

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm

JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)



Sf-covering dimension and sf-local dimension

Ghazwan Farhan Shaker¹ and Raad Aziz Hussain Al-Abdulla^{2*}

Department of Mathematics, "College of Science" "University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah-Iraq .Email: ma20.post13@qu.edu.iq¹

Department of Mathematics, "College of Science" "University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah-Iraq.Email: raad.hussain@qu.edu.iq²

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 11 /06/2022

Revised form: 09 /07/2022

Accepted : 17 /07/2022

Available online: 12 /08/2022

Keywords: *dim X*, *loc dim X*, *sf-dim X*, *sf-loc dim X*

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce new types of dimension functions which are *sf-covering dimension*, *sf-dim X*, and *sf-local dimension*, *sf-loc dim X*, by using semi feebly open (*sf-open*) sets, with examples and theorems. The relationships between them and other concepts will be studied like *sf-T₁-space*, *sf⁻-regular space* and *sf⁻-normal space*.

MSC..

<https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2022.14.3.992>

1. Introduction:

The foundation of dimension theory is the "dimension function," It has the properties of $d(X)=d(Y)$ if X and Y are homeomorphic and $d(\mathbb{R}^n) = n$ for every positive integer n . It is a function defined on the class of topological spaces where $d(X)$ is an integer or ∞ . The dimension functions taking topological spaces to the set $\{-1,0,1,\dots\}$.[1] studied the dimension functions ind , Ind , dim . Actually dimension functions $S - \text{ind}X$, $S - \text{Ind}X$, $S - \text{dim}X$ were examined using S -open sets in [10], dimension functions $b - \text{ind}X$, $b - \text{Ind}X$, $b - \text{dim}X$, were researched using b -open sets in [11], and dimension functions $f - \text{ind}X$, $f - \text{Ind}X$, $f - \text{dim}X$, were studied using f -open sets in [5], [2] investigated the dimension functions $N - \text{ind}X$, $N - \text{Ind}X$, $N - \text{dim}X$ utilizing N -open sets. We recall the definitions of *dim*, *loc dim* from [1], and then use *sf* – open sets to add the dimension functions *sf – dim*, *sf – loc dim*. Finally, certain connections between them are investigated, and some conclusions about these notions are established.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some of the basic definitions and theorems.

Definition (2.1): [7]

*Corresponding author

Email addresses:

Communicated by 'sub editor'

Let B be subset of a topological space X . If there is an open set D where $D \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{D}$, then B called semi-open (s-open) set in X

Remark(2.2): [6]

A set U is semi-open (s-open) set in X iff $U \subseteq \overline{U^\circ}$, equivalent $U = \overline{U^\circ}$, the complement semi-open set is semi-closed (s-closed) set.

If there is a closed set D where $D^\circ \subseteq U \subseteq D$, then U called semi-closed(s-closed) set in X .

Definition (2.3): [3]

Let D subset of a topological space X , define semi-closure (s-closure) of D as the intersection of every semi-closed sets contained D in X and define semi-interior (s-interior) of D as the union of semi-open sub set of X contained D and are denoted by \overline{D}^s , $D^{\circ s}$ respectively

Proposition (2.4): [7]

Let X be a topological space, if V is open subset of X and D is s-open set in X , then $D \cap V$ is an s-open set in X .

Proposition (2.5):[12]

If H is s-open in Y where Y is open subset of a topological space X , then H is s-open in X .

Proposition (2.6):[12]

If H is s-open of a topological space X and Y is open subset of X , then H is s-open in Y for $H \subseteq Y$.

Proposition (2.7):

If H is s-open set of a topological space X and W is open sub set of X , then $H \cap W$ is s-open in W

Proof:

By Proposition (2.4) and Proposition (2.6), then $H \cap W$ is s-open in W

Definition (2.8): [4]

If X be topological space and $E \subseteq X$. Then E is called feebly open (f-open) set in X if there is an open set O like $O \subseteq E \subseteq \overline{O}^s$.

Remark (2.9): [6]

If D is subset of a topological space X , then D called feebly open set in X iff $D \subseteq \overline{D}^{\circ}$. Feebly closed(f-closed) is the complement of feebly open set where $\overline{D}^{\circ} \subseteq D$.

Remark (2.10): [8]

Any open set is f-open set and any closed set is f-closed set

Definition(2.11): [9]

If B be subset of a topological space X , then B called semi feebly open (sf –open) set in X ; if for any semi open set W where $B \subseteq W$ we get $\overline{B}^f \subseteq W$. Semi feebly closed (sf-closed) is the counterpart of sf- open set that $W \subseteq B^f$ where V semi closed set in X .

Remark (2.12): [9]

Any f-closed set and any closed set is sf-open set . however, the opposite is untrue.

Proposition (2.13): [9]

If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is arbitrary collection of sf –open sets. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha$ is sf –open set.

Definition (2.14): [9]:

If the union of sf – open sets in topological space X is also sf – open sets, Then X is said to be satisfy conduct union

Definition (2.15): [9]

If X is a topological space and $D \subseteq X$. Then sf-closure of D is the intersection of all sf-closed of X which Contains D and denoted by \overline{D}^{sf} , that means $\overline{D}^{sf} = \bigcap \{M : M \text{ is sf-closed in } X \text{ and } D \subseteq M\}$.

Definition (2.16): [9]

Let X be a topological space and $D \subseteq X$. Then sf-Interior of D is the union of all sf-open sets of X contained in D is and denoted by $D^{°sf}$, that means $D^{°sf} = \bigcup \{E : E \text{ is sf – open in } X \text{ and } E \subseteq D\}$.

Theorem (2.17):[12]

If Y is open subspace of a topological space X , then $\overline{D}^{fy} = \overline{D}^f \cap Y$ for each $D \subseteq Y$.

Definition (2.18): [9]

A topological space X is called sf- T_1 -space if for any $x \neq y$ in X there is sf-open sets A and B where $x \in A, y \notin A$ and $y \in B, x \notin B$.

Proposition (2.19): [9]

Let X be a conduct union topological space, then $\{x\}$ is sf – closed set $\forall x \in X$ iff X is sf- T_1 -space

Definition (2.20):[12]

A topological space X is called sf $^{\sim}$ -regular space if any x in X and sf – closed subset M where $x \notin M$, there is disjoint sf-open sets A, B such that $x \in A, M \subseteq B$.

Proposition (2.21):[12]

Let X be a conduct union topological space, then X is sf^{\sim} -regular space iff $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall sf$ -open set $A \ni x \in A$, $\exists sf$ -open set $V \ni x \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^{sf} \subseteq A$.

Definition (2.22): [9]

A topological space X is called sf^{\sim} -normal space if for every disjoint sf -closed sets G_1, G_2 there exists disjoint sf -open sets B_1, B_2 such that $G_1 \subseteq B_1, G_2 \subseteq B_2$.

Proposition (2.23):[9]

A conduct union topological space X is sf^{\sim} -normal space iff $\forall sf$ -closed set $E \subseteq X$, and $\forall sf$ -open set V in $X \ni E \subseteq V$, $\exists sf$ -open set $U \ni E \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U}^{sf} \subseteq V$

Proposition (2.24):

Let Y open sub space of a topological space, then the subset D of Y is sf -open in Y if it is sf -open in X .

Proof:

Let D is sf -open in X . To show D is sf -open in Y , by definition $D \subseteq H$ when H is s -open in Y . Then H is s -open in X by proposition (2.5). Therefore $\overline{D}^f \subseteq H$, to prove $\overline{D}^{fy} \subseteq H$

$$\overline{D}^{fy} = \overline{D}^f \cap Y \quad \text{by theorem (2.17)}$$

$$\subseteq \overline{D}^f$$

$$\subseteq H, \text{ therefore } D \text{ is } sf\text{-open in } Y$$

Theorem(2.25):

Let Y is open and f -closed subset of a topological space X , then B is sf -open in X . If it is sf -open in Y

Proof:

To show B is sf -open in X , by definition $B \subseteq H : H$ is s -open in X . Then $H \cap Y$ is s -open in Y by Proposition (2.7). Since B is sf -open in Y and $B \subseteq H \cap Y$, then $\overline{B}^f \subseteq H \cap Y$

To prove $\overline{B}^f \subseteq H$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}^f &= \overline{B \cap Y^f} && \text{(since B is sf-open in Y)} \\ &\subseteq \overline{B}^f \cap \overline{Y}^f \\ &= \overline{B}^f \cap Y && \text{(since Y is f-closed)} \\ &= \overline{B}^{fy} && \text{by theorem (2.17)} \\ &\subseteq H \cap Y \\ &\subseteq H \end{aligned}$$

Therefore B is sf-open in X.

Proposition (2.26):

Let Y is open and f-closed subset of a topological space X, then $D \cap Y$ is sf-open in Y for any sf-open set D of X

Proof:

Since Y is f-closed in X, then Y is sf – open in X, then $D \cap Y$ is sf-open in X. Since $D \cap Y \subseteq Y$, then $D \cap Y$ is sf – open in Y by proposition (2.24)

Theorem (2.27):

Let Y is open and f-closed subset of a topological space X. then W is sf-open in Y iff $\exists V$ sf-open in X $\exists W = V \cap Y$.

Proof:

Let W is a sf-open in Y. Then W is sf-open in X by proposition (2.25) . Put $W = V$, we have $W = W \cap Y = V \cap Y$.

Conversely :

Let V be sf-open in X , then by proposition(2. 26) $V \cap Y$ is sf – open in Y .
therefor W is sf-open in Y.

Definition(2.28)[1]:

Let \mathcal{H} be a family of subsets of a set X , we define an order of the family \mathcal{H} as the greatest integer n where the family \mathcal{H} have $n+1$ sets with a non-empty intersection ;we say the family \mathcal{H} has order ∞ if there is no such integer. $\text{ord } \mathcal{H}$ denotes the order of a family \mathcal{H} .

Definition(2.29)[1]:

Let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a cover of a set X , then $\{V_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is said to be a refinement of $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ if it is a cover of X and for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $V_\gamma \subseteq G_\alpha$

Definition(2.30)[1]:

A reduction of the family $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is any family $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $B_\lambda \subset A_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that the union is the same. Then every reduction which covers X is a refinement

Definition (2.31)) [1]:

Let $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a family of subsets of space X , then $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is called point finite if for any $x \in X$, the set $\{\alpha \in A: x \in B_\alpha\}$ is finite .

3.The Main Results

Definition(3.1):

Let X be a topological space ,The sf –covering dimension , $sf - \dim X$, of X is the least integer n where each finite sf -open covering of X has an sf – open refinement of order $\leq n$ or is ∞ if no such integer exists .Thus $sf - \dim X = -1$ if and only if X is empty, and $sf - \dim X \leq n$ if each finite sf -open covering of X has sf – open refinement of order $\leq n$. We have $sf - \dim X = n$ if it is true that $sf - \dim X \leq n$ but $sf - \dim X \leq n - 1$ is not true . Finally $sf - \dim X = \infty$ if for every integer n it is false that $sf - \dim X \leq n$.

Theorem (3.2):

Let X be a topological space with $sf - \dim X = 0$. Then X is sf' – normal space.

Proof:

Let E_1 and E_2 be sf – closed sets of X with $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. Then $E = \{X \setminus E_1, X \setminus E_2\}$ is an sf – open covering of X , since $sf - \dim X = 0$, then there is $U = \{U_1, U_2\}$ sf – open refinement of E which is covers X with order 0, hence $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ and $X = U_1 \cup U_2$, then U_1, U_2 are sf -open and sf – closed sets, and $U_1 \subset X \setminus E_1$ and $U_2 \subset X \setminus E_2$. Thus

$E_1 \subseteq U_1^c = U_2, E_2 \subseteq U_2^c = U_1$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$, then X is sf – normal space.

Theorem (3.3):

If X is a topological space and B is a clopn subset of X , then $sf - \dim B \leq sf - \dim X$.

Proof:

Let $\text{sf-dim} X \leq n$, to prove $\text{sf-dim} B \leq n$. Let $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$ be an finite sf – open covering of B , Then for any i , there is G_i is sf – open set in X such that $U_i = B \cap G_i$ by theorem(2.27). The finite sf – open covering $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k, X \setminus B\}$ of X has an sf – open refinement \mathcal{W} of order $\leq n$. Let $K = \{W \cap B: W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ where $W \cap B$ is an sf – open in B by proposition (2.26). There for K is an sf – open refinement of $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$ of order $\leq n$. There for $\text{sf-dim} B \leq n$. ■

Proposition(3.4):

If X is sf-T_1 -space and $\text{sf-dim} X = 0$, then X is sf^* – regular space .

Proof:

Let $x \in X$ and F sf – closed set of X with $x \notin F$. Then $x \in F^c$, where F^c is sf – open set in X . Since X is sf-T_1 -space then $\{x\}$ is sf – closed by Proposition (2.19). Therefore $\{X - \{x\}, F^c\}$ be finite sf – open covering of X . Since $\text{sf-dim} X = 0$, then $\{X - \{x\}, F^c\}$ has sf – open refinement $\{V, W\}$ which is cover of X with order zero. Then $V \subseteq X - \{x\}$, $W \subseteq F^c$ then $x \in W \subseteq F^c$ and $F \subseteq W^c = V$. Therefore there is V, W sf -open set where $F \subseteq V$ and $x \in W$ with $V \cap W = \emptyset$. Thus X is sf^* – regular space

Proposition (3.5):

Let X be a conduct union topological space, then $\text{sf-dim} X \leq n$ iff each finite sf – open cover of X can be reduced to an sf – open cover of order $\leq n$.

Proof:

If $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{\lambda_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is finite sf – open covering of X , since $\text{sf-dim} X \leq n$ then \mathcal{G} has sf – open refinement $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of order $\leq n$. If $W_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{W}$ then there exists $G_{\lambda_i} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $W_{\alpha} \subset G_{\lambda_i}$. Let $V_{\lambda_i} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} W_{\alpha} \subset G_{\lambda_i}$, then $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is sf – open reduction of \mathcal{G} which is the X cover and it is order $\leq n$.

Conversely

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{\lambda_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite sf – open covering of X then there exists a reduction $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ which is sf – open covering of X . \mathcal{W} is thus a refinement of \mathcal{G} that is open of order $\leq n$. Hence $\text{sf-dim} X \leq n$.

Theorem (3.6):

Let X be a conduct union topological space, then $\text{sf-dim} X \leq n$ if, and only if, every sf – open cover of X with $n + 2$ sets, has an sf – open reduction of $n + 2$ sets with empty intersection.

Proof:

Suppose that $\text{sf} - \dim X \leq n$, then every finite $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of X has $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ reduction of order $\leq n$ by Theorem (3.5). In particular if the number of elements of the cover is $n+2$ then the number of its reduction is also $n+2$ with order $\leq n$ that is the intersection is not empty for at most $n+1$ elements. Hence the intersection of all $n+2$ elements is empty

Conversely

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k\}$ be a finite $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of X , suppose that the order of $\mathcal{G} > n$, then there exists $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n+2} \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} G_i \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $G^* = G_{n+2} \cup \dots \cup G_k$ then $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n+1}, G^*\}$ is $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of X with $n+2$ sets, which it has an $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ reduction $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}, V^*\}$ with empty intersection. Then $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}, V^* \cap G_{n+2}, \dots, V^* \cap G_k\}$ is $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ reduction of \mathcal{G} which cover X the non-empty intersection of the first $n+1$ sets may not be empty. But the intersection of all sets of the reduction is empty. Let $x \in X$ where $x \notin V_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n+1$ then $x \in V^*$, therefore $x \in G_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ hence $x \in V^* \cap G_i$ for some $i = n+2, \dots, k$. By repeating the procedure finite we will get a reduction of \mathcal{G} such that the intersection for each $n+2$ set is empty thus the order of reduction is $\leq n$, hence $\text{sf} - \dim X \leq n$. ■

Definition (3.7):

Let $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ cover of X , $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is called $\text{sf} - \text{shrinkable}$ if and only if there is an $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ where $B_\lambda \subseteq \overline{B_\lambda}^{\text{sf}} \subseteq A_\lambda$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In this case we say that $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ $\text{sf} - \text{shrinks}$ $\{A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, denoted by $B \ll_{\text{sf}} A$.

Theorem (3.8):

If X is a condu ct union topological space, the following claims are thus equivalent:

- 1) X is an $\text{sf} - \text{normal}$ space.
- 2) every point-finite $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of X is $\text{sf} - \text{shrinkable}$.
- 3) every finite $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of X has $\text{sf} - \text{closed}$ refinement.

Proof: 1 \rightarrow 2

Suppose that $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a point-finite $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ covering of $\text{sf} - \text{normal}$ space X and let A be well-ordered. We shall construct an $\text{sf} - \text{shrinkable}$ of $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ by introduction of the transfinite. Let μ be an element of A and let for any $\alpha < \mu$ there are an $\text{sf} - \text{open}$ set U_α where $\overline{U_\alpha}^{\text{sf}} \subset G_\alpha$ and for each $\gamma < \mu$, $U_{\alpha < \gamma} \cup U_{\alpha > \gamma} G_\alpha = X$. Let x be a point of X . Then since $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a point finite there is a largest element Σ , say, of A where $x \in G_\Sigma$. If $\Sigma \geq \mu$ then for $x \in U_{\alpha \geq \mu} G_\lambda$, whilst if $\Sigma < \mu$ then $x \in$

$U_{\alpha < \mu} U_\lambda \subset U_{\alpha < \mu} U_\alpha$. Hence $U_{\alpha < \mu} U_\alpha \cup U_{\alpha \geq \mu} G_\alpha = X$. Thus G_μ contains the complement of $U_{\alpha < \mu} U_\lambda \cup U_{\alpha > \mu} G_\alpha$. Since X is sf' – normal space, there exist an sf – open set U_μ where:

$$X \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\alpha < \mu} U_\lambda \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \geq \mu} G_\alpha \right) \subset U_\mu \subset \overline{U_\mu}^{sf} \subset G_\mu$$

Thus $\overline{U_\mu}^{sf} \subset G_\mu$ and $U_{\alpha \leq \mu} U_\lambda \cup U_{\alpha > \mu} G_\lambda = X$. The construction of a sf -shrinking of $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is completed by introduction of the transfinite.

2 \rightarrow 3

Let $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a finite sf – open covering of X then $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a point- finite sf – open covering of X . Therefore there exists $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ an sf – open family of covering of X such that $\overline{U_\alpha}^{sf} \subset G_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Therefore $\{\overline{U_\alpha}^{sf}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a sf – closed refinement of $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$.

3 \rightarrow 1

Let every finite sf – open covering of X has a sf – closed refinement and let M, N be disjoint sf – closed sets of X . The covering $\{X \setminus M, X \setminus N\}$ of X has a sf – closed refinement K . Let D be the union of the members of K disjoint from A and let H be the union of the members of K disjoint from N then D, H are sf – closed sets and $D \cup H = X$. Then if $V = X \setminus D, W = X \setminus H$ then V, W are disjoint sf – open sets $M \subseteq V, N \subseteq W$. Therefore X is sf' – normal space.

Proposition (3.9):

Let X is a countable union topological space, the following claims are thus equivalent:

- 1) $sf - \dim X \leq n$.
- 2) For each finite sf – open covering $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ of X there is an sf – open covering $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of order $\leq n$ such that $V_i \subset A_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.
- 3) If $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ is an sf – open covering of X , there is an sf – open covering $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+2}\}$ such that each $V_i \subset A_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} V_i = \emptyset$.

Proof: 1 \rightarrow 2

Suppose $sf - \dim X \leq n$. The finite sf – open covering $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ has an sf – open refinement \mathcal{W} of order $\leq n$. If $W \in \mathcal{W}$ then $W \subset A_i$ for some i . Let each w in \mathcal{W} be associated with one of the sets A_i containing it and let V_i be the union of those members of \mathcal{W} thus associated with A_i . Then V_i is sf – open and $V_i \subset A_i$ and each point of X is in some member of \mathcal{W} and hence in some V_i , each point

$x \in X$ is in at most $n + 1$ members of \mathcal{W} , each of which associated with a unique A_i , and hence x is in at most $n + 1$ members of $\{V_i\}$. Thus $\{V_i\}$ is an sf – open covering of X of order $\leq n$.

2 \rightarrow 1

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ be a finite sf – open covering of X has sf – open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of order $\leq n$ such that $V_i \subset A_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k\}$ be sf – open covering of X such that $V_i = G_i$ for each i . Thus \mathcal{G} be an sf – open refinement of X with order $\leq n$. Hence $sf - \dim X \leq n$.

2 \rightarrow 3

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+2}\}$ be an sf – open covering of X then \mathcal{U} has sf – open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+2}\}$ of order $\leq n$ such that $V_i \subset A_i$. Since order \mathcal{V} is $\leq n$ then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} V_i = \emptyset$.

3 \rightarrow 2

Let X be a space satisfying (3) and let $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$ be a finite sf – open covering of X . We may assume that $k > n + 1$. Let $G_i = A_i$ if $i \leq n + 1$ and let $G_{n+2} = \bigcup_{i=n+2}^k A_i$. Then $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n+2}\}$ is an sf – open covering of X and hence by inference there exists an sf – open covering $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{n+2}\}$ such that each $H_i \subset G_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} H_i = \emptyset$. Let $W_i = A_i$ if $n + 1 \geq i$ and let $W_i = A_i \cap H_{n+2}$ if $n + 1 < i$. Then $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n+2}\}$ is an sf – open covering of X , each $W_i \subset A_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} W_i = \emptyset$. If there is a subset B of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with elements such that $\bigcap_{i \in B} W_i \neq \emptyset$. Let's renumber the members of W to give a family $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} P_i \neq \emptyset$. By applying the above construction to \mathcal{P} , we obtain an sf – open covering $\mathcal{W}' = \{W'_1, W'_2, \dots, W'_k\}$ such that each $W'_i \subset P_i$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} W'_i = \emptyset$. Clearly if C is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ with $n + 2$ elements where $\bigcap_{i \in C} P_i = \emptyset$ and $\bigcap_{i \in C} W'_i = \emptyset$. Thus by a finite number of repetitions of this process we obtain an sf – open covering $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k\}$ of X of order $\leq n$ such that each $V_i \subset A_i$.

Theorem (3.10):

Let X be an sf – normal space. Then the following statements are equivalents:

1. $sf - \dim X \leq n$.
2. Every finite sf – open covering is sf – shrinkable to an sf – open covering and the order of its sf – closure is $\leq n$.
3. Every finite sf – open covering can be reduced to sf – closed covering of order $\leq n$.
4. Every finite sf – open covering of $n + 2$ sets can be reduced to a sf – closed with empty intersection.

Proof: 1 → 2

Suppose that $\text{sf}^{\cdot} - \dim X \leq n$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a finite $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X . Then \mathcal{U} has an $\text{sf} -$ open reduction $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $\text{sf} -$ open covering of order $\leq n$ by Theorem(3.5). Since X is $\text{sf}^{\cdot} -$ normal space then \mathcal{V} is $\text{sf} -$ shrinkable to an $\text{sf} -$ open covering $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ hence $W_{\lambda} \subseteq \overline{W_{\lambda}}^N \subseteq V_{\lambda}$ therefore \mathcal{W} is an $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X and $\text{sf} -$ shrinks \mathcal{U} . Since $\text{order} \mathcal{V} \leq n$ then $\text{order} \overline{W}^{\text{sf}} \leq n$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} \overline{W}^{\text{sf}} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} V_i = \emptyset$ hence $\text{order} \overline{W}^{\text{sf}} \leq n$.

2 → 3

If U is a finite $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X . Then U is $\text{sf} -$ shrinkable to an $\text{sf} -$ open covering W of X such that $\text{order} \overline{W}^{\text{sf}} \leq n$. Then \overline{W}^{sf} is $\text{sf} -$ closed reduction of U , $\text{order} \overline{W}^{\text{sf}} \leq n$.

3 → 4

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$ is a finite $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X . Then \mathcal{U} has $\text{sf} -$ closed reduction $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$ of order $\leq n$ which covers X . Since $\text{order} \mathcal{F} \leq n$ then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} F_i = \emptyset$.

4 → 1

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$ is a finite $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X also let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$ be $\text{sf} -$ closed reduction \mathcal{U} with empty intersection, for each i .

Let $G_i = X \setminus F_i$ then $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n+2}\}$ be $\text{sf} -$ open covering of X . Since X is $\text{sf}^{\cdot} -$ normal space then \mathcal{G} is $\text{sf} -$ shrinkable to $\text{sf} -$ open covering $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+2}\}$ hence $V_i \subseteq \overline{V_i}^N \subseteq G_i$. Let $W_i = U_i \setminus \overline{V}^{\text{sf}} \subset U_i$ for some i where $\mathcal{W} = \{W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n+2}\}$ is $\text{sf} -$ open reduction of U which covers X , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} W_i &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (U_i \setminus \overline{V_i}^{\text{sf}}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (U_i \cap \overline{V_i}^{\text{sf}c}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (\overline{V_i}^{\text{sf}c}) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} (V_i^c) \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+2} V_i \right)^c \\ &= X^c = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem (3.6) then $\text{sf} - \dim X \leq n$. ■

Definition(3.11):

Let X be a topological space, then The $\text{sf} -$ local dimension, $\text{sf} - \text{loc dim} X$, of a space X has the following definition. If X is empty then $\text{sf} - \text{loc dim} X = -1$, otherwise $\text{sf} - \text{loc dim} X$ is the least integer n where for each point x of X there exists some $\text{sf} -$ open set U containing x where $\text{sf} - \dim \overline{U} \leq n$, or if there is no such integer then $\text{sf} - \text{loc dim} X = \infty$.

Theorem(3.12):

If (X, τ) be a topological space, then $\text{sf} - \text{loc dim} X \leq \text{sf} - \dim X$

Proof:

Suppose that $\text{sf} - \dim X \leq n$ and $x \in X$

hence X is sf -open set X containing x ,

then $sf - \dim \bar{X} = sf - \dim X \leq n$

Proposition (3.13):

Let A be an closed set of a space X , then $sf - \text{locdim} A \leq sf - \text{locdim} X$.

Proof:

Suppose that $sf - \text{locdim} X \leq n$ and let $x \in A$, then there exists an sf -open set U of X where $x \in U$ and $sf - \dim \bar{U} \leq n$. Then $U \cap A$ is an sf -open set in A such that $x \in U \cap A$ by Proposition (2.26). And the closure of $U \cap A$ in A is closed set of \bar{U} , therefore has sf -dimension $\leq n$ by Proposition (3.3). Then $sf - \text{locdim} A \leq n$, thus $sf - \text{locdim} A \leq sf - \text{locdim} X$

Proposition (3.14):

Let Y be an open set of locally indiscrete sf^* -regular space X then, $sf - \text{locdim} Y \leq sf - \text{locdim} X$.

Proof:

Suppose that $sf - \text{locdim} X \leq n$ and let $x \in Y$, then there is an sf -open set U of X such that $x \in U$ and $sf - \dim \bar{U} \leq n$. Then $U \cap Y$ is an sf -open set in Y such that $x \in U \cap Y$ by Proposition (2.26). Since X is an sf^* -regular space, then there exists an sf -open set G such that $x \in G \subset \bar{G}^{sf} \subset U \cap Y$. Then G is an sf -open in Y , and \bar{G} is closure of G in Y . Since \bar{G} is closed subset of \bar{U} , it follows that $sf - \dim \bar{G} \leq n$ by Proposition (3.3). Hence $sf - \text{locdim} Y \leq sf - \text{locdim} X$.

Theorem(3.15):

Let (X, τ) be a topological space, then $sf - \text{locdim} X \leq n$ iff every sf -open covering of X has sf -open refinement $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that $sf - \dim \bar{V}_\lambda \leq n, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$

Proof: (\rightarrow)

let $sf - \text{locdim} X \leq n$ and $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be sf -open cover of X . Let $x \in X$, then $x \in U_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then

either $\exists V_\alpha$ sf -open such that $x \in V_\alpha \subset U_\lambda$

hence V_α sf -open set such that $x \in V_\alpha \subset U_\lambda$ and $sf - \dim \bar{V}_\alpha \leq n$

therefore the prove is finish .

Otherwise if $\exists W_\lambda$ sf -open set such that $x \in W_\lambda$ and $sf - \dim \bar{W}_\lambda \leq n$

let $V_\lambda = U_\lambda \cap W_\lambda$, then $x \in V_\lambda \subset U_\lambda$.

since $\overline{V_\lambda}$ is closed in $\overline{W_\lambda}$, then $sf - \dim \overline{V_\lambda} \leq n$ by Proposition (3.3).

(\leftarrow)

Let $x \in X$ and $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is sf-open covering of X , then $x \in U$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$

Then U has sf-open refinement $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$

Hence V_λ is sf-open set such that $x \in V_\lambda$ some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $sf - \dim \overline{V_\lambda} \leq n$

There for $sf - \text{locdim} X \leq n$

References

- [1] A.P.Pears "On Dimension Theory of General Spaces" Cambridge university press, (1975).
- [2] E. R. Ali "On Dimension Theory by Using N-Open Sets", M.Sc. thesis university of Al-Qadissiya college of mathematics and computer science, (2011).
- [3] G, S.Grossly. and Hildebrand S. K. " semi closed set and semi continuity in Topological space "Texas J-sci (1971).
- [4] Maheshwari S.N & Tapiu ."Feebly open sets" . Ann University . Timisaras, (1978).
- [5] N.H.Hajee "On Dimension Theory by Using Feebly Open Set", M.Sc. thesis university of Al-Qadissiya college of mathematics and computer science, (2011).
- [6] N.K. Ahmed "On some application of special sub sets of topological spaces "M.sc thesis, University of Salahaddin , College of science (1990).
- [7] N.Levine "Semi open set and semi Continuity in topological space" . Amer .Math .Monthly (1963).
- [8] N.M . Al Tabatbai "On New Types of Weekly open sets ", M .Sc thesis University of Baghdad (2004).
- [9] R. A. H. Al-Abdulla and O. R.M. Al-Gharani "On paracompactness Via sf-open sets " University of Al-Qadissiya , college. of Mathematics and . computer science , (2020) .
- [10] R.A.H.AL-Abdulla "On Dimension Theory", M.Sc. thesis university of Baghdad, college of science, (1992).
- [11] S.K.Gaber "On b-Dimension Theory" M.Sc. thesis university of Al-Qadissiya , college of mathematics and computer science, (2010).
- [12] Z.N.K. Hussain " On Countable Chain Condition by using sf-Open Sets " , M .Sc thesis University of Al-Qadissiya , college of science , (2021) .