



Available online at [www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm](http://www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm)

JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



## Some Results in Fuzzy 2- Metric Space

Raghad I.Sabri<sup>a</sup>, Mayada N. Mohammedali<sup>b</sup>, Fatema Ahmad Sadiq<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Department of Applied Sciences, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.  
Email: [raghad.i.sabri@uotechnology.edu.iq](mailto:raghad.i.sabri@uotechnology.edu.iq).

<sup>b</sup> Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Department of Applied Sciences, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.  
Email: [mayada.n.mohammedali@uotechnology.edu.iq](mailto:mayada.n.mohammedali@uotechnology.edu.iq).

<sup>c</sup> Branch of Mathematics and Computer Applications, Department of Applied Sciences, University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq.  
Email: [fatema.a.sadiq@uotechnology.edu.iq](mailto:fatema.a.sadiq@uotechnology.edu.iq)

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received: 10 /02/2022

Revised form: 29 /02/2022

Accepted : 15 /03/2022

Available online: 04 /04/2022

#### Keywords:

Fuzzy2- metric space, convergent sequence, Compact fuzzy 2-metric space, Fuzzy totally bounded.

### ABSTRACT

The notion of fuzzy 2-metric space and its essential features are initially examined in this study. Following that, the ideas of compact fuzzy2- metric space and fuzzy totally bounded space are discussed. Furthermore, the essential theorems relating to these concepts are established. In particular, we prove that a fuzzy 2- metric space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  which is compact must be complete.

MSC.41A25; 41A35; 41A3

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2022.14.1.890>

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The fuzzy set notion was created in 1965. Since then, researchers have applied this key set to a variety of fields. Fuzzy sets have also become a recognized study topic in both pure and practical mathematics and statistics, demonstrating how this theory is very adaptable and fruitful in a wide range of applications, see[5,7,11,18,30]. In functional analysis, the main tools are metrics, norms, and inner product structures. As a result, fuzzy metrics, fuzzy norms, and fuzzy inner products are essential in the development of fuzzy functional analysis. Numerous authors have proposed various papers for fuzzy normed spaces, like [9,13,17,19,21,22,24]. The notion of a fuzzy metric space, which can be thought of as a generalization of the statistical metric space, was initially described by Kramosil

\*Corresponding author Raghad I.Sabri

Email addresses: [raghad.i.sabri@uotechnology.edu.iq](mailto:raghad.i.sabri@uotechnology.edu.iq)

Communicated by : Dr. Alaa Taima Abd Akadhem

and Michálek [16] in 1975. From various perspectives, many authors have introduced and debated various concepts of fuzzy metric space. (see[6,8,14]). On the other hand, a large number of research works on fuzzy metric spaces have been published, such as [3,4, 10,12, ,20, 23, ,25,28,29]. In 2002 [27]Sharma proposed the notion of fuzzy 2-metric space and proved a common fixed point theory in this space. Recently Kocinac et al.[15] introduced and investigated various selective covering properties in fuzzy 2-metric spaces.

The major goal of this work is to continue looking at some of the properties of Sharma's fuzzy 2-metric space definition. we will define the compact 2-fuzzy metric space and fuzzy totally bounded space, as well as examine some essential characteristics associated with these notions.

Gahler established the concept of 2-metric space in 1960 [1, 2].

Assume that  $\mathbb{W}$  be a nonempty set. If a function  $\mathfrak{D}: \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  fulfill the following rules, it is termed a 2-metric function on  $\mathbb{W}$  :

( $\mathfrak{D}1$ ) For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{W}$  there exists  $z \in \mathbb{W}$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}(x, y, z) \neq 0$ .

( $\mathfrak{D}2$ )  $\mathfrak{D}(x, y, z) = 0$  if at least two of the three points are the same.

( $\mathfrak{D}3$ )  $\mathfrak{D}(x, y, z) = \mathfrak{D}(x, z, y) = \mathfrak{D}(y, x, z) = \mathfrak{D}(y, z, x) = \mathfrak{D}(z, x, y) = \mathfrak{D}(z, y, x)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{W}$

( $\mathfrak{D}4$ )  $\mathfrak{D}(x, y, z) \leq \mathfrak{D}(w, z, y) + \mathfrak{D}(x, w, z) + \mathfrak{D}(x, y, w)$ , for all  $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{W}$ .

The pair  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{D})$  is then referred to as a 2-metric space.

To define fuzzy 2-metric spaces, we need to use the well-known concept of triangular or t-norm.

**Definition 1.1[26]:** Assume that  $\tilde{*}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a binary operation. If  $\tilde{*}$  achieve the following:

- 1-  $J \tilde{*} \eta = \eta \tilde{*} J$ , for each  $J, \eta \in [0,1]$ .
- 2-  $(J \tilde{*} \eta) \tilde{*} \varsigma = J \tilde{*} (\eta \tilde{*} \varsigma)$ ,  $\varsigma \in [0,1]$ .
- 3-  $J \tilde{*} 1 = J$ .
- 4-  $J \tilde{*} \eta \leq \varsigma \tilde{*} \tau$  whenever  $J \leq \varsigma$  and  $\eta \leq \tau$ , for each  $J, \eta, \varsigma, \tau \in [0,1]$ .

Then  $\tilde{*}$  is termed continuous t-norm.

**Definition 1.2[27]:** A binary operation  $\tilde{*}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is termed a continuous t-norm if  $([0,1], \tilde{*})$  is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $J_1 \tilde{*} \eta_1 \tilde{*} \varsigma_1 \leq J_2 \tilde{*} \eta_2 \tilde{*} \varsigma_2$  whenever  $J_1 \leq J_2$ ,  $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$ ,  $\varsigma_1 \leq \varsigma_2$  for all  $J_1, J_2, \eta_1, \eta_2$  and  $\varsigma_1, \varsigma_2$  are in  $[0,1]$ .

**Definition 1.3[27]:** If  $\mathbb{W}$  is a nonempty set,  $\tilde{*}$  is a continuous t-norm, and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a fuzzy set in  $\mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times (0, \infty)$  meeting the following criteria for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{W}$  and  $\vartheta, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3 > 0$ , then the triplet  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{F}, \tilde{*})$  is known as fuzzy 2-metric space briefly  $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{W})_2 - \text{space})$

( $\mathfrak{F}_1$ )  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y, z, 0) = 0$ .

( $\mathfrak{F}_2$ )  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y, z, \vartheta) = 1$ , if at least two of  $x, y, z$  are equal;

( $\mathfrak{F}_3$ )  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y, z, \vartheta) = \mathfrak{F}(x, z, y, \vartheta) = \mathfrak{F}(y, z, x, \vartheta)$

( $\mathfrak{F}_4$ )  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y, z, \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 + \vartheta_3) \geq \mathfrak{F}(x, y, u, \vartheta_1) \tilde{*} \mathfrak{F}(x, u, z, \vartheta_2) \tilde{*} \mathfrak{F}(u, y, z, \vartheta_3)$

$(\mathfrak{S}_5) \mathfrak{S}(x, y, z, \cdot): [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is continuous.

A sample example of a fuzzy 2-metric is shown below.

**Example 1.4[15]:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{D})$  be a 2-metric space. Then the mapping  $\mathfrak{S}: \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{W} \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$

defined by

$$\mathfrak{S}(x, y, z, \vartheta) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}(x, z, y, \vartheta)}{\vartheta + \mathfrak{D}(x, y, z)}$$

is a fuzzy 2-metric on  $\mathbb{W}$  induced by the 2-metric  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

**Definition 1.5[15]:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space. For  $x \in \mathbb{W}, e \in (0, 1), \vartheta > 0$ , the open ball is given as follow:

$$B(x, e, \vartheta) = \{y \in \mathbb{W} : \mathfrak{S}(x, y, z, \vartheta) > 1 - e \text{ for each } z \in \mathbb{W}\}.$$

**Definition 1.6[27]:** Suppose that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{W}$ . If there exists  $x \in \mathbb{W}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}(x_n, x, u, \vartheta) = 1$ , for all  $u \in \mathbb{W}$  and  $\vartheta > 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called a convergent sequence.

**Definition 1.7[27]:** Suppose that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{W}$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is called Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}(x_{n+p}, x_n, u, \vartheta) = 1$ , for all  $u \in \mathbb{W}$  and  $\vartheta > 0, p > 0$ .

This definition of a Cauchy sequence is equivalent to  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}(x_m, x_n, u, \vartheta) = 1$ , for all  $\vartheta > 0$ .

We use this as the definition of the Cauchy sequence throughout the paper.

**Definition 1.8[27]:** A complete fuzzy 2-metric space  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  is defined as an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space in which every Cauchy sequence is a convergent sequence.

## 2. Compact Fuzzy 2- metric space

This section introduces the concept of compact fuzzy 2- metric space. The fundamental properties will then be investigated. We'll start by explaining the concept of an open and closed set.

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space and  $\mathcal{H}$  indicate a subset in  $\mathbb{W}$ . If for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  there exists  $0 < e < 1$ , and  $\vartheta > 0$  such that  $B(x, e, \vartheta) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}$  is called an open set in  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$ .

**Definition 2.2:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{W})$ 2-space and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{W}$ . If any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is convergence to  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , then the subset  $\mathcal{H}$  is called closed.

Now we introduced the notion of an open cover.

**Definition 2.3:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H}$  indicate a subset in  $\mathbb{W}$ . Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$  be a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{W}$  that have the characteristic that  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \bigcup_{L \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}} L$ . Then a family  $\mathcal{L}$  is termed an open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space. If each open cover has a finite sub-collection  $\{L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$  with  $\mathbb{W} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k L_i$ , then  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  is called compact  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space.

**Definition 2.5:** Assume that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . If each sequence in  $\mathbb{W}$  has a convergent subsequence whose limit is a point of  $\mathbb{W}$ , then  $\mathbb{W}$  is compact.

**Proposition 2.6:** Assume that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be a compact  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed set in  $\mathbb{W}$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact.

**Proof:**

Consider  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be a compact  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{W}$ . Suppose that  $\{x_n\} \in \mathcal{H}$  then  $\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{W}$ . By Definition (2.5), Since  $\mathbb{W}$  is compact then  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to  $x_{n_1}$ . Then  $x_{n_1} \in \mathcal{H}$  since  $\mathcal{H}$  is a closed subset, hence  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact.

**Definition 2.7:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{W}$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be fuzzy totally bounded (briefly ( $\hat{F}T$  – bounded)) if for any  $m \in (0,1)$  and  $\vartheta > 0$  there exists a finite set of points  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$  that is whenever  $x$  in  $\mathbb{W}$ ,  $\mathfrak{J}(x, \ell_i, u, \vartheta) > 1 - m$  for some  $\ell_i \in \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\}$  where  $u \in \mathbb{W}$ . This set of points  $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n\}$  is called  $m$ - fuzzy net of  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$ .

**Proposition 2.8:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space. Then  $\mathbb{W}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded if it is compact.

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $\mathbb{W}$  be compact. For any  $x \in \mathbb{W}$  and radius  $e \in (0,1)$ , the collection of all balls  $\{B(x, e, \vartheta)\}$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{W}$  where  $\vartheta > 0$ .

Now since  $\mathbb{W}$  is compact then by Definition(2.4) it follows that this cover includes finite subcover say  $\{B(x_1, e, \vartheta), B(x_2, e, \vartheta), \dots, B(x_k, e, \vartheta)\}$  so  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  represent an  $m$ - fuzzy net of  $\mathbb{W}$  and by Definition(2.7) it follows that  $\mathbb{W}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded.

**Proposition 2.9:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  be compact  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space. Then  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  is complete.

**Proof:**

Assume that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  is a compact  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space that is not complete. Then there's a Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{J}, \mathfrak{K})$  that doesn't have a limit in  $\mathbb{W}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{W}$  and  $\vartheta > 0$  because  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge to  $y$  there is  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $\mathfrak{J}(x_n, y, u, \vartheta) > 1 - \delta$  for infinitely many values of  $n$  where  $u \in \mathbb{W}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $n, m \geq N$ . As a result of this  $\mathfrak{J}(x_m, x_n, u, \vartheta) > 1 - \delta$ . Choose  $m \geq N$  such that  $\mathfrak{J}(x_m, y, u, \vartheta) > 1 - \delta$ . So, the open ball  $B(y, \delta, \vartheta)$  includes  $x_n$  for only finitely many values of  $n$ .

In this way, we may correlate a ball  $B(y, \delta(y), \vartheta)$  with each  $y \in \mathbb{W}$  where  $0 < \delta(y) < 1$  relies on  $y$ , and the ball  $B(y, \delta(y), \vartheta)$  has  $x_n$  for only a finite number of  $n$  values. Notice that  $\mathbb{W} = \bigcup B(y, \delta(y), \vartheta)$  implying that

$\{B(y, \delta(y), \vartheta) : y \in \mathbb{W}\}$  is a covering for  $\mathbb{W}$ . Now, because  $\mathbb{W}$  is compact, a finite sub covering  $B(y_i, \delta_i(y), \vartheta)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  exists. Since every ball possesses  $x_n$  for only a finite number of values of  $n$ , the balls are in the finite sub covering, consequently  $\mathbb{W}$  must also have  $x_n$  for only a finite number of values of  $n$ . This, on the other hand, is not possible. As a result,  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  must be complete.

**Proposition 2.10:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . If  $\mathbb{W}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded then  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded.

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$  – space and  $\mathbb{W}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded. Consider  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$  represent an  $m$ -fuzzy net of  $\mathbb{W}$  where  $m \in (0,1)$ . Then for any  $x_1 \in \mathbb{W}$  and some  $y_i \in \mathcal{H}$  we have:

$$\mathfrak{S}(x_1, y_i, u, \vartheta/3) > 1 - m \text{ where } u \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Putting  $\mathcal{D} = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\}$  which is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Then for every  $1 < i < m$ , we have  $\mathfrak{S}(x_1, z_i, u, \vartheta/3) > 1 - m$  for some  $z_i \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(x_1, y_i, u, \vartheta) &\geq \mathfrak{S}(x_1, y_i, z, \vartheta/3) \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{S}(x_1, z_i, u, \vartheta/3) \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{S}(z_i, y_i, u, \vartheta/3) \\ &\geq (1 - m) \mathfrak{K} (1 - m) \mathfrak{K} (1 - m) \\ &> 1 - \delta \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . This indicates that  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $\hat{F}T$  – bounded.

**Proposition 2.11:** Let  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{W}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is compact then every infinite subset  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  has a limit point.

**Proof:**

Consider  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  be an  $\hat{F}(\mathbb{W})2$ -space and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a compact subset in  $(\mathbb{W}, \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{K})$  and let  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be an infinite set. We state that  $\mathcal{D}$  does not include any limit point, and then we demonstrate that this is false. Because  $\mathcal{D}$  does not have a limit point, then for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists  $e \in (0,1)$  such that the open ball  $B(x, e, \vartheta)$  does not include any points of  $\mathcal{H}$  different from  $x$ , that is for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $B(x, e, \vartheta) \cap \mathcal{D} \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$ .

Now for each  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , let  $B(x, e, \vartheta) : e \in (0,1), \vartheta > 0$  represents the family of the open ball. Then we have  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{D}} B(x, e, \vartheta)$  which is an infinite open covering of  $\mathcal{D}$  and each element in this covering of  $\mathcal{D}$  includes only one point  $x$ , consequently, there is no finite open sub covering implying that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not compact and this contradiction, hence statement that the infinite subset  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  does not include any limit point is wrong. Hence  $\mathcal{D}$  has a limit point.

## References

- 
- [1] AHLER .S. G.(1963). 2–metrische Raume und ihre topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr.* 26 (1-4) , 115–148.
- [2] AHLER S. G. (1964). Lineare 2-normierte Raume, *Math. Nachr.* 28(1-2), 1–43.
- [3] Alias, B. (2021). On a Fuzzy Metric Space and Fuzzy Convergence. *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics*, 40( 5), 1279-1299.
- [4] Amit, K. A. & Alam, M. Z. (2018). Continuous Fuzzy Mappings In Fuzzy Metric Space. *GSI*, 6 (7), 612-620.

- [5]Bigand, A & Colot, O. (2010). Fuzzy filter based on interval-valued fuzzy sets for image filtering. *Fuzzy Sets Syst*, 161 (1), 96–117.
- [6]Ereeg, M. A.(1979). Metric spaces and fuzzy set theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 69, 205-230.
- [7]Eyke, H.(2011).Fuzzy sets in machine learning and data mining. *Applied Soft Computing*, 11, 1493–1505.
- [8]George, A. & Veeramani, P.V.(1994). On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 64, 395-399.
- [9]Govindan., V& Murthyb, S.(2019).Solution and Hyers-Ulam Stability Of n-Dimensional Non-Quadratic Functional Equation In Fuzzy Normed Space Using Direct Method. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* , 16, 384–391.
- [10] Hussein M., Z. (2018). On the(G.n) Tupted fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 10(2), Math Page 15 - 25.
- [11]Isabelle, B.(2015). Fuzzy sets for image processing and understanding. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 281 (15), 280-291.
- [12]Jialiang, X., Qingguo, L, Shuili Chen, & Huan H.(2016). The fuzzy metric space based on fuzzy measure. *Open Math*, 14,603–612.
- [13]Ju, M. K.& Keun, Y.(2019). Approximation Properties in Felbin Fuzzy Normed Spaces. *Math J.*, 22:1-14.
- [14]Kaleva, O. & Seikkala, S.(1984). On fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 12, 215-229.
- [15]Kocinac, L., Cetkin, V. & Dolicanin, D.(2020).Selective Properties of Fuzzy 2-Metric Spaces. *SER. A: APPL. MATH. INFORM. AND MECH*,12(2),67-73.
- [16]Kramosil, I. & Michalek J.(1975). Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika II* , 336-344.
- [17]Mayada, N. M. & Raghad, I. S.( 2021). Bounded Linear Transformations in G-Fuzzy Normed Linear Space. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*.13(1), 110–119.
- [18]Mehmet, S.(2016) . An Application of Fuzzy Sets to Veterinary Medicine. *Theory and Applications of Mathematics & Computer Science*, 6 (1) 1–12.
- [19] Noori, F.A., & A . Abd alsaheb, D. (2017). Separation theorem for fuzzy normed space. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 6(2), 53-64.
- [20] Noori, F.A., & H . Hadi, S. (2017). Some New result of Compact sets in fuzzy metric space. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 6(2), 77-82.
- [21] Noori, F.A., & William Twair, H. (2017). Some Results on Weak and Strong Fuzzy Convergence for Fuzzy Normed Spaces. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 7(2), 126-131.
- [22]Raghad, I. S.(2012). Product of Two Fuzzy Normed Spaces and its Completion. *Engineering and Technology Journal*, 30 (11), 1925-1934.
- [23]Raghad, I. S.(2021). Compactness Property of Fuzzy Soft Metric Space and Fuzzy Soft Continuous Function. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 62(9), 3031-3038.
- [24]Raghad, I. S. ( 2021). Fuzzy Convergence Sequence and Fuzzy Compact Operators on Standard Fuzzy Normed Spaces. *Baghdad Science Journal*,18(4), 1204-1211.
- [25] Sabri, R., RASHEED, M., Alabdali, O., SHIHAB, S., & RASHID, T. (2021). On Some Properties in Fuzzy Metric Space. *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 13(1), Math Page 55.
- [26]Schweizer, B.& Sklar, A. (1960). Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 10: 314–334.
- [27]Sharma, S. (2002). On Fuzzy Metric Space. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 26, 133-145.
- [28]Swati, B. K.r.(2019).A Study Of Fuzzy Metric Space. *IJEDR*, 7(3),186-188.
- [29]Valentin, G & Salvador, R.(2000). Some properties of fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 115 ( 3), 485-489.
- [30]Zimmerman, H. J. (1985).Fuzzy Sets Theory and Its Application. *Kluwer Academic Publisher*, Dordrecht.