



Weakly Approximaitly –Prime Submodules And Related concepts

Haibat Karim Mohammadali^(a)

Shahad Jasim Mahmood^(b)

^(a)Department of Mathematics ,College of Comp Science and Math University of Tikrit ,Tikrit ,Iraq .

E-mail dr.mohammadali2013@gmail.com .

^(b)Department of Mathematics ,College of Comp Science and Math University of Tikrit ,Tikrit ,Iraq .

E-mail hasanenjassm@gmail.com MSC : 30C45 , 30C50

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 20 /04/2020

Revised form: //

Accepted :14/05/2020

Available online: 17/05/2020

Keywords:

Weakly prime submodules, WAPP-
prime submodules Socal of
submodules,multiplication modules.

ABSTRACT

Let R be a commutative ring with identity and P a unital left R -module . The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the concept of WAPP-prime submodules as a generalization of weakly prime submodules , where a proper submodule L of an R -module P is called a WAPP-prime , if whenever $0 \neq re \in L$ $r \in R$, $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L + Soc(P)$ or $r \in [L + Soc(P)]_R$. Several examples , characterizations and basic properties of this concept are given .Moreover many characterizations of WAPP-prime submodules in class of multiplication modules are introduced .

MSC:

DOI : <https://DOI.10.29304/jqcm.2020.12.2.690>

1. Introduction

Throughout this article all ring are commutative rings with identity and all modules are Unital left R -modules . Weakly prime submodules were first introduced in 2004 as a generalization of prime submodules , where a paper submodule L of an R -module P is called weakly prime , if whenever $0 \neq re \in L$ $r \in R$, $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L$ or $r \in [L :_R P]$, where $[L :_R P] = \{ r \in R : rP \subseteq L \}$ [1] , and a proper submodule L of an R -module P is called prime , if whenever $re \in L$ $r \in R$, $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L$ or $r \in [L :_R P]$ [2] . The concept of weakly prime submodule studied extensively in [3 ,4 ,5 ,6] . Several generalization of weakly prime submodule were introduced such as weakly primary , weakly quasi primary and weakly semi prime submodules see [7 ,8 ,9] . In this paper we introduce and study a new generalization of weakly prime submodule called WAPP-prime submodule . Recall that a nonzero submodule B of an R -module P is called essential if $B \cap C \neq (0)$ for every nonzero submodule C of $V[10]$. The socale of an R -module P denoted by $Soc(P)$ is defined to be the intersection of all essential submodules of P [11] . It is well known if a submodule N of P is essential then $Soc(P) = Soc(N)$ [10 ,P. 29] . Multiplication module plays important role in this work , where an R -module P is called multiplication if every submodule L of P is the form $L = JP$ for some ideal J of R . Equivalently P is a multiplication if $L = [L :_R P]P$ [12] . Recalled that for any submodules L , K of a multiplication R -module P with $L = IP$ and $K = JK$ for some ideals I and J of R , the product $LK = IPJP = IJP$, that is $LK = IK$. In particular $LP = IPP = IP = L$, also for every $e \in P$, $L = Ie$ [13] . Recall that an R -module P is Z -regular if for each $e \in P$ there exists $f \in P^* = Hom_R(P, R)$ such that $e = f(e)e$ [14] . This paper divide into two parts . We introduce in part one the definition of WAPP-prime submodules and give examples , characterizations and some properties of this concept to part two deals with introducing many characterizations of WAPP-prime submodules in class of multiplication modules

Corresponding author: Shahad Jasim Mahmood

Email address: hasanenjassm@gmail.com

Communicated by : Alaa H. H. Al-Ka'bi

Main result :

We introduce in this part of the paper the definition of WAPP-prime submodule and give some basic properties ,also characterizations of this concept .

Definition 2.1

A proper submodule L of an R -module P is called weakly approximately prime (Briefly WAPP-prime) submodule of P , if whenever $0 \neq re \in L$ for $r \in R$, $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$.

And an ideal J of a ring R is a WAPP-prime ideal of R if and only if J is a WAPP-prime submodule of an R -module R .

There are several examples ,some of which we will mention .

1. In the Z -module Z_{24} ,the proper submodules are $\langle \bar{2} \rangle, \langle \bar{3} \rangle, \langle \bar{4} \rangle, \langle \bar{6} \rangle, \langle \bar{8} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{12} \rangle$. The only essential submodules of Z_{24} are $\langle \bar{2} \rangle, \langle \bar{4} \rangle$ So, $\text{Soc}(Z_{24}) = \langle \bar{2} \rangle \cap \langle \bar{4} \rangle = \langle \bar{4} \rangle$.
2. It is clear that the submodules $\langle \bar{2} \rangle, \langle \bar{3} \rangle$ of the Z -module Z_{24} , are weakly prime , but $\langle \bar{4} \rangle, \langle \bar{6} \rangle, \langle \bar{8} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{12} \rangle$ are not weakly prime submodules .
3. It is clear that the submodules $\langle \bar{6} \rangle, \langle \bar{8} \rangle$ of the Z -module Z_{24} are WAPP-prime , but the submodules $\langle \bar{4} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{12} \rangle$ are not WAPP-prime submodules of Z_{24} .
4. It is clear that every weakly prime submodule of an R - module P is a WAPP-prime but not conversely .

the following example explains that .

In the Z -module Z_{24} the submodule $\langle \bar{6} \rangle$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of Z_{24} by (3), but $\langle \bar{6} \rangle$ is not weakly prime by (2) .

5. The submodules $\langle \bar{2} \rangle, \langle \bar{3} \rangle$ of the Z -module Z_{24} are WAPP-prime by (4)
6. If L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then $[L :_R P]$ need not to be a WAPP-prime ideal of R . The following example shows that .

In the Z -module Z_{24} the submodule $\langle \bar{8} \rangle$ is a WAPP-prime by (3) , but $[\langle \bar{8} \rangle :_Z Z_{24}] = 8Z$ is not a WAPP-prime ideal of Z because $0 \neq 2.4 \in 8Z$, for $2, 4 \in Z$ but $4 \notin 8Z + \text{Soc}(Z)$ and $2 \notin [8Z + \text{Soc}(Z) :_Z Z]$ since $8Z + \text{Soc}(Z) = 8Z$ (since $\text{Soc}(Z) = (0)$)

7. Let A, B be submodules of an R -module P with $A \subseteq B$. If B is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then A need not to be a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

For example the submodules $\langle \bar{4} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{2} \rangle$ of Z -module Z_{24} $\langle \bar{4} \rangle \subseteq \langle \bar{2} \rangle$, we see that $\langle \bar{2} \rangle$ is a WAPP-prime submodule by (5) and $\langle \bar{4} \rangle$ is not WAPP-prime submodule by (3) .

8. Let A, B be submodules of an R -module P with $A \subseteq B$. If A is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then B need not to be a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

For example the submodules $\langle \bar{8} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{4} \rangle$ of the Z -module Z_{24} , $\langle \bar{8} \rangle \subseteq \langle \bar{4} \rangle$, we see that $\langle \bar{8} \rangle$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of Z_{24} by (3), but $\langle \bar{4} \rangle$ is not WAPP-prime submodule of Z_{24} , also by (3)

9. The intersection of two WAPP-prime submodules of P need not to be WAPP-prime submodule of P .

The following example shows that :

Let $N = \langle 2 \rangle, K = \langle 3 \rangle$ are two WAPP-prime submodules of the Z -modules Z (because they are weakly prime). But $\langle 2 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle = \langle 6 \rangle$ is not a WAPP-prime submodule of Z , since $0 \neq 2 \cdot 3 \in \langle 6 \rangle$, for $2, 3 \in Z$ but $2 \notin \langle 6 \rangle + \text{Soc}(Z)$ and $3 \notin \langle 6 \rangle + \text{Soc}(Z)$.

The following proposition are characterizations of WAPP-prime submodules.

Proposition 2.2 Let P be an R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if $[L :_R e] \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$ for all $e \in P$ and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

Proof (\Rightarrow) Suppose that L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , and let $a \in [L :_R e]$ and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$, then $a \in L$. If $ae = 0$, then $a \in [0 :_R e]$, thus $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$. If $0 \neq ae \in L$, and L is a WAPP-prime of P and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$, implies that $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Thus $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$. Therefore $[L :_R e] \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $[L :_R e] \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$ for all $e \in P$ and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$, and let $0 \neq ae \in L$, implies that $a \in [L :_R e]$, it follows by hypothesis $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \cup [0 :_R e]$. But $0 \neq ae$, hence $a \notin [0 :_R e]$, therefore $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Thus L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.3 Let P be an R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if whenever $(0) \neq aK \subseteq L$ for $a \in R$, K is a submodule of P , implies that either $K \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$.

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $(0) \neq aK \subseteq L$ for $a \in R$, K is a submodule of P with $K \not\subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. That is there exists $0 \neq e \in K$ such that $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$. Now, since $(0) \neq aK \subseteq L$, then $0 \neq ae \in L$. But L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$. It follows that $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $0 \neq a \in L$ for $a \in R$, $e \in P$, that is $0 \neq a \langle e \rangle \subseteq L$, hence by hypothesis either $\langle e \rangle \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. That is either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $a \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Hence L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.4 Let P be an R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if whenever $(0) \neq JK \subseteq L$ for J is an ideal of R and K is a submodule of P , implies that either $K \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$.

Proof (\Rightarrow) Let $(0) \neq JK \subseteq L$ with $K \not\subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$, that is there exists $0 \neq e \in K$ and $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$. To prove that $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Let $r \in J$, if $0 \neq re \in L$ and L is a WAPP-prime submodule, gives $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$, it follows that $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Assume that $re = 0$, and first suppose that $rK \neq (0)$, that is $0 \neq rd \in L$ for some $d \in K$. If $d \notin L \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$, and L is a WAPP-prime, then $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$, hence $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. If $d \in L \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$, then $0 \neq rd = r(d+e) \in L$ and L is a WAPP-prime submodule, so either $d+e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Thus $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. So, we can assume that $rK = 0$. Suppose that $Je \neq (0)$, that is $0 \neq se \in L$ for some $s \in J$ and L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P gives $s \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. As $0 \neq se = (r+s)e \in L$ and L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , we get $r+s \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$, it follows that $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$, so $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Therefore we can assume that $Je = (0)$. Since $JK \neq (0)$, then there exists $d_1 \in K$, $b \in J$ such that $0 \neq bd_1$, and $0 \neq bd_1 = b(d_1 + e) \in L$, so we have two cases :

- If $b \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$ and $d_1 + e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$. Since $0 \neq (r+b)(d_1 + e) = bd_1 \in L$ and L is a WAPP-prime, it follows that $(r+b) \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$ so $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Hence $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$.
- If $b \notin [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$ and $d_1 + e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$. As $0 \neq bd_1 \in L$, we have $d_1 \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$, so $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ which is a contradiction. Thus $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $0 \neq re \in L$ for $r \in R$, $e \in P$, implies that $0 \neq \langle r \rangle \langle e \rangle \subseteq L$, thus by hypothesis either $\langle e \rangle \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $\langle r \rangle \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. That is either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$. Therefore L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

As a direct application of Proposition (2.5), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5 Let P be an R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if whenever $(0) \neq Je \subseteq L$ for J is an ideal of R and $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $J \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P)]_{:R} P$.

The following propositions are basic properties of WAPP-prime submodules.

Proposition 2.6 Let P be an R -module, and N, L submodules of P such that $L \subseteq N$ and N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P . Then $\frac{N}{L}$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of $\frac{P}{L}$.

Proof : Let $0 \neq r(e+L) = re + L \in \frac{N}{L}$ for $r \in R, e+L \in \frac{P}{L}, e \in P$. Then $re \in N$. If $re=0$, then $r(e+L)=0$ which is a contradiction. Thus $0 \neq re \in N$ and N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , implies that either $e \in N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$, that is either $e \in N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $rP \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. It follows that $e+L \in \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$ or $r \frac{P}{L} \subseteq \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$, that is either $e+L \in \frac{N}{L} + \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L})$ or $r \frac{P}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L})$. Hence $\frac{N}{L}$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of $\frac{P}{L}$.

Recall that an R -module P is semi simple, if every submodule of P is a direct summand of P [11]. Equivalently P is a semi simple if and only if $\text{Soc}(P) = P$ [7].

It is well known that an R -module P is semi simple if and only if $\text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L}) = \frac{\text{Soc}(P) + L}{L}$ for all submodule L of P [11, Ex.(12)c].

Now, we give the converse of proposition (2.7)

Proposition 2.7 Let P be a semi simple R -module, and N, L submodules of P such that $L \subseteq N$ and N is a proper submodule of P . If L and $\frac{N}{L}$ are WAPP-prime submodules of P and $\frac{P}{L}$ respectively, then N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof : Let $0 \neq re \in N$ for $r \in R, e \in P$, so $0 \neq r(e+L) = re + L \in \frac{N}{L}$. If $0 \neq re \in L$ and L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $rP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Hence N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P . So, we may assume that $re \notin L$. It follows that $0 \neq r(e+L) \in \frac{N}{L}$, but $\frac{N}{L}$ a WAPP-prime submodule of $\frac{P}{L}$, implies that either $e+L \in \frac{N}{L} + \text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L})$ or $r \frac{P}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L})$. Since P is a semi simple, then $\text{Soc}(\frac{P}{L}) = \frac{L + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$, hence either $e+L \in \frac{N}{L} + \frac{L + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$ or $r \frac{P}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \frac{L + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$. Since $L \subseteq N$, it follows that $L + \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus, $\frac{N}{L} + \frac{L + \text{Soc}(P)}{L} \subseteq \frac{N}{L} + \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$ and since $\frac{N}{L} \subseteq \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$, implies that $\frac{N}{L} + \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L} = \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$. Thus either $e+L \in \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$ or $r \frac{P}{L} \subseteq \frac{N + \text{Soc}(P)}{L}$. It follows that either $e \in N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $rP \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Hence N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Recall that an R -module P is compressible if it can be embedded in any of its nonzero submodules [10].

Proposition 2.8 Let P be an R -module, and N a proper submodule of P such that $\frac{P}{N}$ is a compressible R -module. Then N is a WAPP-prime of P .

Proof: Suppose that K is a submodule of P such that $N \subseteq K$, and let $(0) \neq rK \subseteq N$ for $r \in R$ with $K \not\subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus $\frac{K}{N}$ is a submodule of $\frac{P}{N}$. But $\frac{P}{N}$ is compressible, then there exists a monomorphism $f: \frac{P}{N} \rightarrow \frac{K}{N}$, that is $r f\left(\frac{P}{N}\right) = (0)$, implies that $f\left(r \frac{P}{N}\right) = (0)$. It follows that $r\left(\frac{P}{N}\right) = (0)$. That is $rP \subseteq N \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Hence $r \in [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Therefore N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.9 Let P be an R -module, and N, K submodules of P with $N \subseteq K$ and K is an essential in P . If N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P . Then N is a WAPP-prime submodule of K .

Proof: Suppose that N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , and $(0) \neq rB \subseteq N$ for $r \in R$ and B is a submodule of K , that is B is a submodule of P . But N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then either $B \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Since K is an essential submodule of P , then $\text{Soc}(K) = \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus either $B \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(K)$ or $r \in [N + \text{Soc}(K) :_R P] \subseteq [N + \text{Soc}(K) :_R K]$. Therefore N is a WAPP-prime submodule of K .

It is well known that if L is a submodule of an R -module P , then $\text{Soc}(L) = L \cap \text{Soc}(P)$ [11, Lemma(2.3.15)]

Proposition 2.10 Let P be an R -module, and N, K be submodules of P with $K \not\subseteq N$ and $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$. If N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P . Then $N \cap K$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of K .

Proof: It is clear that $N \cap K$ is a proper submodule of K . Let $(0) \neq IA \subseteq N \cap K$ for I is an ideal of R and A is a submodule of K , that is A is a submodule of P . Thus $IA \subseteq N$ and $IA \subseteq K$. But N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P then either $A \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $IP \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus either $A \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap K$ or $IP \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap K$. Since $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$ then by modular law either $A \subseteq (N \cap K) + (K \cap \text{Soc}(P))$ or $IP \subseteq (N \cap K) + (K \cap \text{Soc}(P))$. Hence either $A \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(K)$ or $IP \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(K)$. Therefore by Proposition (2.5) $N \cap K$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of K .

Proposition 2.11 Let P be an R -module, and N, K are WAPP-prime submodules of P with K is not contained in N and either $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq N$ or $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$. Then $N \cap K$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: It is clear that $N \cap K$ is a proper submodule of K , and K is a proper submodule of P , it follows that $N \cap K$ is a proper submodule of P . Let $0 \neq JA \subseteq N$

$\cap K$ for J is an ideal of R and A is a submodule of P . Then $0 \neq JA \subseteq N$ and $0 \neq JA \subseteq K$. But N and K are WAPP-prime submodules of P , then either $A \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq N + \text{Soc}(P)$ and either $A \subseteq K + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq K + \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus either $A \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap (K + \text{Soc}(P))$ or $JP \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap (K + \text{Soc}(P))$. If $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$ then $K + \text{Soc}(P) = K$, and it follows that either $A \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap K$ or $JP \subseteq (N + \text{Soc}(P)) \cap K$, and so by modular law, we have either $A \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(P)$. If $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq N$, in the same way we get either $A \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq (N \cap K) + \text{Soc}(P)$. Therefore $N \cap K$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.12 Let P be an R -module, and N a submodule of P with $[N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$ is a maximal ideal of R . Then N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Let $0 \neq ae \in N$ for $a \in R$, $e \in P$ with $a \notin [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Since $[N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$ is a maximal ideal of R , then $R = \langle a \rangle + [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. That is $1 = ar + s$ for some $r \in R$, $s \in [N + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. That is $e = are + se \in N + \text{Soc}(P)$. Hence N is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.13 Let P be an R -module, and J a maximal ideal of R with $JP + \text{Soc}(P)$ is a proper submodule of P . Then JP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Since $JP \subseteq JP + \text{Soc}(P)$, it follows that $J \subseteq [JP + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$, that is there exists $r \in [JP + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$ and $r \notin J$. But J is a maximal ideal of R and $r \notin J$ then $R = J + \langle r \rangle$, it follows that $1 = br + s$ for some $s \in R$, $b \in J$, that is $e = be + sae$ for each $e \in P$. Thus $e \in JP + \text{Soc}(P)$ for each $e \in P$, that is $P \subseteq JP + \text{Soc}(P)$, hence $JP + \text{Soc}(P) = P$ which is a contradiction. Thus $r \in J$ and it follows that $[JP + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] \subseteq J$ hence $[JP + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] = J$ which is a maximal ideal of R , it follows by Proposition (2.13) JP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.14 Let P be an R -module and L a proper submodule of P with $[L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P] = [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R K]$ for each submodule K of P and $L + \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$. Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Let $(0) \neq Ie \subseteq L$ for $e \in P$, I is an ideal of R with $e \notin L + \text{Soc}(P)$. That is $K = L + \text{Soc}(P) + \langle e \rangle$. It is clear that $L + \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$, then $e \in K$. Now since $(0) \neq Ie \subseteq L$ and $e \in K$, implies that $I \subseteq [L :_R K]$. Since $L \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$, then $[L :_R K] \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R K]$. But it is given that $[L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R K] = [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$, implies that $[L :_R K] \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$, that is $I \subseteq [L + \text{Soc}(P) :_R P]$. Therefore by Corollary (2.6) L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 2.15 Let P be an R -module with $\text{Soc}(P)$ a weakly prime submodule of P . If L is a proper submodule of P with $L \subseteq \text{Soc}(P)$, then L is a WAPP-prime of P .

Proof: Let $0 \neq JA \subseteq L$ for J is an ideal of R and A a submodule of P . Since $L \subseteq \text{Soc}(P)$ then $(0) \neq JA \Rightarrow \text{Soc}(P)$. But $\text{Soc}(P)$ is a weakly prime submodule of P , then either $A \subseteq \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq \text{Soc}(P) \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. Therefore L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

We end this section by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.16 Let P be an R -module and L a submodule of P with $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq L$. Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if $[L :_P I]$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , for every nonzero ideal I of R .

Proof Let $(0) \neq Je \subseteq [L :_P I]$ for $e \in P$ and J is an ideal of R , that is $(0) \neq J(Ie) \subseteq L$. Since L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then by Proposition (2.5) either $Ie \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. But $\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq L$, implies that $L + \text{Soc}(P) = L$. Hence either $Ie \subseteq L$ or $JP \subseteq L$, implies that either $e \in [L :_P I]$ or $JP \subseteq L \subseteq [L :_P I]$. That is either $e \in [L :_P I] + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $JP \subseteq [L :_P I] + \text{Soc}(P)$. Thus by Corollary (2.6) $[L :_P I]$ is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

(\Leftarrow) Follows by taking $I=R$.

2. Characterizations in class of multiplication modules .

In this section we give many characterizations of WAPP-prime submodules in the class of multiplication modules .

The first characterization of WAPP-prime submodules was introduced in the next proposition .

Proposition 3.1 Let P be a multiplication R -module , and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if , whenever $(0) \neq AB \subseteq L$ for A, B are submodules of P , implies that either $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

Proof(\Rightarrow) $0 \neq AB \subseteq L$ for A, B are submodules of P . Since P is multiplication , then $A=IP$, $B=JP$, for some ideals I, J of R . That is $0 \neq I(JP) \subseteq L$, but L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then by Proposition (2.5)) either $JP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $IP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. It follows that either $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $0 \neq I_1 C \subseteq L$ for C is a submodule of P and I_1 is an ideal of R . Since P is a multiplication , then $C=I_2P$ for some ideal I_2 of R , that is $0 \neq I_1 I_2 P \subseteq L$. Put $B=I_1P$, then $0 \neq B C \subseteq L$, then by hypothesis either $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $C \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. That is either $C \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $I_1P \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. Hence by Proposition (2.5) L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition (3.1).

Corollary(3.2) Let P be a multiplication R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if, whenever $(0) \neq Ae \subseteq L$ for A is a submodule of P and $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

It is well known that in a Z -regular R -module P , $\text{Soc}(P) = \text{Soc}(R)P$ [15, Prop. (3.25)].

Proposition 3.3 Let P be a multiplication Z -regular R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

Proof(\Rightarrow) Let $0 \neq IJ \subseteq [L:R]P$ for I, J are ideals of R , then $0 \neq IJP \subseteq L$. Since P is a multiplication, then $IJP = AB$, by taking $A = IP, B = JP$ are submodule of P , that is $0 \neq AB \subseteq L$. Since L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , and P is a multiplication, then by Proposition (3.1) either $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. Again since P is a multiplication, then $L = [L:R]P$, and since P is a Z -regular then $\text{Soc}(P) = \text{Soc}(R)P$. Hence either $IP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $JP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$. That is either $I \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $J \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$. It follows that either $J \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $I \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$. Thus by Proposition(2.5) $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

(\Leftarrow) Let $(0) \neq I_1C \subseteq L$ for I_1 is an ideal of R and C is a submodule of P . Since P is a multiplication, then $C = I_2P$ for some ideal I_2 of R , it follows that $0 \neq I_1I_2P \subseteq L$, implies that $0 \neq I_1I_2 \subseteq [L:R]P$. But $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R , then by proposition (2.5) either $I_2 \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $I_1 \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$. That is either $I_2P \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $I_1P \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$. Since P is a Z -regular R -module, then $\text{Soc}(P) = \text{Soc}(R)P$. Thus either $C \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $IP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. It follows that either $C \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $I \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$. Hence by Proposition (2.5) L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

It is well known that in projective R -module P , $\text{Soc}(P) = \text{Soc}(R)P$ [15, Prop(3-24)].

Proposition 3.4 Let P be a projective multiplication R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

Proof:(\Rightarrow) Let $0 \neq rI \subseteq [L:R]P$ for $r \in R$ and I ideal of R . It follows that $0 \neq rIP \subseteq L$ since L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P , then by Proposition (2.4) either $IP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $rP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. But L is a multiplication projective R -module, then either $IP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $rP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$. That is either $I \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $r \in [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R) = [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$. Therefore by proposition (2.4) $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

(\Leftarrow) Let $(0) \neq rB \subseteq L$ for $r \in R$ and B is a submodule of P . Since P is a multiplication, then $B = JP$ for some ideal J of R , that is $0 \neq rJP \subseteq L$, it follows that $0 \neq rJ \subseteq [L:R]P$. But $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R , then by Proposition (2.4) either $J \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $r \in [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)$.

That is either $IP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $rP \subseteq [L:R]P + \text{Soc}(R)P$. But P is a projective R -module, then either $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $rP \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$. That is either $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $r \in [L + \text{Soc}(P):R]P$. Therefore by Proposition (2.4) L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

It is well known if an R -module P is a finitely generated multiplication, and I, J are ideals of R . Then $IP \subseteq JP$ if and only if $I \subseteq J + \text{ann}_R(P)$ [16].

Proposition 3.5 Let P be a finitely generated multiplication Z -regular R -module, and I a WAPP-prime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$. Then IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Let $(0) \neq LK \subseteq IP$ for L, K are submodules of P . Since P is multiplication, then $L = I_1P$, $K = I_2P$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R , that is $(0) \neq I_1I_2P \subseteq IP$. Since P is a finitely generated multiplication, then $(0) \neq I_1I_2 \subseteq I + \text{ann}_R(P)$. But $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$, implies that $I + \text{ann}_R(P) = I$, that is $(0) \neq I_1I_2 \subseteq I$. But I is a WAPP-prime ideal of R then by proposition (2.5) either $I_2 \subseteq I + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $I_1 \subseteq [I + \text{Soc}(R):R] = I + \text{Soc}(R)$. Thus either $I_2P \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $I_1P \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(R)P$, implies that either $K \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $L \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(P)$. Therefore IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P by Proposition (3.1).

Proposition 3.6 Let P be a finitely generated multiplication projective R -module, and I a WAPP-prime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$. Then IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Let $(0) \neq JB \subseteq IP$ for J is an ideal of R , and B a submodule of P . Since P is a multiplication, then $(0) \neq JI_1P \subseteq IP$ for some ideal I_1 of R . But P a finitely generated, then $(0) \neq JI_1 \subseteq I + \text{ann}_R(P)$, that is $(0) \neq JI_1 \subseteq I$ (for $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$). But I is a WAPP-prime ideal of R then by Proposition (2.5) either $I_1 \subseteq I + \text{Soc}(R)$ or $J \subseteq [I + \text{Soc}(R):R] = I + \text{Soc}(R)$. Thus either $I_1P \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(R)P$ or $JP \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(R)P$. But P is a projective then either $B \subseteq IP + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $J \subseteq [IP + \text{Soc}(P):R]P$. Therefore by Proposition (2.5) IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

It is well known that cyclic R -module is multiplication [12]. Also, every cyclic R -module a finitely generated [11]. We have the following corollaries as direct consequence of Proposition (3.1), Corollary (3.2) and Proposition (3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6).

Corollary 3.7 Let P be a cyclic R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule if and only if whenever $(0) \neq AB \subseteq L$, for A, B are submodules of P , implies that either $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $B \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

Corollary 3.8 Let P be a cyclic R -module, and L a proper submodule of P . Then L is a WAPP-prime submodule if and only if whenever $(0) \neq Ae \subseteq L$, for A is a submodule of P , $e \in P$, implies that either $e \in L + \text{Soc}(P)$ or $A \subseteq L + \text{Soc}(P)$.

Corollary 3.9 Let P be a cyclic Z -regular R -module, and L a WAPP-prime submodule of P . Then $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

Corollary 3.10 Let P be a cyclic projective R -module, and L a WAPP-prime submodule of P if and only if $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

Corollary 3.11 Let P be a cyclic Z -regular R -module, and I a WAPP-prime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$. Then IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Corollary 3.12 Let P be a cyclic projective R -module, and I a WAPP-prime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$. Then IP is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proposition 3.13 Let P be a finitely generated multiplication Z -regular R -module, and L a proper submodule of P with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq [L:R]P$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .
2. $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .
3. $L=IP$ for some WAPP-prime ideal I of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$.

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Follows by Proposition (3.3)

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since P is a multiplication module then $L = [L:R]P$ where $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) = [0:R]P \subseteq [L:R]P$. Put $I = [L:R]P$. Thus $L = IP$ where I is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose $L = IP$ for some WAPP-prime ideal I of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$. But P is a multiplication it follows that $L = [L:R]P = IP$. Since P is a finitely generated then by [16, Prop(3-9)] P is a weak cancellation that is $[L:R]P + \text{ann}_R(P) = I + \text{ann}_R(P)$. But $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq [L:R]P$ and $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$, it follows that $[L:R]P + \text{ann}_R(P) = [L:R]P$ and $\text{ann}_R(P) + I = I$. Therefore $[L:R]P = I$ but I is a WAPP-prime ideal of R , then $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

Proposition 3.14 Let P be a finitely generated multiplication projective R -module, and L a proper submodule of P with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq [L:R]P$, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .
2. $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .
3. $L = IP$ for some WAPP-prime ideal I of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$.

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Follows by Proposition (3.4)

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) The same as in Proposition (3.13)

The following corollaries are direct consequence of propositions (3.12 and 3.14).

Corollary 3.15 Let P be a cyclic Z -regular R -module, and L a proper submodule of P with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq [L:R]P$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .
2. $[L:R]P$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .

3. $L=IP$ for some WAPP-prime ideal I of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$.

Corollary 3.16 Let P be a cyclic projective R -module, and L a proper submodule of P with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq [L:{}_R P]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .
2. $[L:{}_R P]$ is a WAPP-prime ideal of R .
3. $L=IP$ for some WAPP-prime ideal I of R with $\text{ann}_R(P) \subseteq I$.

We end this section by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.17 Let P be a multiplication R -module and L a proper submodule of P with $[L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$ is a prime ideal of R , and $L+\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq K$ for each submodule K of P . Then P is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Proof: Let $0 \neq a \in L$ for $a \in R, e \in P$ with $e \notin L+\text{Soc}(P)$, it follows that $L+\text{Soc}(P) \subseteq L+\text{Soc}(P)+\langle e \rangle = K$. Since P is a multiplication then $[K:{}_R P] \not\subseteq [L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$, then there exists $r \in [K:{}_R P]$ and $r \notin [L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$. That is $rP \subseteq K$ and $rP \not\subseteq L+\text{Soc}(P)$. Thus $rP \subseteq K$, implies that $arP \subseteq a(L+\text{Soc}(P)+\langle e \rangle) \subseteq L+\text{Soc}(P)$. That is $ar \in [L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$. But $[L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$ is a prime ideal of R and $r \notin [L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$ then $a \in [L+\text{Soc}(P):{}_R P]$. Thus L is a WAPP-prime submodule of P .

Reference

- [1] Behoodi . M ,and Koohi . H . ,Weakly prime Modules ,Veitnam Journal of Math .32(2),(2004) ,185-195
- [2] Dauns. J ."Prime Modules "J .Reine Angew , Math .2(1978) ,156-181 .
- [3] Azizi A .,Weakly Prime Submodules and Prime Submodules ,Glasgow Math Journal 48 (2006),343-348 .
- [4] Ebrahimi .S and Farzalipour. F .,On Weakly Prime Submodules , Tamkang Journal Of Math .38(3) ,(2007), 247-252 .
- [5]Azizi A .,On Prime and Weakly Prime Submodules, Veitnam Journal of Math .36(3),(2008),315-325 .
- [6] Adil K.J.,A generalizations of Prime and Weakly Prime Submodules ,Pure Math .Sci .2(1)(2013) ,1-11 .
- [7] Ebrahimi .S. and Farzalipour. F .,On Weakly Primary ideals ,Georgion Math .Journal , 13(2005) ,423-429 .
- [8] Waad. K.H ,Weakly Quasi Prime Modules and Weakly Quasi prime Submodules, M. Sc .Thesis , University of Bagdad ,(2013) .

- [9] Farzatipar. F ,On Almost Semi Prime Submodules , Hindawi Publishing Corporation Algebra 31(2014) ,231-237 .
- [10] Zelmanowitz. J ,An extension of the Jacobson density theorem ,,Amer .Math. Sec.,Vol .(82), No.(4) ,(1976),(551-553) .
- [11] Kasch. F ,Modules and Rings , Academic press ,London 1982 .
- [12] Barnard . A ,,Multiplication Modules , J . of Algebra , 71(1981) ,174-178
- [13] Darani. A.Y .and Soheilmai . F ,,2-Absorbing and Weakly 2-Absorbing Submodules , Tahi Journal Math .(9)(2011) ,577-584 .
- [14] Zelmanowitz .J .M ,,Regular Modules ,Trans . Amer .Math .Soc ,163 (1973) ,341-355 .
- [15] Nuha. H.H ,The Radicals of Modules , M.Sc. Thesis , University of Bagdad 1996
- [16] Ali S. M ,On Cancellation Modules ,M. Sc Thesis , University of Bagdad ,1993

المقاسات الجزئية الاولية من النمط-WAPP ومفاهيم ذات العلاقة

شهد جاسم محمود
قسم الرياضيات
كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات
جامعة تكريت

هبة كريم محمد علي
قسم الرياضيات
كلية علوم الحاسوب والرياضيات
جامعة تكريت

المستخلص:

لتكن R حلقة ابدالية بمحايد و P مقاسا احاديا ايسر , الغرض من هذا البحث هو لتقديم و دراسة مفهوم المقاسات الجزئية الاولية من النمط $WAPP-$ كأعمام لمفهوم المقاسات الجزئية الضعيفة حيث يدعى المقاس الجزئي الفعلي L من المقاس P انه مقاس جزئي اولي من نمط $WAPP-$ اذا كان $0 \neq reL$ حيث ان $e \in P, re \in R$ فانه يؤدي الى انه اما $e \in L + Soc(P)$ او $[L + Soc(P) : R]P = 0$, العديد من الامثلة و المكافئات والصفات الاساسيه لهذا المفهوم قد اعطيت اضافة لذلك مكافئات لمفهوم المقاسات الجزئية من النمط $WAPP-$ في صف المقاسات الضريبه قدمت .