



Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm

JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



University Of AL-Qadisiyah

On D_b –metric and general partial b-metric spaces

Norhan I. Abdullah^a, Laith K. Shaakir^b

^a Department of Mathematics, College of computer and Mathematics Sciences, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq,
Email: esamanorhan221@gmail.com

^b Department of Mathematics, college of computer and Mathematics Sciences, University of Tikrit, Tikrit, Iraq,
Email: dr.laithkhaleel@tu.edu.iq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 01/06/2020

Revised form: //

Accepted : 13/06/2020

Available online: 10/07/2020

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce a new type of generalize metric space, which we call D_b -metric space as a generalization of both D -metric and b-metric spaces. Then we prove some fixed point theorem in this space. Also we define a general partial b-metric space as a generalize of a partial b-metric space and study their properties. Finally, find relation between partial b-metric, D_b -metric and general partial b-metric spaces.

MSC : 30C45 , 30C50

Keywords:

b-metric, partial b-metric, D -metric,
 D_b –metric and general partial b-
metric spaces.

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2020.12.2.698>

1 . Introduction

There are a number of generalizations of metric space, for example Bakhtin[1] and Czerwinski[2] introduced a b-metric space as a generalization of a metric space that can be found also in [3], [4], [5]

Let Y be a non-empty set and $s \geq 1$ be given real number. A function $b : Y^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a b-metric if for all $\alpha, \beta, u \in Y$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- b1. $b(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta$;
- b2. $b(\alpha, \beta) = b(\beta, \alpha)$;
- b3. $b(\alpha, \beta) \leq s[b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \beta)]$.

The pair (Y, b) is called a b-metric space.

Corresponding author: norhan isamadeen abdulla

Email address: esamanorhan221@gmail.com

Communicated by : Alaa Hussein Hamadi

On the other hand, the concept of D-metric space was introduced by Dahg[6] and found in [7],[8],[9]

A nonempty set Y is said to be D-metric space if there exist a function $D: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$D1. D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$$

$$D2. D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) = D(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = D(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \dots \text{ (Symmetry)}$$

$$D3. D(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq D(\mu, \beta, \gamma) + D(\alpha, \mu, \gamma) + D(\alpha, \beta, \mu)$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\mu \in Y$, where D is D-metric on Y

And Shukla [10] and [11], [12], [13] introduce the concept of partial b-metric space as follows:

A partial b-metric on a nonempty set Y is a mapping $pb: Y^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\forall \alpha, \beta, \mu \in Y$ and $s \geq 1$ satisfied the following conditions:

$$pb1. \quad pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\alpha, \beta) = pb(\beta, \beta) \text{ if and only if } \alpha = \beta$$

$$pb2. \quad pb(\alpha, \alpha) \leq pb(\alpha, \beta),$$

$$pb3. \quad pb(\alpha, \beta) = pb(\beta, \alpha),$$

$$pb4. \quad pb(\alpha, \beta) \leq s[pb(\alpha, \mu) + pb(\mu, \beta)] - pb(\mu, \mu).$$

A partial b-metric space is a pair (Y, pb) such that Y is a nonempty set and pb is a partial b-metric on Y . The number $s \geq 1$ is called the coefficient of (Y, pb) .

2. D_b -metric spaces

Next we define a new concept namely D_b -metric space

Definition 2. 1. A nonempty set Y is said to be D_b -metric space if there exist a function $D_b: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following condition:

$$Db1. \quad D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$$

$$Db2. \quad D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_b(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) = D_b(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) = D_b(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \dots \text{ (Symmetry)}$$

$$Db3. \quad D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq s[D_b(\mu, \beta, \gamma) + D_b(\alpha, \mu, \gamma) + D_b(\alpha, \beta, \mu)]$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$, The number $s \geq 1$ is called the coefficient of (Y, D_b)

Not that every D_b -metric space is a D-metric space with the coefficient $s = 1$

Example 2. 2. Let $Y = [0, \infty)$, $q > 1$ be a constant. Define a function on Y^3 by

$$1. \quad D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q$$

$$2. \quad D_{b\infty}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = [\max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta - \gamma|, |\gamma - \alpha|\}]^q$$

$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$, then (Y, D_{b1}) and $(Y, D_{b\infty})$ are D_b -metric spaces with the coefficient $s = 2^{q-1} > 1$

Solution. We prove (Y, D_{b1}) is D_b -metric space and similarly we prove the other

$$\text{i. If } D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\alpha - \beta| = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta, |\beta - \gamma| = 0 \Rightarrow \beta = \gamma, |\gamma - \alpha| = 0 \Rightarrow \gamma = \alpha \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$$

ii. Trivial

$$\text{iii. For arbitrary real numbers } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ and } \mu. \text{ Using convexity of the function } f(\alpha) = \alpha^q (\alpha > 0) \text{ for } q \geq 1, \text{ we obtain that } (a+b)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(a^q + b^q), \text{ let } a = |\alpha - \mu|, b = |\mu - \beta| \text{ then we get, } 0 \leq (|\alpha - \mu| + |\mu - \beta|)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - \mu|^q + |\mu - \beta|^q) \Rightarrow 0 \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - \mu|^q + |\mu - \beta|^q) \text{ by substitute } |\alpha - \beta|^q \text{ to both side, we get, } |\alpha - \beta|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - \mu|^q + |\mu - \beta|^q) + |\alpha - \beta|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\alpha - \mu|^q + |\mu - \beta|^q) \dots 1 \\ \text{by same way we have } |\beta - \gamma|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\beta - \gamma|^q + |\beta - \mu|^q + |\mu - \gamma|^q) \dots 2$$

$$\& |\gamma - \alpha|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\gamma - \alpha|^q + |\gamma - u|^q + |u - \alpha|^q) \dots 3$$

Then by a combination 1, 2& 3 we get $|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q \leq 2^{q-1}[(|u - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - u|^q) + (|\alpha - u|^q + |u - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q) + (|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - u|^q + |u - \alpha|^q)] \Rightarrow D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq s[D_{b1}(u, \beta, \gamma) + D_{b1}(\alpha, u, \gamma) + D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, u)]$ \square

Theorem 2.3. Let (Y, b) be a b-metric space, define real function $D_{b1}, D_{b\infty}: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

1. $D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = b(\alpha, \beta) + b(\beta, \gamma) + b(\gamma, \alpha)$
2. $D_{b\infty}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \max\{b(\alpha, \beta), b(\beta, \gamma), b(\gamma, \alpha)\}$

Then $(Y, D_{b1}), (Y, D_{b\infty})$ are D_b -metric spaces with coefficient $s > 1$

Proof. Clearly that a condition $(D_b 1)$ & $(D_b 2)$ are satisfies, we prove a condition $(D_b 3)$

Since $b(u, \beta) \geq 0$ & $b(\alpha, u) \geq 0 \Rightarrow b(u, \beta) + b(\alpha, u) \geq 0 \Rightarrow s[b(u, \beta) + b(\alpha, u)] \geq 0$

Substitute $b(\alpha, \beta)$ to both side, we have

$$0 \leq b(\alpha, \beta) \leq s[b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \beta)] + b(\alpha, \beta) \leq s[b(\alpha, \beta) + b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \beta)] \dots 1$$

$$\text{By the same way we get } b(\beta, \gamma) \leq s[b(\beta, u) + b(u, \gamma)] \dots 2$$

$$\& b(\gamma, \alpha) \leq s[b(\gamma, u) + b(u, \alpha)] \dots 3,$$

$$\text{Then by a combination 1, 2 \& 3 we have } b(\alpha, \beta) + b(\beta, \gamma) + b(\gamma, \alpha) \leq s[(b(u, \beta) + b(\beta, \gamma) + b(\gamma, u)) + (b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \gamma) + b(\gamma, \alpha)) + (b(\alpha, \beta) + b(\beta, u) + b(u, \alpha))] \Rightarrow D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq s[D_{b1}(u, \beta, \gamma) + D_{b1}(\alpha, u, \gamma) + D_{b1}(\alpha, \beta, u)].$$

be the same way of (1) \square

Remark 2.4. The D_b -metrics given in examples2 satisfy the following properties: For every $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu$ in X , with the coefficient $s \geq 1$

$$\text{Db4. } D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \leq s[D(\alpha, u, u) + D_b(u, \beta, \beta)]$$

$$\text{Db5. } D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) = D_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$\text{Db6. } D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \leq D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

Proposition 2.5. If in a D_b -metric space (Y, D_b) . The conditions $(D_b 4), (D_b 5)$ are satisfied then $b(\alpha, \beta) = D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \dots (1)$, is b-metric space.

Proof.

$$\text{i. If } b(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$$

ii. Trivial

$$\text{iii. } b(\alpha, \beta) = D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \leq s[D_b(\alpha, u, u) + D_b(u, \beta, \beta)] \text{ by (Db4)}$$

$$= s[b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \beta)].$$

\square

Theorem 2.6. If in a D_b -metric space (Y, D_b) . The condition $(D_b 4)$ holds then each of the functions $b: Y^2 \rightarrow R^+$ defined by

$$1. \quad b(\alpha, \beta) = [D_b^q(\alpha, \beta, \beta) + D_b^q(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)]^{1/q} \text{ where } 1 \leq q < \infty$$

$$2. \quad b(\alpha, \beta) = \max\{D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta), D_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)\} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in Y,$$

are b-metric on Y .

Proof. We prove (1) and similarity we prove the other

$$\text{i. Since } D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \geq 0 \text{ \& } D_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \geq 0 \text{ then } b(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$$

$$\text{ii. If } b(\alpha, \beta) = 0, \text{then } [D_b^p(\alpha, \beta, \beta) + D_b^p(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)]^{1/p} = 0 \Leftrightarrow D_b(\alpha, \beta, \beta) = 0 \text{ \& } D_b(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$$

iii. Trivial

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{iv. } b(\alpha, \beta) &= [D_b^p(\alpha, \beta, \beta) + D_b^p(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)]^{1/p} \leq \{(s[D_b(\alpha, u, u) + D_b(u, \beta, \beta)])^p + \\
 &\quad (s[D_b(\alpha, \alpha, u) + D_b(u, u, \beta)])^p\}^{1/p} \text{ by } (D_b 4) \\
 &= s\{D_b^p(\alpha, u, u) + D_b^p(u, \beta, \beta)\}^{1/p} + s\{D_b^p(\alpha, \alpha, u) + D_b^p(u, u, \beta)\}^{1/p} = s[b(\alpha, u) + b(u, \beta)]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence b is b-metric space on Y \square

Definition 2. 7. Let (Y, D_b) be a D_b -metric space, then

- i. A sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in D_b -metric space (Y, D_b) is converge to $\alpha \in Y$ if there exist a positive integer m_0 such that $D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) < \epsilon \forall m, n \geq m_0$.
- ii. A sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in D_b -metric space (Y, D_b) is said to be Cauchy if for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) < \epsilon \forall m, n, l \geq m_0$.
- iii. A D_b -metric space (Y, D_b) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in Y converges to a point α in Y.
- iv. A D_b -metric space Y is said to be bounded if there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq M$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$.

Theorem 2. 8. Let Y be a complete and bounded D_b – metric space and T is self-map on Y satisfying $D_b(T\alpha, T\beta, T\gamma) \leq \lambda \max\{D_b(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), D_b(\beta, T\beta, T\gamma)\}$... (2)

For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$, where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point p in Y.

Proof. Let $\alpha_0 \in Y$ and define $\alpha_{n+1} = T\alpha_n$

if $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n$ for some n. Then T has a fixed point. Assume that $\alpha_{n+1} \neq \alpha_n$ for each n. In (2), setting $\alpha = \alpha_{n-1}, \beta = \alpha_n, \gamma = \alpha_{n+p-1}, p \geq 2$, we have

$$D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \leq \lambda \max\{D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p-1}), D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p})\} \dots (3), \text{ now if}$$

$$\max\{D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p-1}), D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p})\} = D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \dots (4)$$

For some n, then from (2) we have

$$D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \leq \lambda D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) < D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \dots (5)$$

Which is a contradiction since $D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) > 0$. Thus

$\max\{D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p-1}), D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p})\} = D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p-1}) \dots (6)$, for all n. Therefore, we have $D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \leq \lambda D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p-1}) \dots (7)$

And so $D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \leq \lambda^n (D_b(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_p)) \forall n, \forall p \geq 2 \dots (8)$

Let $M_p = D_b(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_p)$ then it follows from (8) that

$$D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}) \leq \lambda^n M_p \dots (9)$$

Using condition $(D_b 3)$ from definition and (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) &\leq \\
 s[D_b(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) + D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) + D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+1})] &\leq s\lambda^n M_p + s\lambda^n M_{p+t} + \\
 sD_b(\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) &\leq \\
 s\lambda^n M_p + s\lambda^n M_{p+t} + s^2[D_b(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) + D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) + D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+2})] &\leq \\
 s\lambda^n M_p + s\lambda^n M_{p+t} + s^2\lambda^n M_p + s^2\lambda^n M_{p+t} + s^2 D_b(\alpha_{n+2}, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) &\leq \dots \leq (M_p + M_{p+t})(s\lambda^n + \\
 s^2\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + s^{p-n}\lambda^{n+p-1}) + D_b(\alpha_{n+p-1}, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) &\leq s\lambda^n[1 + s\lambda + (s\lambda)^2 + \dots](M_p + \\
 M_{p+t}) \leq \frac{2s\lambda^n}{1-s\lambda}(M_p + M_{p+t})
 \end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s}]$ and $s > 1$, it follows the above inequality that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+p}, \alpha_{n+p+t}) = 0$, therefore, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in Y . Since Y is complete, $\{\alpha_n\}$ converges to call the limit point p . From (2) we shall show that p is fixed point of T . For any $n \in N$, we have

$$D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, Tp) \leq \lambda \max\{D_b(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, p), D_b(\alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, Tp)\}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $D_b(p, p, Tp) \leq 0$ which implies that $p = Tp$. To prove uniqueness, assume that $w \neq p$ is also a fixed point of T . From (2),

$$D_b(p, w, p) = D_b(Tp, Tw, Tp) \leq \lambda \max\{D_b(p, w, p), D_b(w, w, p)\} = \lambda D_b(w, w, p) \dots (10),$$

$$\text{But } D_b(w, w, p) = D_b(w, p, w) = D_b(Tw, Tp, Tw) \leq \lambda \max\{D_b(w, p, w), D_b(p, Tp, Tw)\} = \lambda \max\{D_b(w, p, w), D_b(p, p, w)\} = \lambda D_b(p, p, w) \dots (11)$$

Combining (10) and (11) yields $D_b(p, w, p) < \lambda^2 D_b(p, w, p)$, a contradiction. Therefore $p = w$.

3. General partial b-metric spaces

We begin with a new following definition

Definition 3.1. A non-empty set Y is said to be general partial b -metric space if there exists a function $D_{pb}: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ called D_{pb} – metric on Y , satisfy the following condition:

- Dpb1. $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta = \gamma$
- Dpb2. $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
- Dpb3. $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \beta) = D_{pb}(\beta, \alpha, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) = \dots$ (Symmetry)
- Dpb4. $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq s[D_{pb}(\mu, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \mu, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)] - D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu)$

$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\mu \in Y$, the number $s \geq 1$ is called the coefficient of (Y, D_{pb}) .

Remark 3. 2. In a general partial b -metric space (Y, D_{pb}) ,

- i. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y$ and $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$, then $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, but the converse may not be true.
- ii. Every D -metric space is a general partial b -metric space with the coefficient $s = 1$ and zero self-distance.
- iii. Every D_b -metric space is general partial b -metric space with zero self-distance.

Example 3. 3. Let $Y = [0, \infty)$, $p > 1$ a constant and define a function D_{pb} on Y^3 by $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = [\max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}]^q + |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q$, then D_{pb} is general partial b -metric space on Y . With coefficient $s = 2^{q-1}$

Proof.

- i. Since $[\max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}]^q + |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q = [\max\{\alpha, \alpha, \alpha\}]^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q = [\max\{\beta, \beta, \beta\}]^q + |\beta - \beta|^q + |\beta - \beta|^q + |\beta - \beta|^q = [\max\{\gamma, \gamma, \gamma\}]^q + |\gamma - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \gamma|^q$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ then $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$ if and only if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$
- ii. $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = [\max\{\alpha, \alpha, \alpha\}]^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q + |\alpha - \alpha|^q = \alpha^q \leq \max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} + |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q = D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
- iii. Trivial

- iv. Clearly $[\max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}]^q \leq [\max\{u, \beta, \gamma\}]^q + [\max\{\alpha, u, \gamma\}]^q + [\max\{\alpha, \beta, u\}]^q - [\max\{u, u, u\}]^q \dots 1$

And by the convexity of the function $f(\alpha) = \alpha^q (\alpha > 0)$ implies that $(a+b)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(a^q + b^q)$, let $a = |\alpha - u|, b = |u - \beta|$ then we get

$0 \leq (|\alpha - u| + |u - \beta|)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - u|^q + |u - \beta|^q) \Rightarrow 0 \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - u|^q + |u - \beta|^q)$ by substitute $|\alpha - \beta|^q$ to both side, we get $|\alpha - \beta|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - u|^q + |u - \beta|^q) + |\alpha - \beta|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\alpha - u|^q + |u - \beta|^q)$

By the same way we get

$|\beta - \gamma|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\beta - \gamma|^q + |\beta - u|^q + |u - \gamma|^q) \& |\gamma - \alpha|^q \leq 2^{q-1}(|\gamma - \alpha|^q + |\gamma - u|^q + |\u - \alpha|^q)$, then $|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q \leq 2^{q-1}[(|\alpha - \beta|^q + |\alpha - u|^q + |u - \beta|^q) + (|\beta - \gamma|^q + |\beta - u|^q + |u - \gamma|^q) + (|\gamma - \alpha|^q + |\gamma - u|^q + |u - \alpha|^q)] \dots 2$

From 1&2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [\max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}]^q + |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q \leq 2^{q-1}[(\max\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\})^q + |\alpha - \beta|^q + \\ & |\beta - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q] + (\max\{\alpha, u, \gamma\})^q + |\alpha - u|^q + |u - \gamma|^q + |\gamma - \alpha|^q + \\ & (\max\{\alpha, \beta, u\})^q + |\alpha - \beta|^q + |\beta - u|^q + |u - \alpha|^q] - (\max\{\alpha, u, u\})^q = \\ & s[D_{pb}(u, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, u, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, u)] - D_{pb}(u, u, u) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.4. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space, then

- i. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \in Y$ the open-ball with center α and radius ϵ is $B_{D_{pb}}(\alpha, \epsilon) = \{\beta \in Y \mid D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta) < D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + \epsilon\}$
- ii. A sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in (Y, D_{pb}) is said to be converge to a point $\alpha \in Y$ if $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$
- iii. A sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in (Y, D_{pb}) is said to be Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n,m,l \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l)$ exists (finite)
- iv. A general partial b-metric space (Y, D_{pb}) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is converge to a point α in Y .
- v. A mapping $F: (Y, D_{pb}) \rightarrow (Y', D'_{pb})$ is said to be continuous at α if for each open ball $B_{D_{pb}}(F(\alpha), \epsilon')$ in (Y', D'_{pb}) there exists a ball $B_{D_{pb}}(\alpha, \epsilon)$ in (Y, D_{pb}) such that $F(B_{D_{pb}}(\alpha, \epsilon)) \subseteq B_{D_{pb}}(F(\alpha), \epsilon')$.

4. Relation between D_b -metric space, pb -metric space and D_{pb} -metric space

Theorem 4. 1. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space, then the functions $D_b^g: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$. (12)

is D_b -metric space on Y , with coefficient $s \geq 1$

Proof.

- i. Since $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \geq 0, D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \geq 0, D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) \geq 0, D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) - D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) \geq 0, D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \geq 0$ and $D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \geq 0$, so $D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \geq 0$.
- ii. If $D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) \\ & + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Take $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) \dots \dots \dots 1,$

$D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 2,$

From 1&2 we get $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha)$
then $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \dots 3$

Since $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)$, $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \dots 4$

Now, take $D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) =$

$D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) \dots 5$

$D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 6$

From 5&6 we get $D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma)$,
then $D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \dots 7$

Since $2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) \dots 8$

From 4&8 we get $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta)$, so by definition $\alpha = \beta \dots 9$

and take $D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta)$ if $\beta = \alpha$

Then $2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma,$

$\alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) = 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) \Rightarrow D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) \dots 10$

and $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma)$ if $\beta = \alpha$,

then $2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma) \Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma) \dots 11$

From 10&11 we get $D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$,

so by definition $\alpha = \gamma \dots 12$

Then by 9&12 we get $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$.

iii. Trivial

iv. by definition since

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) \leq s[D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma)] - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu)$$

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) \leq s[D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu)] - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta)$$

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \leq s[D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu)] - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$$

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu)] - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$$

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) \leq s[D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma)] - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu)$$

$$0 \leq D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) \leq s[D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta)] - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu)$$

When combined, it is more than and equal to zero and when adding these values
 $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta), D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma), D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha), D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma), D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha), D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta),$
 $-2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), -2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta), -2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$ to two parties, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + \\ & D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \leq s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) + \\ & D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) + \\ & D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) + \\ & D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta)] - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - \\ & 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) - \\ & 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) = \\ & s[D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu) + \\ & D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta)] - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) + s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + \\ & D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \mu)] - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) - 2D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) + s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \mu) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \mu) + D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \alpha) + \\ & D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \beta)] - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) \Rightarrow D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq \\ & s[D_b^g(\mu, \beta, \gamma) + D_b^g(\alpha, \mu, \gamma) + D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \mu)] \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4. 2. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space, the function $D_b^g: Y^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$D_b^g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \beta) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \gamma) + \\ D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \beta) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - 2D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) - 3D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \quad (13)$$

is D_b -metric space.

Proof. same way of theorem (4.1)

Lemma 4. 3. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space if $\{D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m)\} \rightarrow \alpha$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ where D_b^g define in corollary (4. 2).

Proof. Since $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ then from every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $n_0 \in N$ such that

$$|D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - \alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall m > n_0 \text{ and } D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n, m, l > n_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\epsilon}{2} > D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) &= D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - 3D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_l). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

So that $|D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - \alpha| = |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - \alpha| \leq |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - \alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$

Hence $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$

Theorem 4.4. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space, then

- i. A sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in general partial b-metric space (Y, D_{pb}) if and only if $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) .
- ii. A general partial metric space (Y, D_{pb}) is complete if and if (Y, D_b^g) is complete.

Where D_b^g define in corollary (4.2)

Proof . i. First we must prove that each Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_{pb}) is Cauchy in (Y, D_b^g) .

Then, there exist $\alpha \in R$ such that, $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 \in N$ with

$$|D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - \alpha| < \frac{\epsilon}{14} \quad \forall n, m, l \geq n_0. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l)| &= |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) + \\ &D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - \\ &3D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_l)| \leq |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - \alpha| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - \alpha| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_l) - \alpha| + \\ &|D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) - \alpha| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - \alpha| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_n) - \alpha| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_m) - \alpha| - \\ &2|D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - \alpha| - 2|D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - \alpha| - 3|D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_l) - \alpha| \frac{\epsilon}{14} < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m, l \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) .

Conversely, now we must prove $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_{pb})

Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) so $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in N$ such that

$$D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n, m, l > n_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\epsilon}{2} &> D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \\ &= D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) \\ &+ D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_n) \\ &+ D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - 3D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_l) \\ &\Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \leq D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

By compensation $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$ to two parties, we have

$$D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \leq D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$$

And since $D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l)$ so

$$D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) \leq D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \quad \forall n, m > n0$$

Let $\alpha_n = D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \in R$ such that $|\alpha_m - \alpha_n| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

$\therefore \{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence, $\therefore \{\alpha_n\} \rightarrow \alpha$, $\therefore D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) \rightarrow \alpha \in R$

Then by lemma (16), $D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m)$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_{pb}) .

ii.

If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_{pb}) then it is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) and since D_b -metric (Y, D_b^g) is complete then there exists $\alpha \in Y$ such that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) = 0, \text{ hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha) \\ & \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \\ & \quad - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - 3D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{There for } \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \text{ hence } (Y, D_{pb}) \text{ is converge}$$

Thus (Y, D_{pb}) is complete.

Conversely, let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) then $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_{pb}) and so it is convergent to appoint $\alpha \in Y$ with $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \quad \forall n, m$

Then, for given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) < \frac{\epsilon}{11}$ and by condition (D_p2) since $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) \Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) < \frac{\epsilon}{11}$ by the same way we get

$$D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}, \quad D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}$$

$$D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}, \quad D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}, \quad D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}, \quad D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{11}$$

there for

$$\begin{aligned} |D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha)| &= |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n) + \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - 3D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| \leq |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) - \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + \\ & |D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_n) - \\ & D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + |D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)| + 2|D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)| + \\ & 2|D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) - D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m)| < \frac{11\epsilon}{11} < \epsilon \Rightarrow D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha)$ is converge, thus (Y, D_b^g) is complete. \square

Proposition 4. 5. If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) then $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$ in (Y, D_{pb})

Proof. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (Y, D_b^g) then $\lim_{n,m,l \rightarrow \infty} D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) = 0$

and $D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) = D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_l) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_n) + D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - 2D_{pb}(\alpha_l, \alpha_l, \alpha_l)$.

and $D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \leq D_b^g(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_l)$ then

$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) \rightarrow 0$...1, similarly

$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) \rightarrow 0$...2

Since $D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) = D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$ then $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)] = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m)] + \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)] \rightarrow 0$

So that $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_m, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)] \rightarrow 0$

Let $\alpha_n = D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$

$\therefore |\alpha_m - \alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Hence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in R , there for $\{D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)\}$ converge to α .

Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = \lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) + D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)]$

Then $[D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) - D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)] \rightarrow 0$ so $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_m, \alpha_m) = \alpha$

Thus $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{pb}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \alpha_n)$.

Theorem 4. 6. If (Y, pb) is partial b-metric space then

$$D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\alpha, \gamma) + pb(\beta, \gamma) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$$

is general partial b-metric space. (13)

- i. Since $pb(\alpha, \beta) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) \geq 0$, $pb(\alpha, \gamma) - pb(\gamma, \gamma) \geq 0$, $pb(\beta, \gamma) - pb(\beta, \beta) \geq 0$ then $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \geq 0$
- ii. Let $D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$ since $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\beta, \gamma) + pb(\alpha, \gamma) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow pb(\alpha, \beta) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow pb(\alpha, \beta) = pb(\alpha, \alpha) \dots 1$, $\Rightarrow pb(\alpha, \gamma) - pb(\gamma, \gamma) = 0 \Rightarrow pb(\alpha, \gamma) = pb(\gamma, \gamma) \dots 2$,

and $pb(\beta, \gamma) - pb(\beta, \beta) = 0 \Rightarrow pb(\beta, \gamma) = pb(\beta, \beta) \dots 3.$

From 1 $pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\alpha, \beta)$

and by definition $pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\alpha, \beta) \leq s[pb(\alpha, \gamma) + pb(\gamma, \beta)] - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$

Since $pb(\alpha, \gamma) = pb(\gamma, \gamma)$ & $pb(\beta, \gamma) = pb(\beta, \beta)$ we get $pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\beta, \beta)$

From 2 $pb(\beta, \beta) = pb(\beta, \gamma)$

and by definition $pb(\beta, \beta) = pb(\beta, \gamma) \leq s[pb(\beta, \alpha) + pb(\alpha, \gamma)] - pb(\alpha, \alpha)$

by 1&2 we get $pb(\beta, \beta) = pb(\gamma, \gamma)$, From 3 $pb(\gamma, \gamma) = pb(\alpha, \gamma)$

and by definition $pb(\gamma, \gamma) = pb(\alpha, \gamma) \leq s[pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\beta, \gamma)] - pb(\beta, \beta)$

by 1&3 we get $pb(\gamma, \gamma) = pb(\alpha, \alpha)$

Hence $pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\beta, \beta) = pb(\gamma, \gamma)$

Thus $pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\alpha, \beta) = pb(\beta, \beta)$ by definition $\alpha = \beta$, and $pb(\beta, \beta) = pb(\beta, \gamma) = pb(\gamma, \gamma)$ by definition $\beta = \gamma$ then we get $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$.

iii. Trivial

iv. Since $0 \leq pb(\mu, \gamma) - pb(\gamma, \gamma) \leq spb(\mu, \gamma) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$, $0 \leq pb(\mu, \beta) - pb(\mu, \mu) \leq spb(\mu, \beta) - pb(\mu, \mu)$, $0 \leq pb(\alpha, \mu) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) \leq spb(\alpha, \mu) - pb(\alpha, \alpha)$, $0 \leq pb(\mu, \gamma) - pb(\mu, \mu) \leq spb(\mu, \gamma) - pb(\mu, \mu)$, $0 \leq pb(\beta, \mu) - pb(\beta, \beta) \leq spb(\beta, \mu) - pb(\beta, \beta)$, $0 \leq pb(\alpha, \mu) - pb(\mu, \mu) \leq spb(\alpha, \mu) - pb(\mu, \mu)$
When combined, it is more than and equal to zero and when adding these values
 $pb(\alpha, \beta)$, $pb(\beta, \gamma)$, $pb(\alpha, \gamma)$, $-pb(\alpha, \alpha)$, $-pb(\beta, \beta)$, $-pb(\gamma, \gamma)$ to both said, we get
 $pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\beta, \gamma) + pb(\alpha, \gamma) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$
 $\leq s[pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\beta, \gamma) + pb(\alpha, \gamma) + pb(\mu, \gamma) + pb(\alpha, \mu) + pb(\beta, \mu)$
 $+ pb(\mu, \beta) + pb(\alpha, \mu) + pb(\mu, \gamma)] - pb(\mu, \mu) - pb(\mu, \mu) -$
 $pb(\mu, \mu) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma) - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$.
 $\Rightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$
 $\leq s[pb(\mu, \beta) + pb(\mu, \gamma) + pb(\gamma, \beta)] - pb(\mu, \mu) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)$
 $+ \{s[pb(\alpha, \mu) + pb(\alpha, \gamma) + pb(\mu, \gamma)] - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\mu, \mu) - pb(\gamma, \gamma)\}$
 $+ \{s[pb(\alpha, \beta) + pb(\alpha, \mu) + pb(\beta, \mu)] - pb(\alpha, \alpha) - pb(\beta, \beta) - pb(\mu, \mu)\}$

$$D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leq s[D_{pb}(\mu, \beta, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \mu, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)] - D_{pb}(\mu, \mu, \mu) \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.7. Let (Y, D_{pb}) be a general partial b-metric space and

$$D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \leq s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \beta, \beta)] - D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma) \quad (14)$$

holds then the function $pb: Y^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is define by $pb(\alpha, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta)$ is a partial b-metric on Y .

Proof.

- i. Since $pb(\alpha, \beta) = pb(\alpha, \alpha) = pb(\beta, \beta) \Leftrightarrow D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = D_{pb}(\beta, \beta, \beta) \Leftrightarrow \alpha = \beta$.
- ii. Since $D_{pb}(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) \leq D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta)$ then $pb(\alpha, \alpha) \leq pb(\alpha, \beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in Y$
- iii. Trivial
- iv. $pb(\alpha, \beta) = D_{pb}(\alpha, \beta, \beta) \leq s[D_{pb}(\alpha, \gamma, \gamma) + D_{pb}(\gamma, \beta, \beta)] - D_{pb}(\gamma, \gamma, \gamma)$ from(14)
 $= s[pb(\alpha, \gamma) + pb(\gamma, \beta)] - pb(\gamma, \gamma).$ \square

5. Conclusion

The key messages of this study are the following: define a new two concept of metric space generalization namely D_b –metric and general partial b-metric.

REFERENCES

- [1] BAKHTIN, IA0748. The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Func. An., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, 1989, 30: 26-37.
- [2] CZERWIK, Stefan. Contraction mappings in \$ b \$-metric spaces. *Acta mathematica et informatica universitatis ostraviensis*, 1993, 1.1: 5-11.
- [3] ALQAHTANI, Badr; FULGA, Andreea; KARAPINAR, Erdal. Non-unique fixed point results in extended b-metric space. *Mathematics*, 2018, 6.5: 68.
- [4] CHIFU, Cristian, et al. FIXED POINTS FOR MULTIVALUED CONTRACTIONS IN \$ b \$-METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS TO FRACTALS. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, 2014, 18.5: 1365-1375.
- [5] KUMARI, Sudesh, et al. Multi fractals of generalized multivalued iterated function systems in b-metric spaces with applications. *Mathematics*, 2019, 7.10: 967.
- [6] Dhage, B. C. Generalized metric spaces and topological structure. I, *Analele Atintifice ale Universitatii Al. I. Cuza din Iasi. Serie Noua Mathematica*. 2000, 46,3, 24.
- [7] FORA, Ali Ahmad Ali; MASSA'DEH, Mourad Oqla; BATAINEH, Mohammad Saleh. A New Structure and Contribution in D-metric Spaces. *Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science*, 2017, 1-9.
- [8] Ramabhadrasarma, I.; Sambasivarao, S. ON D-METRIC SPACES, *Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives*. 2013, 1,12.
- [9] RHOADES, B. E. A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 1996, 19.3: 457-460.
- [10] SHUKLA, Satish. Partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 2014, 11.2: 703-711.
- [11] AMEER, Eskandar, et al. Hybrid multivalued type contraction mappings in α K-complete partial b-metric spaces and applications. *Symmetry*, 2019, 11.1: 86.
- [2] VAN DUNG, Nguyen; LE HANG, Vo Thi. Remarks on partial b-metric spaces and fixed point theorems. *Matematicki Vesnik*, 2017, 69.4: 231-240.
- [13] MUSTAFA, Zead, et al. Some common fixed point results in ordered partial b-metric spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2013, 2013.1: 562.