, that is $[B:{}_R G] = I$ which is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .

From Propositions 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 we get the following propositions similar to Proposition 3.11.

Proposition 3.12 Let G be a multiplication faithful finitely generated R -module over an Artinian ring R , and B be a proper submodule of G . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1). B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .
- (2). $[B:R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .
- (3). $B = IG$ for some WN-semiprime ideal I of R .

Proposition 3.13 Let G be a multiplication faithful finitely generated R -module over a local ring R , and B be a proper submodule of G . Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (1). B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .
- (2). $[B:R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .
- (3). $B = IG$ for some WN-semiprime ideal I of R .

Proposition 3.14 Let G be a multiplication faithful finitely generated R -module over a good ring R , and B be a proper submodule of G . Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (1). B is a WN-semiprime submodule of G .
- (2). $[B:R G]$ is a WN-semiprime ideal of R .
- (3). $B = IG$ for some WN-semiprime ideal I of R .

References

- [1] Tavallaee H. K. and Zalfoghari M., "Some On weakly prime and weakly semiprime submodules", J. of Advanced Research in Pure Math. 2(1)(2012), 19-30.
- [2] Haibat K. M. and Khalaf H. A., "Weakly Semi-2-Absorbing Submodules", Journal of Anbar university for Pure Sci. 12(2)(2018), 57-62.
- [3] Haibat K. M. and Saif A. H., "WE-prime Subodules and WE-semiprime Submodules", Ibn AL-Haitham J. for Pure and Applied sci. 31(3)(2018), 109-117.
- [4] Haibat K. M. and Ali S. S., "Weakly Nearly Prime Submodules", Ibn AL-Haitham J. for Pure and Applied sci. 33(2)(2020), To Appear
- [5] Kasch, F., "Modules and Rings", London Math. Soc. Monographs, New York, Academic press, 1982.
- [6] Smith P., "Some Remarks on Multiplication Modules", Arch. Math. 50(1988), 223-226.

-
- [7] Goldi A. W., "Torsion free Modules and Rings", *Journal of Algebra* 1(1964), 266-287.
- [8] Nuha H. A., "The Radicals of Modules", M. Sc. Thesis, university of Baghdad(1996).
- [9] Payman M. H., "Hollow Modules and Semi hollow Modules", M. Sc. Thesis, university of Baghdad (2005).
- [10] Majid M. A. and Ghafur A., "Generalization Of Generalized Supplemented Modules", *International J. of Algebra* 6(29)(2012), 1431-1441.
- [11] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R., "Rings and Categories of Modules", Springer-Verlag, New York. 1992.
- [12] Ali S. M., "On cancellation Modules", M. Sc. Thesis, university of Baghdad(1992).